# The Collected Works of Edward Sapir

Mouton de Gruyter





The Collected Works of Edward Sapir

VIII

### The Collected Works of Edward Sapir

### Editorial Board

Philip Sapir *Editor-in-Chief* 

William Bright
Regna Darnell
Victor Golla
Eric P. Hamp
Richard Handler
Judith Irvine

# The Collected Works of Edward Sapir

VIII

Takelma Texts and Grammar

Volume Editor
Victor Golla

1990 Mouton de Gruyter Berlin · New York Mouton de Gruyter (formerly Mouton, The Hague) is a Division of Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin.



[5] Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Sapir, Edward, 1884-1939.

Takelma texts and grammar | volume editor, Victor Golla.

p. cm. – (The Collected works of Edward Sapir: 8) Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-89925-656-2 (acid-free paper)

1. Takelma language — Texts. 2. Takelma Indians. I. Golla, Victor. II. Title. III. Series; Sapir, Edward,

1884-1939. Works, 1989: 8.

PM2401.S34 1989

497'.41 - de20

89-13232

CIP

Deutsche Bibliothek Cataloging in Publication Data

### Sapir, Edward:

[The collected works]

The collected works of Edward Sapir | ed. board: William Bright ... Philip Sapir ed.-in-chief. — Berlin; New York:

Mouton de Gruyter.

HSBN 3-11-010104-1

NE: Sapir, Philip [Hrsg.]; Sapir, Edward: [Sammlung]

8. Takelma texts and grammar vol. ed. Victor Golla. – 1990 ISBN 3-11-012329-0

NE: Golla, Victor [Hrsg.]

Copyright 1990 by Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin 30.

All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printing: Gerike Gmb11, Berlin. Binding: Lüderitz & Bauer, Berlin. Printed in Germany.





Edward Sapir, about 1913

(Courtesy of Sapir family)

Edward Sapir (1884-1939) has been referred to as "one of the most brilliant scholars in linguistics and anthropology in our country" (Franz Boas) and as "one of the greatest figures in American humanistic scholarship" (Franklin Edgerton). His classic book, *Language* (1921), is still in use, and many of his papers in general linguistics, such as "Sound Patterns in Language" and "The Psychological Reality of Phonemes," stand also as classics. The development of the American descriptive school of structural linguistics, including the adoption of phonemic principles in the study of non-literary languages, was primarily due to him.

The large body of work he carried out on Native American languages has been called "ground-breaking" and "monumental" and includes descriptive, historical, and comparative studies. They are of continuing importance and relevance to today's scholars.

Not to be ignored are his studies in Indo-European, Semitic, and African languages, which have been characterized as "masterpieces of brilliant association" (Zellig Harris). Further, he is recognized as a forefather of ethnolinguistic and sociolinguistic studies.

In anthropology Sapir contributed the classic statement on the theory and methodology of the American school of Franz Boas in his monograph, "Time Perspective in Aboriginal American Culture" (1916). His major contribution, however, was as a pioneer and proponent for studies on the interrelation of culture and personality, of society and the individual, providing the theoretical basis for what is known today as humanistic anthropology.

He was, in addition, a poet, and contributed papers on aesthetics, literature, music, and social criticism.

### Note to the Reader

Throughout *The Collected Works of Edward Sapir*, those publications whose typographic complexity would have made new typesetting and proofreading difficult have been photographically reproduced. All other material has been newly typeset. When possible, the editors have worked from Sapir's personal copies of his published work, incorporating his corrections and additions into the reset text. Such emendations are acknowledged in the endnotes. Where the editors themselves have corrected an obvious typographical error, this is noted by brackets around the corrected form.

The page numbers of the original publication are retained in the photographically reproduced material; in reset material, the original publication's pagination appears as bracketed numbers within the text at the point where the original page break occurred. To avoid confusion and to conform to the existing literature, the page numbers cited in introductions and editorial notes are those


of the original publications.

Footnotes which appeared in the original publications appear here as footnotes. Editorial notes appear as endnotes. Endnote numbers are placed in the margins of photographically reproduced material; in reset material they are inserted in the text as superscript numbers in brackets. The first, unnumbered endnote for each work contains the citation of the original publication and, where appropriate, an acknowledgment of permission to reprint the work here.

All citations of Sapir's works in the editorial matter throughout these volumes conform to the master bibliography that appears in Volume XVI; since not all works will be cited in any given volume, the letters following the dates are discontinuous within a single volume's references. In volumes where unpublished materials by Sapir have been cited, a list of the items cited and the archives holding them is appended to the References.

### Contents

Fromuspiece: Edward Sapir, about 1913 o
Preface
Introduction
The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon (1912) 17
<i>Takelma Texts</i> (1909)
Photograph of Frances Johnson
Dell H. Hymes, The Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text
References
Index



### Preface

Volumes I through VI of *The Collected Works of Edward Sapir* are devoted mainly to shorter papers, for the most part reprinted from journals and collections; by contrast, Volumes VII through XV are devoted to longer works of monographic nature—grammars, dictionaries, text collections, and extended ethnographic accounts. Many of these were published by Sapir during his lifetime; others were edited by his students and published after his death; still others have only now been edited and are published here for the first time. The organization of each volume in this latter group brings together, in most instances, works on a single language and culture; in a few volumes, however, the unifying element is one of linguistic family or culture area.

This volume contains the two major publications on Takelma that resulted from Sapir's second field trip, in 1906: *Takelma Texts* of 1909, and *The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon*, which first appeared in 1912. In addition, a reinterpretation by Dell Hymes of the first narrative in *Takelma Texts* is printed here for the first time.

The typographical errors noted by Sapir in his personal copies of both works have been corrected in this edition, as have several other misprints that escaped Sapir's notice. The editor is especially grateful to Professor Osahito Miyaoka, Hokkaido University, for giving him access to Sapir's copy of *Takelma Texts*, which is now in the Library of the Tokyo University of Foreign Studies. Sapir's copy of *The Takelma Language* has been provided by the Sapir family, who plan to add it to the collection of Sapiriana in the Library of the American Philosophical Society, upon completion of the publication of *The Collected Works*.

Preparation of this and the other monographic volumes of *The Collected Works of Edward Sapir* has been aided by grants from the American Philosophical Society (Phillips Fund), the National Science Foundation (grant no. BNS-86-09411), and the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research.

The editor wishes to thank, for their special help on this volume, Beth Carroll-Horrocks, Dell Hymes, Jane McGary, and Katherine Turner.

### Introduction

Sapir's field work on Takelma during the summer of 1906 was a linguistic and ethnographic salvage project carried out under Franz Boas's direction and with support from the Bureau of American Ethnology. It also provided the 22-year-old Sapir with the material for his doctoral dissertation at Columbia University. Based on a mere month and a half of work with a single consultant, Sapir's record of the language and culture of this now extinct southern Oregon group is extraordinarily comprehensive. Fortunately, he was able to see nearly all of his material into print within a few years of its collection. Included in this volume are Sapir's two monograph-length volumes on the Takelma language, *Takelma Texts* (1909c) and *The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon* (1912h). Two substantial reports on Takelma culture (1907b, 1907c) and a brief handbook article (1910e) can be found in Volume IV, *Ethnology*.

Before Sapir's work, the Takelmas were among the most poorly documented Indian groups of the American west. Together with the other Indians of the Rogue and Umpqua river drainages, the Takelmas were treated very cruelly by the whites who flocked to that area during the gold rush of the early 1850s. A series of massacres in the middle of that decade—the so-called "Rogue River War" — virtually extinguished the native cultures of southwestern Oregon (Beckham 1971). The traumatized survivors were resettled on two small reservations in northwestern Oregon, at Siletz and Grand Ronde. Here some local groups, most notably the village tribelets of the Rogue River Athabaskans, were able to re-establish a modicum of cultural and linguistic identity, but the remaining Takelmas were too few and too demoralized to reassert themselves in their new locale. J. Owen Dorsey, who made an ethnographic survey of the Siletz reservation in 1884, noted only a few individuals who identified themselves as Takelma (1890: 234-235). Some knowledge of the language remained, however, and Dorsey was able to fill out a good part of a Powell questionnaire1 for Takelma. On the basis of this and a few other scraps of linguistic data, Powell (1891) classified Takelma as a separate stock, "Takilman," unrelated to any other language group in North America. The imminent demise of so unique a language became a matter of some concern to scholars. Franz Boas, who made the field documentation of American Indian languages and cultures the focus of the graduate program he initiated at Columbia University in 1897, sent one of his earliest students, H. H. St. Clair II, to work on Takelma in 1903-04. St. Clair, however, proved to be a disappointment, and in 1906 Boas turned the

<sup>1.</sup> This was a lengthy list of "words, phrases and sentences to be collected" from Indian informants, published by Major John W. Powell in 1877, widely used by the field workers of the Bureau of American Ethnology (Powell 1877; second edition 1880). Dorsey's Takelma vocabulary is preserved in the National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, catalogued as manuscript no. 4800 (397).

project over to Edward Sapir, a young man who had shown himself, in his work with Wishram Chinook the previous summer, to be a field worker of rare ability.

Sapir's Takelma informant during his stay at Siletz in July and August 1906, was Mrs. Frances Johnson, who had apparently also been St. Clair's informant. Born around 1845 in a village on Jump-off-Joe Creek, a small tributary of the Rogue River, she was a speaker of Lower Takelma, the dialect spoken on the Rogue River below Grants Pass and on Cow Creek to the north. At the time of Sapir's visit, perhaps a dozen other speakers of Takelma survived, including at least two who spoke Upper Takelma, the other attested dialect. Sapir is not known to have worked with any of them. His documentation of Takelma, as in much of his other work, is essentially a penetrating exploration of the linguistic competence of a single individual.

Mrs. Johnson died early in 1934, and none of the other speakers of Takelma appears to have outlived her by more than a few years. After Sapir's visit, the only significant work done on the Takelma language was that carried out by John P. Harrington, who visited Siletz in the fall of 1933. Harrington interviewed three speakers: Mrs. Johnson; Aneti Scott, apparently the widow of Mrs. Johnson's first cousin; and Molly Orton, a speaker of the Upper Takelma dialect (Gray 1987: 13-14). While his primary objective was the collection of place name data from the Rogue River area, he took advantage of the occasion to make a number of sound recordings of Takelma speech. These included recitations by Mrs. Johnson of several of the texts she had dictated to Sapir in 1906. Harrington's notes (and possibly his aluminum-disk sound recordings, although these have not been definitely identified) are in the National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution (Mills 1981: 78-80). Also in the National Anthropological Archives are some incidental linguistic and ethnographic notes collected by the anthropologist Philip Drucker in 1934 from Willie Simmons, identified as an Upper Takelma Indian. An anonymous notebook with further Upper Takelma data from Molly Orton is in the Archives of the Department and Museum of Anthropology, Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley; these notes were probably also collected by Drucker in 1934.

Sapir's Takelma work, particularly his grammar, occupies an important place both in his own career and in 20th-century American linguistics. Second only to his monumental grammar, texts, and dictionary of Southern Paiute (Volume X), Sapir's publications on Takelma constitute his fullest descriptive treatment of a language. The grammar, completed in 1907 and formally submitted to Columbia as his doctoral dissertation in 1909, was published in 1912 as the first installment of the second volume of Boas's Handbook of American Indian Languages. (The complete second volume of the Handbook was issued in 1922, and this date is the one usually cited.) Sapir prepared his collection of texts for publication while he was teaching at the University of Pennsylvania (1908-10), and they appeared in 1909 in the University Museum's Anthropological Publications.


Introduction 15

The grammar, which is thoroughly cross-referenced to the texts, stands out among the contributions to the *Handbook* for its lucidity and precision of statement. While Sapir to some extent followed the model Boas urged on all contributors, especially in his use of processual terminology (see Stocking 1974), what characterizes the work and gives it enduring significance is the uncompromising rigor with which Takelma grammar is depicted as a formally complete system. From the perspective of structural linguistics, Sapir's Takelma is no less than the first modern description of an American Indian language.

The texts, while of lesser theoretical importance than the grammar, also continue to attract the interest of linguists and anthropologists. Daythal Kendall, working under Zellig Harris in the 1970s, used them as a corpus for studying Takelma sentence structure and discourse cohesion (Kendall 1977). More recently, Dell Hymes, as part of his many-faceted study of the structural properties of the oral literatures of the Northwest, has commented on the distinctive phonetic indexing of animal characters in Takelma myths (Hymes 1979, 1981: 65-76) and has investigated the ethnopoetic discourse patterning of Mrs. Johnson's narratives. His analysis of one of the texts is included in this volume.

The possible relationship of Takelma to other American Indian languages was of some interest to Sapir. As early as 1915 he saw reasons for linking Takelma with the Penutian family of California proposed by Dixon and Kroeber (1913), as well as with the Coos, Siuslaw, Alsea, and Kalapuya languages of western Oregon (Golla 1984: 182-186). He later made a much expanded Penutian family one of his six North American "superstocks," adding Klamath-Modoc, Sahaptian, Cayuse-Molale, Chinookan, Tsimshian, and Huave and the Mixe-Zoque family of Mexico (Sapir 1921b, 1929a). Sapir's "Penutian hypothesis" continues to receive serious attention, and while it is far from being universally accepted, it is one of the more plausible of the wide-ranging genetic groupings that have been proposed for American Indian languages (see Silverstein 1979). In Sapir's view, the relationship, while bolstered by a number of lexical sets (see Sapir and Swadesh 1953), was most convincingly supported by deeply rooted typological similarities among the languages. Among the features of Takelma structure that seemed to Sapir especially Penutian-like were the occurrence of numerous stems of CVCV(C) shape with repeated vowel; reduplication as an important morphological process, characteristically involving repetition of the end rather than the beginning of a root; and vowel ablaut.

Takelma belongs to no clearly defined subgroup within Penutian, although it is usually aligned with Coos, Siuslaw, Alsea, and Kalapuya as Oregon (Coast) Penutian. Sapir early on identified a large number of potential cognates between Takelma and Coos (Sapir and Swadesh 1953), but this may indicate little more than the ready availability of Frachtenberg's Coos data to Sapir. A Takelma-Kalapuya subgroup was proposed by Swadesh (1965) and explored by Shipley (1969), but the paucity of easily accessible data on Kalapuya has made this claim difficult to test.



## The Takelma Language



### CONTENTS

	<del></del>
§ 1 Introduction	
	уу
	tory
88 3-11. Vow	els
	eral remarks
	em of vowels
	s and pitch-accent
88 6_11 '	Vocalic processes
86 T	Vowel hiatus
g 7. 1	Dissimilation of $u$
9 O	V sounds preseded by a remain
g 9	K-sounds preceded by u-vowels
§ 10.	Inorganic a
	Simplification of double diphthongs
99 12-24. Con	sonants
9 12. Syst	tem of consonants
§ 13. Fin:	al consonants
	Consonant combinations
§ 14.	General remarks
§ 15.	Initial combinations.
	Final combinations.
	Medial combinations
	Consonant processes
§ 18.	Dropping of final consonants
§ 19.	Simplification of doubled consonants
§ 20.	Consonants before x
§ 21.	Dissimilation of $n$ to $l$ and $m$
	Catch dissimilation
§ 23.	Influence of place and kind of accent on manner of articula-
	tion
§ 24.	Inorganic h
§ 25-115. Morpho	ology
	etory
§§ 26–32. Grai	mmatical processes
	eral remarks
§ 27. Pref	ixation
§ 28. Suff	ixation
§ 29. Infi:	xation
	uplication
§ 31. Vow	vel-ablaut
	sonant-ablaut
	he verb
§ 8 34–38	1. Verbal prefixes
8 34	General remarks
§ 31. § 35	Incorporated pours
§ 30. 8 37	Local prefixes
§ 33. Intr §§ 34–38. § 34. § 35. § 36. § 37.	oductory.  1. Verbal prefixes.  General remarks.  Incorporated nouns.  Body-part prefixes.  Local prefixes.  Instrumental wa-

### CONTENTS

§§ 25-115. Morphology—Continued.
§§ 33-83. I. The verb—Continued.
§§ 39, 40. 2. Formation of verb-stems
§ 39. General remarks 92
§ 40. Types of stem-formation
§§ 41–58. 3. Verbal suffixes of derivation
§ 41. General remarks
§ 42. Petrified suffixes
§ 43. Frequentatives and usitatives
§§ 44–51. Transitive suffixes
§ 44. General remarks
§ 45. Causative -(a) n
§ 46. Comitative -(a) gw
§ 47. Indirective -d- (-s-)
§ 48. Indirective (a') ld
§ 49. Indirective -(a') md
§ 50. Indirective -(a)n (an)- "for"
§ 51. Indirect reflexive - gwa
§§ 52–57. Intransitive suffixes
§ 52. General remarks
§ 53. Active intransitive -xa
§ 54. Reflexive -gwi
$\begin{cases} 55 & \text{Posiprosel}^{-x} \end{cases} \text{ as} $
§ 55. Reciprocal $\frac{-x}{-s}$ -an 152
§ 56. Non-agentive -x
§ 57. Positional -7 i 155
§ 58. Impersonal -iau
§§ 59-67. 4. Temporal-modal and pronominal elements
§ 59. Introductory
§ 60. Intransitives, class I
§ 61. Intransitives, class II
§§ 62–66. Transitives, class III
§ 62. General remarks
§ 63. Transitive subject pronouns
§ 64. Connecting -x- and -i
§ 65. Forms without connecting vowel
§ 66. Passives
§ 67. Verbs of mixed class, class IV
§§ 68–72. 5. Auxiliary and subordinating forms
§ 68. Periphrastic futures
§ 69. Periphrastic phrases in $na(g)$ - "do, act"
§ 70. Subordinating forms
§ 71. Conditionals
§ 72. Uses of potential and inferential 199
§§ 73–83. 6. Nominal and adjectival derivatives. 201
§ 73. Introductory
O Company of the Comp
§ 75–78. Participles. 204 § 75. General remarks. 204
§ 76. Active participle in -t'
§ 77. Passive participle in $-(a)k^{-n}$ , $-ik^{-n}$ 200. § 78. Passive participle in $-xap^n$ (- $xap^n$ ) 207.
§ 78. Passive participle in -tap (-sap) 208 §§ 79–82. Nouns of agency
§ 79. Introductory
§ 80. Nouns of agency in $-(a')$ § 8. 208
y oo. Hours of agency in -(a)-5

CONTENTS	
COLLINIA	•

§ 25–115. Morphology—Continued.	
§§ 33-83. I. The verb—Continued.	Page
§§ 73-83. 6. Nominal and adjectival derivatives—Continued.	
§§ 79-82. Nouns of agency—Continued.	
§ 81. Nouns of agency in $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , $-s\bar{a}^a$	209
§ 82. Nouns of agency in -xi.	210
§ 83. Forms in -i'ya	210
§§ 84–102. II. The noun	210
§ 84. Introductory	210
§§ 85, 86. 1. Nominal stems	214
§ 85. General remarks	
	214
§ 86. Types of stem formation	215
§§ 87, 88. 2. Noun derivation	221
§ 87. Derivative suffixes	221
§ 88. Compounds	225
§ 89. 3. Noun-characteristics and pre-pronominal -x	227
§§ 90–93. 4. Possessive suffixes	231
§ 90. General remarks	231
§ 91. Terms of relationship	232
§ 92. Schemes II and III.	235
§ 93. Possessives with pre-positives	237
§§ 94–96. 5. Local phrases	24]
§ 94. General remarks	24]
§ 95. Pre-positives	242
§ 96. Postpositions	243
§§ 97–102. 6. Post-nominal elements	246
§ 97. General remarks	246
§ 98. Exclusive -t'a	246
§ 99. Plural -t'an (-han, -k/an)	247
§ 100. Dual -dīl	249
§ 101. $-wi'^{\varepsilon}$ every	249
§ 102. Deictic -\$\varepsilon a^{\chi} \cdots	250
§§ 103–105. III. The pronoun	251
§ 103. Independent personal pronouns	251
§ 104. Demonstrative pronouns and adverbs	251
§ 105. Interrogative and indefinite pronouns.	254
§§ 106–109. IV. The adjective	255
§ 106. General remarks	255
	256
§ 107. Adjectival prefixes	
§ 108. Adjectival derivative suffixes	258
§ 109. Plural formations	262
§§ 110, 111. V. Numerals	264
§ 110. Cardinals	264
§ 111. Numeral adverbs	266
§§ 112–114. VI. Adverbs and particles	267
§ 112. Adverbial suffixes	267
§ 113. Simple adverbs	270
§ 114. Particles	272
§ 115. VII. Interjections	278
116. Conclusion.	281
Appendix A: 1. Comparative table of pronominal forms	284
2. Scheme of seven voices in six tense-modes	285
3. Forms of $na(g)$ -"say, do"	286
nondix R: Specimon taxte with analysis	901

# THE TAKELMA LANGUAGE OF SOUTHWESTERN OREGON

### BY EDWARD SAPIR

### § 1. INTRODUCTION

The language treated in the following pages was spoken in the southwestern part of what is now the state of Oregon, along the middle portion of Rogue river and certain of its tributaries. It, together with an upland dialect of which but a few words were obtained, forms the Takilman stock of Powell. The form "Takelma" of the word is practically identical with the native name of the tribe,  $D\bar{a}^a gelma'^{\varepsilon}n$  those dwelling along the river (see below, § 87, 4); there seems to be no good reason for departing from it in favor of Powell's variant form.

The linguistic material on which this account of the Takelma language is based consists of a series of myth and other texts, published by the University of Pennsylvania (Sapir, Takelma Texts, Anthropological Publications of the University Museum, vol. 11, no. 1, Philadelphia, 1909), together with a mass of grammatical material (forms and sentences) obtained in connection with the texts. A series of eleven short medicine formulas or charms have been published with interlinear and free translation in the Journal of American Folk-Lore (xx, 35-40). A vocabulary of Takelma verb, noun, and adjective stems, together with a certain number of derivatives, will be found at the end of the "Takelma Texts." Some manuscript notes on Takelma, collected in the summer of 1904 by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, for the Bureau of American Ethnology, have been kindly put at my disposal by the Bureau; though these consist mainly of lexical material, they have been found useful on one or two points. References like 125.3 refer to page and line of my Takelma Texts. Those in parentheses refer to forms analogous to the ones discussed.

24

The author's material was gathered at the Siletz reservation of Oregon during a stay of a month and a half in the summer of 1906, also under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology. My informant was Mrs. Frances Johnson, an elderly full-blood Takelma woman. Her native place was the village of Dak'ts!asiñ or Daldani'k', on Jump-off-Joe creek (Dīp!ōlts!i'lda), a northern affluent of Rogue river, her mother having come from a village on the upper course of Cow creek (Hagwāl). Despite her imperfect command of the English language, she was found an exceptionally intelligent and good-humored informant, without which qualities the following study would have been far more imperfect than it necessarily must be under even the very best of circumstances.

In conclusion I must thank Prof. Franz Boas for his valuable advice in regard to several points of method and for his active interest in the progress of the work. It is due largely to him that I was encouraged to depart from the ordinary rut of grammatical description and to arrange and interpret the facts in a manner that seemed most in accordance with the spirit of the Takelma language itself.<sup>1</sup>

### PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-24)

### § 2. Introductory

In its general phonetic character, at least as regards relative harshness or smoothness of acoustic effect, Takelma will probably be found to occupy a position about midway between the characteristically rough languages of the Columbia valley and the North Californian and Oregon coast (Chinookan, Salish, Alsea, Coos, Athapascan, Yurok) on the one hand, and the relatively euphonious languages of the Sacramento valley (Maidu, Yana, Wintun) on the other, inclining rather to the latter than to the former.

From the former group it differs chiefly in the absence of voiceless *l*-sounds  $(L, l,^2 L!)$  and of velar stops (q, g, q!); from the latter,

<sup>1</sup> What little has been learned of the ethnology of the Takelma Indians will be found incorporated in two articles written by the author and entitled Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in American Anthropologist, n. s., 1x, 251-275; and Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in Journal of American Folk-Lore, xx, 33-49.

In the myths, l is freely prefixed to any word spoken by the bear. Its uneuphonlous character is evidently intended to match the coarseness of the bear, and for this quasi-rhetorical purpose it was doubtless derisively borrowed from the neighboring Athapascan languages, in which it occurs with great frequency. The prefixed sibliant  $s^*$  serves in a similar way as a sort of sneezing adjunct to indicate the speech of the coyote. Gwi'di where? says the ordinary mortal; lgwi'di, the bear;  $s^*rgwi'di$ , the coyote.

in the occurrence of relatively more complex consonantic clusters, though these are of strictly limited possibilities, and hardly to be considered as difficult in themselves.

Like the languages of the latter group, Takelma possesses clearcut vowels, and abounds, besides, in long vowels and diphthongs; these, together with a system of syllabic pitch-accent, give the Takelma language a decidedly musical character, marred only to some extent by the profusion of disturbing catches. The line of cleavage between Takelma and the neighboring dialects of the Athapascan stock (Upper Umpqua, Applegate Creek, Galice Creek, Chasta Costa) is thus not only morphologically but also phonetically distinct, despite resemblances in the manner of articulation of some of the vowels and consonants. Chasta Costa, formerly spoken on the lower course of Rogue river, possesses all the voiceless l-sounds above referred to; a peculiar illusive q!, the fortis character of which is hardly as prominent as in Chinook; a voiced guttural spirant  $\gamma$ , as in North German Tage; the sonants or weak surds di and z (rarely); a voiceless interdental spirant c and its corresponding fortis tel; and a very frequently occurring & vowel, as in English HUT. All of these are absent from Takelma, which, in turn, has a complete labial series (b, p', p!, m), whereas Chasta Costa has only the nasal m (labial stops occur apparently only in borrowed words,  $b\bar{c}ci'$  cat < pussy). The fortis k!, common in Takelma, seems in the Chasta Costa to be replaced by q!; the Takelma vowel ü, found also in California, is absent from Chasta Costa; r is foreign to either, though found in Galice Creek and Shasta. Perhaps the greatest point of phonetic difference, however, between the Takelma and Chasta Costa languages lies in the peculiar long (doubled) consonants of the latter, while Takelma regularly simplifies consonant geminations that would theoretically appear in the building of words. Not enough of the Shasta has been published to enable one to form an estimate of the degree of phonetic similarity that obtains between it and Takelma, but the differences can hardly be as pronounced as those that have just been found to exist in the case of the latter and Chasta Costa.

This preliminary survey seemed necessary in order to show, as far as the scanty means at present at our disposal would allow, the phonetic affiliations of Takelma. Attention will now be directed to the sounds themselves.

### Vowels (§§ 3-11)

### § 3. General Remarks

The simple vowels appear, quantitatively considered, in two forms, short and long, or, to adopt a not inappropriate term, pseudodiphthongal. By this is meant that a long vowel normally consists of the corresponding short vowel, though generally of greater quantity, plus a slight parasitic rearticulation of the same vowel (indicated by a small superior letter), the whole giving the effect of a diphthong without material change of vowel-quality in the course of production. The term PSEUDO-DIPHTHONG is the more justified in that the long vowel has the same absolute quantity, and experiences the same accentual and syllabic treatment, as the true diphthong, consisting of short vowel +i, u, l, m, or n. If the short vowel be given a unitary quantitative value of 1, the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) and ordinary diphthong will have an approximate value of 2; while the long diphthong, consisting of long vowel +i, u, l, m, or n, will be assigned a value of 3. The liquid (l) and the nasals (m and n) are best considered as forming, parallel to the semi-vowels y (i) and w (u), diphthongs with preceding vowels, inasmuch as the combinations thus entered on are treated, similarly to i- and u- diphthongs, as phonetic units for the purposes of pitchaccent and grammatic processes. As a preliminary example serving to justify this treatment, it may be noted that the verb-stem bilw-, bilu- JUMP becomes bilau- with inorganic a under exactly the same phonetic conditions as those which make of the stem k!emn- MAKE We thus have, for instance:

bilwa's jumper; bila'uk' he jumped k!emna's maker; k!ema'nk' he made it

From this and numberless other examples it follows that au and an, similarly ai, al, and am, belong, from a strictly Takelma point of view, to the same series of phonetic elements; similarly for e, i, o, and  $\ddot{u}$  diphthongs.

### § 4. System of Vowels

The three quantitative stages outlined above are presented for the various vowels and diphthong-forming elements in the following table:

I. Short.	II. Long.		Short diphthong.			III. Long diphthong.					
a e i o, (u)	$\tilde{a}a, (\tilde{a})$ $e \in (\hat{e})$ $\tilde{i}i, (\tilde{i})$ $\tilde{o}u, (\tilde{o})$	ai, ei, oi,	$au$ , $\epsilon u$ , $iu$ , $ou$ , $(\bar{o}u)$	al, el, il, ol, (ul)	,	en in on	āi, èi, ōi,	$ar{a}u,$ $\dot{e}u,$ $ar{i}u,$ $ar{o}^u(w),$	eel, $iil,$	āam, eem īim, ōum,	een īin
$\bar{u}$	$\bar{u}^u$ , $(\bar{u})$	ui,	$\bar{u}w$ , $(\bar{u}u)$	ũl,	(um) $\bar{u}m$ ,		ūi,	$\bar{u}^u(w)$ ,	ūul,	ūum,	ūun.
ü	üü, ( <sup>ü</sup> )	üi,	$\ddot{u}w$ , $(\ddot{u}^{il})$	ül,	üm,	ün	ūi,	$\ddot{u}\ddot{u}(w)$ ,	üül,	üüm,	üün

It is to be understood, of course, that, under proper syllabic conditions, i and u may respectively appear in semivocalic form as y and w; thus  $\bar{o}^u$  and  $\bar{u}^u$  appear as  $\bar{o}w$  and  $\bar{u}w$  when followed by vowels; e. g., in  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}^u$ - throw away,  $\bar{u}w$  and  $\bar{u}^u$  are equivalent elements forming a reduplicated complex entirely analogous to -elel- in helelsing. Similarly ai, au,  $\bar{a}i$ , and  $\bar{a}u$  may appear as ay, aw,  $\bar{a}^ay$ , and  $\bar{a}^aw$ ; and correspondingly for the other vowels. Indeed, one of the best criteria for the determination of the length of the first element of a diphthong is to obtain it in such form as would cause the second element (i or u) to become semi-vocalic, for then the first vowel will adopt the form of a short vowel or pseudo-diphthong, as the case may be. The following phonetic (not morphologic) proportions will make this clearer:

 $biliut'e^{\varepsilon}$  I jump: biliwa't' you jump =  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ īu he went away from him:  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ ī'w $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I went away from him

g a i khe ate it:  $g a y a w a'^{\epsilon} n$  I ate it =  $g \bar{a} i k$ he grew:  $g \bar{a}^a y a'^{\epsilon} t$ he will grow

gayau he ate it : gayaw $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ate it =  $hant'g\bar{a}u$  over land :  $Latg'\bar{a}^awa'^{\varepsilon}$  one from Lat'g $\bar{a}u$  [uplands]

Sometimes, though not commonly, a diphthong may appear in the same word either with a semivowel or vowel as its second element, according to whether it is or is not followed by a connecting inorganic a. A good example of such a doublet is  $haye^ewa'xd\bar{a}^ada$  or  $hayeuxd\bar{a}^ada$  in his returning (verb stem yeu-,  $ye^ew$ - return). It is acoustically difficult to distinguish sharply between the long vowel or pseudo-diphthong  $\bar{o}^u$  and the u-diphthongs of o (both ou and  $\bar{o}u$  are often heard as  $\bar{o}^u$ ), yet there is no doubt that there is an organic difference between  $\bar{o}^u$ , as long vowel to o, and  $\bar{o}^u = ou$ ,  $\bar{o}u$ . Thus, in  $loh\bar{o}^una'^en$  I cause him to die, and lohona'n I shall cause him to die,  $\bar{o}^u$  and o are related as long and short vowel in parallel

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

fashion to the  $\bar{a}^a$  and a of  $y\bar{a}^a na't'$  you went, and  $yanada'^{\varepsilon}$  you will go. On the other hand, the  $\bar{o}^u$  of  $p'\bar{o}^up'au$ - (acrist stem) blow is organically a diphthong  $(\bar{o}u)$ , the  $\bar{o}^u$  of the first syllable being related to the au of the second as the iu of k'iuk'au- (verb stem) brandsh is to its au. Similarly, the  $-\bar{o}^u$ - of  $s'\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}k'\hat{o}p'$ - (verb stem) jump is organic shortened au, related to the -au- of the acrist stem  $s'\bar{o}'w^{\varepsilon}k'\hat{o}p'$ - as the  $-e^i$ - of  $he'^{i\varepsilon}x$ - (verb stem) be left over is to the -eye- of  $heye^{\varepsilon}x$ - (acrist stem). A similar acoustic difficulty is experienced in distinguishing  $\ddot{u}^u$ ,  $(\bar{u}^u)$  as long vowel from the u- diphthongs of  $\ddot{u}$ ,  $(\bar{u})$ .

Examples of unrelated stems and words differing only in the length of the vowel or diphthong are not rare, and serve as internal evidence of the correctness, from a native point of view, of the vowel classification made:

gai- eat, but gāi- grow verb-prefix  $d\bar{a}^a$ - ear, but da- mouth  $w\bar{a}^axa$  his younger brother, but wa'xa at them

It may happen that two distinct forms of the same word differ only in vocalie quantity;  $y\bar{a}^ada'^{\epsilon}t'$  HE WILL SWIM,  $yada'^{\epsilon}t'$  HE SWIMS.

It is, naturally enough, not to be supposed that the long vowels and diphthongs always appear in exactly the same quantity. Speed of utterance and, to some extent, withdrawal of the stress-accent, tend to reduce the absolute quantities of the vowels, so that a normally long vowel can become short, or at least lose its parasitic attachment. In the case of the i- and u- diphthongs, such a quantitative reduction means that the two vowels forming the diphthong more completely lose their separate individuality and melt into one. Quantitative reduction is apt to occur particularly before a glottal catch; in the diphthongs the catch follows so rapidly upon the second element (i or u) that one can easily be in doubt as to whether a full i- or u- vowel is pronounced, or whether this second vowel appears rather as a palatal or labial articulation of the catch itself. The practice has been adopted of writing such diphthongs with a superior i or u before the catch:  $a^{i\varepsilon}$ ,  $a^{u\varepsilon}$ ,  $e^{u\varepsilon}$ , and similarly for the rest. When, however, in the course of word-formation, this catch drops off, the ior u that has been swallowed up, as it were, in the catch reasserts itself, and we get such pairs of forms as:

naga'i<sup>¢</sup> he said; but naga'ida<sup>¢</sup> when he said sgele'<sup>¢</sup> he shouted; but sgele'uda<sup>¢</sup> when he shouted

BOAS]

On the other hand, vowels naturally short sometimes become long when dwelt upon for rhetorical emphasis. Thus ga that sometimes appears as  $g\bar{a}^a$ :

 $g\bar{a}^a$  loho't'e<sup>e</sup> in that case I shall die  $g\bar{a}'^a$   $ga^ea'l$  for that reason

As regards the pronunciation of the vowels themselves, little need be said. The a is of the same quality as the short a of German Mann, while the long  $\bar{a}^a$  (barring the parasitic element) corresponds to the a of Hahn.

A labial coloring of the a (i. e.,  $\hat{o}$  as in German voll) frequently occurs before and after  $k^{*w}$ :

 $g\bar{u}h\hat{o}k'^{w}$  planted, sown  $\bar{\imath}k'w\bar{a}'^{a}k'w\hat{o}k'$  he woke him up

But there were also heard:

 $s\bar{\epsilon}k'ak'w$  shot  $mal\bar{a}k'wa$  he told him

The e is an open sound, as in the English Let; it is so open, indeed, as to verge, particularly after y, toward a.\(^1\) Also the long vowel  $e^e$  is very open in quality, being pronounced approximately like the ei of English their (but of course without the r- vanish) or the  $\hat{e}$  of French free;  $e^e$ , though unprovided with the mark of length, will be always understood as denoting the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) corresponding to the short e; while  $\hat{e}$  will be employed, wherever necessary, for the long vowel without the parasitic  $-^e$ . The close  $\bar{e}$ , as in German Reh, does not seem to occur in Takelma, although it was sometimes heard for i; in the words  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}^*$  he became,  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}^*$  am you became, and other related forms,  $\bar{e}$  was generally heard, and may be justified, though there can be small doubt that it is morphologically identical with the  $\bar{i}^i$  of certain other verbs.

The i is of about the same quality as in English hit, while the long  $\bar{\imath}^i$  is closer, corresponding to the ea of English beat. Several monosyllables, however, in -i, such as gwi where, di interrogative particle, should be pronounced with a close though short vowel (cf. French fini). This closer pronunciation of the short vowel may be explained by supposing that gwi, di, and other such words are rapid pronunciations of  $gw\bar{\imath}^i$ ,  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ , and the others; and indeed the texts sometimes show such longer forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The word yewe' it HE RETURNED, e. g., was long heard as yawe' it, but such forms as  $y \ge u$  RETURN! show this to have been an auditory error.

The o is a close vowel, as in German sohn, as far as the quality is concerned, but with the short quantity of the o of voll. This closeness of pronunciation of the o readily explains its very frequent interchange with u:

īts:!o'p'al sharp-clawed dets:!ugu't' sharp-pointed

and also the u- quality of the parasitic element in the long close vowel  $\bar{o}^u$ . The short open  $\hat{o}$ , as in German voll, never occurs as a primary vowel, but is practically always a labialized variant of a. Thus in Takelma, contrary to the parallelism one ordinarily expects to find in vocalic systems, e- vowels are open in quality, while o- vowels are close.

The vowel  $\bar{u}$  is close, as in the English word Rude, the long mark over the u being here used to indicate closeness of quality rather than length of quantity. The  $\ddot{u}$  is not identical with the German  $\ddot{u}$ , but is somewhat more obscure in quality and wavers (to an unIndian ear) between the German short  $\ddot{u}$  of Mütze and u of Muss; sometimes it was even heard with the approximate quality of the short  $\ddot{o}$  of Götz. The long  $\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}$  is, in the same way, not exactly equivalent to the long  $\ddot{u}$  of the German süss, but tends in the direction of  $\bar{u}^{u}$ , with which it frequently varies in the texts. It is somewhat doubtful how far the two vowels  $\bar{u}$  and  $\ddot{u}$  are to be considered separate and distinct; it is quite possible that they should be looked upon as auditory variants of one sound. Before or after y or w,  $\ddot{u}$  is apt to be heard as  $\bar{u}$ , ——  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}$  they ran away,  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}s$ . He laughed,  $\bar{t}g\bar{u}y\bar{u}g\bar{t}'^{i}si$ , He keeps nudging me, — otherwise often as u.

The only short vowel not provided for in the table is  $\hat{u}$  (as in English sun), which, however, has no separate individuality of its own, but is simply a variant form of a, heard chiefly before m:

 $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}ile'me^{\epsilon}x\hat{u}m$  he killed us off (for -am)  $x\hat{u}m$  in water (for xam)

The absence of the obscure vowel E of indeterminate quality is noteworthy as showing indirectly the clear-cut vocalic character of Takelma speech. Only in a very few cases was the E heard, and in the majority of these it was not a reduced vowel, but an intrusive sound between E and E:

dak't'be'eek't'bagames he tied his hair up into top-knot (in place of -ams).

BOAS]

Even here it may really have been the strongly sonantic quality of the m in contrast to the voiceless s that produced the acoustic effect of an obscure vowel. The exact pronunciation of the diphthongs will be better understood when we consider the subject of pitch-accent.

### § 5. Stress and Pitch-Accent

Inasmuch as pitch and stress accent are phonetic phenomena that affect more particularly the vowels and diphthongs, it seems advisable to consider the subject here and to let the treatment of the consonants follow. As in many Indian languages, the stress-accent of any particular word in Takelma is not so inseparably associated with any particular syllable but that the same word, especially if consisting of more than two syllables, may appear with the main stress-accent now on one, now on the other syllable. In the uninterrupted flow of the sentence it becomes often difficult to decide which syllable of a word should be assigned the stress-accent. Often, if the word bears no particular logical or rhythmic emphasis, one does best to regard it as entirely without accent and as standing in a proclitic or enclitic relation to a following or preceding word of greater emphasis. This is naturally chiefly the case with adverbs (such as  $he^{\epsilon}ne$  then) and conjunctive particles (such as  $qan\bar{e}hi^{\epsilon}$  and then;  $aqas^{i}i^{\epsilon}$  and so, but THEN); though it not infrequently happens that the major part of a clause will thus be strung along without decided stress-accent until some emphatic noun or verb-form is reached. Thus the following passage occurs in one of the myths:

ganēhi<sup>e</sup> dewenxa lā<sup>a</sup>lē hono<sup>e</sup> p'ele'xa<sup>e</sup>, literally translated, And then to-morrow (next day) it became, again they went out to war

All that precedes the main verb-form p'ele'xa<sup>e</sup> THEY WENT OUT TO WAR is relatively unimportant, and hence is hurried over without anywhere receiving marked stress.

Nevertheless a fully accented word is normally stressed on some particular syllable; it may even happen that two forms differ merely in the place of accent:

naga'- $ida^{\epsilon}$  when he said, but naga- $ida'^{\epsilon}$  when you said

The important point to observe, however, is that when a particular syllable does receive the stress (and after all most words are normally

accented on some one syllable), it takes on one of two or three musical inflections:

- (1) A simple pitch distinctly higher than the normal pitch of unstressed speech (>).
- (2) A rising inflection that starts at, or a trifle above, the normal pitch, and gradually slides up to the same higher pitch referred to above  $(\simeq)$ .
- (3) A falling inflection that starts at, or generally somewhat higher than, the raised pitch of (1) and (2), and gradually slides down to fall either in the same or immediately following syllable, to a pitch somewhat lower than the normal (4).

The "raised" pitch (=) is employed only in the case of final short vowels or shortened diphthongs (i. e., diphthongs that, owing to speed of utterance, are pronounced so rapidly as to have a quantitative value hardly greater than that of short vowels; also secondary diphthongs involving an inorganic a); if a short vowel spoken on a raised pitch be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable (as will always happen, if it is not the final vowel of the word), there will evidently ensue a fall in pitch in the unaccented syllable, and the general acoustic effect of the two syllables will be equivalent to a "falling" inflection (4) within one syllable; i. e. (if — be employed to denote an unaccented syllable),  $(\dot{z}) + - = (\dot{z})$ . The following illustration will make this clearer: YOU SANG is regularly accented helela't', the a' being sung on an interval of a (minor, sometimes even major) third above the two unaccented e-vowels. The acoustic effect to an American ear is very much the same as that of a curt query requiring a positive or negative answer, DID HE GO? where the i of DID and e of HE correspond in pitch to the two e's of the Takelma word, while the o of go is equivalent to the Takelma a'. The Takelma word, of course, has no interrogative connotation. If, now, we wish to make a question out of helela't', we add the interrogative particle di, and obtain the form helela't'idi did he sing? (The i is a weak vowel inserted to keep the t' and d apart.) Here the a' has about the same pitch as in the preceding word, but the & sinks to about the level of the evowels, and the di is pronounced approximately a third below the normal level. The Takelma interrogative form thus bears an acoustic resemblance to a rapid English reply: so HE DID GO, the o of so and

BOAS]

e of HE corresponding in pitch to the unaccented e-vowels of the Takelma, the i of DID resembling in its rise above the normal pitch the a', and the o of GO sinking like the i of the interrogative particle. If the normal level of speech be set at A, the two forms just considered may be musically, naturally with very greatly exaggerated tonal effect, represented as follows:



The "rising" pitch (≈) is found only on long vowels and short or long diphthongs. The rising pitch is for a long vowel or diphthong what the raised pitch is for a short vowel or shortened diphthong; the essential difference between the two being that in the latter case the accented vowel is sung on a single tone reached without an intermediate slur from the lower level, whereas in the case of the rising pitch the affected vowel or diphthong changes in pitch in the course of pronunciation; the first part of the long vowel and the first vowel of the diphthong are sung on a tone intermediate between the normal level and the raised pitch, while the parasitic element of the long vowel and the second vowel (i or u) of the diphthong are hit by the raised tone itself. It is easy to understand that in rapid pronunciation the intermediate tone of the first part of the long vowel or diphthong would be hurried over and sometimes dropped altogether; this means that a long vowel or diphthong with rising pitch  $(\tilde{a}, a\tilde{\imath})$  becomes a short vowel or shortened diphthong with raised pitch (a', a'i).2 Diphthongs consisting of a short vowel +l, m, or n, and provided with a rising pitch, ought, in strict analogy, to appear as  $a\tilde{n}$ ,  $a\tilde{l}$ ,  $a\tilde{m}$ ; and so on for the other vowels. This is doubtless the correct representation, and such forms as:

nañk' he will say, dogwalt' winddasmayañ he smiledwulx enemy, Shasta

were actually heard, the liquid or nasal being distinctly higher in pitch than the preceding vowel. In the majority of cases, however,

<sup>1</sup> It is curious that the effect to our ears of the Takelma declarative helela't' is of an interrogative declarative while conversely the effect of an interrogative helela't'idi is that of a declarative YOU declarative YOU declarative YOU declarative YOU declarative and declarative YOU declarative declarative YOU declarative dec

 $<sup>^3</sup>A$  vowel marked with the accent  $\simeq$  is necessarily long, so that the mark of length and the parasitic vowel can be conveniently omitted.

these diphthongs were heard, if not always pronounced, as shortened diphthongs with raised pitch (a'n, a'l, a'm). The acoustic effect of a syllable with rising pitch followed by an unaccented syllable is necessarily different from that of a syllable with falling pitch (-2), or of a syllable with raised pitch followed by an unaccented syllable, because of the steady rise in pitch before the succeeding fall. The tendency at first is naturally to hear the combination -2 as -2, and to make no distinction in accent between yewe'ida<sup>©</sup> when he returned and yewe7'e<sup>©</sup> i returned; but variations in the recorded texts between the rising and falling pitch in one and the same form are in every case faults of perception, and not true variations at all. The words  $t!om\tilde{o}m$  he killed him and  $yawait'e^{\mathbb{C}}$  i spoke may be approximately represented in musical form as follows:



The falling pitch (-) affects both long and short vowels as well as diphthongs, its essential characteristic being, as already defined, a steady fall from a tone higher than the normal level. The peak of the falling inflection may coincide in absolute pitch with that of the rising inflection, though it is often somewhat higher, say an interval of a fourth above the ordinary level. The base (lowest tone) of the fall is not assignable to any definite relative pitch, the gamut run through by the voice depending largely upon the character of the syllable. If the accent hits a long vowel or diphthong not immediately followed by a catch, the base will, generally speaking, coincide with the normal level, or lie somewhat below it. If the long vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable, the base is apt to strike this unaccented syllable at an interval of about a third below the level. If the vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by a catch, the fall in pitch will be rapidly checked, and the whole extent of the fall limited to perhaps not more than a semitone. As soon, however, as the catch is removed (as often happens on the addition to the form of certain grammatical elements), the fall runs through its usual gamut. The words

k'wede'i his name yewe'ida<sup>e</sup> when he returned yewe'<sup>ie</sup> he returned

will serve to illustrate the character of the falling pitch.

BOAS] HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES—TAKELMA

 $Fwe- de'-i \qquad ye- we'-i-da^{\sharp} \qquad ye- we i$ 

The pronunciation of the diphthongs is now easily understood A shortened diphthong (a'i, a'i) sounds to an American car like an indivisible entity, very much like ai and au in high and how; a diphthong with falling pitch (a'i) is naturally apt to be heard as two distinct vowels, so that one is easily led to write naga'-ida instead of naga'ida when he said; a diphthong with rising pitch (ai) is heard either as a pure diphthong or as two distinct vowels, according to the speed of utterance or the accidents of perception. All these interpretations, however, are merely matters of perception by an American ear and have in themselves no objective value. It would be quite misleading, for instance, to treat Takelma diphthongs as "pure" and "impure," no regard being had to pitch, for such a classification is merely a secondary consequence of the accentual phenomena we have just considered.

One other point in regard to the diphthongs should be noted. It is important to distinguish between organic diphthongs, in which each element of the diphthong has a distinct radical or etymological value, and secondary diphthongs, arising from an i, u, l, m, or n with prefixed inorganic a. The secondary diphthongs (ai, au, al, am, an), being etymologically single vowels or semivowels, are always unitonal in character; they can have the raised, not the rising accent. Contrast the inorganic au of

 $bila`uk` (=*bilw`k`, ^1 not *bilaŭk`)$  he jumped; cf.  $bilwa'^{\varepsilon}s$  jumper with the organic au of

gayaŭ he ate it; cf. gayaw $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ate it Contrast similarly the inorganic an of

k!ema'nk' (=\*k!emn'k', not \* $k!ema\tilde{n}k'$ ) he made it; cf.  $k!emna'^{\varepsilon}s$  maker

with the organic am of

dasmayam he smiled; cf. dasmayam $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I smiled

Phonetically such secondary diphthongs are hardly different from shortened organic diphthongs; etymologically and, in consequence, in morphologic treatment, the line of difference is sharply drawn.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-existent or theoretically reconstructed forms are indicated by a prefixed asterisk.

It was said that any particular syllable, if accented, necessarily receives a definite pitch-inflection. If it is furthermore pointed out that distinct words and forms may differ merely in the character of the accent, and that definite grammatical forms are associated with definite accentual forms, it becomes evident that pitch-accent has a not unimportant bearing on morphology. Examples of words differing only in the pitch-accent are:

```
se''l black paint, writing; sēl kingfisher
lā'ap' leaves; (1) lāp' he carried it on his back, (2) lāp' become (so and so)!
sā'at' his discharge of wind; sāt' mash it!
wilī'i his house; wilī house, for instance, in dak'wilī on top of the house
he''l song; hēl sing it!
```

Indeed, neither vowel-quantity, accent, nor the catch can be considered negligible factors in Takelma phonology, as shown by the following:

```
waya` knife
wayā'a his knife
waya'<sup>e</sup> he sleeps
wayān he put him to sleep
k!wā<sup>e</sup>ya` (=k!wā̄<sup>e</sup>a') just grass
```

It is impossible to give any simple rule for the determination of the proper accent of all words. What has been ascertained in regard to the accent of certain forms or types of words in large part seems to be of a grammatic, not purely phonetic, character, and hence will most naturally receive treatment when the forms themselves are discussed. Here it will suffice to give as illustrations of the morphologic value of accent a few of the cases:

- (1) Perhaps the most comprehensive generalization that can be made in regard to the employment of accents is that a catch requires the falling pitch-accent on an immediately preceding stressed syllable, as comes out most clearly in forms where the catch has been secondarily removed. Some of the forms affected are:
- (a) The first person singular subject third person object agrist of the transitive verb, as in:

```
t!omoma'^{\varepsilon}n I kill him t!omoma'nda^{\varepsilon} as I killed him
```

BOAS]

(b) The third person agrist of all intransitive verbs that take the catch as the characteristic element of this person and tense, as in:

 $ya'^{\varepsilon}$  he went  $y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon}$  when he went

(c) The second person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for this person and number is  $-\epsilon t$ , as in:

*t!ī'iɛt'* your husband *ela'ɛt'* your tongue

#### Contrast:

t!īt'k' my husband ela't'k' my tongue

There are but few exceptions to this rule. A certain not very numerous class of transitive verbs, that will later occupy us in the treatment of the verb, show a long vowel with rising pitch before a catch in the first person singular subject third person object agrist, as in:

 $k!em\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  I make it  $d\tilde{\imath}t!\ddot{u}g\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon}n$  I wear it

The very isolation of these forms argues powerfully for the general correctness of the rule.

(2) The first person singular subject third person object future, and the third person agrist passive always follow the accent of 1a:

 $d\bar{o}^u ma'n$  I shall kill him t!omoma'n he was killed

#### Contrast:

 $x\bar{o}^u ma$ 'n he dried it

Like  $k!em\bar{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  in accent we have also:

k!emēn it was made

(3) The first person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for that person and number is -t'k' shows a raised or rising pitch, according to whether the accented vowel is short or long (or diphthongal):

k'wedeīt'k' my name p!ānt'k' my liver t!ibagwa'nt'k' my pancreas

#### Contrast:

k'wede'i his name p!a'ant' his liver t!ibagwa'n his pancreas

38

(4) The verbai suffix -ald- takes the falling pitch:

sgelewa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I shouted to him sgelewa'lt' he shouted to him

#### Contrast:

qwalt wind

Many more such rules could be given, but these will suffice at present to show what is meant by the "fixity" of certain types of accent in morphological classes.

This fixity of accent seems to require a slight qualification. A tendency is observable to end up a sentence with the raised pitch, so that a syllable normally provided with a falling pitch-accent may sometimes, though by no means always, assume a raised accent, if it is the last syllable of the sentence. The most probable explanation of this phenomenon is that the voice of a Takelma speaker seeks its rest in a rise, not, as is the habit in English as spoken in America, in a fall.<sup>1</sup>

## Vocalic Processes (§§ 6-11)

#### § 6. VOWEL HIATUS

There is never in Takelma the slightest tendency to avoid the coming together of two vowels by elision of one of the vowels or contraction of the two. So carefully, indeed, is each vowel kept intact that the hiatus is frequently strengthened by the insertion of a catch. If the words ya'p!a man and  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  not, for instance, should come together in that order in the course of the sentence, the two a-vowels would not coalesce into one long vowel, but would be separated by an inorganic (i. e., not morphologically essential) eatch yap!a  $\varepsilon a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ . The same thing happens when two verbal prefixes, the first ending in and the second beginning with a vowel, come together. Thus:

de- in front  $x\bar{u}^a$ - between, in two  $+\bar{\imath}$ - with hand generally appear as:

 $de^{\varepsilon_{\overline{i}}}$ -

 $x\tilde{a}^{a\varepsilon}\tilde{\imath}$ -

respectively. The deietic element -a', used to emphasize preceding

¹ Those familiar with Indogermanic phonology will have noticed that my use of the symbols (²), (²), and (≈) has been largely determined by the method adopted in linguistic works for the representation of the syllabic pitch-accents of Lithuanian; the main departures being the use of the (²) on short as well as on long vowels and the assignment of a different meaning to the (²).

BOAS]

nouns, pronouns, and adverbs, is regularly separated from a preceding vowel by the catch:

```
ma'^{\varepsilon}a' but you, you truly b\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}a' nowadays indeed
```

If a diphthong in i or u precedes a catch followed by a vowel, the i or u often appears as y or w after the catch:

```
k!w\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}ya' just grass (= k!w\tilde{a}\tilde{\imath} + -a')

\tilde{a}'^{\epsilon}ya' just they (= \tilde{a}i- they +-a')

ha^{\epsilon}w\tilde{\imath}- (= ha-u- under +\tilde{\imath}- with hand)
```

If the second of two syntactically closely connected words begins with a semivowel (w or y) and the first ends in a vowel, a catch is generally heard to separate the two, in other words the semivowel is treated as a vowel. Examples are:

```
ge^{\epsilon} w\tilde{o}k' (= ge' + w\tilde{o}k') there he arrived be^{\epsilon\epsilon} w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i (= be^{\epsilon} + w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i) day its-body = all day long ge^{\epsilon} y\bar{a}'^a hi (= ge + y\bar{a}'^a hi) just there indeed
```

Such cases are of course not to be confounded with examples like:

```
me^{\epsilon}w\tilde{o}k' HE ARRIVED HERE, and me^{\epsilon}y\hat{e}\tilde{u} COME HERE!
```

in which the catch is organic, being an integral part of the adverb  $me^{\varepsilon}$  HITHER; contrast:

```
me^{\varepsilon}gini'^{\varepsilon}k He came here, with ge\ gini'^{\varepsilon}k He went there.
```

The same phonetic rule applies even more commonly when the first element is a noun or verb prefix:

```
ha<sup>\epsilon</sup>winī'<sup>i</sup>da inside of him; but habe<sup>\epsilon</sup>bini' at noon de<sup>\epsilon</sup>wiliwia'<sup>u\epsilon</sup> they shouted; but dexebe'<sup>\epsilon</sup>n he said so abai<sup>\epsilon</sup>wa<sup>\epsilon</sup>yewēnhi he returned inside with him; but abaigini'<sup>\epsilon</sup>k' he went inside
```

wiewā my younger brother; but wiha'm my father

It is interesting to note that the catch is generally found also when the first element ends in l, m, or n, these consonants, as has been already seen, being closely allied to the semivowels in phonetic treatment:

```
al<sup>\epsilon</sup>w\bar{a}^adid\bar{\epsilon} to my body; but als \bar{c}^ama'l to the mountain al<sup>\epsilon</sup>yowo'^{\epsilon} he looked; but alx \bar{i}'ik' he saw him b\bar{a}^age'l<sup>\epsilon</sup>yo he lay belly up; but gelk'iyi'<sup>\epsilon</sup>k' he turned to face him gwen \bar{c} wat' geits !\bar{i}k' wa his (head) lay next to it; but gwen liwila'^{u\epsilon} he looked back yiwin^{\epsilon} w\hat{o}'k'i^{\epsilon} (=yiwin speech +w\hat{o}'k'i^{\epsilon} without) without speech
```

It goes without saying that the catch separates elements ending in l, m, or n from such as begin with a vowel:

s·in<sup>e</sup>īlats!agi'<sup>e</sup>n I touch his nose al<sup>e</sup>īt'baga't'bak' he struck them

#### § 7. DISSIMILATION OF u

A diphthong in u tends, by an easily understood dissimilatory process, to drop the u before a labial suffix  $(-gw-, -p', -ba^{\varepsilon})$ . Thus we have:

wahawaxīigwa'en I rot with it, for \*xiugwa'en

## Compare:

hawaxi'ue he rots wahawaxiwigwa'n I shall rot with it

## Similarly:

bilīk'w he jumped having it, for \*biliūk'w (stem biliu-) wilīk'w he proceeded with it, for \*wiliūk'w (stem wiliu-)

Observe that, while the diphthong iu is monophthongized, the original quantity is kept, i being compensatively lengthened to  $\bar{\imath}^i$ . In the various forms of the verb  $y\hat{e}u$ - return, such dissimilation, wherever possible, regularly takes place:

```
y\bar{e}k'^{w} he returned with it, for *y\hat{e}\bar{u}k'^{w}(=y\hat{e}\bar{u}-gw-k') me^{\varepsilon}y\tilde{e}p' come back! (pl.), but sing. me^{\varepsilon}y\hat{e}\bar{u} ye^{\varepsilon}ba'^{\varepsilon} let us return! for *y\hat{e}uba'^{\varepsilon}
```

It is interesting to note how this u- dissimilation is directly responsible for a number of homonyms:

```
y\bar{\epsilon}k'' bite him! (al) y\bar{\epsilon}p' show it to him!
```

A similar dissimilation of an -u- after a long vowel has in all probability taken place in the reduplicating verb  $l\bar{a}^a liwi'^{\epsilon}n$  I CALL HIM BY NAME ( $le^{\epsilon}la'usi$  HE CALLS ME BY NAME) from \*  $l\bar{a}uliwi'^{\epsilon}n$  (\*  $l\dot{e}ula'usi$ ).

#### § 8. I- UMLAUT

Probably the most far-reaching phonetic law touching the Takelma vowels is an assimilatory process that can be appropriately termed "i-umlaut." Briefly stated, the process is a regressive assimilation of a non-radical -a- to an -i-, caused by an -i- (-īi-) in an immediately following suffixed syllable, whether the -i- causing the umlaut is an original -i-, or itself umlauted from an original -a-; the -i- of the

pronominal endings -bi- thee, -si- he to me, -xi- he me, fails to cause umlaut, nor does the law operate when the -i- is immediately preceded by an inorganic h. The following forms will make the applicability of the rule somewhat clearer:

wak!ayayini'\*n I caused him to grow with it (but k!ayayana'\*n I caused him to grow, with preserved -a-, because of following -a'\*n, not -i'\*n)

wak!eyeya'nxi he caused me to grow with it wak!ayaya'nxbi<sup>e</sup>n I caused thee to grow with it  $\bar{\imath}yulu'yili^e$ n I rub it (from -yali<sup>e</sup>n)  $\bar{\imath}yulu'yalhi$  he rubs it

It should be carefully noted that this i- umlaut never operates on a radical or stem-vowel, a fact that incidentally proves helpful at times in determining how much of a phonetic complex belongs to the stem, and how much is to be considered as belonging to the grammatical apparatus following the stem. In:

 $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it to him (from  $-awi'^{\epsilon}n$ ; cf.  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it to you)

the -a- following the g is shown to be not a part of the aoristic stem  $w\bar{a}^ag$ - by the i- umlaut that it may undergo; on the other hand, the corresponding future shows an un-umlauted -a-:

waqawi'n I shall bring it to him

so that the future stem must be set down as waga-, as is confirmed by certain other considerations.

It would take us too far afield to enumerate all the possible cases in which i- umlaut takes place; nevertheless, it is a phenomenon of such frequent recurrence that some of the more common possibilities should be listed, if only for purposes of further illustration:

(1) It is caused by the aoristic verb suffix  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - denoting position:

 $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\imath}$  he stands (cf.  $s \cdot a's \cdot ant'\bar{a}^a$  he will stand)  $t!obig\bar{\imath}$  he lies as if dead (cf. future  $t!obaga'sd\bar{a}^a$ )

(2) By an element -i- characteristic of certain nouns, that is added to the absolute form of the noun before the possessive pronominal endings:

 $b\bar{u}^ubini't'k'$  my arm (cf.  $b\bar{u}^uba'n$  arm) t'ga'lt'gilixdek' my belly (for \* t'galt'gali-)

(3) By the common verbal "instrumental" vowel -i-, which, for one reason or another, replaces the normal pre-pronominal element

-a-, and often serves to give the verb an instrumental force. This instrumental -i- may work its influence on a great number of preceding elements containing -a-, among which are:

(a) The -a- that regularly replaces the stem-vowel in the second member of a duplicated verb:

al<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'baga't'bigi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I beat him (cf. -t'baga't'bak' he beat him)
ts·!ele'ts·!ili<sup>ɛ</sup>n I rattle it (cf. ts·!ele'ts·!alhi he rattles it)
īsmili'smili<sup>ɛ</sup>n I swing it (cf. īsmi'lsmal swing it!)

(b) The causative element -an-:

 $wap!\bar{a}^agini'^{\epsilon}n$  I cause him to swim with it (cf.  $p!\bar{a}^agana'^{\epsilon}n$  I cause him to swim)

#### See above:

wak!ayayini'<sup>ε</sup>n I cause him to grow

(c) The element -an- added to transitive stems to express the idea of for, in behalf of:

wat!omomini'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I kill it for him with it (cf. t!omomana'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I kill it for him)

- (d) The pronominal element -am-, first personal plural object:  $alx\bar{\imath}'^iximi^s$  one who sees us (cf.  $alx\bar{\imath}'^ixam$  he sees us)
- 4. By the suffixed local element  $-d\bar{\imath}^i$  on top of added to the demonstrative pronoun ga that to form a general local postposition:

 $gid\bar{\imath}^i$  on top of it, over (so and so)

Compare the similarly formed:

gada'k' above gada'l among

and others.

5. By the pronominal element -ig- (-ik'), first personal plural subject intransitive:

 $t!om\tilde{o}xinik$  we kill each other (cf.  $t!om\tilde{o}xa^{\varepsilon}n$  they kill each other)  $d\tilde{a}xinigam$  we shall find each other (cf.  $d\tilde{a}xan^{\varepsilon}t$  they will find each other)

This list might be greatly extended if desired, and indeed numerous other examples will meet us in the morphology. Examples of a double and treble *i*- umlaut are:

 $loh \bar{o}^u ninini'^{\epsilon}n$  I caused him to die (i. e., killed him) for him (cf.  $loh \bar{o}^u nana'nhi$  he killed him for him)

 $\bar{\imath}k!\bar{u}mininini'nk'$  he will fix it for him (compare  $\bar{\imath}k!\bar{u}^uma'n$  he fixed it)

BOAS]

The semivowel corresponding to i, namely y, is also capable, under analogous circumstances, of causing the i- umlaut of a preceding non-radical a. Examples are:

```
daxoyo'xiya<sup>ɛ</sup>n (=-xaya<sup>ɛ</sup>n) I scare them around; daxoyo'xi (=-xiy =-xay) he scares them around al<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'ge'it'giyak'<sup>w</sup> (=-t'gay-) rolled up alhūyū'hī<sup>i</sup>x (=-hiyx=-hayx) he used to hunt saniya' (=sanaya') to fight him dō<sup>w</sup>mk'wiya (=-k'waya) to kill him; and numerous other infinitives in -k'wiya (=-k'waya)
```

#### § 9. K-SOUNDS PRECEDED BY U-VOWELS

An u-vowel  $(o, u, \ddot{u}, \text{ and diphthongs in } -u)$  immediately preceding a k-sound (i. e., g, k', k!, x) introduces after the latter a parasitic -w-, which, when itself followed by a vowel, unites with the k-sound to form a consonant-cluster (gw, k'w, k!w, xw), but appears, when standing after a (word or syllabic) final k', as a voiceless -'w. The introduction of the excrescent w simply means, of course, that the labial rounding of the u-vowel lingers on after the articulation of the k-sound, a phonetic tendency encouraged by the fact that the production of the guttural consonant does not, as in the labials and dentals, necessitate a readjustment of the lips. A few examples will illustrate the phonetic process:

```
gelgulugwa''n I desire it
gelgulu'k''w he desires it (contrast gelgula'k' he desired it, without
the labial affection of the -k' because of the replacement of the
-u- by an -a-)
güxwī''i his heart
düügwi't'gwa her dress
dūk'w woman's garment
yōuk!wā' his bones
```

As also in the upper Chinook dialects (Wasco, Wishram), where exactly the same process occurs, the w- infection is often very slight, and particularly before u- vowels the -w- is, if not entirely absent, at least barely audible:

```
yok!" ōya' en I know it
yo'k'yan I shall know it
```

In one very common word the catch seems to be treated as a k- sound in reference to a preceding u when itself followed by an  $-\bar{\imath}$ -:

```
s \cdot u^{\epsilon} wil_{\bar{\imath}} he sits; but s \cdot u'^{\epsilon} alt' \bar{a}^a he will sit
```

The first form was, for some reason or other, often heard, perhaps misheard, as  $s \cdot i^s u l \bar{z}$ .

#### § 10. INORGANIC a

It frequently happens in the formation of words that a vowel present in some other form of the stem will drop out, or, more accurately expressed, has never been inserted. Consonant-combinations sometimes then result which are either quite impossible in Takelma phonetics, or at any rate are limited in their occurrence to certain grammatical forms, so that the introduction of an "inorganic" -a-, serving to limber up the consonant-cluster, as it were, becomes necessary. Ordinarily this -a- is inserted after the first consonant; in certain cases, after the two consonants forming the cluster. The theoretical future of gini'k'de<sup>e</sup> I GO SOMEWHERE should be, for example, \*gink'de<sup>e</sup>; but, instead of this somewhat difficult form, we really get gina'k'de<sup>e</sup>. That the -a'- is here really inorganic, and not a characteristic of the future stem, as was at first believed, is clearly shown by the imperative gi'nk' (all imperatives are formed from the future stem). Similarly:

k!iya'k'de E I shall go, come; aorist, k!iyi'k'de E

alxik!a'lhik' (=theoretical \*alxik!lik') he kept looking at him; aorist first person alxik!zlhi\*n I keep looking at him

k!ema'n make it! (=theoretical \*k!emn); cf. k!emna'n I shall make it

bai vive out sickness!; aorist, -yewen he drove out sickness

sgela'ut'e I shall shout (=theoretic \*sgelwt'e); aorist second person, sgelewa't' you shouted

As an example of an inorganic -a- following a consonantic cluster may be given:

wisma't'e' I shall move (stem wism-); aorist, wits !im̃t'e' I moved¹
The exact nature of the processes involved in the various forms given will be better understood when stem-formation is discussed. Here

<sup>1</sup> Such an -a may stand as an absolute final; e. g., ba-imasga' start in singing! (stem masg-), aorist third pcrson, -mats'a'k'. The form masga' well illustrates the inherent difficulty of delimiting the range of a phonetic law without comparative or older historical material to aid in determining what is due to regular phonetic development, and what is formed on the analogy of other forms. The final cluster -sk' does occur in Takelma; e. g., dink'a'sk' (long object) lay stretched out; so that a phonetic irregularity must exist in one of the two forms. Either we should have \*ma'sk', or else \*dink!asa'k' or \*dink!asga' is to be expected. On closer examination it is found that the k' in forms like dink!a'sk' is a grammatical element added on to the future stem dink!as-; whereas in masga' the -g- belongs in all probability to the stem, and is no added suffix; at least is not felt as such. It seems evident, then, that the quasi-mechanical juxtaposition of grammatical elements does not entirely follow the same phonetic lines as organic sound-complexes.

BOAS]

it will suffice to say that there are three distinct sorts of inorganic or secondary a- vowels: the regular inorganic a first illustrated above, inserted between two consonants that would theoretically form a cluster; the post-consonantal constant a of certain stems (such as wism-above) that would otherwise end in more or less impracticable consonant clusters (this -a appears as -i under circumstances to be discussed below); and a connecting a employed to join consonantal suffixes to preceding consonants (such suffixes are generally directly added to preceding vowels or diphthongs). The varying treatment accorded these different secondary a vowels will become clearer in the morphology.

#### § 11. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE DIPHTHONGS

By a double diphthong is meant a syllable consisting of an ordinary diphthong (long or short) followed by a semivowel (y, w) or by l, m, or n. Such double diphthongs are, for instance, aiw,  $\bar{a}iw$ , auy,  $\bar{a}uy$ , ain,  $\bar{a}in$ , alw,  $\bar{a}^alw$ ; those with initial short vowel, like ain, have, like the long diphthongs (e. g.  $\bar{a}^an$ ), a quantitative value of 3 morae, while those with initial long vowel, like  $\bar{a}in$ , have a quantitative value of 4 morae and may be termed over-long diphthongs. Double diphthongs may theoretically arise when, for some reason or other, a connecting or inorganic a fails to lighten the heavy syllable by reducing it to two (see particularly § 65 for a well-defined class of such cases). Double diphthongs, however, are nearly always avoided in Takelma; there is evidently a rhythmic feeling here brought into play, a dislike of heavy syllables containing three qualitatively distinct sonantic elements.

In consequence of this, double diphthongs are regularly simplified by the loss of either the second or third element of the diphthong; in other words, they are quantitatively reduced by one mora (the simple double diphthongs now have a value of 2 morae, the overlong diphthongs 3 morae like ordinary long diphthongs), while qualitivetatly they now involve only two sonantic elements. An exception seems to be afforded by double diphthongs in -uy (e. g. -uy), which become dissyllabic by vocalizing the y to i, in other words, -uy becomes -uwi:

ts/awi'k' he ran fast; cf. ts/a-uya'es fast runner, ts/awaya't' (aorist) you ran fast yawi't'ee I shall talk; cf. yawaya't' (aorist) you talked

The -awi- (= theoretic -awy-) of these forms is related to the -awayof the aorist as the -ilw- of bilwa'<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>s Jumper to the -iliw- of the aorist biliwa't' YOU JUMPED.

Such double diphthongs as end in -w (e. g. -aiw,  $-\bar{a}^a lw$ ) simply lose the -w:

gaī eat it! (=\*gaīw); gaīk' he ate it (=\*gaīwk'); compare ga-iwa'n I shall eat it

Other examples of this loss of w are given in § 18, 2. All other double diphthongs are simplified by the loss of the second vowel (i, u) or consonant (l, m, n); a glottal catch, if present after the second vowel or consonant, is always preserved in the simplified form of the double diphthong. Examples of simplified double diphthongs with initial short vowel are:

gelhewe'ha'n (=\*-hau'n) I think; compare gelhewe'hau he thinks imi'ha'n (=\*-ham'n) I sent him; compare imi'ham he sent him mo'lo'ma'n (=\*mal'n) I stir it up; mo'l'man (=\*-maln) I shall stir it up; compare parallel forms with connecting a: mo'lo'-mala'n, mo'l'malan, and third person aorist mo'lo'mal

 $m\bar{a}^a n m a'^{\epsilon} n$  (=\*- $man^{\epsilon} n$ ) I count them; compare  $dam\bar{a}^a n mini'^{\epsilon} n$  (umlauted from - $man-i'^{\epsilon} n$ ) I counted them up

k!emxa't'e\* (=\*k!emnxa't'e\*) I shall make; compare k!emna's maker and k!ema'n make it! (with inorganic a because accent is not thrown forward)

Examples of simplified over-long diphthongs are:

 $d\bar{a}^{a}ldi'n$  (=\* $d\bar{a}ildi'n$ ) I shall go to him for food; compare  $d\bar{a}it'e^{e}$  I shall go for food

et t'gēlxī<sup>i</sup> (=\*t'gèilxī<sup>i</sup>) wagon (literally, rolling canoe); compare t'geeya'lx it rolls

 $dat!ag\bar{a}^{\epsilon}n$  (=\* $t!ag\bar{a}\bar{i}^{\epsilon}n$ ) I build a fire; compare  $dat!ag\bar{a}\bar{i}$  he builds a fire

 $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n$  (=\* $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n$ ) I make it; compare  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n$  he makes it  $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$  (=\* $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$ ) I give it; compare third person  $oy\tilde{o}n$  he gives it

In the inferential, less frequently passive participle and imperative, forms of the verb, double diphthongs, except those ending in w, generally fail to be simplified. If coming immediately before the inferential -k'- the double diphthong is preserved, for what reason is not evident (perhaps by analogy to other non-aorist forms in which the last element of the double diphthong belongs to the following syllable):

BOAS]

ts'!aimk' (but also ts'!ayàm k') he hid it; compare ts'!a-ima'n I shall hide it

oınk' he gave it; compare oina'n I shall give it

If the inferential -k'- does not immediately follow, an inorganic a seems to be regularly inserted between the second and third elements of the diphthong:

gelts 'aya'mxamk' na since he concealed it from us Examples of other than inferential forms with unsimplified double diphthong are:

ts'!aīmhak'whidden
oīn give it! (vet ts'!aya'm hide it! with inorganic a)

## Consonants (§§ 12-24)

## § 12. System of Consonants

The Takelma consonant system is represented in the following table:

	Aspirated tenuis.	Voiceless media.	Fortis.	Spirant.	Lateral.	Nasal
Labial	p	b	<i>p!</i>	v. unv.		m
Dental	t*	d	t!		ı	n
Sibilant			ts!, ts:!	8, 8		
Palatal				y	(1)	
Guttural	k'	g	k!	r		
Faucal			£	h		

The spirants have been divided into two groups, those on the left-hand side of the column (labeled v.) being voiced, while those on the right-hand side (labeled unv.) are unvoiced. The rarely occurring palatal lateral l (see § 2, footnote) is also voiceless. Every one of the consonants tabulated may occur initially, except the voiceless labial spirant -'w, which occurs only with k at the end of a syllable. Properly speaking, -k'w should be considered the syllabic final of the labialized guttural series (k'w, gw, k!w); a consideration of the consonant-clusters allowed in Takelma shows that these labialized consonants must be looked upon as phonetic units. The catch (l) as organic consonant is found only medially and finally; the l only

initially. In regard to the pronunciation of the various consonants, w, s, y, h, l, m, and n do not differ materially from the corresponding sounds in English.

The first two series of stops—tenuis (p', t', k') and media (b, d, g) do not exactly correspond to the surd and sonant stops of English or French. The aspirated tenues are, as their name implies, voiceless stops whose release is accompanied by an appreciable expulsion of breath. The voiceless mediae are also stops without voiced articulation; but they differ from the true tenues in the absence of aspiration and in the considerably weaker stress of articulation. Inasmuch as our English mediae combine sonancy with comparatively weak stress of articulation, while the tenues are at the same time unvoiced and pronounced with decided stress, it is apparent that a series of consonants which, like the Takelma voiceless mediae, combine weak stress with lack of voice will tend to be perceived by an American ear sometimes (particularly when initial) as surds, at other times (particularly between vowels) as sonants. On the other hand, the aspirated tenues will be regularly heard as ordinary surd-stops, so that an untrained American ear is apt to combine an uncalled-for differentiation with a disturbing lack of differentiation. While the Takelma tenuis and media are to a large extent morphologically equivalent consonants with manner of articulation determined by certain largely mechanical rules of position, yet in a considerable number of cases (notably as initials) they are to be rigidly kept apart etymologically. Words and stems which differ only in regard to the weak or strong stress and the absence or presence of aspiration of a stop, can be found in great number:

 $d\bar{a}^a n$ - ear;  $t'\bar{a}^a n$  squirrel  $b\bar{o}^u$  now;  $p'\bar{o}^u$ - to blow ga that; k'a what  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - on top;  $t'\bar{\imath}^i$ - to drift  $b\bar{o}^u d$ - to pull out hair;  $p'\bar{o}^u d$ - to mix  $d\bar{a}^a g$ - to build fire;  $d\bar{a}^a g$ - to find;  $t'\bar{a}^a g$ -to ery gai- to eat; k'ai- thing, what 1

These two series of stops are not at all peculiar to Takelma. As far as could be ascertained, the same division is found also in the neighboring Chasta Costa, a good example of how a fundamental method of phonetic attack may be uniformly spread over an area in which far-reaching phonetic differences of detail are found and morphologic traits vary widely. The same series of stops are found also in Yana, in northern California. Farther to the east the two series are apparently found, besides a series of true sonant stops, in Ponca and Omaha (J. O. Dorsey's p, t, k, and  $d, \eta, \chi$ ). The Iroquois also (as could be tested by an opportunity to hear Mohawk) are, as regards the manner of articulating the two series, absolutely in accord with the Takelma. A more accurate phonetic knowledge of other languages would doubtless show a wide distribution in America of the volceless media.

BOAS]

The fortes  $(p!, t!, k!, ts! [=ts\cdot !],$  and  $^{\epsilon}$ , which has been put in the same series because of its intimate phonetic and morphologic relation to the other consonants) are pronounced with the characteristic snatched or crackly effect (more or less decided stress of articulation of voiceless stop followed by explosion and momentary hiatus) prevalent on the Pacific coast. From the point of view of Takelma, p!, t!, and k! are in a way equivalent to  $p^{\epsilon}$ ,  $t^{\epsilon}$ , and  $k^{\epsilon}$ , respectively, or rather to  $b^{\epsilon}$ ,  $d^{\epsilon}$ , and  $g^{\epsilon}$ , for the fortes can never be aspirated. In some cases it was found difficult to tell whether a fortis, or a voiceless stop followed by a glottal stricture, was really heard:

```
yap!a' and yap^{\epsilon}a' man g\bar{a}'p!ini' and g\bar{a}'p^{\epsilon}ini' two
```

In fact, a final tenuis + a catch inserted, as between vowels, to prevent phonetic amalgamation, regularly become, at least as far as acoustic effect is concerned, the homogranic fortis:

 $\bar{a}k!a$ ' he indeed  $(=\bar{a}k'$  he + deictic  ${}^{\varepsilon}a$ '; cf.  $ma'{}^{\varepsilon}a$ ' you indeed)  $s\tilde{a}k!e\tilde{\imath}t$ ' you shot him  $(=s\tilde{a}k'$  he shot him  $+({}^{\varepsilon})e\tilde{\imath}t$ ' you are)  $m\tilde{a}p!a$ ' just you [pl.]  $(=m\tilde{a}p'$  you [pl.]  $+{}^{\varepsilon}a$ ')

Nevertheless,  $p^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $t^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $k^{\varepsilon}$  are by no means phonetically identical with p!, t!, k!; in Yana, for instance, the two series are etymologically, as well as phonetically, distinct. One difference between the two may be the greater stress of articulation that has been often held to be the main characteristic of the fortes, but another factor, at least as far as Takelma (also Yana) is concerned, is probably of greater mo-This has regard to the duration of the glottal closure. In the case of  $p^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $t^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $k^{\varepsilon}$  the glottis is closed immediately upon release of the stop-contact for p, t, and k. In the case of p!, t!, and k! the glottis is closed just before or simultaneously with the moment of consonant contact, is held closed during the full extent of the consonant articulation, and is not opened until after the consonant release; the fortis p!, e. g., may be symbolically represented as  ${}^{\varepsilon}p^{\varepsilon}$  (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}$ , better as  ${}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}$ , i. e., a labial unaspirated stop immersed in a glottal catch). As the glottis is closed throughout the whole extent of the fortis articulation, no breath can escape through it; hence a fortis consonant is necessarily unaspirated. This explains why fortes are so apt to be misheard as voiceless mediae or even voiced mediae rather than as aspirated tenues (p!, e. g., will be often misheard as b rather than The cracked effect of the fortes, sometimes quite incorrectly p). 3045°—Bull. 40, pt 2—12——3 § 12

50

referred to as a click, is due to the sudden opening of the closed chamber formed between the closed glottis and the point of consonant contact (compare the sound produced by the sudden withdrawal of a stopper from a closed bottle); the hiatus generally heard between a fortis and a following vowel is simply the interval of time elapsing between the consonant release and the release of the glottal closure. That the fortis consonant really does involve an initial glottal catch is abundantly illustrated in the author's manuscript material by such writings as:

 $d\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'^{\epsilon}t!ili^{\epsilon}n = d\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'t!ili^{\epsilon}n$  I stuff it  $d\ddot{u}'l^{\epsilon}t!ilin = d\ddot{u}'lt!ilin$  I shall stuff it  $leme'^{\epsilon}k!ia - uda^{\epsilon} = leme'k!ia - uda^{\epsilon}$  as they go off

There is no tenuis or media affricative (ts-dz;ts), tc-dz, dj) corresponding in Takelma to the fortis ts!, ts!, though it seems possible that it originally existed but developed to x (cf.  $yegw\bar{e}xi$  they bite me [upper Takelma yegwe'tci]; ts!i'xi dog [from original \*ts!its:i?]). Morphologically ts!, ts! stand in the same relation to s, s that p!, t!, and k! stand in to b, d, g. For example,

Aorist stems:

t!omom- kill, p!ügüg- start (war, basket), k!olol- dig—are related to their corresponding

Future stems:

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -,  $b\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}g$ -,  $g\bar{o}^u l$ -,—as are the

Aorist stems:

ts: !adad- mash, ts: !elel- paint—to their corresponding

Future stems:

 $s \cdot \bar{a}^a d$ -,  $s \cdot e^{\epsilon} l$ -

Of the other consonants, only x, -'w, and s, s' call for remark. x is equivalent to the ch of German dach, though generally pronounced further forward (x). It frequently has a w tinge, even when no u-vowel or diphthong precedes, particularly before i; examples are  $h\bar{a}'px^wi$  child and  $hax^wiya$ ' (ordinarily haxiya') in the water. -k'w,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doctor Goddard writes me that an examination of tracings made on the Rousselot machine leads to substantially the same phonetic interpretation of the fortes as has been given above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, American Anthropologist, n. s., 1x, 257.

<sup>§ 12</sup> 

in which combination alone, as we have seen, -'w occurs, is the aspirated tenuis k' followed by a voiceless labial continuant approximately equivalent to the wh of English which, more nearly to the sound made in blowing out a candle. s is the ordinary English s as in sell; while s is employed to represent a sibilant about midway in place of articulation between s and c (= sh in English shell), the fortes ts! and ts! corresponding, respectively, in place of articulation to s and s. The two sounds s and s have been put together, as it is hardly probable that they represent morphologically distinct sounds, but seem rather to be the limits of a normal range of variation (both sal- with foot and s-al-, e. g., were heard). The only distinction in use that can be made out is that s occurs more frequently before and after consonants and after e:

s·a's·ant'e' I shall stand
ogu's·i he gave it to me, but ogu'sbi he gave it to you
lōus·ō'i his plaything 110.6
īlasgi'n I shall touch it
le'psi' feathers
yōls steel-head salmon
ha-uhana's it stopped (raining)

## § 13. Final Consonants

By a "final" consonant will always be meant one that stands at the end of a syllable, whether the syllable be the last in the word or not. Such a final position may be taken only by the aspirated tenues, the voiceless spirants, the catch, the liquid (l), and the nasals, not by the voiceless mediae, fortes, and semivowels (y and w); h occurs as a final only very rarely:

la'h excrement

lohlaha'nk' he always caused them to die

A final semivowel unites with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong:

 $gaya\bar{u}$  he ate it (cf.  $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$  I ate it)  $q\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  grow! (cf.  $q\bar{a}^aya'^{\epsilon}t$ ' he will grow)

A final voiceless media always turns into the corresponding aspirated surd; so that in the various forms of one stem a constant alternation between the two manners of articulation is brought about:

seeba'en I roasted it; sep' he roasted it

xebe'en he did it; xep'gae I did it

xuduma'ldaen I whistle to him; xuduma'lt', xuduma'lt'gwa he whistles to him

t!ayaga'en I found it; tlaya'k' he found it, dak'nae since he found it

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

A final fortis also becomes the corresponding aspirated surd (-ts! becoming  $-^{\epsilon}s$ ), but with a preceding catch by way of compensation for the loss of the fortis character of the consonant. This process is readily understood by a reference to the phonetic analysis of the fortes given above (§ 12). Final p!, for instance, really  $^{\epsilon}b(^{\epsilon})$ , is treated in absolutely parallel fashion to a final b; the final media implied in the p! must become an aspirated surd (this means, of course, that the glottal closure is released at the same time as the stop, not subsequently, as in the ordinary fortis), but the glottal attack of the  $^{\epsilon}b$  still remains. Examples are:

wasgā'p!in I shall make it tight; wasgā'ep' make it tight

k'ap!a'k'ap'na<sup>e</sup>n I throw them under (fire, earth); future, k'a<sup>e</sup>p'-k'a'p'nan

 $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}' t' an$  I shall win over him;  $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}'^{\epsilon} t'$  win over him!  $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}'^{\epsilon} t' g a^{\epsilon}$  I won over him

 $alx\bar{\imath}'k!in$  I shall see him;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'$  see him! (contrast  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\epsilon}n$  I saw him;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{i}k'$  he saw him)

ha<sup>e</sup>wīha'nts!in I shall cause it to stop (raining); ha<sup>e</sup>wīha'n<sup>e</sup>s make it stop raining!

 $n\bar{o}'ts!at'gwan$  next door to each other;  $n\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s$  next door  $ha^{\varepsilon}imi'ts!adan\ t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$  six times 100;  $ha^{\varepsilon}imi'^{\varepsilon}s$  six

## Consonant Combinations (§§ 14-17)

#### § 14. GENERAL REMARKS

Not all consonant combinations are allowable in Takelma, a certain limited number of possibilities occurring initially, while a larger number occur as finals. Medial combinations, as we shall see (§17), are simply combinations of syllabic final consonants or permissible consonant combinations and syllabic initial consonants or permissible consonant combinations.

#### § 15. INITIAL COMBINATIONS

If, as seems necessary, we regard gw as a single labialized consonant, the general rule obtains that no combinations of three or more consonants can stand at the beginning of a word or syllable. The following table shows all the initial combinations of two consonants possible in Takelma, the first members of the various combinations being disposed in vertical columns and the second members, with which the first combine, being given in horizontal lines. Examples fill the spaces thus mapped out. Inasmuch as the mediae and fortes,

the liquid, nasals, semivowels, and h never appear, or with very few exceptions, as the first members of initial combinations, it was not considered necessary to provide for them in the horizontal row. Similarly the tenues and fortes never occur as second members of initial combinations. A dash denotes non-occurrence.

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

	p'	t*	k'	8	Ι	
b		<i>t'bāag-</i> hit		sbīn beaver	?	
d	_		_	s·dō'i s·dagwa- put on style	<i>xdeīt</i> ' flute	
g		t'geib- roll		sgi'si coyote		
gw		t'gwa' thunder		sgwini`raccoon		
s x	}					
ı	Ī			?	zliwi war feathers	
m		t'mila'px smooth		sma-im- smile	?	
n	-			s·nā mamma!	zni'k' aeorn mush	
у						
w	-	t'wap!at'wap'- blink	[k'wāagw- awaken].	swat'g- pursue	?	

It will be noticed that only t' (p' and k' were given mainly for contrast) and the two voiceless spirants s and x combine with following consonants (k'w- is not to be analyzed into k'+w, but is to be regarded as a single consonant, as also gw- and k!w-, both of which frequently occur as initials); furthermore that s, x, and y never combine with preceding consonants. The general law of initial combination is thus found to be: tenuis (t') or voiceless spirant (s, x') + media (b, d, g) or voiced continuant (l, m, n, w).\(^1\) Of the combinations above tabulated, only t'b- t'g-, sb-, sg-, and perhaps sgw- and sw-, can be considered as at all common, t'm-, t'w-, sd-, sn-, xd-, xl-, and xn- being very rare. sl-, sb-, xm-, and xw- have not been found, but the analogy of xl- for the first, and of sb-, sm-, and sw-for the others, make it barely possible that they exist, though rarely; there may, however, be a distinct feeling against the combination x-labial (b, m, w).

Only two cases have been found of fortis or media+consonant:

t!wep!e't!wapx they fly about without lighting; future  $dwep'-dwa'pxd\bar{a}^a$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This may possibly serve to explain why the affricative ts. (to correspond to ts.!) is not found in Takelma.

#### § 16. FINAL COMBINATIONS

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Final consonant combinations are limited in possibility of occurrence by the fact that only aspirated tenues and voiceless spirants  $(p^i, t^i, k^i, k^{in}, s, \text{ and } x)$  can stand as absolute finals after other consonants. The following table will give examples of all final combinations of two or three consonants that have been discovered in the available material.

	$p^{\bullet}$	t.	k*	1	m	n	8	x
p'		eit'p' ye are	-	bēlp' swan		s·a/s·anp' stand!(pl.)		
t'			_	sgelewa'lt' he shouted to him	ts!elela'mt' he paints it	p!ā'ant' his liver		
k'	z∂p'k' he did it	p'ima't'k' my sal- mon	_	a'lk' silver-side salmon	ra'mk' grizz- ly bear	dōuma'nk' he will kill him	mīla'sk' he loved her	k'wā'atxk' he's awake
k'w			-	t'gwe'lk' w rat	?	yank'w he took it along		
$p^{i}k^{i}$			-	s·u'ɛalp'k' he		se'nsanp'k' he whooped		
t'k'			_	douma'lt'k' my testicles	<i>xāala</i> 'mt'k' ∙my urine	bilga'nt'k' nıy breast	_	
3	la`ps blanket		_	bīls moss	$g \tilde{u}$ ms blind	p!e'ns squirrel		
	t'geya'px round		_	t'geeya'lx it rolls	ya'mx grease	banx hun- ger		
rk'	des ipxk' it		-	gü'lk!alxk' it was blazing	dats:/ā`mxk' it hurt	ūgwa`nxkʻ he drank		
px			_	sglilpx warm your back!		?		

No examples of  $-mk'^w$  and -npx have been found, but the analogy of -lpx makes the existence of the latter of these almost certain (l and n are throughout parallel in treatment); the former (because of the double labial; cf. the absence of -mp') is much less probable, despite the analogy of  $-lk'^w$  and  $-nk'^w$ . It is possible also that -lsk', -msk', and -nsk' exist, though their occurrence can hardly be frequent. Of final clusters of four consonants -nt'p'k' has been found in s'a's'ant'p'k' ne stood, but there can be small doubt that the -t- is merely a dental tenuis glide inserted in passing from the dental nasal to the labial tenuis; compare the morphologically analogous form se'nsanp'k' ne whooped. However, the combinations -lpxk' and -npxk' (if -npx exists), though not found in the available material, very probably ought to be listed, as they would naturally be the terminations of morphologically necessary forms (cf.  $des \cdot \bar{t}pxk'$ ). Most, if not all, of

BOAS]

the preceding final combinations may furthermore be complicated by the addition of  $^{\epsilon}$ , which is inserted before the first tenuis or voiceless spirant of the group, i. e., after a possible liquid or nasal:

 $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}s \cdot k'$  he laughed  $k'o'^{\varepsilon}px$  dust, ashes.  $ts \cdot !u'n^{\varepsilon}s$  (deerskin) cap

As compared to the initial combinations, the table of final clusters seems to present a larger number of possibilities. It is significant, however, that only those that consist of l, m, or n + single consonantcan ever be looked upon as integral portions of the stem (such as xa'mk' and t'qwe'lk'w); while those that end in -s can always be suspected of containing either the verbal suffix -s (=t+x), or the noun and adjective forming element -s. All other combinations are the result of the addition of one or more grammatical elements to the stem (e. g.,  $s \cdot u' \in alp'k' = s \cdot u \in al + p' + k'$ ). Further investigation shows that only two of the combinations, -t'p' (second personal plural subject aorist) and -t'k' (first personal singular possessive) are suffixal units; though -t'p' might be ultimately analyzed into -t' (second personal singular subject agrist) + -p. It is interesting to note that these clusters are at the same time the only ones, except t'qw-, allowed initially, t'b- and t'g-. The constitution of the Takelma word-stem may thus be formulated as

tenuis (or voiceless spirant) + media (or voiced continuant) + vowel (or diphthong) + liquid or nasal + stop (fortis or media—tenuis),

any or all of the members of which skeleton may be absent except the vowel; h may also be found before the vowel.

#### § 17. MEDIAL COMBINATIONS

A medial combination consists simply of a syllabically final combination or single consonant + an initial combination or single consonant, so that theoretically a very large number of such medial combinations may occur. Quite a large number do indeed occur, yet there is no morphologic opportunity for many of them, such as k'-l, np'-m, and numerous others. Examples of medial combinations are:

 $t!omoma'n-ma^{\varepsilon}$  when he was killed  $h\tilde{e}lk'-na^{\varepsilon}$  when he sang  $dak'-t'g\bar{u}'uba^{\varepsilon}n$  I put hollowed object (like hat) on top (as on head)

56

The occurrence of such clusters as -k'n- must not for a moment be interpreted as a contradiction of the non-occurrence of the same clusters initially or finally, as they are not, syllabically speaking, clusters at all. Had such combinations as, say, -t'gn- (in which -t' would be the final of one syllable and gn- the initial of the next) occurred, we should be justified in speaking of an inconsistency in the treatment of clusters; but the significant thing is, that such clusters are never found. A Takelma word can thus ordinarily be cut up into a definite number of syllables:

```
gaīk'na^{\epsilon} when he ate it (=gaīk'-na^{\epsilon}) yo'k'yan I shall know it (=yo'k'-yan)
```

but these syllables have only a phonetic, not necessarily a morphologic value (e. g., the morphologic division of the preceding forms is respectively gai-k'-na<sup>e</sup> and yok'y-an). The theory of syllabification implied by the phonetic structure of a Takelma word is therefore at complete variance with that found in the neighboring Athapascan dialects, in which the well-defined syllable has at least a relative morphologic value, the stem normally consisting of a distinct syllable in itself.

One important phonetic adjustment touching the medial combination of consonants should be noted. If the first syllable ends in a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd, the following syllable, as far as initial stops are concerned, will begin with a media (instead of aspirated surd) or aspirated surd + media; i. e., for a cluster of stops in medial position, the last can be a media only, while the others are aspirated surds. As also in the case of single consonants, this adjustment often brings about a variation in the manner of articulation of the final consonant in the cluster, according to whether its position in the word is medial or final. Thus we have:

$$x\tilde{e}p'ga^{\varepsilon}$$
 I did it;  $x\tilde{e}p'k'$  he did it

Contrast, with constant -k'-:

$$alx\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'a^{\epsilon}$$
 I saw it;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'^{\epsilon}$  he saw it

the -g- of the first form and the -k' of the second being the same morphological element; the -p' of both forms is the syllabically final b of the stem  $xe^{\epsilon}b$ - DO, so that  $x\bar{\epsilon}p'ga^{\epsilon}$  stands for a theoretical \* $x\bar{\epsilon}bk'a^{\epsilon}$ , a phonetically impossible form. Other examples are:

This form is distinct from alxi'k' look at it!, quoted before. The imperative theoretically = \*alxi'kI' the text form = \*alxi'kIk'.

BOAS]

ga-iwa't'ba<sup>e</sup> ye shall eat it; gayawa't'p' ye ate it di'n<sup>e</sup>xga<sup>e</sup> I (as long object) was stretching out; di'n<sup>e</sup>xk' long object was stretching

## Consonant Processes (§§ 18-24)

### § 18. DROPPING OF FINAL CONSONANTS

There is a good deal to indicate that the comparatively limited number of possible final consonant-clusters is not a primary condition, but has been brought about by the dropping of a number of consonants that originally stood at the end.

1. The most important case is the loss of every final -t' that stood after a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd. Its former presence in such words can be safely inferred, either from morphologically parallel forms, or from other forms of the same stem where the phonetic conditions were such as to preserve the dental. Thus  $gwidi'k'^{w}$  he threw it represents an older reduplicated \* $gwidi'k'^{w}t'$  (= gwid-i-gwd-), as proven by the corresponding form for the first person,  $gwidi'k'^{w}da^{\varepsilon}n$  i threw it and  $gwidi'k'^{w}dagwa$  he threw him (122.13). Similarly all participles showing the bare verb stem are found to be phonetically such as not to permit of a final -t', and are therefore historically identical with the other participial forms that show the -t':

```
s\tilde{a}k' shooting (=*s\tilde{a}k't')

d\tilde{o}x gathering (=*d\tilde{o}xt')

ha-t!\tilde{u}lk' following in path (=*t!\tilde{u}lk't')

sana'p' fighting (=*sana'p't')
```

#### Compare:

yana't' going loho't' dead sebe't' roasting domt' having killed se'nsant' whooping yi'lt' copulating with

The combinations -k'wt'k' (-k'wt'g-) and -k'wt'x-, however, seem to lose, not the -t'-, but the -k'w-, whereupon -t'k' (-t'g-) remains, while -t'x- regularly becomes -s- (see § 20, 2):

 $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}gwida't'k' (=*gwida'k'wt'-k', inferential of gwidik'wd-) he lost it he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}gwida't'ga^{\varepsilon} (=*gwida'k'wt'-ga^{\varepsilon}) I lost it xamgwidi'sgwide^{\varepsilon} (=*gwidi'k'wt'-x-gwi- or possibly *gwidi'k'wt'-gwi-) I drown myself$ 

[BULL. 40

2. Somewhat less transparent is the former existence of a -w after consonants. The following examples have been found in the material at disposal:

 $l\bar{a}l$  she twined basket (=\* $l\bar{a}lw$ ); cf.  $l\bar{a}^alwa'^\epsilon n$  I twine it (that -w really belongs to the stem is shown by the forms  $l\bar{a}^awa'n$  I shall twine it;  $l\hat{e}\bar{u}xi$  twine it for me!)

 $k!e\bar{l}$  basket bucket (= \* $k!e\bar{l}w$ ); cf.  $k!elw\bar{i}'^i$  her bucket

 $k'a\bar{l}$  penis (=\* $k'a\bar{l}w$ ); cf.  $k'alw\bar{i}'^i$  his penis.

 $sgel\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$  (=\* $sgel\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}w$ ) he keeps shouting; cf. sgelewa't' you shout,  $sgelwa't'e^{\varepsilon}$  I shall keep shouting

 $alsg\tilde{a}lk'a^{\epsilon}$  (=\* $sg\tilde{a}lwk'a^{\epsilon}$ ) I turned my head to one side to look at him; cf.  $alsg\tilde{a}^{a}lwi'n$  I shall turn my head to look at him

alsgelēlxi (=\*sgelēlwxi) he keeps turning his head to one side to look at me; cf. alsgalāaliwi'an I keep turning my head to look at him, future alsgalwalwi'n

This process, as further shown by cases like  $ga\tilde{\imath}$  EAT IT! (=\* $ga\tilde{\imath}w$ ), is really a special case of the simplification of double diphthongs (see § 11). Perhaps such "dissimilated" cases as  $l\bar{a}^a$ - and  $le^e$ - (for  $l\bar{u}u$ - and  $l\hat{e}u$ -), see § 7, really belong here.

Other consonants have doubtless dropped off under similar conditions, but the internal evidence of such a phenomenon is not as satisfactory as in the two cases listed. The loss of a final -n is probable in such forms as  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'$  me works, cf.  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'$  nae'n i work, and  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'$  nana'k' we work. Certain verb-forms would be satisfactorily explained as originally reduplicated like gwidi'k', if we could suppose the loss of certain final consonants:

 $gini'^{\epsilon}k'$  he went somewheres  $(=?*gin-i'-{\epsilon}k'n)$   $gelqulu'k'^{w}$  he desired it  $(=?*-gul-u'-k'^{w}l)$ 

In the case of these examples, however, such a loss of consonants is entirely hypothetical.<sup>1</sup>

#### § 19. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE CONSONANTS

Morphologically doubled consonants occur very frequently in Takelma, but phonetically such theoretic doublings are simplified into single consonants; i. e., k'+g become k' or g, and correspondingly for other consonants. If one of the consonants is a fortis, the simplified result will be a fortis or aspirated surd with preceding catch, according to the phonetic circumstances of the case. If one of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Many of the doubtful cases would perhaps be cleared up if material were available from the upper dialect, as it shows final clusters that would not be tolerated in the dialect treated in this paper; e. g.  $k \cdot \dot{u}'una'ks \cdot t'$  RELATIVES (cf. Takelma  $k'winaid \in MY$  KIN).

BOAS]

k- consonants is labialized, the resulting k- sound preserves the labial affection. Examples of consonant simplification are:

```
mo't'ek' my son-in-law (=mo't'-+-dek') l\tilde{a}k'w\hat{o}k' he gave him to eat (=l\tilde{a}g-+-k'w\hat{o}k') dek!iya'k'i^{\epsilon} if it goes on (=dek!iya'g-+-k'i^{\epsilon}) l\tilde{i}'gwa'n I shall fetch them home (=l\tilde{i}'g-+-gwan); cf. aorist ligigwa'^{\epsilon}n d\tilde{i}'hila'k!wem\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n I make him glad (=hila'k'^{*w} glad +k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n I make him)
```

A good example of three k-sounds simplifying to one is:

```
gin\tilde{a}k'wi^{\epsilon} if he comes (=gin\tilde{a}g-k'^{\omega}-k'i^{\epsilon})
```

The interrogative element di never unites with the -t' of a second person singular agrist, but each dental preserves its individuality, a light i being inserted to keep the two apart:

```
xemela't'idi do you wish to eat? (= xemela't'+di)
```

The operation of various phonetic processes of simplification often brings about a considerable number of homonymous forms. One example will serve for many. From the verb-stem  $s\bar{a}^a g$ - shoot are derived:

- 1. Imperative sāk' shoot it!
- 2. Potential  $s\tilde{a}k$  he can, might shoot it
- 3. Participle  $s\tilde{a}k'$  shooting (=\* $s\tilde{a}k't'$ )
- 4. Inferential  $s\tilde{a}k'$  so he shot it  $(=*s\tilde{a}g-k')$

The corresponding forms of the stem yana- go will bring home the fact that we are here really dealing with morphologically distinct formations:

- 1. yana' go!
- 2. yana' he would have gone
- 3. yana't' going
- 4. yana'k' so he went

Another simplification of consonant groups may be mentioned here. When standing immediately after a stop, an organic, etymologically significant h loses its individuality as such and unites with a preceding media or aspirated tenuis to form an aspirated tenuis, with a preceding fortis to form an aspirated tenuis preceded by a glottal catch (in the latter case the fortis, being a syllabic final, cannot preserve its original form). Thus, for the k- series, g or k'+h becomes k', k! (or k') k! becomes k', k! (or k') k! becomes k'. Under suitable conditions of accent

(see § 23) the contraction product k or k w may itself become g or gw, so that all trace of the original h seems to be lost. Examples for the k-sounds are:

t'gunũk' $i^{\varepsilon}$  (=t'gunũk'+quotative- $hi^{\varepsilon}$ ) it became warm, it is said  $nagan\bar{a}'^ak$ ' $i^{\varepsilon}$  (= $nagan\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}k$ '+quotative- $hi^{\varepsilon}$ ; see § 22) he always said, it is said

 $gwen-he'k'w\bar{a}^agw$ - (=reduplicated  $he'gw-h\bar{a}^agw$ -) relate; with aecent thrown forward  $gwen-hegw\bar{a}'^agw$ -an-i- (= $hegw-h\bar{a}'^agw$ -); compare, with preserved h, gwen-hegwe'hagw-an-i tell to

 $s \cdot o'wo^{\varepsilon}k' \hat{o}p'$  (= $s \cdot o'wo^{\varepsilon}k' - hap' = *s \cdot o'wok! - hap'$ ) he jumps ( $\hat{o} = wa$ ; see § 9) he jumps; compare  $s \cdot owo'k! ana^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to jump

Similarly, d or t' + h becomes t', t! (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}t'$ ) + h becomes  ${}^{\varepsilon}t'$ ; b or p' + h becomes p', p! (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}p'$ ) + h becomes  ${}^{\varepsilon}p'$ :

 $qana't'i \ (=qana't' + emphatic -hi)$  of just that sort

yo't'i (=yo't' being + emphatic -hi) alive; compare plural yot'i'hi

 $he^{i\epsilon}sg\bar{u}'^{u\epsilon}t'\hat{o}k'^{w}$  (= $sg\bar{u}'^{u}t!$ - $hak'^{w}$ ) cut away; compare  $he^{i\epsilon}sg\bar{o}'^{u}t!an$ I shall cut it away

s and x also generally contract with h to s and x, e. g.:  $n\bar{o}^u s \cdot i'^{\varepsilon} (= n\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon} s \cdot + -hi^{\varepsilon})$  next door, it is said.

#### § 20. CONSONANTS BEFORE x

No stopped consonant or spirant may stand before x, except p. The dentals, guttural stops, and sibilants all simplify with x into single sounds; the fortes (including ts!) following the example of the ordinary stops and of the s, but leaving a trace in the vicarious  $^{\varepsilon}$ .

1. All k- sounds (k', g, k!, k'w, gw, k!w) simply disappear before x without leaving any trace of their former existence, except in so far as k! and k!w remain as  $\varepsilon$ ; if x is followed by a vowel, the w of the labialized k-sounds unites with x to form xw:

 $alx\bar{\imath}'^ixi$  he saw me  $(=al-x\bar{\imath}'^ig-xi)$ ; ef.  $alx\bar{\imath}'^igi^{\epsilon}n$  I saw him

 $k'w\bar{a}'^axde^{\varepsilon}$  I awoke  $(=k'w\bar{a}'^agw-x-de^{\varepsilon})$ ; ef.  $\bar{\imath}k'w\bar{a}'^agwi^{\varepsilon}n$  I woke him up

 $gelgulu'xbi^{\varepsilon}n$  1 like you  $(=-gulu'gw-x-bi^{\varepsilon}n)$ ; ef.  $-gulugwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  1 like him

 $b\bar{a}^adini'^{\varepsilon}x$  (clouds) spread out on high ( =-dini'k!-x); ef. di'nik! $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I stretch it out

 $l\bar{u}^{\epsilon}xwa'$  to trap  $(=l\bar{u}k!^{\omega}-xa')$ ; ef. lo'k!wan I shall trap (deer)  $y\bar{\epsilon}xwink'$   $(=y\bar{\epsilon}gw-xink')$  he will bite me; but  $y\bar{\epsilon}xda^{\epsilon}$   $(=y\bar{\epsilon}gw-x-da^{\epsilon})$  you will bite me

2. tx always simplifies to s, t!x to s. Whether the combination tx really spontaneously developed into s it is naturally impossible to say; all that can safely be stated is that, where we should by morphologic analogy expect t+x, this combination as such never appears, but is replaced by s. Examples are numerous:

 $lebe'sa^{\varepsilon}$  she sews (= $lebe't-xa^{\varepsilon}$ ); cf., for -t' of stem, lebe't' she sewed it, for suffix  $-xa^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $lobo'xa^{\varepsilon}$  she pounds

sgelewa'lsi he shouts to me (=sgelewa'ld-xi); cf.  $sgelewa'lda^{\varepsilon}n$  I shout to him

 $d\bar{a}^{\epsilon\bar{\imath}bodoba'sa^{\epsilon}n}$  they pull out each other's hair, with reduplicated stem bodobad-+x-

 $x\bar{a}^at'be'^{\epsilon\epsilon}k't'bagams$  it is all tied together (=-t'bagamt-x); cf.  $x\bar{a}^at'b\bar{a}'^aqamda^\epsilon n$  I tie it together

 $hansg\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s$  he cut across, lay over (road) (=- $sg\bar{o}'^{u}t!$ -x); cf.  $hansg\bar{o}'^{u}t!an$  I shall cut it across

This change of tx to s is brought about constantly in the course of word-formation, and will be incidentally exemplified more than once in the morphology.

3. sx simplifies to s, ts!x (= $^{\epsilon}sx$ ) to  $^{\epsilon}s$ . Examples are:

 $yimi's \cdot a^{\varepsilon}$  he dreams (= $yimi's \cdot -xa^{\varepsilon}$ , with suffix  $-xa^{\varepsilon}$  as in  $lobo'xa^{\varepsilon}$  above

ha-uhana's it stopped (raining) (=\*-hana'sx, stem hanats!-+-x)

#### § 21. DISSIMILATION OF n TO / AND m

If a (generally) final n of a stem is immediately followed, or, less commonly, preceded by, a suffix containing a nasal, it dissimilates to l. The following examples have been found:

yalalana't' you lost it (cf. yalnanada' $\varepsilon$  you will lose it, with n preserved because it forms a consonant-cluster with l)

ha- $gw\bar{a}^a l$ -a'm in the road (cf.  $gw\bar{a}n$  road)

 $D\bar{\imath}dala$ 'm Grant's Pass (probably = over  $[d\bar{\imath}$ -] the rocks [da'n])

 $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  my urine;  $xala'xamt'e^{\epsilon}$  I urinate (cf.  $x\bar{a}n$  urine)

ba-is in-xi'lik!wi $\epsilon$ n I blow my nose, with l due to -n of prefix s in- nose (cf.  $x\bar{\imath}n$  mucus)

 $s \cdot inp'i'l^{\varepsilon}s$  flat-nosed, alongside of  $s \cdot inp'i'n^{\varepsilon}s$ 

The possibility of a doublet in the last example shows that the prefix  $s \cdot in$ - is not as thoroughly amalgamated with the rest of the word as are the suffixes; probably, also, the analogy of forms in  $-p'in^{\varepsilon}s$  with other prefixes not containing an n would tend to restore an anomalous-sounding  $s \cdot inp'i'l^{\varepsilon}s$  to  $-p'i'n^{\varepsilon}s$ .

A suffixed -(a)n dissimilates to -(a)l because of a preceding m in the stem:

 $s \cdot imi'l$  dew (cf. such norms as p!iyi'n deer)  $dak' - s \cdot \bar{o}^u ma'l$  on the mountain ( $s \cdot o\widetilde{m}$  mountain)  $d\bar{o}^u ma'lt'k'$  my testicles ( $d\tilde{o}^u m$  testicles)

With these compare:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts! $\bar{a}^a$ wa'n by the ocean (ts! $\bar{a}\bar{u}$  deep water)

In  $x\bar{a}^a$ -gulma'n among oaks, the l immediately preceding the m seems to have prevented the dissimilation of the -an to -al.

It is practically certain that the -am of hagwāala'm, Dīdala'm, and  $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  is at bottom phonetically as well as functionally identical with the suffix -an (-al), seen in  $x\bar{a}^a$ -qulma'n (qulu'm oak) and dak' $s \cdot \bar{o}^u m a' l$ , and rests on a second dissimilation of the nasal lingual (n) of the suffix to a labial nasal (m), because of the lingual (l) of the stem. The history of a word like  $haqw\bar{a}^a la$ 'm is in that event as follows: An original \* $hagw\bar{a}^ana$ 'n in the road (stem  $gw\bar{a}^an$ -+ nominal characteristic -an) becomes first \*haqwāala'n by the dissimilation of the first n because of the following n, then  $hagw\bar{a}^a la'm$  by the dissimi lation of this second n because of the preceding l. Similarly  $D\bar{\imath}dala$ 'm and  $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  would go back to \* $D\bar{\imath}dana'n$  and \* $x\bar{a}^a na'nt'k'$  respectively; with the second form compare the reduplicated verb xala'xam-(=\*xanaxan-) URINATE. The probability of such a dissimilation of n to m is greatly strengthened by the fact that nearly all nouns with an evidently suffixal noun-forming element -(a)m have an l in the stem as compared to an -(a)n of nouns not so affected. Contrast:

daga'n turtle he ela'm board (cf. dī he'liya sleeping on wooden platform) gela'm river wigin red lizard ts!ela'm hail (cf. stem ts!elp!iyi'n deer (-n here as suffix rattle) shown by p!iya'x fawn) xila'm sick, ghost yūt!u'n white duck (cf. yut! $u'yidi^{\epsilon}n$  I eat it greedily) ts: !ü'lm wart 1  $y\bar{u}'xq$ an trout habila'm empty  $xd\tilde{a}$ n eel (cf.  $h\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}$ - $xd\tilde{a}'^{a}xdagwa^{\epsilon}n$ I throw something slippery far away) lap'ām frog  $w\bar{o}^u p!$ un- eyebrows

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  No other example of final -lm is known, so that this form was probably misheard for ts 'lülü'm (cf. gulu'm oak).

<sup>§ 21</sup> 

BOAS]

yulu'm eagle (also yula'm is<br/>found) $d\bar{a}^a$ - n- ear<br/>found)gulu'm oak<br/> $k'\bar{u}$ lum fish (sp.?)bebe'n rushes<br/>ga'k!an house ladder<br/>qwit!in- wrist

It should not be concealed that a few words (such as hülün ocean. t!aga'm LAKE, and yuk!um-a- BONES) do not seem to conform to the phonetic law implied by the table; but more exact knowledge of the etymology of these and similar words would doubtless show such disagreement to be but apparent. It is probable that in delqa'n-BUTTOCKS, bilga'n- BREAST, and do'lk'in-i- ANUS, the q, (k') immediately following upon the l prevented the expected dissimilation of n to m; in le'k'wan- ANUS the dissimilation was perhaps thwarted by a counter-tendency to dissimilate the two labials (k'w and m) that would thus result. \*yalan-an-Lose (tr.), dissimilated, as we have seen, to yalal-an-, fails to be further dissimilated to \*yalalam- because, doubtless, there is a feeling against the obscuring of the phonetic form of the causative suffix -an-. The great probability of the existence of a dissimilatory tendency involving the change of n to m is clinched by the form do'lk'im-i- anus alongside of do'lk'in-i-.

A dissimilation of an original l to n (the reverse of the process first described), because of an l in the stem, is found in

 $yil\bar{\imath}^i nma'^{\epsilon}n$  I keep asking for it (= original \* $yil\bar{\imath}^i lma'^{\epsilon}n$  [l inserted as repetition of stem -l- in iterative formation from  $yilima'^{\epsilon}n$  I ask him])

 $le^{\epsilon}ba'nxde^{\epsilon}$  I am carrying (object not specified) (= original \* $le^{\epsilon}-ba'lxde^{\epsilon}$ ); cf. identical suffix -al-x-, e. g.,  $gayawa'lxde^{\epsilon}$  I eat.

In  $\bar{u}^u gwa'nxde^{\epsilon}$  I drink (stem  $\bar{u}gw$ -), it hardly seems plausible that -an-x- is at all morphologically different from the -al (-an) -x- of these words, yet no satisfactory reason can be given here for a change of the l to n.

#### § 22. CATCH DISSIMILATION

If to a form with a glottal catch in the last syllable is added a syntactic (conjunctive) element, itself containing a catch, the first catch is lost, but without involving a change in the character of the pitch-accent; the loss of the catch is frequently accompanied by a lengthening of the preceding vowel (or rather, in many cases, a restoration of the original length). This phonetic process finds its most frequent

application in the subordinate form of the third person agrist intransitive:

 $y\bar{a}'^a da^{\varepsilon}$  when he went (cf.  $ya'^{\varepsilon}$  he went)  $gin\bar{\iota}'^i k' da^{\varepsilon}$  when he went to (cf.  $gini'^{\varepsilon}k'$  he went to)  $yawa'ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he spoke (cf.  $yawa'^{i\varepsilon}$  he spoke)  $loho'ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he died (cf.  $loho'^{i\varepsilon}$  he died)

The connectives  $-hi^{\epsilon}$  it is said, and  $-s \cdot i^{\epsilon}$  but, and are, in regard to this process, parallel to the  $-da^{\epsilon}$  of the preceding forms:

 $naga'ih^{i\varepsilon}$  he said, it is said (cf.  $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he said)  $n\bar{o}^{u}s\cdot i'^{\varepsilon}$  but, so (he went) next door (cf.  $n\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s\cdot$  next door).  $a'n\bar{\imath}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  but not (cf.  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  not)  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s\cdot is\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  but no matter how (often) (cf.  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  even if)  $dal^{\varepsilon}w\bar{\imath}'^{i}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  but some (cf.  $dal^{\varepsilon}wi^{\varepsilon}$  sometimes;  $-w\bar{\imath}'^{i}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  is related to  $-wi^{\varepsilon}$  as is  $y\bar{a}'^{a}da^{\varepsilon}$  to  $ya'^{\varepsilon}$ )

# § 23. INFLUENCE OF PLACE AND KIND OF ACCENT ON MANNER OF ARTICULATION

The general phonetic rule may be laid down that an aspirated surd, when not immediately followed by another consonant, can, with comparatively few exceptions, be found as such medially only when the accent immediately precedes, provided that no consonant (except in certain circumstances l, m, and n) intervene between the accented vowel and the aspirated surd; under other conditions it appears as a media. This phonetic limitation naturally brings about a constant interchange between the aspirated surd and the corresponding media in morphologically identical elements. Thus we have as doublets -da and -t a, third person possessive pronoun of certain nouns:

bēmt'āa his stick se''lt'āa his writing wila'ut'āa his arrow ga'lt'āa his bow mo't'āa his son-in-law; but da'gaxda his head

and numerous other nouns with -x. This consonant in itself, as we have seen, demands a following media. Another pair of doublets is  $-de^{\varepsilon}$  and  $-t'e^{\varepsilon}$ , first person singular subject intransitive agric ( $-de^{\varepsilon}$  and  $-t'e^{\varepsilon}$  to correspond in future):

 $p\text{'}ele'xa\text{de}^\varepsilon$  I go to fight;  $p\text{'}elxa'\text{t'e}^\circ$  I shall go to war  $y\tilde{a}n\text{t'e}^\varepsilon$  I go;  $yana'\text{t'e}^\circ$  I shall go  $naga\tilde{\imath}\text{t'e}^\varepsilon$  I say;  $na'\text{t'e}^\circ$  I shall say

BOAS]

but:

wits:!ismade I keep moving; future wits:!e'smade (contrast wits:!im̃t'e I move and wisma't'e I shall move)

Other examples of interchange are:

 $sg\bar{o}^ut^*sga't^*i$  he cut them to pieces;  $sg\bar{o}'^ut^*sgidi^{\epsilon}n$  I cut them to pieces

ts·!ümūmt'a<sup>e</sup>n I boil it, s·ūmt'an I shall boil it (stem s·ü<sup>u</sup>m-t'a-); s·omoda'<sup>e</sup>n I boil it, s·omda'n I shall boil it (evidently related stem s·om-d-)

 $s \cdot as \cdot in \tilde{\imath} p' i k'$  we stand;  $e^e b i' k'$  we are

This phonetic rule must not be understood to mean that a media can never appear under the conditions given for the occurrence of a surd. The various grammatical elements involved are not all on one line. It seems necessary to assume that some contain a surd as the primary form of their consonant, while others contain an organic media. The more or less mechanical changes in manner of articulation, already treated of, have had the effect, however, of so inextricably interlocking the aspirated surds and mediae in medial and final positions that it becomes difficult to tell in many cases which manner of articulation should be considered the primary form of the consonant. Some of the medially occurring elements with primary tenuis are:

-t'a, third person possessive

-t'a, exclusive (as in k!wa'lt'a young, not old; younger one)

 $-t^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ , first person intransitive agrist (future,  $-t^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ )

-t'ek', first person singular possessive (as in ga'lt'ek' my bow)

Such elements show an aspirated consonant whether the preceding accent be rising or falling; e. g.,  $b\bar{e}mt'a$  like he'e'lt'a. Some of those with primary media are:

-da, third person possessive with preceding preposition (corresponding not to first person -t'ek', -dek', but to - $d\tilde{e}$ )

-a'ld- and -a'md- indirect object

 $-da^{\varepsilon}$ , subordinating element

This second set regularly keep the media whether the accent immediately precedes or not. The first two of these generally, if not always, require the preceding accent to be a falling one:

 $dak'wil\bar{\imath}'^i da$  on his house  $hat'g\bar{a}'^a da$  in his country  $x\bar{a}^a sa'lda$  between his toes  $x\bar{a}^a ha'mda$  on his back  $3045^\circ$ —Bull. 40, pt 2—12—4

[BULL, 40

hawa'nda under him sgelewa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I shout to him ts!elela'mda<sup>e</sup>n I paint it

The third retains its primary character as media when the preceding verb form has the falling accent:

yewe'ida<sup>ɛ</sup> when he returned naga'-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> when he said baxa'mda<sup>ɛ</sup> when he came hele'lda<sup>ɛ</sup> when he sang xebe'nda<sup>ɛ</sup> when he did it

On the other hand it appears as an aspirate tenuis when preceded by the rising accent:

 $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e} t' a^{\varepsilon}$  as it became  $s:as:in\bar{i}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  when he stood

The rule first given, when interpreted in the light of a reconstructed historical development, would then mean that a rising accent preserved an immediately following aspirated surd (including always those cases in which l, m, or n intervened), and caused the change of a media to an aspirated surd; while a falling accent preserved a similarly situated media or aspirated surd in its original form. That the change in the phonetic circumstances defined of an original media to an aspirated surd is indeed conditioned by a preceding rising accent, is further indicated by such rather uncommon forms as hadedil-t'a everywheres. Here the -t'a is evidently the same as the -da of  $hawil\bar{b}'^ida$  in his house, and the difference in manner of articulation is doubtless in direct relation to the difference of accent.

A modification of the general phonetic rule as first given remains to be mentioned. After l, m, or n an original aspirated tenuis retains its aspiration even if the accent falls on the preceding syllable but one; also after a short vowel preceded by l, m, or n, provided the accented vowel is short. Examples are:

alwe'k!alt'e' I shall shine; alwe'k!alp'igam we shall shine; alwe'-k!alk'wa to shine

 $k'e'p'alt'e^e$  I shall be absent; k'e'p'alk'wa to be absent  $w\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'hamt'e^e$  I have menstrual courses for the first time  $xala'xamt'e^e$  I urinate

ī'mhamk'am he was sent off (ī is short, though close in quality; contrast dōmhigam he was killed)

īmi'hamk'wit' he sent himself

BOAS]

ts:!ümü'ts:!amt'a<sup>e</sup>n I always boil it (cf. s·omoda'<sup>e</sup>n I boil it)
s·a's.ant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall stand; s·a's·anp'igam we shall stand; s·a's·ank'wa to stand
sene'sant'e<sup>e</sup> I whoop; se'nsant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall whoop
de<sup>e</sup>īwī'igank'wide<sup>e</sup> I spread (it) out for myself
dasga'lit'ā<sup>a</sup> (grain) will lie scattered about

With  $-t'\bar{a}^a$  and  $-t'e^{\epsilon}$  above contrast the morphologically identical elements  $-d\bar{a}^a$  and  $-de^{\epsilon}$  of the following examples, in which the same accentual condition prevails but with a consonant other than l, m, or n preceding the affected dental:

t'ge'its:!idāa (round object) will lie (there)
s:u'k'didāa (string) will lie curled up
dak't'ek!e'xade I smoke (but future -xa't'e because of immediately preceding accent)

#### § 24. INORGANIC h

Whenever two morphologically distinct vowels come together within the word (verbal prefixes and postposed particles, such as deictic -a', are not considered as integral parts of the word), the first (accented) vowel is separated from the second by an "inorganic" -h-:

 $\bar{\imath}t!ana'hi^{\varepsilon}n$  I hold it (aorist stem t!ana- + instrumental -i-), but future  $\bar{\imath}t!ani'n$  (stem t!an-)

dak'-da-hala'hin I shall answer him (future stem hala- + instrumental -i-), but aorist dak'-da- $h\bar{a}^a li$ ' $^\epsilon n$  (stem  $h\bar{a}^a l$ -)

This inorganic h is found also immediately following an m, n, or l preceded by the accent:

 $way\bar{a}nha^{\epsilon}n$  I put him to sleep (cf. same form with change of accent  $wa-y\bar{a}^ana'^{\epsilon}n$ )

 $d\tilde{a}^{a\epsilon}ag\tilde{a}nhi^{\epsilon}n$  I used to hear about it (cf. -agani'^{\epsilon}n I hear it)

liwilhaut'e<sup>ε</sup> I kept looking (cf. liwila'ut'e<sup>ε</sup> I looked)

xa-it'gī'lt'ga'lhi he broke it in two (cf. with identical -i- suffix xāasalt'gwi'lt'gwili he broke [somebody's arm] by stepping)

 $\bar{v}'mhamk'am$  he was sent off (also in a orist stem  $\bar{v}miham$ -)  $wad\bar{v}mhik'$  he killed him with it (stem  $d\bar{v}^um$ - + -i-)

It will be observed that the insertion of the h is practically the same phonetic phenomenon as the occurrence of an aspirated tenuis instead of a media after an accented vowel. The vowel, nasal, or liquid may appropriately enough be considered as having become aspirated under the influence of the accent, just as in the case of the mediae.

## **MORPHOLOGY** (§§ 25–114)

## § 25. Introductory

Takelma conforms to the supposedly typical morphology of American languages in that it is thoroughly incorporating, both as regards the pronominal, and, though somewhat less evidently, the nominal object. If by "polysynthetic" is merely meant the introduction into the verb-complex of ideas generally expressed by independent elements (adverbs or the like), then Takelma is also polysynthetic, yet only moderately so as compared with such extreme examples of the type as Eskimo or Kwakiutl. The degree of intimacy with which the pronominal objective elements on the one hand, and the nominal objective and polysynthetic (instrumental and local) elements on the other, are combined with the internal verb-structure is decidedly different. The former combine as suffixes to form an indissoluble part, as it were, of the verb-form, the subjective elements of the transitive verb, though in themselves absolutely without independent existence, being secondarily attached to the stem already provided with its pronominal object. The latter vary in degree of independence; they are strung along as prefixes to the verb, but form no integral part of its structure, and may, as far as grammatical coherence is concerned, fall away entirely.

The polysynthetic character of the Takelma verb (and by discussing the verb we touch, as so frequently in America, upon the most vital element of the sentence) seems, then, a comparatively accidental, superimposed feature. To use the term "polysynthetic" as a catchword for the peculiar character of Takelma, as of many another American language, hardly hits the core of the matter. On the other hand, the term "incorporation," though generally of more value as a classificatory label than "polysynthesis," conveys information rather as to the treatment of a special, if important, set of concepts, than as to the general character of the process of form-building.

If we study the manner in which the stem unites in Takelma with derivative and grammatical elements to form the word, and the vocalic and consonantic changes that the stem itself undergoes for grammatical purposes, we shall hardly be able to find a tangible difference

BOAS]

in general method, however much the details may vary, between Takelma and languages that have been dignified by the name "inflectional." It is generally said, in defining inflection, that languages of the inflectional as contrasted with those of the agglutinative type make use of words of indivisible psychic value, in which the stem and the various grammatical elements have entirely lost their single individualities, but have "chemically" (!) coalesced into a single formunit; in other words, the word is not a mere mosaic of phonetic materials, of which each is the necessary symbol of some special concept (stem) or logical category (grammatical element).

In support of the actual existence of this admired lack of a one-to-one correspondence between a grammatical category and its phonetic expression is often quoted the multiplicity of elements that serve to symbolize the same concept; e. g., Lat.  $-\bar{\imath}$ , ae, -a,  $-\bar{e}s$ ,  $-\bar{u}s$ , all indicate that the idea of a plurality of subjects is to be associated with the concrete idea given by the main body of the words to which they are attached. Furthermore, variability of the stem or base itself is frequently adduced as a proof of its lack of even a relative degree of individuality apart from the forms from which by analysis it has been abstracted; e. g., German bind-, band-, bund-, bünd-, bünd-. These two characteristics are very far indeed from constituting anything like a definition of inflection, but they are often referred to as peculiar to it, and hence may well serve us as approximate tests.

As regards the first test, we find that just such a multiplicity of phonetic symbols for the same, or approximately the same, concept, is characteristic of Takelma. The idea of possession of an object by a person or thing other than the speaker or person addressed is expressed by -xa, -a, -da (-t'a), -t', or -, all of which are best rendered by HIS, HER, ITS, THEIR (the ideas of gender and number do not here enter as requiring grammatical expression). Similarly, the idea of the person speaking as subject of the action or state predicated by the main body of the verb is expressed by the various elements  $-t'e^{\epsilon}$  ( $-de^{\epsilon}$ ),  $-t'e^{\epsilon}$  ( $-de^{\epsilon}$ ),  $-\epsilon n$ , -n,  $-k'a^{\epsilon}$  ( $-ga^{\epsilon}$ ), all of which are best rendered in English by "I."  $-t'e^{\epsilon}$  is confined to the acrist of intransitive verbs;  $-t'e^{\epsilon}$  is future intransitive;  $-\epsilon n$  is acrist transitive; -n is future transitive; and  $-k'a^{\epsilon}$  is used in all inferential forms, whether transitive or intransitive.

As for the second test, it soon appears that the Takelma stem may undergo even more far-reaching changes than we are accustomed to in German or Greek. As examples may serve:

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -,  $d\ddot{u}^{\bar{u}} m$ -, t!omom-  $(t!om\bar{o}^u$ -),  $t!\ddot{u}m\ddot{u}^{\bar{u}}$ - kill  $n\bar{a}^a g$ -,  $ne^e$ -, naga-, nege- say to

The first form in each of these sets is the verb-stem, properly speaking, and is used in the formation of all but the acrist forms. The second is employed in non-acrist forms when the incorporated object of the verb is a first person singular, and in several derivative formations. The third is characteristic of the acrist. The fourth is used in the acrist under the same conditions as determine the use of the second form of the stem in other groups of forms. It needs but a moment's thought to bring home the general psychic identity of such stem-variability and the "ablaut" of many German verbs, or the Latin stem-variation in present and perfect:

frang-: frēg- break da-: ded- give

If the typical verb (and, for that matter, noun) form of Takelma is thus found to be a firm phonetic and psychic unit, and to be characterized by some of the supposed earmarks of inflection, what is left but to frankly call the language "inflectional"? "Polysynthetic" and "incorporative" are not in the slightest degree terms that exclude such a designation, for they have reference rather to the detailed treatment of certain groups of concepts than to morphologic method. Everything depends on the point of view. If chief stress for purposes of classification is laid on the relative importance and fulness of the verb, Takelma is polysynthetic; if the criterion of classification be taken to be whether the verb takes the pronominal object within its structure or not, it is incorporating; if, finally, stress be laid on the general method of building up the word from smaller elements, it is inflective. Not that Takelma is in the least thereby relegated to a peculiar or in any way exceptional position. A more objective, unhampered study of languages spoken in various parts of the world will undoubtedly reveal a far wider prevalence than has been generally admitted of the inflectional type. The error, however, must not be made of taking such comparatively trivial characteristics as sex gender, or the presence of cases, as criteria of inflection. Inflection has reference to method, not to subject-matter.

BOAS]

# Grammatical Processes (§§ 26–32)

# § 26. General Remarks

There are four processes employed in Takelma for purposes of grammatical modification and word-formation: affixation (pre-, in-, and suffixation), reduplication, vocalic change (ablaut), and consonant change (consonant ablaut). Pitch-accent is of grammatical importance, but is most probably a product of purely phonetic causes. Of the processes mentioned, suffixation is by far the most important, while the presence of infixation will have to be allowed or denied according to the definition given of it.

# § 27. Prefixation

Prefixation is either of the loose polysynthetic type already referred to, or of the more firmly knit inflective type. Loose prefixation is extremely common, nominal objects, instruments, and local ideas of one kind or another finding admittance into the word-complex, as we have seen, in this manner. Examples of such loose prefixation are:

gwen-sa'l-yowos he looked back (gwen- in back; al- is difficult to define, but can perhaps be best described as indicative of action away from one's self, here with clear implication of sight directed outward; yowos he was, can be used as independent word) s'in-ī-lats!agi'sn I touched his nose (s'in- nose; ī- with hand; lats!agi'sn I touched him, as independent word) gwent'ge'm black necked (gwen- nape, neck; t'ge'm black)

The first example shows best the general character of loose prefixation. The prefixed elements gwen-, al-, s-in-, and  $\bar{\imath}$ - have no separate existence as such, yet in themselves directly convey, except perhaps al-, a larger, more definitely apperceived, share of meaning than falls to the lot of most purely grammatical elements. In dealing with such elements as these, we are indeed on the borderland between independent word and affix. The contrast between them and grammatical suffixes comes out strongest in the fact that they may be entirely omitted without destroying the reality of the rest of the word, while the attempt to extract any of the other elements leaves an unmeaning remainder. At the same time, the first example well illustrates the point that they are not so loosely attached but that they may entirely alter the concrete meaning of the word. Prefixation of the inflective type is very rare. There is only one

such prefix that occurs with considerable frequency, wi-, first person singular possessive of nouns of relationship:

wiha'm my father hami' t' your father

# § 28. Suffixation

Suffixation is the normal method employed in building up actual forms of nouns and verbs from stems. The suffixes in themselves have for the most part very little individuality, some of them being hardly evident at all except to the minute linguistic analyst. The notions they convey are partly derivational of one kind or other. In the verb they express such ideas as those of position, reciprocal action, causation, frequentative action, reflexive action, spontaneous activity, action directed to some one, action done in behalf of some From the verb-stem such adjectival and nominal derivations as participles, infinitives, or abstract nouns of action, and nouns of agent are formed by suffixation. In the noun itself various suffixed elements appear whose concrete meaning is practically nil. Other suffixes are formal in the narrower sense of the word. They express pronominal elements for subject and object in the verb, for the possessor in the noun, modal elements in the verb. Thus a word like t!omoxinik' we kill one another contains, besides the agrist stem  $t!om\bar{o}$ - (formed from  $d\bar{o}^u m$ -), the suffixed elements -x- (expressing general idea of relation between subject and object), -in- umlauted from -an- (element denoting reciprocal action [-x-in-= EACH OTHER, ONE ANOTHER]), and -ik' (first personal plural subject intransitive aorist). As an example of suffixation in the noun may be given t!ibagwa'n-t'k' MY PANCREAS. This form contains, besides the stem t!iba-, the suffixed elements -qw- (of no ascertainable concrete significance, but employed to form several body-part nouns; e. g., t!iba'k'w PANCREAS 47.17), -an- (apparently meaningless in itself and appearing suffixed to many nouns when they are provided with possessive endings), and -t'k' (first personal singular possessive).

# § 29. Infixation

Infixation, or what superficially appears to be such, is found only in the formation of certain agrist stems and frequentatives. Thus the agrist stem mats!ag- (from masg- PUT) shows an intrusive or \$\$ 28-29

BOAS]

infixed -a- between the s (strengthened to ts!) and g of the stem. Similarly the aorist stem wits:!im- (from wism- move) shows an infixed i. Infixation in frequentative forms is illustrated by:

yonoina' n I always sing (aorist stem yonon-)
ts!aya'k' he used to shoot them (cf. ts!aya'k' he shot them)

On examination it is found that the infixed element is invariably a repetition of part of the phonetic material given by the stem. Thus the infixed -a- and -i- of mats!ag- and wits!im- are repetitions of the -a- and -i- of the stems masg- and wism-; the infixed -i- of yonoin- and ts!ayaig- are similarly repetitions of the y- of yonon and -y- of ts!ayag-. It seems advisable, therefore, to consider all eases of infixation rather as stem-amplifications related to reduplication. An infixed element may itself be augmented by a second infixation. Thus we have:

Verb stem	Aorist stem	Frequentative
hemg- take out	hemeg-	$heme^{e}mg$ -
ts!a-im- hide	ts!ayam-	ts!aya-im-
masg- put	mats!ag-	$mats!ar{a}^a sg$ -
yawī- talk	yawa- $i$ -	$yar{a}wa$ - $iy$ -
baxm- come	baxam-	$bax\bar{a}^axm$ -

# § 30. Reduplication

Reduplication is used in Takelma as a grammatical process with surprising frequency, probably as frequently as in the Salish languages. The most interesting point in connection with it is probably the fact that the reduplicating increment follows the base, never, as in most languages (Salish, Kwakiutl, Indo-Germanic), precedes it. It is, like the infixation spoken of above, employed partly in the formation of the aorist, partly to express frequentative or usitative action. Some nouns show reduplicated stems, though, as a process, reduplication is not nearly as important in the noun as in the verb. Some verbs, including a number that do not seem to imply a necessary repetitive action, are apparently never found in unreduplicated form. Four main types of reduplication, with various subtypes, occur:

1. A partial reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the vowel and final consonant of the stem:

```
aorist helel- (from he^{\epsilon}l- sing)
aorist t!omom- (from d\bar{o}^um- kill)
```

The reduplicated vowel is lengthened in certain forms, e. g.,  $hele^{c}l$ -,  $t!om\bar{o}^{u}m$ -.

1 a. A subtype of 1 is illustrated by such forms as exhibit an unreduplicated consonant after the reduplicated portion of the word, the second vowel in such cases being generally long

aorist ts:!ümüümt'a- (from s:üümt'a- boil)

usitative aorist  $t!\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lg$ - (from verb stem  $t!\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lg$ -, aorist  $t!\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}g$ follow trail)

usitative agrist ginī<sup>i</sup>ng- (from verb stem ging-, agrist ginig- go to; ging-, ginig- itself is probably reduplicated from gin-)

2. A complete reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the entire base with a change of the stem-vowel to a:

aorist  $t!\grave{e}ut!au$ - (from  $t!\grave{e}u$ - play shinny) aorist bot'bad- (from  $b\bar{o}^ud$ - pull out one's hair) aorist  $b\bar{a}^a$ - sal- xo(x)xag come to a stand (pl.); aorist sal-xog- $\bar{v}^i$ stand (pl.)

3. A complete reduplication, as in 2, with the addition of a connecting vowel repeated from the vowel of the stem:

aorist yuluyal- (cf. verb stem yulyal- rub)

aorist frequentative hogohag- keep running (from  $h\bar{o}^u g$ - run)

aorist frequentative s·wilis·wal- tear to pieces; verb stem s·wils·wal- (from aorist s·wīils·wal- tear; verb stem s·wīil-)

If the stem ends in a fortis consonant, the reduplicating syllable regularly shows the corresponding media (or aspirated tenuis):

sgot!osgad- cut to pieces (from verb stem  $sg\bar{o}^ut!$ -, aorist  $sg\bar{o}^ud$ - cut)

3 a. A subgroup of 3 is formed by some verbs that leave out the -a-of the reduplicating syllable:

 $gwidik``^{u}d$ - throw (base gwid-)

4. An irregular reduplication, consisting of a repetition of the vowel of the stem followed by  $-(^{\epsilon})a$ - + the last and first (or third) consonants of the stem in that order:

frequentative a rist t!omoamd-, as though instead of \*t!omo-t!am-; cf. non-a rist  $d\bar{o}^u m dam$ - (from a rist t!omom- kill)

frequentative aorist k!eme\*amg- (from k!eme-n- make; verb stem k!em-n-)

frequentative a orist  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}^{\epsilon}aug$ -, as though instead of \* $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}p!aug$ - (from a orist  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}k!$ - name)

It will be noticed that verbs of this type of reduplication all begin with fortis consonants. The glottal catch is best considered a partial representative of the initial fortis; in cases like k!eme\*camg- an original

BOAS]

-k!am (i. e., - $\epsilon gam$ ) may be conceived of as undergoing partial metathesis to - $\epsilon amq$ .

Other rarer reduplications or stem-amplifications occur, and will be treated in speaking of aorist formations and frequentatives.

# § 31. Vowel-Ablaut

Vowel-ablaut consists of the palatalization of non-palatal stem-vowels in certain forms. Only o and a (with corresponding long vowels and diphthongs) are affected; they become respectively  $\ddot{u}$  ( $\ddot{u}$ ) and e. In sharp contradistinction to the i- umbaut of an original a to i, this ablaut affects only the radical portion of the word, and thus serves as a further criterion to identify the stem. Thus we have  $we^e ga'si$  He brought it to me (from stem  $w\ddot{a}^a g$ -, as shown also by  $w\ddot{a}^a g$ - $iwi'^e n$  i brought it to him), but wege'sink' He will bring it to me (from stem waga-, as shown also by waga-wi'n i'll bring it to him), both i- umbaut and stem-ablaut serving in these cases to help analyze out the stems. Vowel-ablaut occurs in the following cases:

1. Whenever the object of the transitive verb or subject of the passive is the first person singular:

mele'xi he told it to me 172.17, but mala'xbi\*n I told it to you (162.6)

nege's i he said to me 186.22, but naga'sam he said to us (178.12)  $d\bar{u}mxina^{\varepsilon}$  I shall be slain (192.11), but  $d\tilde{o}mxbina^{\varepsilon}$  you will be slain (178.15)

 $gel-l\bar{u}h\bar{u}igwa'si$  he avenges me, but  $-lohoigwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I avenge him (148.3)

Not infrequently vowel-ablaut in such cases is directly responsible for the existence of homonyms, as in yeweyagwa'si HE TALKS ABOUT ME (from yaway-talk), and yeweyagwa'si HE RETURNS WITH ME (from yewei-return).

2. With the passive participial endings -ak'w, -ik'w:

wase gi'k'' wherewith it is shot (from  $s\bar{a}^ag$ - shoot) me'xak'' having father (from ma'xa his father) wa  $\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}$  have been gathering them) (from  $d\bar{o}^ux$ - gather)  $dal^e$ -wa- $p'\bar{u}'t!ik''$  mixed with (from  $p'\bar{o}t!$ - mix) 178.5

3. In some verbs that have the peculiar intransitive-forming suffix -x-, by no means in all:

```
geyewa'lxde^{\epsilon} I eat (136.15) (cf. gayawa'^{\epsilon}n I eat it 30.11) le^{\epsilon}ba'nx he carries 178.6 (stem l\bar{a}^ab-)
```

 $d\bar{\imath}d\bar{a}^a t'be'^{\epsilon\epsilon}k't'bag-ams$  (= -amtx) they had their hair tied on sides of head (from base  $t'b\bar{a}^a g$ -) 142.17; cf. - $t'b\bar{a}'^a gamda^{\epsilon}n$  I tie his hair (27.1)

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

No satisfactory reason can be given why most verbs in -x- do not show this stem-palatalization. It is quite possible that its occurrence is confined to a restricted number of such verbs; at any rate, there is some limitation in its employment, which the material at hand has not been found extensive enough to define.

4. In nouns ending in -x-ap' (-s-ap'=-t-x-ap'), probably derived from such verbs in -x- as were referred to under 3:

```
x\bar{a}^a l e' 'sap' belt (cf. x\bar{a}^a l \bar{a}'^a da^\varepsilon n I put it about my waist) hal \bar{u}'^{u\varepsilon} x \hat{o} p' (= -x^w a p') shirt (cf. hal \bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon} k' she put on [her dress])
```

5. In verbs provided with the suffix -xa-, which serves to relieve transitive verbs of the necessity of expressing the object:

```
l\ddot{u}'^{\varepsilon}xwagwadinin (=l\ddot{u}k!-xa-) I'll trap for him (stem l\ddot{o}k!^{w}-) il\ddot{u}'pxagwank' she shall pound with (stone pestle) (cf. lobo'p' she pounds them) k!cde\tilde{\iota}xade^{\varepsilon} I was out picking (cf. k!ad\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}n I pick them, k!ad\tilde{a}\tilde{\iota} he
```

picks them)

 $ts!eye'mxade^{\varepsilon}$  I hide things (cf.  $ts!ayama'^{\varepsilon}n$  I hide it)

6. In reflexive verbs ending in -gwi- or -k'wa- (-gwa-):

 $k!\tilde{\epsilon}t'gw\tilde{\epsilon}t'p'$  pick them for yourself! (stem  $k!\tilde{a}^ad$ -) alts! $\epsilon y\tilde{\epsilon}k'wit'$  he washed himself with it (cf. alts! $ay\tilde{a}p'$  he washed his own face)

 $\bar{\imath}lets!\bar{\epsilon}k'wide^{\epsilon}$  I touch myself (cf.  $\bar{\imath}lats!agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I touch him)  $k!ed\bar{\epsilon}ik'wa^{\epsilon}n$  I pick them for myself (aorist stem  $k!ad\bar{a}i$ -)  $aln\bar{\imath}'uk'wa$  he painted his own face (stem  $n\bar{o}^uqw$ -)

Yet many, perhaps most, reflexive verbs fail to show the palatal ablaut:

```
p!aq\tilde{a}nk'wit' he bathed himself t'gw\bar{a}^axa'nt'gwide^e I shall tattoo myself (but l\bar{u}'^ugwant'gwide^e I trap deer for myself) x\bar{a}^a-sq\bar{o}'^ut'gwide^e I cut myself \bar{i}gaxaga'xqwa^en I scratch myself
```

We have here the same difficulty as in 3. Evidently some factor or factors enter into the use of the ablaut that it has not been found possible to determine.

7. Other cases undoubtedly occur, but there are not enough of them in the material gathered to allow of the setting up of further groups. All that can be done with those cases that do not fall

BOAS]

within the first six groups is to list them as miscellaneous cases. Such are:

gwel-leīsde I shall be lame (cf. gwel-la'is k!emna'n I shall make him lame

 $le^e psi$ ' wing (if derived, as seems probable, from stem  $l\bar{a}^a b$ - carry)  $t!emeya'nwia^{u\varepsilon}$  people go along to see her married 178.1 (cf.  $t!amayana'^{\varepsilon}n$  I take her somewheres to get her married [148.5])

Palatal ablaut, it should be noted, does not affect the -a- of the second member of reduplicated verbs:

t'gāalt'ga'l it bounced from her 140.8 t'geeltg'a'lsi it bounced from me

The connecting vowel, however, of verbs reduplicated according to the third type always follows the stem-vowel:

dak'da-hele'halxa $de^{\epsilon}$  I am accustomed to answer (stem - $h\bar{a}^a l$ -)

It is difficult to find a very tangible psychic connection between the various cases that require the use of the palatal ablaut, nor is there the slightest indication that a phonetic cause lies at the bottom of the phenomenon. If we disregard the first group of cases, we shall find that they have this in common, they are all or nearly all intransitives derived from transitives by means of certain voice-forming elements (-x-, -xa-, -gwi-, -k'wa-), or else nominal passives or derivatives of such intransitives (-ak'w, -x-ap'); -k'wa-, it is true, takes transitive pronominal forms; but it is logically intransitive in character in that it indicates action in reference to something belonging to the subject. The only trait that can be found in common to the first group and the remaining is that the action may be looked upon as self-centered; just as, e. g., a form in -xa- denotes that the (logically) transitive action is not conceived of as directed toward some definite outside object, but is held within the sphere of the person of central interest (the subject), so, also, in a form with incorporated first person singular object, the action may be readily conceived of as taking place within the sphere of the person of central interest from the point of view of the speaker. No difficulty will be found in making this interpretation fit the other cases, though it is not conversely true that all forms implying self-centered action undergo palatalization. The explanation offered may be considered too vague to be convincing; but no better can be offered. In any event, the palatal ablaut will be explained as the symbolic expression of some general mental attitude rather than of a clear-cut grammatical concept.

Besides these regular interchanges of non-palatal and palatalized vowels, there are a number of cases of words showing differing vowels, but whose genetic relationship seems evident. These vocalic variations have not been brought into the form of a rule; the number of examples is small and the process apparently touches rather the lexical material than the morphology. Variations of this character between a and e are:

 $gala-b-a'^{\epsilon}n$  I twist it;  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ -wa-gele-g- $i'^{\epsilon}n$  I drill for fire with it (88.12),  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}al$ -gelegal- $a'mda^{\epsilon}n$  I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -dala-g- $a'mda^{\epsilon}n$  I pierce his ear (22.1);  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dele-b- $i'^{\epsilon}n$  I stick it through his ear

la" excrement 122.2; le'-k'w-an-t'k' my anus

Variations between o(u) and  $\ddot{u}$  are:

 $s \cdot omoda'^{\epsilon}n$  I boil it (58.10);  $ts \cdot ! \ddot{u}m\tilde{u}mt'a^{\epsilon}n$  I boil it (170.17) xuma' food 54.4;  $x\ddot{u}m\ddot{u}'k'de^{\epsilon}$  I am sated (130.18)

An  $a-\ddot{u}$  variation is seen in:

hau-hana's it stopped (raining) 196.8; p!ai-hunū'us he shrank 33.16

Variations between a and i are:

yawaīt'e<sup>e</sup> I talk (132.3); yiwiya'ut'e<sup>e</sup> I keep talking, I converse (194.5); yiwin talking, (power of) speech 138.4

laba'n I shall carry it (124.5); libin news (what is carried about from mouth to mouth[?]) 194.9

Of o(u)-e variations there have been found:

lohoĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I die 184.18; leheĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I drift dead ashore (75.5)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -huk!u'hak'na $^{\varepsilon}$ n I breathe;  $x\bar{a}^a$ -hege'hak'na $^{\varepsilon}$ n I breathe (79.2) t!os· $\bar{o}'^u$  little 180.20; al- $t!e^{\varepsilon}s$ ·i't' little-eyed 94.3

An e—i variation is found in the probably related:

 $p! e y \bar{e} n t' e^{\epsilon}$ I lie 71.5 (future  $p! \dot{e}' t' e^{\epsilon}$  [146.9]);  $gwen-p! i y i' n k' w a^{\epsilon} n$ I lie on pillow (future gwen-p! i k' w a n)

t'geeya'lx it rolls; a'l-t'gī'ya'lx tears rolled from (his) eyes 138.25

# § 32. Consonant-Ablaut

Consonant-ablaut, ordinarily a rare method of word-formation, plays a rather important part in the tense-formation (aorist and non-aorist) of many verbs. The variation is in every case one between fortis and non-fortis; i. e., between p!, t!, ts!, and b, d, g, s, respectively. Three main types of grammatical consonant change are to be recognized:

BOAS]

1. An initial fortis in the agrist as opposed to an initial media in non-agrist forms:

aorist k!olol- (stem  $g\bar{o}^ul$ - dig) aorist t!ebe- (stem  $de^eb$ - arise) aorist t!ayag- (stem  $d\bar{a}^ag$ - find)

2. A medial fortis followed by a vowel in the agrist as opposed to a medial tenuis followed by a consonant in non-agrist forms:

aorist lop!od- (stem lop'd- rain, snow, or hail) aorist lats!ag- (stem lasg- touch)

3. A medial media in the agrist as opposed to a medial fortis in the remaining forms:

aorist  $n\bar{u}^u d$ - (stem  $n\bar{u}^u t!$ - drown) aorist  $w\bar{i}^i q$ - (stem  $w\bar{i}k!$ - spread)

Needless to say, this consonant-ablaut has absolutely nothing to do with the various mechanical consonant-changes dealt with in the phonology.

A few examples of consonant-ablaut not connected with regular grammatical changes have also been found:

s·omod- boil; ts·!ümüümt'a- boil

hau-gwen-yut!uyad-i- swallow down greedily (like duck or hog) 126.10; hau-gwen-yunu<sup>e</sup>yan-i- dit.

The second example illustrates an interchange not of fortis and non-fortis (for  $n^{\epsilon}$  is related to n as is t! to d), but of non-nasal stop and nasal.

# I. The Verb (§§ 33-83)

# §33. Introductory

The verb is by far the most important part of the Takelma sentence, and as such it will be treated before the independent pronoun, noun, or adjective. A general idea of the make-up of the typical verb-form will have been gained from the general remarks on morphology; nevertheless the following formula will be found useful by way of restatement:

Loosely attached prefixes + verb-stem (or aorist stem derived from verb-stem) + derivational suffixes + formal elements (chiefly pronominal) + syntactic element.

This skeleton will at the same time serve to suggest an order of treatment of the various factors entering into verb morphology.

Before taking up the purely formal or relational elements, it seems best to get an idea of the main body or core of the word to which these relational elements are attached. The prefixes, though not entering into the vital grammatical structure of the verb, are important for the part they play in giving the whole verb-form its exact material content. They may, therefore, with advantage be taken up first.

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

# 1. Verbal Prefixes (§ § 34-38)

## § 34. GENERAL REMARKS

Verbal prefixes may be classified into four groups when regard is mainly had to their function as determined largely by position with respect to other prefixes: incorporated objects, adverbial (including local) elements, incorporated instrumentals, and connective and modal particles. These various prefixes are simply strung along as particles in the same order in which they have been listed. Inasmuch as the exact function of a prefix is to a considerable extent determined by its position, it follows that the same prefix, phonetically speaking, may appear with slightly variant meanings according as it is to be interpreted as an object, local element, or instrument. Thus the prefix  $\bar{\imath}$ - always has reference to the hand or to both hands; but the exact nature of the reference depends partly on the form of the verb and partly on the position of the prefix itself, so that  $\bar{\imath}$ - may be translated, according to the circumstances of the case, as

ī-p!īi-nōʻuk'waεn I warm my hands

### WITH THE HAND:

 $\bar{\imath}^{-\bar{\varepsilon}}\bar{o}^u dini'^{\bar{\varepsilon}}n$  I hunt for it with the hand (= I am feeling around for it)

#### IN THE HAND:

p'im-ī-hōugwagwa'en I run with salmon in my hand

In the first of these three examples the  $\bar{\imath}$ - as object precedes the incorporated instrumental  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  fire, so that the form means literally  $\imath$  warm my hands with fire. In the third form the  $\bar{\imath}$  as local element follows the incorporated object p'im salmon. Such a triplicate use is found only in the case of incorporated nouns, particularly such as refer to parts of the body. These incorporated elements are to be kept distinct from certain other elements that are used in an

BOAS]

adverbial sense only, and regularly occupy the second position. The line between these two sets of prefixes is, however, difficult to draw when it comes to considering the place to be assigned to some of the prefixed elements. It is doubtful whether we are fully justified in making absolutely strict distinctions between the various uses of the body-part prefixes; at any rate, it is certainly preferable, from a native point of view, to translate the three examples of  $\tilde{\imath}$ - incorporation given above as:

I-hand-fire-warm(-as-regards-myself)

-I-hand-hunt-for-it

I-salmon-hand-run-with

leaving in each case the exact delimitation in meaning of the element hand to be gathered from the general nature of the form. The following examples will render the matter of position and function of the various prefixes somewhat clearer:

Object.	Locative adverb.	Instrument.	Modal.	Verb proper.
<i>bēm</i> - sticks	wa- together	٠ī- hand		t!ozo'zien I gather (them) (=I gather sticks together)
	heet- away	wa-with it		wāagiwi'n she is bought (=she is brought with it) 176.17
gwãn- road	ha- in		yara-continuously	t!ülüülga'en I follow (it) (=I keep following the trail)
dan-rocks	<i>bā</i> •up	i- hand		sgct!e'sgidisn I lifted (them) (=I lifted up the rocks)
	han-across	waya- knife		swilswa'lhi he tore him (=he tore him open with a knife)73.3
	dak'- above	da- mouth	wala'sina-truly	hāali'nda <sup>e</sup> I answering him (= I did answer him)
	za- between. in two	i- hand	mī' iēwa- probably	sgī'ibien I cut him (=I'll probably cut him through) 31.13

If two adverbial (local) elements are used, the body-part prefix follows that which is primarily adverbial in character; thus:

 $ba-ide'^{\epsilon}didi'nik!at'$  did you stretch it out? (= ba-i-out + de-lip, in front + di interrogative particle + di'nik!at' you stretched it)

In general it may be said that instances of a body-part prefix preceding a primarily adverbial element (like ba-i-,  $b\bar{a}^a$ -,  $he^{e\epsilon}$ -, and others) are rare or entirely lacking.

From what has been said it might seem that the connective and modal elements (like yaxa,  $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}wa$ , and di) are more closely associated with the verb form than are the other elements, yet this is only apparently the case. Properly speaking all these modal elements are post-positives that normally attach themselves to the first word of

the sentence, no matter what part the word plays in the sentence. Thus in a form like  $me'^{\epsilon}$ -di-giniga't' did you come? (=  $me^{\epsilon}$ -Hither + di- interrogative particle + giniga't' you went to), the modal (interrogative) element di regularly stands nearest the verb; but as soon as another word is introduced before the verb, the interrogative particle shoves back a step, and we have a form of sentence like, e. g.,  $hoida'^{\epsilon}s$  di  $me'^{\epsilon}giniga't'$  did you come as singer, i. e., to sing? From this it becomes fairly evident that the di in the first example is not properly a verbal prefix at all, but merely a post-positive particle depending upon the preceding  $me'^{\epsilon}$ , in the same way that, in the second example, it depends upon the noun  $hoida'^{\epsilon}s$  singer. This inference is clinched by a form like giniga't'idi did you go (somewhere)? for here the di is evidently an enclitic element, not a prefix.

In sharp contradistinction to such movability, the body-part and adverbial prefixes occupy rigidly fixed positions before the verb; they therefore belong to a class quite distinct from the modal particles. These latter are verbal prefixes only in so far as their post-positive tendency may force them to become embedded in the verb-complex, in which case they seem to cut loose the incorporated object, adverbial prefix, and instrumental element from the verb. Diagrammatically the last form tabulated may be represented by  $xa-\bar{\imath}-[m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}wa]-sg\bar{\imath}'^{i}bi^{\varepsilon}n$ . We may then dismiss the modal elements from our consideration of verbal prefixes, to return to them when speaking of connective and adverbial particles.

#### § 35. INCORPORATED NOUNS

It may seem strange at first sight to interpret in the examples given above such elements as  $b\bar{e}m$  sticks,  $gw\bar{a}n$  road, and da'n rocks as incorporated objects, when they occur as absolute nouns in that form as well, though a faint suggestion of incorporation is given by  $gw\bar{a}n$ -ha-yaxa- $t!\bar{u}l\bar{u}^{\bar{u}}lga'^{\epsilon}n$  i keep following the trail, in that the modal post-positive yaxa follows not  $gw\bar{a}n$ , but rather ha-, as though the direct object were not quite felt to be an element independent of the verb. Without laying particular stress on this latter point, there are, it would seem, good reasons for considering the nouns referred to as incorporated, though in any event the incorporation must be called a loose one, and not at all comparable with the Iroquois usage.

1. In the first place it is evident from such examples as  $\bar{\imath}-p!\bar{\imath}^i-n\bar{\upsilon}'^i k'wa^i n$  I warm my hands and han-waya-swilswa'lhi he tore him open with a knife, that nouns (in these cases  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  fire and waya knife) occur as incorporated instrumentals, for such elements as  $\bar{\imath}$ -and han- can not possibly be isolated from the verb (han- does not occur as independent adverb, but only as prefix;  $\bar{\imath}$ - is inconceivable as independent noun); furthermore, if, in the forms just quoted,  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  and waya be looked upon as absolutely independent nouns, they lose all semblance of grammatical form, there being, indeed, nothing but a definite position in a verb-complex that could here suggest the notion of instrumentality. It is also possible to isolate waya, but that would involve considerable readjustment of the verbal structure. To be stamped as an instrumental, waya must in that case be followed by a postposition wa with, so that the sentence then reads, han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa' (the phrase wa'ya wa' may also precede).

If we wish to incorporate the instrumental idea into the verb, and yet keep the noun outside of the verb-structure, we may let the wa, which seems properly to denote with it, occupy the place of the incorporated waya, which, as an appositive of wa, then either precedes or follows the verb-form, wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi, or han-wa-swilswa'lhi waya' he-across-with-it-tore-him (it, i. e.), the-knife. This construction is identical with the well-known appositional structure of Nahua or Chinook (e.g., i-it-killed the-dog), except that the incorporated element is here instrumental and not objective in character. The noun and its representative can not both be incorporated in the verb, such a form as han-waya-wa-swilswa'lhi, for instance, being quite impossible.

It becomes clear, therefore, that an incorporated instrumental noun like wa'ya is quite analogous to an instrumental bodypart prefix like  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, with the difference that wa'ya may be isolated in that form, while  $\bar{\imath}$ - must, when isolated, be provided with a possessive pronominal element. The form  $han-\bar{\imath}$ -swilswa'lhi i tore him open with my hand is strictly analogous to han-waya-swilswa'lhi; the sentence  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde'k'$  han-wa-swilswa'lhi my-hand i-across-with-it-tore-him corresponds to wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi; and, finally, han-swilswa'lhi  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde'k'$  wa' i-across-tore-him my-hand with (-it) is parallel to han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa'. Whatever is true morphologically of  $\bar{\imath}$ - must be true of wa'ya; the evident

incorporation of  $\bar{\imath}$ - involves the incorporation of wa'ya in the analogous form

As the incorporation of the noun as an instrument seems a rather important trait of Takelma, a number of further examples may be given:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $be^e$ - $n\bar{o}'^uk'wa^{\epsilon}n$  I warm my back in (really = with) the sun ( $be^e$  sun); cf. 188,20

 $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -xi-le'me $^{\epsilon}k$ 'i he destroyed them with water (xi water)  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -p! $\bar{i}$ -leme' $^{\epsilon}k$ 'i he destroyed them with fire (p! $\bar{i}$  fire) 98.12 xa-dan-t' $g\bar{i}$ 'lt'ga'lhi he broke it with a rock (dan rock) 24.4 gwen-waya- $sg\bar{o}$ 'ut'i he cut their necks off with his knife ( $way\bar{a}$ 'a wa' with his knife, apart from verb-structure) 144.5, 22

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $be^em$ - $k!w\tilde{o}^ut'k!widi^en$  I broke it with a stick ( $be^em$  stick)  $d\bar{a}^a$ - $he^el$ - $yebebi'^en$  I sing for him, literally, I engage (?) his ears

with song (he el song; al-yebeb-i- show to)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$ -lewe' $^\epsilon liwi^\epsilon n$  I shake my ears with twisted shells (attached to them) (t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$  twisted shell) 122.1

dī-k'al-p'ili'p'ili-n I squash them with my penis (k'al penis) 73.14 de-ye't'-baxamagwana'k' we came crying, literally, we came having (our) mouths with tears (yet' tears)

yap!a-dauyā<sup>a</sup>-ts!aya'k'i he shot people with his shaman's spirit (dauyā'ak'uda his shaman-spirit, apart from verb-structure); cf. 164.14

All these, except the last, begin with elements  $(x\bar{a}^a$ ,  $he^{e\epsilon}$ , gwen,  $d\bar{a}^a$ ,  $d\bar{i}$ , de) that can not be isolated from the verb.

Instrumentals, whether nouns or body-part prefixes, can occur only in transitive verbs. The forms noxwa' yana-wa-lobobi'en I POUND ACORNS WITH A PESTLE and norwa'-ī-loboxagwa'en i pound WITH A PESTLE, as compared with  $l\bar{o}b\bar{o}'xade^{\epsilon}$  I Pound, will serve to illustrate this. The first sentence reads, when literally translated, PESTLE (noxwa') I-ACORNS (yana') -WITH-IT-POUND. The logical instrument (noxwa') stands outside the verb-complex and is in apposition with its incorporated instrumental representative (wa-), yana' being the direct (incorporated) object. The form lobo'xadee I POUND is made intransitive by the element -xa- (hence the change in pronominal form from transitive  $-\epsilon n$  to intransitive  $-de^{\epsilon}$ ), and allows of no instrumental modification; a form like  $\bar{\imath}$ -lobo'xade<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> could hardly mean I POUND WITH THE HAND; at most it could signify I POUND IN THE HAND. If we wish, however, to express the logical instrument in some manner, and vet neglect to specify the object, we must get around the difficulty by making a secondary transitive of

the intransitive in -xa-. This is done by the suffixed element -gw-having, attended by. The grammatical object of a transitive verb in -gw- is never the logical object of the action, but always dependent upon the comitative idea introduced by this suffix. Hence the second form is not provided with a true instrumental (with a pestle), but takes the logical instrument (noxwa') as a direct object, while the  $\bar{\imath}$ - is best rendered by in the hand; to translate literally, the form really means I pound having a pestle in the hand.

It sometimes happens that a verb form has two instrumentals, one, generally  $\bar{\imath}$ - with the hand, expressing indefinite or remote instrumentality, the second, a noun or demonstrative, expressing the actual instrument by means of which the action is accomplished. In such cases the second instrument is expressed outside of the verb-complex, but may be represented in the verb by the incorporated wa with it following the first instrumental element ( $\bar{\imath}$ -). Examples of such double instrumentals are:

- gwalt'  $b\bar{a}^{a-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}$ -wa- $x\bar{o}'^u t'i$  wind he-up-hand-with-it-caused-them-to-fall, i. e., he caused them to fall by means of a wind (that he made go up) 168.2
- ga <sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> i-wa-molo<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> ma'lhi that she-hand-with-it-stirs-it-up, i. e., she stirs it up with that (incidentally, of course, she uses her hand too) 170.16
- dan (object) k!ama (instr.)  $p!ai^{-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}-wa-sg\bar{a}'^ak'sgigi^{\epsilon}n$  rocks tongs down-hand-with-it-pick-up, i. e., I pick up the rocks with the tongs (and put them) down
- 2. The noun as instrument has been shown to act in a manner entirely analogous to the instrumental body-part prefix. The latter can, without phonetic change, become the direct object of the verb by occupying the proper position:

 $s in - \bar{\imath} - lats! agi'^{\epsilon} n$  I touched his nose with my hand (s in - nose) but, theoretically at least,

ī-s·in-lats!agi'en I touched his hand with my nose

If we bear in mind that such elements as  $s \cdot in$ - and  $\bar{\imath}$ - are really nothing but nouns in their stem form (with possessive pronoun:  $s \cdot in - \bar{\imath} - x - da$  his nose;  $\bar{\imath}' - \bar{u} - x - da$  his hand), the parallelism with such nounobjects as  $b\bar{e}m$  and  $gw\bar{a}n$  (see examples on p. 65) becomes complete. The fact that they may occur independently, while  $s \cdot in$ - and  $\bar{\imath}$ -never do, is really irrelevant to the argument, as a body-part noun must necessarily be associated with some definite person. Entirely

[BULL. 40

analogous to the nominal elements  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -x- and  $-\bar{u}$ -x- of s- $in\bar{\imath}xda$  and  $\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}xda$  is, e. g., the -am- of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -a'm-t'k' My road. Just as they drop off when the body-part nouns are incorporated, whether as object or instrument, into the verb, so, also, the -am- of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -am-( $=gw\bar{a}^an$ -an-) drops off when the noun is used without pronominal or prepositional modification. That the -am- has nothing per se to do with the pronominal affix, but is really a noun-forming element added to the stem, is proven by forms like ha- $gw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm in the road. Thus:

object  $b\bar{e}m$ , in  $b\bar{e}m$ - $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ -t! $oxo'xi^{\varepsilon}n$  I gather sticks, is related to object s-in-, in s-in- $\bar{i}$ -lats! $agi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I touch his nose, as instrument  $b\bar{e}m$ , in  $x\bar{a}^{a}$ - $be^{\varepsilon}m$ -k! $w\tilde{o}^{u}t'k$ ! $widi^{\varepsilon}n$  I broke it with a stick, to

instrument sin-, in sin-tlayagi'en I find it with my nose (=I smell it)

In view of the complete parallelism of noun and body-part element and the transparent incorporation of the noun as instrument, nothing remains but to look upon the simple noun without pronominal affixes, when placed immediately before the local and instrumental prefixes of the verb, as itself a loosely incorporated object. Examples of noun-objects in such form and position are to be found in great number; in fact, the regularity with which the object is put before the verb, as contrasted with the freely movable subject, argues further for the close relation of the noun-object to the verb.

A few further examples of incorporated noun-objects are given by way of illustration:

he el-gel-gulugwa' n I desire to sing (literally, I-song-breast-desire; he el song)

 $he^{\epsilon}l$ -yununa' $\epsilon$ n I sing a song (106.7)

wili-wa-ī-t!a'nida<sup>e</sup> you shall keep house (literally, you-house-together-hand-will-hold; wili house) 28.13

abai<sup>e</sup> xuma-k!emna'<sup>e</sup>s cook (literally, in-the-house food-maker; xuma food) 54.3

wai-s·ügü's·üxgwa<sup>e</sup>n I am sleepy (literally, I-sleep-am-confused?-having; wai sleep)

 $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ -da- $t!ag\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  he built a fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$  fire) 96.17

p!īi-bāa-yānk'w he picked up the fire (literally, he-fire-up-went-having) 96.25

xi-ɛ̃ūgwa'nk' he will drink water (xi water) 162.17

s·īx-ligi'k' he brought home venison (s·īx venison) 134.4

§ 35

In none of these would the placing of the object after the verb-form be at all idiomatic; in some (as in  $he^{\epsilon}l$ -gel- $gulugwa'^{\epsilon}n$  and wai- $s\ddot{\cdot}\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}'s\ddot{\cdot}\ddot{u}xgwa^{\epsilon}n$ ) it would be quite inconceivable. The incorporation must be considered particularly strong in those cases in which the object is what might be called a root-noun identical in form with a verb-stem of corresponding significance:

wai<sup>1</sup> sleep, to sleep he<sup>e</sup>l- song, to sing se<sup>e</sup>l- black paint, to paint

likewise where the object gives special color to the verb, determining the concrete significance of the form, as in  $xuma-k!emna'^{\epsilon}s$  and  $wili-wa-\bar{\imath}-t!a'nida^{\epsilon}$ .

3. Besides being used as instrumentals and direct objects, a few incorporated nouns are found employed in set phrases, apparently as subjects. Such are:

 $b\bar{a}^a$ - $be^e$ - $k!iy\bar{\imath}'^ik'da^e$  forenoon (literally, up-sun-going, or when-it-goes) ( $b\bar{a}^a$ - is never used as independent adverb, so that  $be^e$ -sun must here be considered part of the verb-complex)

 $n\tilde{o}^u$ - $be^e$ - $k!iy\tilde{v}'ik'da^e$  afternoon (literally, down-river [i. e., west]-sun-going)

 $mot'-w\bar{o}'k'$  as son-in-law he visits wife's parents (= mot'- son-in-law +  $w\bar{o}'k'$ , probably identical with  $w\bar{o}k'$  he arrived) 17.13, in which mot'- must be considered an integral part of the verb, because unprovided with pronominal affix (cf.  $mo't'\bar{a}^a$  his son-in-law), and, further, because the whole form may be accompanied by a non-incorporated subject (e. g.,  $bo'mxi\ mot'w\bar{o}'k'$ 

panied by a non-incorporated subject (e. g., bo'mxi mot'wō'k' Otter visited his wife's parents, literally, something like: Otter son-in-law-arrived)

4. Several verb-forms seem to show an incorporated noun forming a local phrase with an immediately preceding local prefix; in such cases the whole phrase must be considered an incorporated unit, its lack of independence being evidenced either by the fact that it is itself preceded by a non-independent verbal prefix, or else differs in phonetic form from the corresponding independent local phrase. Examples are:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts·!elei-sgalawi'en I looked at them out of the corners of my eyes (literally, I-alongside-eye-looked-at-them)<sup>2</sup>; ef.  $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts·!e-leidē alongside my eyes

 $<sup>^1</sup>wai$ -indeed could not be obtained as an independent noun, its existence as substantive being inferred from forms such as that cited above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It may be, however, that this form is to be interpreted as I-ASIDE- (WITH-THE-) EYE-LOOKED-AT-THEM, ts·lelei- being in that case an incorporated instrumental noun.

ha- $t'q\bar{a}^a$ - $gwidi'k'^w$  he threw it into the open (literally, he-in-earth-threw-it); cf. ha- $t'g\bar{a}\bar{u}$  in the earth

ba-i-dak'-wili- $t!\bar{a}^adi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ran out of the house (ba-i- out, adverbial prefix + dak'- on top of + wili house) 24.13; cf. dak'- $wil\bar{\imath}$  on top of the house

ha-yau-t'ge'nets!a'n I put it about my waist (literally, I-in [under?]-rib-put-it-about); cf. ha-yawadē inside my ribs

Such verbs with incorporated local phrases are naturally not to be confused with cases in which a local prefix is followed by an incorporated (instrumental) noun with which it is not, however, directly connected. Thus the ha- of ha- $tg\bar{a}^a$ - $gwidi'k'^w$  is not directly comparable to the ha- of a form like:

 $ha-p!\tilde{\imath}^{i}$ -ts: $!\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}k!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I set it on fire  $(p!\tilde{\imath}^{i}$  with fire) 73.9

Here  $ha-p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - cannot be rendered in the fire.

Some verb-forms show an evidently incorporated noun that has so thoroughly amalgamated with the stem that it is difficult to make out its exact share in the building up of the material content of the verb. For example:

s·omlohoya'lda<sup>e</sup>n I doctor him as s·omloho'lxa<sup>e</sup>s

doubtless contains the incorporated noun s'om mountain; but the implied allusion is not at all evident, except in so far as the protecting spirits of the s'omloho'lxa's are largely mountain-spirits. The verb itself is probably a derivative of the verb-stem loho- die (aorist lohoi-).

#### § 36. BODY-PART PREFIXES

Having disposed of the modal prefixes, which on analysis turned out to be verbal prefixes only in appearance, and of incorporated nouns, which one would hardly be inclined to term prefixes in the narrower sense of the term, there remain for our consideration two important sets of genuine prefixes, body-part elements and adverbial, chiefly local, prefixes. The former will be taken up first. By "body-part prefix" is not meant any body-part noun in its incorporated form (many of these, such as to telei- eye, ttiba- pancreas, not differing morphologically from ordinary incorporated nouns), but only certain etymologically important monosyllabic elements that are used to indicate in a more general way what body-part is concerned in a particular action, and which may be regarded as in some degree verbal classifiers. With the exception of \(\bar{\ell}\)- hand and s'in- nose, classed with the rest

because of their very extended use, they differ fundamentally from other body-part nouns in that they have, besides their literal, also a more formal, local value; in this capacity they are regularly employed, also, as the first element of noun and pronoun local phrases, and, some of them, as the second element of local postpositions. In the following list the second column gives the literal body-part significance; the third, the generalized local meaning; the fourth, the corresponding independent noun (in a few cases, it will be observed, there is no such corresponding noun); and the fifth column, an example of a local phrase:

Prefix.	Body.	Local.	Noun.	Phrase.
dak'- [da-, dε-	head mouth, lips	over, above	da'g-ax- dek' my head dex- dek'	dak'-wili over the house
de-		in front		dēt' gwa in front of himself
<b>d</b> āa-	ear	alongside	dāa- n- x- de`k'	dāa-gela'm along the river
s·in-	nose		s·in-īi-x-de`k*	•
gwen-	neck, nape	in back, behind	[bo'k' dan-x- de'k']	$gwen-l'gar{a}ar{u}$ on east side of the land
i-	hand		ī-ū-x- de`k'	
rāa-	back, waist	between,in two	xāa-ha`m-t'k'	xão-gwelde between my legs
dī i−	back	on top of		dīi-īūdē over my hand
gel-	breast	facing	$g \tilde{\epsilon} l$ - $x$ - $d \epsilon k'$ , [bilg- $an$ - $x$ - $d \epsilon' k'$ ]	gelde facing, in front of me
dĩ€-	anus	in rear	[delg- a'n- t'k']	dī-t'gāū on west side of the
ha-	woman's pri- vate parts	in	haū-x-dek'	ha-riya' in the water
gwel-	leg	under	gwēl-x-dek"	gwel-xiya`under water
la-	belly		?lāa- excrement	La-t'gaŭ Uplands (=? front
sal-	foot	down, below	sal-x-de`k'	of the country)
al-	eye, face	to. at	[ts*! eleī- t'k' my eye]	al-s. ōu ma'l to the mountain
			[li'ugw-ax-dek' my face	
dī i€al-	forehead (= above eye)		$d\bar{\imath}i^{\varepsilon}a$ 'l-t'k'	$d ar{\imath}^{i arepsilon} a' l d a$ at his forehead
gwenha-u-	nape (=neck under)		gwenha-u-x-de`k*	$\mathit{gwenha-ude}$ at my nape

The last two are evidently compounded; the first of  $d\tilde{\imath}^{i}$ - above and al- eye, face, the second of gwen-neck and probably adverbial prefix ha-u- under. The noun hau-x- woman's private parts may possibly be connected with this prefix ha-u-, though, in view of the fact that ha- appears as the incorporated form of the noun, it seems more probable that the resemblance in form and meaning is accidental. It is possible that other rarer body-part prefixes occur, but those listed are all that have been found.

In not a few cases, where the body-part prefix evidently has neither objective nor instrumental meaning, it may yet be difficult to see a clearly local idea involved. This is apt to be the case particularly

with many intransitive verbs, in which the share of meaning contributed by the body-part prefix is apparent enough but where the logical (syntactic) relation of its content to that of the verb proper is hardly capable of precise definition. Thus, from  $yowo'^{\epsilon}$  HE is are formed by means of body-part prefixes:

 $al^{-\epsilon}yowo'^{\epsilon}$  he-eye-is, i. e., he looks 62.6  $d\bar{a}^{a-\epsilon}yowo'^{\epsilon}$  he-ear-is, i. e., he listens, pays attention 96.9  $b\bar{a}^{a}-gel^{-\epsilon}yowo'^{\epsilon}$  he-up-breast-is, i. e., he lies belly up 140.5

In these cases it is obviously impossible, yowo- being an intransitive verb not implying activity, to translate al-,  $d\bar{a}^a$ -, and gel- as instrumentals (with the eye, ear, breast); nor is there any clear idea of location expressed, though such translations as at the eye, ear, breast would perhaps not be too far fetched. In many verbs the body-part prefix has hardly any recognizable meaning, but seems necessary for idiomatic reasons. In a few cases prefixes seem to interchange without perceptible change of meaning, e. g., al- and dak in:

al $d\bar{e}mxigam$  we shall assemble (186.7) dak' $d\bar{e}mxia^{u\varepsilon}t'$  people (indef.) will assemble (136.11)

Where two body-part prefixes occur in a verb form, they may either both retain their original concrete significance, the first prefix being generally construed as object, the second as instrument (e. g., s·al-ɛī-lats!agi'ɛn i-foot-hand-touch-him, i.e., i touch his foot with My hand); or the first prefix may have its secondary local significance, while the second is instrumental in force (e. g., de-ɛī-wī'igiɛn i-front-hand-spread-it, i. e., i spread it out); or both prefixes may have secondary local or indefinite significance (e. g., gwel-ge'l-ɛyowoɛ he-leg-breast-is, i. e., he faces away from him); rarely do we find that two body-part prefixes are concrete in significance and absolutely coordinated at the same time (see footnote to 12 below).

To illustrate the various uses of the body-part prefixes it seems preferable to cite examples under each separate prefix rather than to group them under such morphologic headings as objective, instrumental, and local, as by the former method the range of usage taken up by the various prefixes is more clearly demonstrated. The examples are in each case divided into two groups: (a) literal signification (objective, instrumental, or local) and (b) general adverbial (local) signification.

BOAS]

### 1. dak'-

# (a) HEAD, WITH HEAD, IN HEAD:

dak' $ts!ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\varepsilon}$  I washed my head (literally, I washed in my head

dak't'bā'agamt' he tied together (their head hair) 27.1

dak'īlats!aqi'ɛn I touched top of his head

dak'hagāīt'e<sup>e</sup> I felt thrill in my head (as when sudden cold tremor goes through one)

aldak'sāamsa'm he bumped (with) his head against it 79.7 dak'k'iwi'k'auk'wa<sup>e</sup>n I brandish it over my head

# (b) ON TOP OF, ABOVE:

dak't' $g\bar{u}'^uba^{\varepsilon}n$  I put rounded scooped-out object (like hat or canoe) on top (of head) (61.9)

dak't'ek!e'xade<sup>e</sup> I smoke (literally, I raise [sc., tobacco-smoke] over [one's head]) (96.23)

dak'limīmxgwat' it (i. e., tree) falls on you (108.12)

dak' $w\bar{a}^a ga'^{\epsilon}n$  I finish it (literally, I bring it on top) (110.17) wili dak' $y\bar{a}^a ngwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I pass house (?literally, I go with house above me) (150.8)

dak' $dah\bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon}n$  I answer him (61.6; 180.18)

dak't!emēxik' we assembled together (43.9; 136.11)

dak'heneeda'en I wait for him

The last three or four examples can hardly be said to show a transparent use of dak'-. Evidently the meaning of the prefix has become merged in the general verbal content, becoming unrecognizable as such; cf. UNDER in English UNDERSTAND, UNDERGO.

### 2. da-, de-

It seems possible that we have here two distinct prefixes to begin with, da- inside of mouth (cf. dats!ayāp' he washed his mouth) and de- lips (cf. deets!ayāp' he washed his lips and noun dee-x- lips), from the second of which developed the general local significance of in front; contrast also hada't'-gwa in his own mouth with dēt'gwa in front of himself. The strict delimitation of the two, however, is made difficult by the fact that da-, alone in this respect among non-radical verbal elements, undergoes palatal ablaut (thus becoming de-) whenever the stem shows a palatal vowel, whether primary or itself due to ablaut; observe also the stem-change from da- to de- in hada't'gwa 170.2 and hadedē in my mouth. These

apparently secondary de- prefixes will be listed together with and immediately following the da- prefixes, while the true, chiefly local, de-, (da)- prefixes will be put by themselves.

( $a^{i}$ ) da-, (de-) mouth, in mouth, with mouth, lips, teeth, tongue:

[da cogoīhi he gave him to eat (lit., he mouth-gave him) (186.25)  $de^{\varepsilon u} g \ddot{u}' s \cdot i$  he gave me to eat 186.2  $dat!aua'^{i\varepsilon}$  he went to get something to eat 75.9  $dada'k'd\bar{a}^ak'$  sharpen your teeth! 126.18; 128.23  $dats!ala'ts!ili^{\varepsilon}n$  I chew it aldat!ele't!ili<sup>ε</sup>n I lick it  $dalats!aqi'^{\epsilon}n$  I taste it (literally, I mouth-touch it)  $aldap'\tilde{o}p'iwi^{\epsilon}n$  I blow at it (194.1)  $dadama'^{\epsilon}x$  he was out of wind 26.5  $dasmayama'^{\epsilon}n$  I smile  $hada^{\epsilon}uowo'uda^{\epsilon}$  (creek) going into (river) (literally, in-mouthbeing)  $dal \tilde{\sigma}^{u} l^{\varepsilon}$  he lied (literally, he mouth-played) 110.23; 156.14 delũnhixi he lied to me dayuwo's he suddenly stopped (singing, talking) (literally, he mouth-started, as in fright) 138.23

dak'da $h\bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon} n$  I answer him (180.18)

dak'dehēlsi he answers me

 $(a^2)$ :

he edele'lek!i n I finished (story, talking) 50.4

 $del\ddot{u}m\ddot{u}'sgade^{\varepsilon}$  I tell truth (184.3)

dexebena't' you said it (literally, you mouth-did it) 14.10; 15.6 aldets !ü'lük!i $^{\varepsilon}$ n I suck it

dedets: $l\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}k!i^{\epsilon}n$  I kiss her (first de- as object, her lips; second de- as instrument, with my lips)

dehememi'en I taste it (cf. ī-hemem- wrestle)

ba-idehenena't' you are through eating (literally, you are out-mouth-done) (136.16)

deligia'ldaen I fetch it for him to eat (130.9)

 $dehe'yek!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I left food over

da- can not stand before  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, because of the palatal timbre of the latter. Examples of  $de^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}$ -:

 $\mathrm{d}\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}da'mk!ink'$  it will get choked

de  $\bar{\imath}lats!agi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I touched his mouth (de-da- as object;  $\bar{\imath}$ - as instrument. Contrast above da-lats!a $gi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I tasted it, with da- as instrument)

Similarly other palatal non-radical elements cause a change of da- to de-:

BOAS]

de-his-gulu-gwa' $^{\epsilon}n$  I want it in my mouth (=I desire to eat [his=trying])

(b)  $de_{-}(da_{-})$  in front, ahead, at door of house:

de [p'owo' k' he bent it

bāade' eyeweya'k' he started traveling again (literally, he up-ahead-went-again-with it) 22.4; 24.9; 25.6

dewiliwa'lsi she is fighting me 27.3

deeqwidi'k'w he stuck (threw) it into (fire) 27.8

dek'iwi'k'auk'wa<sup>e</sup>n I brandish it before my face (172.12)

 $gasa'lhi de'hits!\bar{a}^aga'^{\varepsilon}s$  fast stepper (literally, quickly ahead-stepper)

ba-ide di'nixia they marched by in regular order (literally, they out-ahead-stretched) 144.14

 $\mathrm{d}\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}w\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\epsilon}n$  I spread it out (120.1)

 $t^*g\bar{a}^a$  de'hi  $k!iya'k^*i^{\epsilon}$  if the world goes on (literally, world ahead-goes-if) 146.4

damats!a'k' he put it point foremost (into their eyes) 27.8

As in the case of dak'-, so also here, not a few forms occur in which the meaning of the prefix da-, de- is far from being clearly in evidence:

dat!agã n I build a fire (96.17)
[aldatc!u'lūuɛk' he caught fire 98.3]
[aldetc!ü'lūuɛxi I caught fire
[degülü'k!alx it glows (142.1); 188.15]
[aldat'guyū'iɛsi (fire) blisters my face (25.11)]
[deett'a'mak!iɛn I put out the fire
[dat'ama'ɛx the fire goes out
[dat!abaga'ɛn I finish it (176.6)]
[dasgayana'ɛn I lie down

As the first seven of these examples show, da-, de- sometimes imply a (probably secondary) reference to fire.

#### 3. dāa-

(a) EAR, WITH EAR (referring to hearing), IN EAR, CHEEK, SIDES OF HEAD:

dāats!ayāp' he washed his ear dāsīts!ama'k' he squeezed his ears dāsīlats!agi'sn I touched his ear, cheek dāasagani'sn I heard it (55.3; 108.16) dāadāagi'sn I am able to hear it (literally, I can ear-find it) (100.12)

[BULL. 40

 $d\bar{a}^a le^{\epsilon} laqwa'^{\epsilon} n$  I listen to him (55.1; 96.2; 146.5)

dāats·!ēmxde<sup>e</sup> I hear big noise 90.21

 $an\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} ge \, d\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon} yowo^{\varepsilon}$  he did not listen to it (literally, he not there ear-was) 96.9

 $d\bar{a}^{a}sgek!e\hat{\imath}ha^{\varepsilon}n$  I kept listening (102.3)

dā yehèī he went where he heard (noise of people singing or gambling) 106.10.

dāadele' p'i he stuck it across his ear

dāadalaga'mt' he made holes in his ears

dīidāat'be'eik't'bagams they had their hair tied on sides of head (dīidāa- probably as incorporated phrase, over ears) 142.17 dāiībo't'bidīn I pull out his hair (from side of head) (194.7)

# (b) ALONG, ON SIDE:

wi'laū dā wat'bā'agamdinaε arrows shall be tied along (their length) with it (i. e., sinew) 28.1

# 4. s·in- nose, in nose, with nose:

s·in<sup>e</sup>īqile' esgwa he scratched his own nose 14.11; 15.7

s·int!ayagi'en I smell it (literally, I nose-find it) (160.20)

s'indalaga'mt' he made holes in septum (cf. under  $d\bar{a}^a$ -) 22.1

 $\sin l\bar{o}'^{u}k'i$  he stuck it into nose

s inde ele' p'qwa he stuck it up into his own nose

s in geya'n he turned away his nose

s inyuwo's he dodged with his nose (as when fly lights; ef. under da-)

 $s \cdot int' \bar{u}w\bar{u}k' de^{\varepsilon}$  I feel warm in my nose

 $s \sin x i' n \bar{\imath}^i x a n p' d e^{\varepsilon} I \text{ sniff}$ 

s  $inwil\bar{\iota}'^i k' a p' d e^{\varepsilon}$  I blow my nose

 $als \cdot inl\bar{o}'^u x a^{\epsilon} n$  they meet each other (24.12)

### 5. gwen-

#### (a) NECK:

gwensqō'uda<sup>ɛ</sup>n I cut his neck (144.2, 3, 5, 22) gwents!ayaga'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I washed his neck ha-ugwenyunu'<sup>ɛ</sup>yini<sup>ɛ</sup>n I swallow it greedily (cf. 126.10) gwenlō'uk'i he stuck it in his throat (cf. under s·in-) 25.4 gwen<sup>ɛ</sup>īlats!agi'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I touched back of his neck gwenwayanagānhi he swung his knife over their necks 144.2

## (b) BACK, BEHIND:

gwe'n<sup>ε</sup>alyowo<sup>ε</sup> he looked back gwenyeweit'e<sup>ε</sup> I went back (152.13; 188.19) gwe'nliwila<sup>uε</sup> he looks back (on his tracks) 59.14; 94.9 gwenhegwā'agwanhi he related it to him 17.11

In gwena-ia's GOOD SINGER, the part played by the prefix is not clear.

## 6. $\overline{\imath}$ - hand, in hand, with hand

No body-part prefix, except perhaps al-, is used with such frequency as  $\bar{\imath}$ -, the scrupulousness with which verbs implying action with the hand incorporate it seeming at times almost pedantic. Only a small selection out of the great number of occurrences need here be given:

īts!ayāp' he washed his hand  $\bar{i}p!\bar{i}^i n \bar{o}^{\prime u} k'w a^{\epsilon} n \text{ I warm my hands}$ wila'u 'ihoyodagwa'' I dance with arrow in hand nãx ihele elagwa' en I sing with pipe in hand  $\bar{i}g\bar{i}'^{i}na$  he took it 15.1; 31.8; 44.8; 47.9  $ik'w\bar{a}'^aqwi^{\epsilon}n$  I woke him up 16.4 īgaxagixi'<sup>ε</sup>n I scratch him īqis·iqis·i'εn I tickle him iheqwe'hak'wnaen I am working xa<sup>\epsilon</sup>īts·!iwi't' he split it open 26.6 iheme'm he wrestled with him 26.11; 27.10,11  $\bar{i}yon\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}k'$  he pulled it  $\bar{i}guyu'^{\epsilon}k'$  she pushed her 55.14 s·elēk'w īlū'pxaqwank' she shall pound with acorn pestle 55.9  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ ileme' $\epsilon k$ ' he killed them off 55.1; 144.6.  $\bar{\imath}t/a'ut!iwi^{\epsilon}n$  I caught hold of her (29.12; 140.15)  $\bar{\imath}t'w\bar{\imath}^iyili'^{\epsilon}n$  I make it whirl up  $al^{\epsilon}$ iyulu'yili $^{\epsilon}n$  I rub it īt'gwanye' egit' you enslaved her 16.14

In some cases one does not easily see the necessity for its use:  $w\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}t'ge'ye^{\epsilon}xi$  they are round about me (48.5)  $al\bar{\imath}wul\bar{\imath}'^{u\epsilon}xbi$  he ran away from you

# 7. $x\bar{a}^{a}$ , (xa-)

(a) BACK, WAIST:

xā\*ts!ayāp' he washed his back  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  xā\*dat'guy $\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}$ sgwa his back got blistered 25.11 xā\* $\bar{\imath}^i$ lats!agi'\*n I touched his back xā\* $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ n $\bar{o}'^u$ k'wa he warmed his back 188.20 xā\* $\bar{l}\bar{a}'^a$ da\*n I put (belt) about my waist

(b) BETWEEN, IN TWO (in reference to breaking or cutting):
Xā<sup>a</sup>p!a-its:!iudi'n I shall split it by throwing (stone) down on it (140.7)
Xā<sup>a</sup>wīsā<sup>a</sup> go-between (in settling feuds) 178.11, 13, 18
Xā<sup>a</sup>sgo'uda<sup>e</sup>n I cut, saw it (21.2, 4)
Xa<sup>e</sup>īsgī'ip'sqibik'u (bodies) cut through 21.2

xā<sup>a</sup>dant'gī<sup>t</sup>lt'ga'lhi he broke it with rock 24.4 xā<sup>a</sup>t' be' <sup>e</sup>k' t' bagams it is all tied together 27.13 xā<sup>a</sup>salt'gwe'lt'gwili he broke it by stepping on it 31.4, 5 xā<sup>a</sup>be <sup>e</sup>mk!õ<sup>u</sup>t'k!idi<sup>e</sup>n I broke it with stick

In xahege'hak'naen i breathe (79.2) and xahuk!u'hak'naen i breathe, the xa-may refer to the heaving motion up from the waist.

#### 8. dīi-

## (a) BACK:

The local uses of  $x\bar{a}^a$ - and  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - (IN MIDDLE, BETWEEN, and ABOVE, respectively) would indicate that, in their more literal signification, they refer respectively to the LOWER BACK about the waist and the UPPER BACK, though no direct information was obtained of the distinction.

 $d\bar{\imath}^i ts! ay\tilde{a}p'$  he washed himself in back of body  $d\bar{\imath}^i h\tilde{a}x$  his back is burning  $d\bar{\imath}^i t' b\bar{\sigma}^u k! a' lx de^\varepsilon$  I have warts on my back 102.20  $d\bar{\imath}^i d\bar{u}^u gwa'nk'$  she will wear it (i. e., skirt) 55.9

# (b) ABOVE, ON TOP:

dī¹he'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2
dīdāa¹t'bā'agamt'gwide⁴ I tie my hair on sides of my head (see under dāa-) (140.11; 142.17)
dī¹⁴algelegala'mda⁴n I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)
dī⁴uyu'ts!amda⁴n I fool him (aorist uyuts!- laugh)
dī¹hinxō'ugi⁴n I scare him
dī¹mãs (earth) is lit up (78.1)
dī¹hili¹gwa'⁴n I am glad 22.2

 $d\bar{v}$ - is used in quite a number of verbs of mashing or squeezing, the primary idea being probably that of pressing down on top of something:

dī<sup>i</sup>p'ili'p'ili<sup>e</sup>n I squash (yellow-jackets) (74.3); contrast gel-bēm-p'ili'p'ili<sup>e</sup>n I whip him on his breast (literally, I-breast-stick-whip-him) (cf. 76.1, 2, 3)

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i}t!iy\bar{\imath}^{i}si'^{\epsilon}n$  I mash them

ba-idīgwibī'ik'wap' it popped all around 27.14

dīit'gumu't'gimien I squeezed and cracked many insects (such as fleas)

In many cases, as in some of the forms given above, the primary signification of  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - is greatly obscured. It is not at all certain but that we are at times (as in  $d\bar{\imath}^e uyu'ts!amda^e n$ ) dealing really with the phonetically similar prefix  $d\bar{\imath}^e$ - REAR.

BOAS]

## 9. *gel-*

(a) BREAST, WITH BREAST (mental activities):

keeps surplus to himself) 196.8

gelts!ayāp' he washed his breast
gel\*īlats!agi'\*n I touched his breast
bā^age'l\*yo lie down with belly up! (lit., up-belly-be!) 140.4
gelgulugwa'\*n I desire, want it 32.5, 6, 7
gelhewe'hau he thought 44.11; 124.3; 142.20
gellohoigwa'\*n I avenge him (apparently=1 breast-die-with
him) (146.8; 148.3)
gelt!aya'k' they thought of it (see under s'in- and dā^a) 152.10
gelyalāxaldi\*n I forgot him (lit., I breast-lost him) (77.10)
gelts:!aya'mxamk' she hid (certain facts) from us 158.7
geldulu'k'de\* I am getting lazy
gelheye'\*x he is stingy (literally, he breast-leaves-remaining=

(b) FACING:

gelt!ana'hi she pushed him (?literally, she held him [away] facing her)¹ (25.10)

gelwayan he slept with her (literally, he caused her to sleep facing him) 26.4; (108.3; 190.2)

wa't'gwan gel'yowo' they faced each other (literally, to each other they breast-were) 26.15 gelk!ivi'k' he turned around so as to face him 170.2

#### 10. dī€-

(a) ANUS:

dī<sup>ɛ</sup>ts!ayāp' he washed his anus ba-idī<sup>ɛ</sup>t'gats!a't'gisi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I stick out my anus (164.19; 166.1) dī<sup>ɛ</sup>hāx his anus is burning 94.13 dī<sup>ɛ</sup>hagāīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> I feel ticklish in my anus (as though expecting to be kicked) (cf. under dak'-) 166.1 di<sup>ɛ</sup>xō'<sup>u</sup>s (food) is spilling out from his anus, (acorns) spill out from hopper 94.2, 4, 5

(b) IN REAR, BEHIND:

dī<sup>¢</sup>salyomo'hin I shall catch up with him in running be<sup>e</sup> dī'<sup>¢</sup>k!iyi'<sup>¢</sup>k' afternoon came (lit., sun went in rear) (124.15) da<sup>¢</sup>o'l dī<sup>¢</sup>hiwiliūt'e<sup>¢</sup> I ran close behind

As happens more or less frequently with all body-part prefixes, the primary meaning, at least in English translation, of  $d\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ -seems lost sight of at times:

 $abaid\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}yow\bar{o}'^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  coming into house to fight (abai- into house;  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  being) 24.14

<sup>1</sup> Though perhaps better SHE HELD HIM WITH HER BREAST, taking gel- as instrument.

p!a-idīɛhana'ɛs it stopped (wind, rain, snow, hail) 152.16

In a number of verbs  $di^{\epsilon}$ - expresses: felling, digging under, or erecting a tree or stick, the fundamental notion being probably that of activity at the butt end of a long object:

 $di^{\epsilon}sgot!\tilde{o}lha\ b\tilde{e}m$  he was always cutting down trees 108.8  $d\tilde{i}^{\epsilon}k!olola'n$  (tree) was dug under 48.5

dī<sup>e</sup>īsgūyū'uk!in (tree) was made to fall by being dug under 48.7, 8, 12

p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>lō'<sup>u</sup>gwa<sup>e</sup>n I make (stick, pestle) stand up (by placing it on its butt end) (116.18; 176.1, 2)

p!a-idīesgimi'sgam they set (house posts) down into ground

## 11. ha-

- (a) WOMAN'S PRIVATE PARTS:
  hats!ayãp' she washed her private parts
  hats!agi'ta he touched her private parts
  hatswesga'hak'w she spread apart her legs 26.4
- (b) in:

(dānxdagwa) hats!ayāk' he washed inside (of his ear) (dēxda) halō'uk'i he stuck it into (his mouth) (s·inīxda) hadele'p'i he stuck it up into (his nose)

halohon he caught them in trap (literally, he caused them to die in) (100.8)

 $(gw\tilde{a}n)$  hat!ülügwa' $\epsilon$ n I follow in (trail) (96.8,9)

 ${\rm hal} \bar{o}'^u k'$  she put on (her dress), they put on (their skins, garments) 160.6

 $ha^{\epsilon} ih\ddot{u}'l\bar{u}^uhal$  they skinned them 160.5

haya-ut'ge'nets!aen I put on (my vest)

As the last examples show ha-sometimes conveys the special notion of putting on or taking off a skin or garment.

# 12. gwel-

- (a) LEG, IN LEG, WITH LEG:
  gwelts!ayãp' he washed his legs
  gwelle'yeesdee I am lame
  gwellō'uek'ue put on (your leggings)!
  gweleīwi'en I beat him in running (lit., I-leg-left-him) (184.14)
  gwelsalt!eyēsnaen I have no fat in my legs and feet 102.22
- (b) UNDER, AWAY FROM VIEW:

gwel*mats!a*'k' they put (food) away (sc., under platforms) 124.22; (132.8)

gwel $ge'l^{\epsilon}yow\bar{o}^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  he having his back to him (literally, facing him away from view) 122.7

<sup>1</sup> This form is an excellent example of the rather uncommon coordinate use of two body-part prefixes (gwel-leg and sal-foot).

<sup>§ 36</sup> 

BOAS] HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES—TAKELMA

#### 13. la-

(a) FRONT OF BODY (probably belly as contrasted with gel-BREAST):

lats!ayap' he washed himself in front of body

(b) BURST, RIP OPEN:

 $lat'b\bar{a}'^ax$  it burst 24.17

 ${\rm la}^\epsilon\bar{\imath}t'b\bar{a}'^ak!it'ba^\epsilon$ you (pl.) shall rip them open (like game after roasting) 118.5

 $\operatorname{las}alt'b\bar{a}'^agi^{\epsilon}n$  I burst it with my feet (140.22)

la<sup>ε</sup>wayat'bā'agi<sup>ε</sup>n I rip it open with knife (waya knife, as incorporated instrument)

#### 14. sal-

(a) FOOT, WITH FOOT:

sallats! $agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I stepped on it (instrument sal-: I foot-touched it) (196.18)

sal $^{\epsilon}ilats!agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I touched his foot (object sal-; instrument  $\bar{\imath}$ -) sal $^{t}s!ay\tilde{a}p'$  he washed his feet

 $salxuq\bar{\imath}$  they are standing 63.2

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ salt' $q\bar{u}n$  kick him off! (24.17)

 $alsalt'b\bar{a}'^ak'$  he kicked him 86.16,17,18

gelbam salgwi't'qwat' kick it way up!

salyuwo' $\epsilon$ s he suddenly lifted up his foot (as when frightened) (cf. under da- and s-in-)

sal $p!\bar{\imath}^i n\bar{o}'^u k'w a^{\epsilon} n$  I warmed my feet

## 15. al- face, with eye, to, at

This is in all respects the most difficult prefix in regard to the satisfactory determination of its exact meaning. In a large number of cases it seems to involve the idea of sight, not infrequently adding that concept to a form which does not in itself convey any such implication. In most of the verbforms, however, many of which have already been given under other prefixes, the al-seems to have no definitely ascertainable signification at all. In some cases it may be considered merely as an empty element serving as a support for a post-positive modal particle. For example:

al-his-gulugwa' $^{\epsilon}n$  I am desirous of something

where his trying can not occupy an initial position

al-di-yok!oya't' did you know him?

Here alyokloya't' in itself hardly differs in content from yokloya't' YOU KNEW HIM. The most satisfactory definition

that can be given of al- in its more general and indefinite use is that it conveys the idea of motion out from the sphere of the person concerned, whether the motion be directed toward some definite goal (object) or not; an approximate translation in such cases would be to, at. The correctness of this interpretation is borne out by the fact that al- at times replaces a more definite local phrase, as though it were a substitute for it, of the same general formal but weaker material content.

 $w\bar{a}^a da \ l\bar{o}^u gwa'^{\epsilon}n$  to-him I-thrust-it, where  $w\bar{a}^a da$  definitely expresses a local pronominal idea to, at him.

# Compare:

al $l\bar{o}'^u gwi^{\epsilon}n$  I stretched it out to him

where the exact local definition of the action is not so clearly expressed; the direct object of the verb being here not the object thrust, but the person aimed at, while the indirectness of the action is interpreted by means of al- as an adverbial or local modification of the verbal content. The change of vowel in the ending, a-i, is closely connected, as we shall later see, with this change of "face" in the verb. The first form may be literally translated as TO-HIM I-IT-THRUST; the second, as I-HIM-TO-THRUST (IT). Similarly, in aleilats!agi'en I touched HIS BODY, the al- is probably best considered as a general directive prefix replacing the more special prefixes (such as sal-, sin-, and so on) that indicate the particular part of the body affected, or, as one might put it, the exact limit of motion. The use of al- in local phrases shows clearly its general local significance: als  $\bar{o}^u ma^u$  AT, TO THE MOUNTAIN;  $qa^{\varepsilon}a'l$  to that, as postposition equivalent to to, for, from.

## (a) FACE, EYE:

al $^{\varepsilon}\bar{o}^{u}dini'^{\varepsilon}n$  I look around for him (cf.  $\bar{o}^{u}da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I hunt for him) (92.27)

al $x\bar{\imath}'^ig^{i\varepsilon}n$  I see, look at him (- $x\bar{\imath}^ig$ - never occurs alone) 186.7; 188.11.

algaya'n he turned his face

alyebebi'  $\epsilon n$  I showed it to him (77.8)

alyow $\tilde{o}t'e^{\epsilon}$  I looked (cf. yow $\tilde{o}t'e^{\epsilon}$  I was) (64.3)

alts!ayaga'en I washed his face (64.5)

 $m\tilde{a}nx$  al $n\bar{u}'uk'wa$  he painted his (own) face

BOAS]

alt!aya'k' he found, discovered it (literally, he eye-found it; cf. under s'in-, dā<sup>a</sup>-, and gel-) 47.10; 92.27; 194.13 alsgalā<sup>a</sup>liwi'<sup>e</sup>n I looked at them (moving head slightly to side)

alt' $b\bar{o}^u\bar{k}!a'lxde^{\epsilon}$  I have pimples on my face (cf. 102.20) alt'wap!a't'wap'na^{\epsilon}n I blink with my eyes 102.20 alwe'k!ala^{\epsilon}n I shine

 $x\bar{a}^{\epsilon}a'lt!anahi$  they watched it (literally, they-between-eye-held it;  $x\bar{a}^{-\epsilon}al$  as incorporated local phrase[?]) 136.8

# (b) TO, AT:

It is at least possible, if not very probable, that al- to, at, and al- eye, face, are two entirely distinct prefixes. As many preceding examples have incidentally illustrated the local use of al-, only a few more need be given:

alp'oūp'auhi he blew on it 15.1 alhūyūxde<sup>ɛ</sup> I go hunting (42.1; 58.14; 70.2; 126.21) algesegasa'lt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> I was washing alheme'k' they met him 24.11 al<sup>ɛ</sup>ūxlep!e'xlap' he mashed it up into dough-like mass 94.11 al<sup>ɛ</sup>ūts'!ō'udi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I touch, reach it alse' <sup>e</sup>gi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I bowed to him (172.10)

### 16. $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}al$ - forehead:

dī<sup>iε</sup>alts!ayāp' he washed his forchead dī<sup>iε</sup>algelegala'ms he tied his hair up into top-knot 172.2 dī<sup>ε</sup>alk'ā'ap'qwa he put (dust) on his forchead 136.28

## 17. qwenha-u- NAPE:

gwenha'-uts!ayaga<sup>e</sup>n I shoot off nape of neck gwenha-ut'be' eqams he has his hair tied in back of his head

It will have been noticed that several of the body-part prefixes have developed special uses that almost entitle them, at times, to being considered verbal in function. Thus  $x\bar{a}^{a}$ - BACK, BETWEEN has been seen to develop, from its latter local use, the more strictly verbal one of cutting, splitting, breaking, or rending in two; the ideas of BETWEEN and of DIVISION IN TWO are naturally closely associated. The specialized semiverbal uses of some of the prefixes may be thus listed:

da-, de- activity in reference to fire (burn, set on fire, glow)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - rend in two (cut, split, break)

 $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - crushing activity (mash, squeeze)

 $d\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - fell, erect (long object)

ha- dress, undress

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

la- burst, rip open al- look, see

The resemblance between this use of the Takelma body-part prefixes and the Siouan use of verb prefixes denoting instrumental activities (e. g., Ponka ba- by pressing with the hand, ma- by cutting, ¢a-with the mouth, by blowing) is not far to seek, although in Takelma the development seems most plausibly explained from the local, rather than the instrumental, force of the prefixes. Neither the employment of Takelma body-part nor of Siouan instrumental prefixes with verb stems is in any morphologic respect comparable to the peculiar composition of initial and second-position verb stems characteristic of Algonkin and Yana. The same general psychic tendency toward the logical analysis of an apparently simple activity into its component elements, however, seems evident in the former as well as in the latter languages.

#### § 37. LOCAL PREFIXES

The purely local prefixes, those that are not in any way associated with parts of the body, are to be divided into two groups:

- (1) Such as are used also in the formation of noun and pronoun local phrases or of postpositions, these being in that regard closely allied to the body-part prefixes in their more general local use; and
- (2) Such as are employed strictly as verbal prefixes, and are incapable of entering into combination with denominating elements. The following table gives all the common prefixes of both groups, examples of noun or pronoun local phrases being added in the last column:

Prefix.	Translation.	Local phrase.
han-	across, through	hanwarga'n across the creek
ha-u-	under, down	hawandë under me
$he^{e^{\varepsilon}}$ -	away, off	hees ouma' beyond the mountain
dal-	away into brush, among, between	dan gada'l among rocks
hā¢ya-	on both sides	hā'eyadē on both sides of, around me
hāa€-	yonder, far off	
$me^{\epsilon}$ -	hither	
wī-	around	
hawi-	in front, still	
wa-	together	
bās-	up	
ba-i-	out, out of house	
p!a-i-	down	
aba-i-	in house, into house	
bam-	up into air	
zam-	ln river	

BOAS]

Of these, the first five belong to the first group, the last nine to the second. The position of  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$  and  $me^{\varepsilon}$  is somewhat doubtful; but the fairly evident etymological connection of the former with  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}ua$ and the correlative relation in form and meaning between  $me^{\varepsilon}$  and  $he^{e\epsilon}$ , make it probable that they are to be classed with the first group. While some of these prefixes (such as dal- and han-) are inconceivable as separate adverbial elements, others (particularly aba-i, which is apparently composed of demonstrative element a-THIS + ba-i) are on the border-land between true prefix and independent adverb.  $me^{\epsilon}$  and  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ , though they are never used alone, stand in close etymological relation to a number of local adverbs (such as  $eme^{\varepsilon}$  HERE and qe THERE), which also, though not so rigidly as to justify their being termed prefixes, tend to stand before the verb. The difference between local prefix and adverb is one of degree rather than of fundamental morphologic traits; in any case, it is rather artificial to draw the line between  $me^{\epsilon}$  in such forms as  $me^{\epsilon}y\tilde{e}\tilde{u}$  come back! and ge in, e. g.,  $ge^{\epsilon}yowo'^{\epsilon}$  there it is. Sometimes, though not frequently, two local prefixes, neither of them a body-part element, occur in a single verb form. See, e. g., p!ai-hau- under 2 below, also  $abai-b\bar{a}^a$ - 62.1.

# 1. han- through, across:

hanyada't'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I swim across hangwidi'k'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he threw it across 120.22 han<sup>\varepsilon</sup>wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>dalx\varepsilon'ik' he looked through it hanyewe'<sup>\varepsilon</sup>\varepsilon hansg\varepsilon'\varepsilon de<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I lie stretched across the trail (literally, I-road-across-cut) (148.8)

## 2. ha-u- under, down:

ha-ugwenyut!u'yidi<sup>e</sup>n I swallow it down greedily, making grunting noise (126.10) ha-usãk' he paddled him down river (bā<sup>a</sup>- up river)

ha-usak' he paddled him down river (bāa- up river) ha-uyowo't'e I sweat (literally, I-under-am) ei p!a-iha'-ut'gūupx canoe upset 60.8 ha-uhana's it stopped (raining) 196.8

## 3. $he^{e\epsilon}$ off, away:

he fileme' k' he killed them off 14.13; 110.21; 144.6 he fisgo' da fin I cut it off (44.4); 72.10; (92.14,16) he figwidi'k' he threw it away he fin k' wa he went away from him (23.12; 146.18) he fisalt' gant'gini n I kick him off (24.17)

he<sup>e</sup> $ih\bar{u}'lup!i^{\epsilon}n$  I beat off bark (with stick) he<sup>e</sup> $ik'ap!a'k'ibi^{\epsilon}n$  I chipped them off (92.3) he<sup>e</sup> $iw\bar{u}^aga'^{\epsilon}n$  I buy it (literally, I carry it off) (176.17) he<sup>e</sup> $i'quy\bar{u}'i^{\epsilon}s$  it is blistered

## 4. dal- into brush, among:

dal $yewe'^{i\varepsilon}$  he ran off into brush 14.6; 110.10 dal $gwidi'k'^w$  he threw it into brush dal $p'\bar{o}'^udi^{\varepsilon}n$  I mix it with it (178.5) dal $xabili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he jumped between them 106.20

# 5. $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}ya$ - on both sides:

hā'yagini''k' they passed each other hā'yawat!emexiau'e they assemble coming from both sides 144.23

#### 6. $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ far off:

 $h\bar{a}'^{ae}yewe^{i\epsilon}$  they returned going far off 146.22; (47.4; 188.1)  $h\bar{a}^{\epsilon}xd\bar{a}'^{a}xdagwa^{\epsilon}n$  I threw something slippery way off This prefix is evidently identical with the demonstrative stem  $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$  seen, e. g., in  $h\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}ga$  that one yonder.

#### 7. $me^{\epsilon}$ HITHER:

me 'gini' 'k' he came here 146.24 (ge gini' 'k' he went there 77.7) ha'nme 'gini' k' they come from across (note two local prefixes; hangini' k' they go across) me 'yè \(\bar{u}\) come back! (yè \(\bar{u}\) return!) (23.11,12,13,14; 96.5); 59.5 me 'hiwili' u\(\epsilon\) he came running this way

Not infrequently  $me^{\epsilon}$ - conveys the fuller idea of come to ———, as in:

 $\mathrm{me}^{\varepsilon}b\tilde{e}\,p'xip'$  come (pl.) and chop for me! 90.16

### 8. wī- around:

 $wi^{\epsilon}it'ge'ye^{\epsilon}xi$  they are surrounding me (48.13; 190.14)  $wit'ge'ye^{\epsilon\epsilon}k'i$  they put it round about 176.14

## 9. hawi- in front, still:

{hawiyānt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I go in front hawiyana'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> front dancer hawibaxa'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> still they come, they keep coming 146.1  $b\tilde{o}^u$  hawidegü'lk!alxdā<sup>a</sup> after a while it will blaze up ( $b\tilde{o}^u$  = now)

### 10. wa- together:

wak!oyōxinik' we go together
wasits'!o'm'k' squeeze (your legs) together! (26.5)
bāawawilīk'w he traveled up along (river) (literally, he went up
having it together with him) 21.14
wayānk'w he followed him (literally, he went having him together
with him) 23.11
§ 37

BOAS]

wat!emēxiaue they are assembling together (110.3); 144.23 wat!loxo'xi he gathered them together 112.6 wat!līk'ni she gave them one each 130.4 wāahimi't' he talked to him 59.16; 63.10 da'gaxdek' watalt'geye't'giyien I tied it about my head (literally, my-head I-together-to-surround-it) p!āas wak!eewa'lxawa snow is whirling around

Sometimes wa- seems to indicate simultaneity of activity, as in:

walāala'uhi she kept twining basket (while talking) 61.5

In many cases the adverbial meaning of wa- is hardly apparent, and one is sometimes in doubt whether to look upon it as the prefix here discussed or to identify it with the instrumental element wa- with, with it; the two may indeed be at bottom identical.

# 11. $b\bar{a}^a$ - UP (55.16; 59.10; 60.11; 63.6,12):

bā<sup>a</sup>dini'<sup>e</sup>x (clouds) were spread out in long strips (literally, they stretched up) 13.3

 $b\bar{a}^{a}t!ebe't'e^{\epsilon}$  I get up 186.14; (196.1)

bāawadawaya'k'w he flies up with it

 $b\bar{a}^a y\bar{a}nk'^w$  he picked it up 15.9; 24.3; 59.15

 $k!iy\bar{\imath}^{i}x$  bā  $w\tilde{\imath}k$ ' smoke comes out (literally, up-arrives) 29.3

 $(d\tilde{a}nxda)$  ba<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> alqwili's he turned up (his ear)

(dak'wilī) bāagini'ɛk' he went up (on top of house) 30.6

 $b\bar{a}^a s \cdot \bar{a}'^{\epsilon} s \cdot \text{ stand up!}$ 

 $b\bar{a}^a yewe'^{i\epsilon}$  he got better (literally, he-up-returned) (15.2)

 $b\bar{a}^a hawa'^{\epsilon}k'$  she dipped up (water)

# 12. **ba-i-** out, out of house, out of water to land, from plain to mountain:

ba-iyewe'ie they went out again

ba-ixodo'xat' she took off (her garment) 13.4

ba-isili'xgwa he lands with (boat) 13.5

ba-is $\tilde{a}k'^{w}$  he came to land

ba-i<sup>c</sup>a'lyowo<sup>c</sup> he looked outside

ba-i $himima'^{\epsilon}n$  I drive him out

ba-i gwidi'k' w he threw it out 92.15,16; (haxiya'dat') ba-igwidi'k' w he threw it (from in the water) on to land (31.2)

ba-ibiliwa't' you jumped out of house 24.15; (46.6)

(hadedē) ba-iyeweyini'en I took it out (of my mouth) (literally, I-out-caused-it-to-return)

ba-idehenena't' you are through eating (literally, you-out-mouth-are-finished) (132.14)

ba-it!ixi'xi he pulled (guts) out 92.17

 $(dak's \cdot \bar{o}^u ma'l)$  ba- $iw\bar{o}k'$  he got up (on the mountain) 124.4; (60.9)

In certain idiomatic turns the primary signification of ba-i- is as good as lost:

[BULL. 40

( $he^{\epsilon}l$ -)ba-imats!a'k' he began to sing (lit., he-song-out-put) 102.17 ba-ik!iyi' $\epsilon$ k' he comes 92.1, 2; 156.24; 168.13

# 13. *p!a-i-* DOWN:

p!aieīt!ana'hien I held him down
p!a-igwidi'k'w he threw it down
p!a-iwaya'e he went to lie down, to sleep (lit., he down-slept) 25.9
p!a-ilohoīt'e I fell down (literally, I down-died)
p!a-iyewe'ie (arrow) fell down back 22.5; 48.14
p!a-iea'lyowo he looked down 26.14
p!aiyowo'e they sat down (literally, they down-were) 56.2
p!a-isgaya'pxde I lay down

# 14. aba-i- in house, into house

It would perhaps be best to consider this an independent adverb (demonstrative pronoun a- this + ba-i-, formed analogously to  $eme^{\varepsilon}$  here [= demonstrative adverb e- here +  $me^{\varepsilon}$ ]); its correlative relation to ba-i- makes it seem advisable to give examples of its occurrence here:

abai $gini'^{\epsilon}k'$  he went inside 25.8; 27.7,13; 64.3 abai $hiwili'^{u\epsilon}$  he ran inside 16.12 aba-i $w\tilde{o}k'$  they went into house 29.6; (44.7); 160.19 aba-iginitialityov he is at a thome abai $ts!\bar{a}^ak'ts!a'^{\epsilon}k'$  he stepped into house 31.3

## 15. bam- up into air

This prefix occurs often with preposed elements gel- or  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - as gelbam- or  $d\bar{\imath}^ibam$ -, which would seem to mean respectively with belly side up and with back side up, or in front of and directly over one:

bamgwidi'k'w he threw it up gelbamgwidi'k'w he threw it up dī'bamgwidi'k'w he threw it up gelbamsāk'w he shot it up 22.5 gelbam'a'lyowo' he looked up gelba'ms'i'ellī he was sitting up (in tree) 48.7

16. xam- in river, into water, from mountain to plain: xamalts!ayãp' he washed himself in river

xamgwidi'k' he threw it into river (33.6); 108.5

xamhiwili'ue he ran to river 29.13; 94.16

xa'mhilāp'iauk' they became in river (=were drowned) 166.16 xam<sup>e</sup>a'lyowo<sup>e</sup> he looked down from top of mountain 124.4 (contrast p!ai<sup>e</sup>a'lyowo<sup>e</sup> he looked down from ground 26.14)

BOAS]

# § 38. INSTRUMENTAL wa-

It is somewhat difficult to classify this prefix, as it does not belong either to the body-part or the purely local group. Strictly speaking it should be considered the incorporated form of the demonstrative pronoun in its instrumental function. As was seen above, it may represent an instrumental noun, but, while the noun may itself be incorporated to denote the instrument, this is not the case with the demonstrative pronoun. For example:

ga wede yap!a-wa-dõmhiga $^{\varepsilon}$  that not I-people-with-shall-kill (= I shall not kill people therewith)

In other words, it would seem likely that such a form as ga  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with that is related to an  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with it as, e. g., xi  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with water, to the form  $alxits!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I water-wash him, i. e., the wa-in  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  is to be regarded as an incorporated ga that, it (such forms as \* $algats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  have never been found to occur). It will be noticed that the verb-forms with incorporated wa- are normally characterized by a suffixed -i- or -hi-; as soon, however, as the verb loses its instrumental "face," this -i- is replaced by the normal -a-. Thus:

wilau wats!ayagi'en arrow I-shoot¹-him-with-it (with incorporated wa-, wila'u arrow being outside the verb-structure and in apposition with wa-)

but:

ts!ayaga'<sup>e</sup>n wi'lau wa' I-shoot-him arrow with (in which also wastands outside the verb-complex, acting as an instrumental postposition to wila'u)

Examples of instrumental wa- are:

(salxdek')sal<sup>e</sup>walats!agi'<sup>e</sup>n I touched him with my foot (literally, my-foot I-foot-with-it-touched-him)

 $(x\bar{\imath}^i)$ wa $^\epsilon \bar{u}^u gwa'nhi$  I drink (water) with it

(yap!a) wat!omomi' $^{\epsilon}n$  I kill (people) with it (but yap!a t!omoma' $^{\epsilon}n$  I kill people)

alwats!eyēk'wide<sup>ε</sup> I washed myself with it

ga his dōumia gelwagulugwi'en I try to kill him with that (literally, that trying killing-him I-with-desire-it)

seel-wats!elelamdaen I write with it

(īūxde'k') wagaya-iwi'en I used to eat with (my hands)

<sup>1</sup> Aorist ts/ayag- shoot and aorist ts/ayag- wash are only apparently identical, being respectively formed from stems  $s\bar{\alpha} ag$ - and  $ts/a\bar{a}ig$ -.

108

(p'im)wasana'hink' they will spear (salmon) with it 28.15 (cf. sana'nk' they will spear it)

Although, as was suggested before, the prefix wa- as instrument may be ultimately identical with the adverbial wa- together (the concepts of doing something with, by means of it and doing something together with it are not very far removed), the two can not be regarded as convertible elements. This is clearly brought out in such forms as  $b\bar{e}m$  wa $^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}$ wa $t!oxo'xi^{\epsilon}n$  i picked them together with stick. Literally translated, this sentence reads, stick itogether-hand-with-it-picked-them; the first wa- is the adverbial prefix;  $\bar{\imath}$ -, the general instrumental idea conveyed by the character of the verb (gather with one's hands); and the second wa-, the incorporated representative of the more specific instrument  $b\bar{e}m$  stick. If preferred,  $\bar{\imath}$ - may be interpreted, though less probably, as a local element ( $\bar{\imath}wa$ - = with it in hand).

# 2. Formation of Verb-Stems (§§ 39, 40)

# § 39. GENERAL REMARKS

By a verb-stem will be here understood not so much the simplest possible form in which a verb appears after being stripped of all its prefixes, personal elements, tense-forming elements, and derivative suffixes, but rather the constant portion of the verb in all tense and mode forms except the aorist. The verb-stem thus defined will in the majority of cases coincide with the base or root, i. e., the simplest form at which it is possible to arrive, but not always. Generally speaking, the aorist is characterized by an enlargement of the base that we shall term "aorist stem," the other tense-modes showing this base in clearer form; in a minority of cases, however, it is the aorist stem that seems to coincide with the base, while the verb-stem is an amplification of it. Examples will serve to render these remarks somewhat clearer:

Aorist stem	Verb-stem	Probable base
t!omom-	dōum-	dõum- kill
naga-	nāog-	nãog-(nag-) say to
hāal-	hala-	hāal- answer
ōud-	odo-	oud- hunt for
lohoi-	loho-	loh- die
yuluyal-	yulyal-	yul- rub

By far the larger number of verbal bases are monosyllabic. Where the simplest radical element that can be analyzed out remains dissyllabic (as in dawi- fly, agan- perceive, yimi- lend), the probability is always very great that we have to reckon either with amplifications of the base, or with suffixes that have become so thoroughly amalgamated with the base as to be incapable of separation from it even in formal analysis; in some cases the dissyllabic character of the verb-stem is due to a secondary phonetic reason (thus dawi- is for dawy-, cf. dauy-; while in agan- the second a is inorganic, the real stem thus being \*agn-). Most bases end either in a vowel or, more frequently, in a single consonant; such as end in two consonants (as yalg- dive, somd-boil, bilw- jump) may often be plausibly suspected of containing a petrified suffixed element.

The few examples of verb and agrist stems already given suffice to indicate the lack of simple, thorough-going regularity in the formation of the aorist stem from the base. Given the verb-stem, it is possible only in the minority of cases to foretell the exact form of the aorist stem. Thus, if  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - had followed the analogy of the phonetically parallel  $n\bar{a}^a g$ , we should have in the agrist not the agriculture, but domo-; similarly, the phonetic similarity of odo- and loho- would lead us to expect an agrist stem  $l\bar{o}^uh$ -, and not lohoi-, for the latter. Nor is it safe to guess the form of the verb-stem from a given agrist stem. Thus, while the agrist lohoi- corresponds to a verb-stem loho-, yewei- corresponds to yèu- RETURN; nagai-, to na- say, Do; and k!emèi-, to k!emn- DO, MAKE. Mere phonetic form has, indeed, comparatively little to do with determining the relation of the two stems. This is clearly evidenced by the following cases of homonymous but etymologically distinct bases with corresponding agrist stems.

Verb base	Meaning	Aorist stem
	1. mock	hemeham-
he∈m-	2. wrestle	hemem-
	(1. work	hcgwchagw-
heegw-	2. relate	hegw(h)āagw-, hegwe- hagw-
	1. be finished	henen-
heen-	2. wait for	henee-
	1. find	t!ayag-
dāag-	2. build fire	t!ag@i-

The signification of the verb-stem gives almost no information as to the form of the aorist stem, the various types of aorist formation being each exemplified by a heterogeneous array of verbs, as far as any discernible similarity of meaning is concerned. It is true that, in a comparatively few cases, certain types of aorist formation can be shown to be characteristic of intransitive verbs; but in these the formation of the aorist stem involves the addition of a distinct phonetic element that has every appearance of being a worn-down suffix.

Not the least remarkable feature of tense-formation lies in the fact that the most frequently used of the tense-modes, the aorist (equivalent to immediate future, present, and past), generally shows the derived or amplified form of the base; while the far less important tense-modes, the future, inferential, potential, and present and future imperatives employ the generally more fundamental verb-stem. In its naked form the aorist stem appears as the third person subject third person object aorist transitive. For example:

 $t!om\tilde{o}m$  he killed him naga he said to him  $-h\tilde{a}l$  he answered him  $\tilde{o}'^ut$  he hunted for him

The bare verb-stem appears as the second person singular (third person object) present imperative intransitive and transitive. For example:

doum kill him!
odo' hunt for him!
na' say! do!

and as the first element of the periphrastic future, that will later receive treatment.

In striking contrast to the extensive use in Athapascan of distinct and unrelated stems for the singular and plural, only a very few such cases have been discovered in Takelma; and even in these the singular stem may, it seems, also be used in the plural.

Sing. verb-stem	Pl. verb-stem	Sing. form	Pl. form
s-as stand	sal-rogw-	s'as'inī he stands bāa-sāasa'sde' (= sāas- sas-) I come to a	sal-rogwi they stand bāasal-ro'riginak' (= rog-rag-) we come to
s·u*al- sit	al-ralīi	stand $s \cdot u^{\epsilon} w i \overline{n} t^{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon} (= s \cdot u^{\epsilon} a \overline{n})$ I am seated	a stand  al-raliyana'k' we are seated

BOAS]

It is interesting to observe that, while stand and sit are intransitive in the singular, the plural stems  $sal\text{-}xog^w\text{-}$  and  $al\text{-}xal\bar{\imath}^i\text{-}$  make transitive forms with a third personal object (-ana'k' first person plural agrist transitive, -i'k' intransitive; cf. t!omomana'k' we kill him, but  $s\cdot as\cdot in\bar{\imath}p'ik'$  we stand and  $s\cdot u^\epsilon wil\bar{\imath}p'ik'$  we are seated, dwell, stay).

The great majority of verb-stems are either necessarily transitive or intransitive, or are made such by appropriate suffixes. Only a few cases occur of verbs that are both transitive and intransitive, the respective forms being kept distinct only by the varying pronominal suffixes. Such are:

 $moy\bar{u}gw$ -a'n- $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I am spoiled, and  $moy\bar{u}gw$ -an- $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I spoil him  $lig\bar{\imath}$ -n- $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I rest, and  $lig\bar{\imath}$ -n- $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I rest him

 $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}$  they ran away in flight, and  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}$  he sowed, threw them about

Certain forms are alike for both transitive and intransitive; e. g., second person plural subject:  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}wa't'p'$ .

## § 40. TYPES OF STEM-FORMATION

In looking over the many examples of verb and corresponding aorist stems obtained, it was found possible to make out sixteen types of stem-relations. Of this large number of types about half are of frequent occurrence, while of each of the rest but few examples have been found. It is not claimed for a moment that all of these types should be regarded as being exactly on a par, but merely that they have the value of forming a convenient systematization of the somewhat bewildering mass of methods of radical or base changes encountered. It is very probable that some of these are ramifications of others, while some types show more or less petrified suffixes that for some reason or other became specialized in certain tenses. As comparative linguistic material is entirely lacking, however, we can not make a genetic classification of types; a purely descriptive classification must suffice.

In the following table of types of stem-formation, c means consonant; v, vowel; c!, the fortis correspondent of c;  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and so on, other consonants;  $v^v$  denotes pseudo-diphthong; other letters are to be literally interpreted.

Table of Types of Stem-Formation

Type No.	Formula verb-stem	Formula aorist stem	Example verb-stem	Example aorist stem
1	v+c	vr+c	ob- dig up	õub-
2	v+(c)	v+c+v	yo- be	yowo-
3	$v+c+c_1$	$v+c!+v+c_1$	{\bar{uits!-} laugh   {\bar{masg-} put	üyüts!- mats!ag-
4a	vv+c	v+c+v+i	t'āag- cry	t'agai-
4b	v+c+v	v+c+v+i	loho- die	lohoi-
5	v+c+v	vv+c	yana- go	yāan-
6	vv+c!	vv+c	p'ōt!- mix	p'ōud-
7a	$c+vv+c_1$	$c!+v+c_1+v$	deeb- arise	t!ebe-
7 <i>b</i>	$c+vv+c_1$	$c!+v+c_1+\tilde{v}+i$	dūugw- wear	t!ũgūi-
8	$c+vv+c_1$	$c! + v + c_1 + v + c_1$	gōul- dlg	k!olol-
9	$c+vv+c_1$	$c/+v+y+v+c_1$	dāag- find	t!ayag-
10a	$c+v(+c_1)$	$c+v+c(+c_1)$	lōu- play	lōul-
10 <b>b</b>	$c + v + c_1$	$c+v+c_1+c(+v)$	sana- fight	saans-
11	$c+v+c_1+c$	$c+v+c_1+v+c$	yawy-talk	yawai-
12	$c+vv+c_1$	$c+vv+c_1+c+a+c_1$	t!èu- play shinny	t!èut!au-
13a	$c+v+c_1+c+a+c_1$	$c+v+c_1+v+c+a+c_1$	sensan- whoop	senesan-
13 <i>b</i>	$c+v+c_1+c_2+a+c_1$	$c+v+c_1+v+c_2+a+c_1$	dült!al- stuff with	dülüt!al-
13c		$c+v+c_1+v+c+c_1$		lobolb- be accustome to pound (als lobolab-)
14	v+c	v+c+v+n	zeeb- do	zeben-
(15a	_	-īi	s-as-an-stand	s·as·inīi-)
(15b	-as	-īi	dink!as- lie spread out	dink!īi-)
(16	$v+c+c_1+i$	$v+c+v+c_1$	k!alsi - be lean	k!alas-)

Not all forms find an exact parallel in one of the sixteen types here listed. There is a considerable number of more or less isolated cases left, particularly of frequentative or usitative forms, that it is difficult to classify; but on closer examination some at least of these are seen to be secondary developments. Verb-stem al-sgalwal(w)-KEEP LOOKING BY TURNING HEAD SLIGHTLY TO SIDE, as compared to agrist stem al-sgal $\bar{a}^a l(aw)$ -, looks anomalous because of its apparently inserted first -w-; but these two forms become explicable as frequentative developments, according to Type 8, of their corresponding simplexes, verb-stem al-sgalw- look by turning head to side and aorist stem al-sgalaw-. It will be convenient to dispose of such anomalous and difficult cases under such headings as allow them to appear as at least comparatively regular formations. It should not be supposed that a particular verb-stem always and necessarily involves a fixed agrist stem in all possible derivations of the verb, though in probably the larger number of cases such a fixed parallelism may be traced. As examples of the occurrence of more than one aorist stem to match a verb-stem may be mentioned:

verb-stem  $-x\bar{\imath}k!$ - see; aorist Type 6  $-x\bar{\imath}^ig$ - and Type 2  $-x\bar{\imath}k!i$ -xasee (without object)

verb-stem yèu- return; aorist intransitive Type 4 yewei-, causative Type 2 yewe<sup>e</sup>-n-, and, according to Type 8, yewew-ald-go back for some one

There are few if any verbs whose verb and a orist stems absolutely coincide. If in nothing else the two differ at least in the quantity of the stem vowel, the aorist stem always tending to show a long vowel. In some cases the two (dissyllabic) stems seem identical in phonetic form because of the persistence of an inorganic a in the second syllable of the verb-stem and the presence of a repeated radical a in the second syllable of the aorist stem. Sometimes only certain of the forms built on the verb-stem exhibit the inorganic a; in such cases the secondary character of the a is directly proven by the forms that lack it. A case in point is:

aorist stem ts:!ayam- hide; verb-stem ts:!ay[a]^m- and ts:!a-im-Other verbs, however, are phonetically so constituted as to require the presence of the inorganic a in all forms derived from the verbstem. Such are:

aorist stem agan- feel, hear; verb-stem ag[a]naorist stem p!ahan- be ripe, done; verb stem p!ah[a]n-

Under such circumstances ambiguous forms may result; e. g.,  $wa^{\epsilon}$  agani't' may be construed either as an aorist (YOU FELT IT) or as a potential (YOU WOULD FEEL IT) derived from the stem ag[a]n. But evidence is not lacking even in these cases to prove the inorganic character of the second a in the non-aorist forms. One test has been already referred to in another connection—the incapability of a secondary diphthong (a diphthong involving an inorganic a) to have a rising accent. Thus:

aorist  $d\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ agañ (-aga'n) he heard it; but imperative  $d\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ ag[a']n hear it!

A second test is the failure of inorganic a to become ablauted to e. Thus:

aorist p!ehen- a'nxi he causes me to be done; but future p!eh[a]n-a'nxink' he will cause me to be done

The various types of stem-formation will now be taken up in the order of their occurrence in the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Brackets indicate an inorganic element.

Type 1. Verb-stem v+c; aorist  $v^v+c$ . In this type are embraced partly monosyllabic and partly dissyllabic verb-stems that either seem to undergo no change at all in the aorist or merely lengthen the stem-vowel. The number of verbs that follow the type does not seem to be very great. Examples:

Verb-stem

woga'ɛ' he will arrive (196.20)
oba'n I shall dig it up
yi'lt' copulating 86.5

ūgwa'n I shall drink it (162.17)
hogwana'n I shall make him run
(138.2)
hinɛx-nīwa'ɛ's coward 76.5;
(160.19)
wīt'eɛ I shall travel (178.11)
tlīˈla'mxadeɛ I shall go fishing
yimi'hin I shall lend it to him
(98.14)
hūli'nt'eɛ I shall be tired out
hagait'eɛ I shall have a cold thrill
lohona'n I shall cause him to die

Aorist stem

wõuk' he arrived 47.15  $\bar{o}^uba'^{\varepsilon}n$  I dug it up (48.7)  $y\bar{\imath}^ila'^{\varepsilon}n$  I copulated with her 26.3  $\bar{u}^ugwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drank it 186.3 hõugwa $na'^{\varepsilon}n$  I made him run (79.2)  $hin^{\varepsilon}x$ - $n\bar{\imath}^iwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I was afraid (17.7)

wīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I traveled (90.1) t!ī'la'mxade<sup>ε</sup> I went fishing yi'miya'<sup>ε</sup>n I lend it to him (98.15) hū''li'nt'e<sup>ε</sup> I was tired out (102.1) hagāīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I had a cold thrill 166.1 lohō''na'<sup>ε</sup>n I caused him to die (100.8)

al-ge'yande I shall turn my face al-geyana' n I turned my face

As regards the accent of the stem syllable, the examples show that, whenever accented, it takes the rising pitch when long, the raised pitch when short (and final). Compare further:

 $\tilde{o}^u p$ ' he dug it up 124.5, 12  $\tilde{u}k'^u$  he drank it 162.20

 $hin^{\epsilon}x-n\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$  he was afraid al-geya'n he turned his face

Type 2. Verb-stem v+c; aorist v+c+v. If, as seems probable, the second consonant of verbal bases ending in two consonants is in many cases really a petrified suffix, a very large proportion of those verbs that might be listed under Type 3 really belong here, thus making Type 2 probably the most numerously represented of all types. In some forms it is possible to detect the derivative character of the second consonant by a comparison of etymologically related forms that lack it; e. g., in  $ts\cdot!elm$ - rattle (aorist  $ts\cdot!elem$ -), the -m- is shown to be a suffix, though of no determinable signification, because of its absence in the corresponding frequentative  $ts\cdot!elets\cdot!al$ -. A corroborative phonetic test lies in the treatment of the first consonant of the cluster, in so far as verbs following Type 3 show a fortis in the aorist as against a media or tenuis in the verb-stem, while those

of Type 2 suffer no change in this respect; e. g., verb-stem wism-MOVE has a orist according to Type 3, wits: !im-, as contrasted with verb-stem t'qism- get green with aorist of Type 2 t'qisim- (t'qismshould therefore be analyzed as base t'gis- + suffix -m-). This criterion enables us to pick out an otherwise unsuspected suffix in verbs like t!ap'g-finish, aorist t!abag- (not Type 3, \*t!ap!ag-), but can be applied only where the first consonant of the verb-stem is s. b, d, or q. A more general phonetic test would seem to be the position occupied by the inorganic vowel -a-. In those cases in which we have most reason to consider the second consonant as part of the base, this -a- follows the cluster as "constant" a; while otherwise, and indeed in the majority of cases, it is inserted between the two consonants: wisma't'e' I SHALL MOVE (base wism-), but t'qisa'mt'e' I (AS PLANT) SHALL GET GREEN. An application of these various criteria, were sufficient material at hand, would probably show that but a comparatively small number of verbs follow Type 3. Examples of verbs of Type 2 are:

#### Verb-stem

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t !ani'n I shall hold him (28.11) wa-k ! $\bar{\imath}$ uya'n I shall go with him

o'sbin (=? ok-s-) I shall give it to you (178.15) oina'n I shall give it yãlxaldan I shall lose it (188.18) yo't'e' I shall be (33.10) nāk'ink' he will say to him

da-sgāīpxde<sup>e</sup> I shall lie down t'ū<sup>u</sup>ga'<sup>e</sup>t' it will get hot s:omda'n I shall cook it

(94.16)

#### Aorist stem

ī-t!ana'hi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I held him 73.16 wa-k!oyō<sup>ɛ</sup>n I went with him (33.15) ogu'sbi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I gave it to you 23.3

oyon $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I gave it (180.20) yalā $xalda^{\epsilon}n$  I lost it (77.10) yowõ $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I was (42.1) naga' he said to him 180.7

da-sgaya'pxde<sup>e</sup> I amlying down t'ūwū'<sup>e</sup>k' it got hot 94.15 s'omoda'<sup>e</sup>n I cooked it (58.10)

# Examples illustrating the intrusive -a- are:

## Verb-stem

bila'ut'ee I shall jump (160.17) mīlada'n I shall love her k!iya'k'dee I shall come 196.1 gina'k'dee I shall go somewhere 14.3 dūwa'k'dee I shall be good

## Aorist stem

bili $\bar{a}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I jumped (45.14) mīl $\bar{i}^i da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I love her -k !iyi' $k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I came (156.24) gini' $k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I went somewhere 21.10 dūwū $k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I was good (146.7)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps best considered as belonging to Type 3 (verb-stem bilw-).

#### Verb-stem

 $\operatorname{xum} a'k' de^e I$  shall be satiated

wiya'k'de' I shall groan
xuda'mt'e' I shall whistle
ts:!ela'mt'e' I shall rattle
ts:!us.a'mt'e' I shall make whistling noise by drawing in
breath between teeth and
lower lip
lī'iga'nt'e' I shall rest

lī<sup>i</sup>ga'nt'e<sup>e</sup> I shall rest yala'nt'e<sup>e</sup> I shall be lost (cf. 14.3)

#### Aorist stem

xumü' $k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I was satiated (130.18)

wiyi' $k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I groaned (192.11) xudu $\tilde{m}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I whistled (33.16) ts:!ele $\tilde{m}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I rattled (102.13)

ts '!us 'u $\widetilde{m}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I made whistling noise (78.9,10,12)

ligīnt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I rested (79.2,4) yala $\tilde{n}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I am lost (note difference in accent between aorist and future)

It is to be understood, of course, that this -a- is in no sense a characterizing future or non-aorist element, as, when the phonetic conditions allow, it drops out altogether. This takes place when the consonant following the intrusive -a- is itself followed by a vowel. Thus the second person singular future  $(-ada'^{\epsilon})$  of some of the verbs listed has no -a-:  $bilwada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $gingada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $d\bar{u}^u gada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $w\bar{\iota}^i gada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $yalnada'^{\epsilon}$ . Similarly the simple stem xud- whistle appears in  $xut'ma'^{\epsilon}s$  whistler.

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be observed that the verbs of this type divide themselves into two classes—those with short verbstem vowel (such as t!an-, og-, s·om-d-, gin-g-, yal-n-) and those with long verb-stem vowel  $(k!\bar{o}^u y_-, y\bar{a}l-x-ald_-, l\bar{\iota}^i g_-[a]n_-, t'\bar{u}^u-g_-, m\bar{\iota}^l-[a]d_-)$ . The first and second stem vowels of the aorist of verbs of the first class are regularly both short (t!ana-, ogo-, s'omo-d-, gini-g-, yala-n-); the agrists of the second class seem generally to have a short first but long second vowel ( $k!oy\bar{o}^u$ -,  $yal\bar{a}^a$ -x-ald,  $lig\bar{\imath}^i$ -n-, t' $\bar{u}w\bar{u}^u$ -g-,  $m\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}^i$ -d-). The verb  $n\bar{a}^a g$ - (a rist naga-) say to and perhaps a few others ( $sq\bar{a}i$ p-x-, aorist sqaya-p-x-; al-ts!āi-g- wash aorist al-ts!aya-g-; but  $al-ts!\bar{a}i-p'$ - wash oneself, agrist  $al-ts!ay\bar{a}^a-p'$ -) do not follow this rule. Of the verb yo- (aorist yowo-) forms of both accent classes are found  $(y\tilde{o}t'e^{\epsilon})$  as well as  $yo't'e^{\epsilon}$ ,  $yowo't'e^{\epsilon}$  as well as  $yow\tilde{o}t'e^{\epsilon}$ ), and indeed a lengthening of the second vowel of aorists of the first class seems to occur with considerable frequency. The rising for long and the raised for final short stem vowels seem to be the normal accents for verbs of Type 2, whether the stress falls on the first or second (in aorists) vowel. If, however, the accented vowel is followed by a

BOAS]

glottal catch or fortis consonant the accent, as generally in such a case, is a falling one. Thus:

s·ō'uɛk'ôp'deɛ I shall jump (148.8)) s·owō'uɛk'ôp'deɛ I jump (48.15; 49.1) Such forms as wa-k!oyoɛn are only apparently opposed to the rule (see § 65).

Type 3. Verb-stem  $v + c + c_1$ ; aorist  $v + c! + v + c_1$ . The most satisfactory test of a verb of this type is the intervocalic fortis consonant of the aorist stem as contrasted with the corresponding non-fortis consonant of the verb-stem. As only the minority of base-final consonant-clusters begin with a consonant that is capable of being changed to a fortis, there are in the material available only a few verbs to which the test can be applied. Those showing an intervocalic fortis (changed from non-fortis) in the aorist stem are:

#### Verb-stem

i-lasgi'n I shall touch it masga'n I shall put it (102.15) wismada'<sup>ε</sup> you will move yo'k'yan I shall know it (162.6) lop'dia'u<sup>ε</sup>t' it will rain

#### Aorist stem

 $\bar{\imath}$ -lats!ag $i'^{\epsilon}n$  I touched it mats!ag $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I put it 74.13 wits!ima't' you moved 148.16 yok!oy $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I knew it 50.5 lop!od $ia'^{u\epsilon}$  it rained 152.11

In other verbs of this type the only characteristic of the agrist stem is the repetition between the consonants of the cluster of the stem-vowel. The following verb-forms exemplify this group, with the reservation that if in any case the second consonant of the cluster be really a suffix, the form should be assigned to Type 2.

## Verb-stem

t!amyana'n I shall go to get her married (150.5,19) ts!a-uya's fast runner 138.2  $d\bar{v}^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{u}'$ its:!amt' fool him! baxma' $t'e^{\epsilon 1}$  (= baxm-) I shall come ga-iwa'n I shall eat it 128.18 moigwana'n I shall spoil it

yo'u<sup>ε</sup>snan Ishall scare him (186.10)

malgini'n I shall tell him
ba-i-xilgwi'n I shall snatch it
out

#### Aorist stem

t!amayana'ɛn I went to get her married (148.5)
ts!awaīt'eɛ I ran fast
dīɛüyü'ts:!amdaɛn I fooled him
baxam̄t'eɛ I came (114.16)
gayawa'ɛn I ate it 30.11
moyūgwana'ɛn I spoiled it
(31.12)
yowo'ɛsnaɛn I scared him
(186.10)
malagini'ɛn I told him (30.15)
ba-i-xiligwi'ɛn I snatehed it out
(33.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verb clearly belongs to Type 3 because of constant -a-following -zm-. Had it belonged to Type 2 it would have assumed the form \* $baxa'mt'\epsilon\epsilon$ .

Verb-stem

gwel-leīs $de^e$  I shall be lame  $\beta daw \bar{t}' e^e$  I shall fly (166.18)

lda-uya′έs flyer

ba-i-hemga'n I shall take (food) out (16.10)

han-gīilba'n I shall put (beam) across

ba-i-k!āalsi'n I shall take it out

p'elga'n I shall go to war against them (124.19)

yamda'n I shall ask him (70.6) yi'ms'aldan I shall dream about

ha-u-ha'nes $d\bar{a}^a$  it will stop (raining) (198.9)

yōuga'n I shall marry her (192.16) yowoga' $\epsilon$ n I married her (43.3)

Aorist stem

gwel-le'yees $de^{\varepsilon}$  I am lame dawaīt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I flew (166.18)

ba-i-hemeg $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I took (food) out (58.9; 118.12)

han-gilib $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I put (beam) across (176.3)

ba-i-k!ala' $si^{\varepsilon}n$  I took it out (25.4)

p'elega' en I went to war against them (110.4)

yamada'<sup>e</sup>n I asked him (56.3) yimi's alda<sup>e</sup>n I dreamed about him 186.3

ha-u-hana's it stopped (raining) 196.8

As long as the first consonant of the cluster is a semivowel (w, y) or a liquid or nasal (l, m, n), the question as to whether the verb belongs to Type 2 or Type 3 is a purely etymological or historical one. Descriptively it makes no difference whether a form like  $p'elega'^en$  1 went to war against them is derived from p'eleg- by the insertion of the stem-vowel -e- between l and g (Type 3), or from p'el-g-by the addition of the -e- to a base p'el- (Type 2). From a purely descriptive point of view, then, the most typical agrist formation in Takelma may be said to be characterized by the repetition of the stem-vowel immediately after the first consonant following the stem-vowel.

From the point of view of vocalic quantity the verbs of Type 3 fall into the same two classes as those of Type 2—such as have a short vowel in the stem (t!amy-, ts!awy-, malg-, p'elg-, hants!-) and such as have a long vowel ( $\bar{u}its$ :!-,  $g\bar{v}ilb$ -,  $k!\bar{a}^als$ ), these latter being apparently much less numerous than in Type 2. The quantity of both the stem vowels of the aorist is regularly short, even when the verb-stem vowel is long (gilib-, k!alas-); only rarely is the second vowel of the aorist stem long ( $leye^es$ -,  $\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}ts$ :!-). The accent of stressed stem vowels follows the same rules as in the case of verbs of Type 3 ( $dowa\bar{u}t'e^{\varepsilon}$ , han-gili'p' with rising or raised pitch; but  $hana'^{\varepsilon}s$ ,  $he'^{i\varepsilon}x$ - $d\bar{u}^a$  HE WILL BE LEFT OVER,  $\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}'^{\bar{u}\varepsilon}s$ : $de^{\varepsilon}$  1 LAUGH, with falling accent because of the glottal catch).

BOAS]

Type 4. Verb-stem  $v^v + c(+v)$ ; aorist v + c + v + i. Verbs of this type are intransitive, the -i-, though confined to the aorist, being evidently in some way connected with the intransitive character. That it is really a derivative element characteristic of the aorist is shown by its conduct in transitive forms derived from the intransitive. In the causative in -n- it drops out:

t'agā $^a na'^{\epsilon}n$  I make him cry while in certain other transitive derivatives it is preserved:

t'agayaqwa'en I ery having it

The contradiction in treatment is here only apparent, as the absence or presence of the -i- would seem to depend not so much on the transitive or intransitive form of the verb as on whether the action expressed by the verb is logically transitive or not (in a causative the action is necessarily directed toward an object, in a comitative the formal object is not concerned in the action of the verb at all). Types 4a and 4b may properly be considered subclasses of Types 2 and 1 respectively, though it should be noted that the -i- occurs nowhere except in one special tense—the aorist. Examples of Type 4a are:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
yè'ūt'e <sup>e</sup> I shall return (92.24)	yeweit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I returned (58.9,13)
p!āk'de <sup>e</sup> I shall bathe (58.5; 118.7)	p!agaī $t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I bathed 58.2
t'āk'dee I shall cry (29.11)	t'agaīt'e <sup>c</sup> I cried (29,13; 62.2)
na't'ee (irregular) I shall say, do 196.5	naga $it'e^{\varepsilon}$ I said, did 126.3; 180.1

Even less numerous are the examples of 4b that have been found:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
loho't' dead (98.10; 170.1; 186.21) lehe't' drifting dead to land	lohoit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I died 184.18 lehe' <sup>i<math>\varepsilon</math></sup> he drifted dead to land
lene i diffiling dead to fand	75.5

The aorist of verbs of Type 4 regularly have the rising accent on the *i*- dipthong formed by the repeated stem vowel and the *i*- suffix. The stressed stem-vowel of forms built on the verb-stem regularly has the rising (4a) or raised accent (second vowel of 4b). na-, which is irregular also in other respects, has a short vowel in the verb-stem and takes the raised accent in non-aorist forms under appropriate conditions (na't' saying; na' say it!).

Type 5. Verb-stem v+c+v; aorist  $v^v+c$ . This type of verb is morphologically very difficult to understand, as it is in effect the very opposite of Type 2. Morphologically yana- go: t!an- hold =  $y\bar{a}^a n$ -:

t!ana-; but phonetically the proportion would gain in symmetry by reversing the positions of its first and third terms. Examples are:

Aorist stem wagawi'n I shall bring it to him wāagiwi'en I brought it to him (45.6)(176.17)wege'sink' he will bring it to me weega'si he brought it to me (194.11)yana't'e e I shall go 14.3 yãn $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I went 14.7 haxa't'e I shall burn (92,29)  $hãxde^{\varepsilon}$  I burnt (98.1.4) dak'-da-hala'hin I shall answer dak'-da-hā $^{a}$ l $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I answered him him (122.4; 146.14; 180.18) laba' carry it! (70.5); 192.8 lap' he carried it 160.9 sagwa' paddle it! 112.3,9  $s\bar{a}^a gwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I paddled it (14.6) wede'k'ink' he will take it from wet'gi he took it from him 16.13; him (16.10,11; 17.10,11) lebe'n I shall pick it up and eat it  $le^eba'^{\epsilon}n$  I picked and ate it 94.5,12 sebe'n I shall roast it (44.6) se $^{e}$ b $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I roasted it (118.10)  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -īwi'xink' he will go away  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -īūs·i he went away from me from me (184.14,15)hawax-xīū $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I am rotting (100.1) hawax-xiwi't'e I shall rot (194.8) odo'n I shall hunt for it (116.7,11)  $\bar{o}^{u}da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I hunted for it (13.9) woo'nk' he will go to get it (162.8) woult' he went to get it 160.4 p'uyumda'n I shall smoke them p'õyamda<sup>ε</sup>n I smoked them out (76.11)võumīva'en I caught up with him yomo'n I shall eatch up with him (final  $-i^i$ - of a rist stem unex-(46.7; 136.12,13)plained) (140.14)

The two stem vowels of the verb-stem are always short in quantity, the second regularly having the raised accent (imperatives yana', lebe', odo', woo').\(^1\) The long stem vowel of the aorist, when stressed, takes the rising accent. To this latter rule there is one curious exception. The verb odo- nunt for always has the falling accent on the  $\bar{o}^u$  of the aorist ( $\bar{o}'ut'$  ne nunted for it 13.9; 88.8, never \* $\bar{o}^ut'$ ), but the non-aorist forms follow in everything the analogy of other verbs of this type. This anomaly is quite unexplained. Can it be that a leveling out of two originally distinct paradigms has taken place (\* $\bar{o}^ud$ -, odo'- of Type 5 and  $\bar{o}'ud$ -, \* $\bar{o}'ut$ - of Type 6)?

Type 6. Verb-stem  $v^{(v)} + c!$ ; agrist  $v^v + c$ . Most of the verbs that follow this type have as second consonant in the agrist one capable of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such forms as lebe'n, with falling accent on the second vowel, are only apparently opposed to this rule, as in these cases the falling accent regularly goes with the personal ending -n. Practically all violations of the accent rules found in the examples are of this merely apparent character and will be readily explained away when the subject of personal endings is considered.

BOAS]

becoming a fortis; such as do not, introduce a catch before the second consonant in non-acrist forms. There seem to be no primarily intransitive verbs of this type. Examples of the type are:

Verb-stem Aorist stem  $\bar{\imath}$ -k'w $\bar{a}'^a$ gw $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I woke him up [ī-k'wā'ak!win I shall wake him up 16.4; (75.6)  $k'w\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}xde^{e}$  I shall wake up (190.5) k'w $\bar{a}'^a x de^{\varepsilon}$  I woke up (16.3, 5)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -lā't!an I shall put it about  $x\bar{a}^a$ -lā'ada'n I put it about my my waist la-εī-t'bā'k!in I shall burst it  $la^{-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}$ -t'bā'ag $i^{\epsilon}n$  I burst it (24.17) (118.5)wa-sgā'p!in I shall make it tight wa-sgā'abi $\epsilon n$  I made it tight (140.6) al- $x\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\varepsilon}n$  I saw him 188.9 al-xī'k!in I shall see him (146.21)  $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -w $\bar{\imath}$ 'k!in I shall spread it out  $de^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ -w $\bar{\imath}'^{i}$ g $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I spread it out (120.1)dak'-t'e'ek!in I shall give him to dak'-t'e'egi<sup>€</sup>n I gave him to smoke smoke (170.13)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -xō't!an I shall win over him  $b\bar{a}^a$ - $x\bar{o}'^u da^{\epsilon}n$  I won over him (170.9)(168.5)al-lō'ugw $a^{\epsilon}n$  I thrust it (152.19) al-lo'k!wan I shall thrust it dal-p'ō't!in I shall mix it (178.5) dal-p'ō'u $di^{\epsilon}n$  I mixed it  $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{\mathbf{u}}'$ t!in I shall drown him  $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{\mathbf{u}}'^{\mathrm{u}}\mathrm{d}i^{\varepsilon}n$  I drowned him (118.9)de-bü'üg $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I filled it (140.3) de-bü'k!in I shall fill it ī'-gī'na take it! (102.14) ī-gī'ina he took it 15.1; 45.13

Despite the change of the second consonant from fortis to nonfortis, it is not certain that it is always an integral part of the stem; in  $de-b\ddot{u}'\ddot{u}q\dot{i}^{\epsilon}n$  the q(k!) seems to be a verbifying suffix (cf.  $de-b\ddot{u}'_{\epsilon}$ FULL as adjective). The accent of the base of verbs of Type 6 differs materially from that of verbs of types heretofore discussed. The normal pitch-accent of most verb-bases is the rising tone for long, the raised for final short, vowels, unless a catch immediately follows. Thus in Type 5 dak'-da-hal he answered him; Type 2 naga' he SAID TO HIM; but with catch Type 4 naga'is he said. The verbs, however, of Type 6, as will have been noticed, all have the falling accent in both agrist and non-agrist forms. This variation from the accentual norm becomes intelligible if we remember that a fortis is the equivalent of a catch+a media; e. g., alxī'k!in I SHALL SEE HIM;  $alx\tilde{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'$  SEE HIM! As the catch tends to bring about a falling accent before it, the falling accent peculiar to verbs of Type 6 may plausibly be ascribed to the fortis (i. e., glottal catch) quality of the final consonant of the stem. Compare also, in Type 3, he'ik!in

I SHALL LEAVE IT OVER. The retention of the falling accent in the aorist, although the presumable cause of it has been removed, is an example of form-parallelism, and argues, at least in verbs of this type, for the secondary origin of the aorist stem. The relation between  $x\bar{o}'t!an$  and  $x\bar{o}'uda^{\varepsilon}n$  is, then, the same as that which obtains between  $yowo'^{\varepsilon}$  HE was and  $yow\bar{o}'uda^{\varepsilon}$  when he was 79.7.

The organic character of the fortis consonant of verbs of this type is still further evidenced by many derivative forms (iteratives, continuatives, -xa- forms used to imply lack of object) which are regularly derived from the verb-stem, not the agrist stem, even in their agrist forms. Thus from  $sg\bar{o}'^ut'-45.10$  (agrist  $sg\bar{o}'^ud-72.10$ ) cut are derived the derivative agrists  $sgot!o'sgade^{\varepsilon}$  I cut frequentatively (62.1),  $sgot!\bar{o}l-ha^{\varepsilon}n$  I keep cutting it (108.8),  $sg\ddot{u}t'\ddot{u}'xade^{\varepsilon}$  I cut (without object) (92.2). Parallel forms are derived from most other verbs of this type, such as  $x\bar{v}'^ik'-$ ,  $l\bar{o}'^uk'-$ ,  $sg\bar{v}'^ip'-$  cut,  $sge'^{\varepsilon}l-$  lift up. A few verbs of Type 6, however, form the agrists of these derivatives from the agrist stems of the simple verbs. Such forms are the frequentatives t'baga't'bag-14.12 (from  $t'ba'^ak'-136.20$ ) and sege'sag-172.10 (from  $se'^{\varepsilon}k'-$  nod to, open door 138.18).

Type 7. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; aorist  $c!+v+c_1+v(+i)$ . The second sub-group (7b) of this sparsely represented type of verbs is apparently related to the first (7a) as are verbs of Type 4a to those of Type 2. It is very improbable, however, that the characteristic -i- element of the aorist is morphologically the same in both Type 4 and Type 7b, as verbs of the latter type are clearly transitive, while in Type 4 the -i- was found to be a clearly intransitivizing element. A further difference between the two types lies in the marked length of the repeated vowel in verbs of Type 7b. This vocalic length is perhaps responsible for the loss of the -i- in certain forms; e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{u}g\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  HE WORE IT, but  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{u}g\bar{u}^{\bar{\imath}}$ n I WORE IT. (See § 65.)

Of Type 7a only the following examples have been found:

Verb-stem Aorist stem  $b\bar{a}^a$ -d $\bar{e}$ p' $de^e$ I shall arise 196.3  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t!ebe' $t'e^e$ I arose 186.14 wa-d $\bar{i}$ lnhinI shall distribute them them (130.4)  $dwe^e$ p'dwa'p $xd\bar{a}^a$  they will fly without lighting the term out lighting

The last example follows also Types 6 and 13a.

BOAS]

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

To Type 7b belong:

(116.17)

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

da-dāk' build a fire!  $d\bar{\imath}$ -düüg wa'nk' she will wear it 55.9 t'gwāaxa'nt'qwidee I shall tattoo myself  $k!\bar{a}^ada'nk'$  he will pick them

da-t!agāī he built a fire 96.17  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t!üg $\bar{\bar{u}}$ i she wore it 96.16 t'gwaxāīk'wide<sup>e</sup> I tattooed myk!adāī he picked them swadāī he beat him in gambling

The last three verbs happen to have stems beginning with a consonant or consonant-combination that does not allow of development into a fortis, so that there is no initial modification in the agrist. A few other transitive verbs have agrist stems like those of type 7b, but form their non-agrist forms according to other models, as the agrists  $k!em\grave{e}i$ - Make (only-with third personal object; otherwise  $k!eme^{(e)}-n$ -, corresponding verb-stem k!em-n- of Type 2) and yehèi- HEAR SINGING FAR AWAY (verb-stem  $yeh\bar{v}^i$ -). In both agrist and non-agrist forms the stem vowel or long i-diphthong, when stressed, bears the rising or raised accent ( $k!\tilde{a}t'$  PICK THEM!  $b\bar{a}^a-t!ebe't'$  HE AROSE).

Type 8. Verb-stem  $e+v^v+c_1$ ; a rist  $e!+v+c_1+v+c_1$ . The a rist stem of this type is characterized by reduplication of Type 1 (see § 30) combined, wherever possible, with change to fortis of the initial consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem

gāīt'e I shall grow (77.9)  $g\bar{o}^{u}da'n$  I shall bury him (118.3) gō<sup>u</sup>la'n I shall dig it gū<sup>u</sup>wa'n I shall plant it (94.10)  $d\bar{o}^{u}ma'n$  I shall kill him (178.14)  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -d $\tilde{o}$ xin I shall gather them

ba-i-dixin I shall pull (guts) out

dā<sup>a</sup>la'n I shall crack it deegwa'ldan I shall watch for him (116.20; 126.20)  $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -de<sup>e</sup>mi'n I shall gather them (for war)  $b\bar{a}^aba'n$  I shall chop it (90.16)  $d\bar{\imath}$ -büügwa'n I shall start (war, basket) (110.21; 170.10) s ā da'n I shall mash it

Aorist stem

k!ayait' $e^{\epsilon}$  I grew (77.9)  $k! ododa'^{\epsilon}n$  I buried him (96.16) k!olola' $\epsilon n$  I dug it 73.10,14  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}wa'^{\epsilon}n$  I planted it (132.10) t!omom $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I killed him 71.7  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t!oxo'x $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I gathered them (112.6,11; 192.4)ba-i-t!ixi'xi $^{\epsilon}n$  I pulled (guts) out (92.17)

 $t!alala'^{\epsilon}n$  I cracked it t!egwegwa'lda $^{\varepsilon}n$  I watched for him (118.2; 158.12) wa⁵-ī-t!eme'm gathered he them (for war) 110,3

p!abab $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I chopped it (90.11)  $d\bar{\imath}$ -p!ügügw $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I started it

ts !adada' n I mashed it (130.23)

# Verb-stem

s·ũm<br/>t'an I shall boil it (170.16)

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -s· $\bar{\imath}$ bin I shall close door (90.4)

ye<sup>e</sup>gwa'n I shall bite him (88.2) lō<sup>u</sup>ba'n I shall pound them (16.6)

lī<sup>i</sup>ma'<sup>ɛ</sup>t' tree will fall (108.12) hēlt'e<sup>e</sup> I shall sing (106.15)

#### Aorist stem

ts·!ümũmt' $a^{\epsilon}n$  I boiled it (170.17)

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -ts·!ibibi' $^{\epsilon}n$  I closed door (90.5)

yegwegw $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I bit him (88.3) lobob $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I pounded them (16.9)

limi'<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>m tree fell (108.11) helelt' $e^{\epsilon}$  I sang (104.2, 5, 6)

In the transitive verbs of this type the repeated consonant of the aorist is found only when the object is of the third person; otherwise it is dropped, with lengthening of the preceding vowel. Thus:

 $t!omo\widetilde{m}$  he killed him 16.15; but  $t!om\widetilde{o}xbi^{\epsilon}n$  he killed you (cf. 178.12) Before certain intransitivizing derivative suffixes, particularly -x-(see §56) and -xa- (see §53), the same loss of the repeated consonant of the agrist stem is to be noted. Thus:

p!aba`p` he chopped it 90.11; but  $p!ebe'xa^{\varepsilon}$  he chopped 55.6  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\overline{i}$ - $t!eme\widetilde{m}$  he gathered them together; but dak'- $t!em\widetilde{e}x$  they are gathered together 43.9; 136.11

With -x- the preceding vowel is lengthened, with -xa- it remains short. The second consonant of the stems of verbs of Type 8 never involves a radical glottal eatch, hence the falling accent is never found on either the first or second stem vowel.

Type 9. Verb-stem  $c + v^v + c_1$ ; aorist  $c! + v + y + v + c_1$ . This type is not at all a common one. It differs from Type 7a in that the added vowel (in every case a, as far as the material goes) is put before the last consonant of the base, the y serving perhaps merely to connect the stem -a- and added -a-.

Of Type 9, examples are:

#### Verb-stem

 $d\bar{a}^a g a' n$  I shall find it (110.15)  $s\bar{a}^a g a' n$  I shall shoot him da- $d\bar{a}it'e^e$  (- $d\bar{a}^a y$ -) I shall go to get something to eat (33.9) da- $d\bar{a}^a l di' n$  (=  $d\bar{a}i l d$ -, see § 11) I shall go to get it to eat (33.9)

#### Aorist stem

t!ayaga'en I found it (27.12) ts!ayaga'en I shot him (45.13) da-t!ayaīt'e I went to get something to eat (75.9) da-t!ayaldi'en (=t!ayaild-, see § 11) I went to get it to eat (76.9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This verb might be considered as entirely parallel to  $g\bar{a}ay$ - (aorist k!ayai-) of Type 8. The derivative in -ld-, however, seems to prove it to be of Type 9; the -ld-forms, if belonging to Type 8, would probably appear as \*da-d\(\alpha\)ya'ldin, \*da-tlayaya'ldiin.

BOAS]

Type 10. Verb-stem  $c + v \ (+c) \ (+c_1)$ ; aorist  $c + v + \begin{cases} c \ (+c_1) \\ c_1 \ + c \end{cases} (+v)$ .

This type embraces the few verbs that form their agrist stem by merely repeating the initial consonant of the verb-stem. Of 10a, that is, those that introduce the initial consonant immediately after the stem-vowel, there have been found:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
lõ <sup>u</sup> x to play 31.7; (31.6, 8, 9)	$l\check{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{u}}lt'e^{\varepsilon}$ I played
lãp'de I shall become (25.2)	$l\bar{a}^a l \tilde{\imath} t' e^{\varepsilon} I$ became (also of Type
	15a) 186.19
lā <sup>a</sup> wa'n I shall twine basket	$l\bar{a}^{a}lwa'^{\epsilon}n$ I twined basket (61.7)
$he^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -le'(l) $k!in$ I shall let him go	$he^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\text{le}'\text{le}k!i^{\epsilon}n$ I let him go
(182.20)	(50.4)

The last verb differs from the others in that it repeats in the agrist both the consonant and the vowel of the verb-stem; it is the only verb known which shows perfect duplication of the verb-stem (assuming the suffixed character of the -k!-). Perhaps -lek!- is misheard for -lelk!-.

The only certain example of 10b is:

Verb-stem sana' spear it! (33.9)

Aorist stem

 $s\tilde{a}ns$  he speared it (110.20)

The verb-stem here is of Type 5. The simple base (san-) is best seen in the fully reduplicated  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'n$ - $sinia^{u\varepsilon}$  they are fighting each other 23.14. An agrist of Type 10 b is probably also:

ha-u-gwen-yut!i'hi (=\*yut!y-[h]i)
he gobbled it down (cf. frequentative yut!uyad-)

See also a orist  $y\bar{o}^u m\bar{\imath}^i$ - under Type 5. Stems of this type are more frequent among nouns than verbs, e. g., belp swan (see § 86, 5).

Type 11. Verb-stem  $c+v+c_1+c_2$  aorist  $c+v+c_1+v+c_2$ . Verbs belonging to this type differ in the aorist from those of the preceding type in that they introduce before the repeated initial consonant also the vowel of the stem, thus approaching in form the more fully reduplicating Type 13. Only a few examples of the type occur:

Verb-stem

Verb-stem

loma'lt'e $^{\varepsilon}$  (a is inorganic) I lom $\bar{o}$ lt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I choked

shall choke

xalx $a'mt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I shall urinate (cf. xala'x $amt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I urinated  $\bar{a}$   $x\bar{a}^a$ l-am- urine)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are many apparently perfect duplications of verb-stems in -a-, but the -a- of the second member is never a repetition of the stem-vowel. See Type 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This verb is better considered as belonging to Type 13a, xalxam- and xalaxam- belong respectively dissimilated from \*xanxan- and \*xanaxan- (see §21).

[BULL. 40

yawī't'e' I shall talk (cf. base yiw- talk) (126.2)

ytw- (atk) (120,2)

 $b\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ -al-mo'l<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>man I shall turn things over (base  $mol^{\varepsilon}$ -)  $d\bar{a}^{a}$ -ye'hī<sup>i</sup>n I shall go to where singing is heard yawaî*t'e<sup>e</sup>* I talked (30.4; 126.2)

da-bo'k!op'na<sup>e</sup>n I made bubbles (base bōk!-) 102.22

 $b\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ -al-mo'lo ma n I turned things over

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehèi he went where there was singing (see Type 7b) 106.10

legwel $a'mda^{\varepsilon}n$  I suck it out of it (186.18)

lā<sup>a</sup>mala'<sup>e</sup>n I quarrel with him (27.2)

It is quite possible that many verbs whose verb-stem ends in a consonant identical with their initial consonant (and that one would be inclined to list under Type 2) really belong to Type 11. In such cases as:

ging- go somewhere (aorist ginig-) k!iy[a]g- go, come (aorist k!iyig-) qcl-qul[a]g- desire (aorist- gulug-)

it is not easy to decide whether the final -g- is a suffixed element, as in many verbs of Type 2, or a repetition of the initial consonant of the base. As to the genesis of the form in verbs of Type 11, it seems clear that it is only a secondary development of the far more richly represented Type 13. This is indicated by the existence of second forms of Type 13 alongside those of Type 11:

da-bok!oba'k'na<sup>ε</sup>n I make bubbles yiwiya'ut' ε<sup>ε</sup> I talk (148.9) mo'lo<sup>ε</sup>mala<sup>ε</sup>n I turn things over (170.16)

A form like  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}mat'$  YOU TURNED THINGS OVER may go back to a \* $mo'lo^{\epsilon}mlat'$  (Type 13b), itself a reduced form of the fully reduplicating  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}malat'$ ; but see § 65.

Type 12. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; aorist  $c+v^v+c_1+c+a+c_1$ . Verbs of this type form their aorist by reduplicating the verb-stem according to Type 2 (see § 30); the a of the second syllable of the aorist stem is regularly umlauted to i by an i of the following syllable (see § 8, 3a). Morphologically such aorist stems are practically identical with the verb-stems of Type 13a, though no further deductions can be drawn from this fact. Contrary to what one might expect, most verbs of the type show no marked iterative or frequentative signifi-

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

Examples of this rather frequently recurring type are: cation.

Verb-stem

sana'n 1 I shall fight him (28.15)  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -sal-t'gūuni'n I shall kick it

t!èūt'e I shall play shinny

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}}$ wi'n I shall catch him (33.8)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -dī<sup>i</sup>ga'n I shall make it stand up

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -s·wilxk' it is torn ts!ā<sup>a</sup>ga'<sup>ε</sup>t' he will step

 $d\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ - $b\bar{o}^{u}di'n$  I shall pull out his

 $b\bar{a}$ - $\bar{i}$ -sg $\bar{a}$ <sup>a</sup>gi'n I shall pick it up

lā<sup>a</sup>wi'n I shall call him by name

sā $^{a}$ nsa'n $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I was fighting 184.13  $he^{\epsilon \varepsilon}$ -sal-t'gũnt'gin $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I kicked it off (24.17)

t!èut!a'ut'e I played shinny (47.7)

ī-t!āūt!iwi⁵n I caught him 33.4  $b\bar{a}^a$ -dîk'dag $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I made it stand up (59.10)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -s·wils·wil $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I tore it (73.3) ts! $\bar{a}^a k$ 'ts! $a'^{\varepsilon} k$ ' he stepped 32.9

 $d\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -bot'bid $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I pulled out his hair (194.7)

 $b\bar{a}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -sgāk'sgigi $\bar{\imath}$  I picked him up (32.12)

 $l\bar{a}^{a}liwi^{\prime\varepsilon}n$  I called him by name (for  $l\bar{a}^a - = l\bar{a}u$ - see § 7) (116.3)

There is a tendency to prevent a long u-diphthong of the first syllable of the aorist stem from standing immediately before a diphthong-forming semivowel or consonant (y, w, l, m, n) of the second syllable. In such cases the u is either lost, as in the last example above (dissimilation is also a possible explanation) or a connecting -i- is introduced between the u, which now becomes w, and the following consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

lèuxink' he will call me by name leewila'usi 2 he calls me by name 59.7

 $liu t'e^e$  I shall look (142.18)

liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I look (59.14)

The stem vowel of verbs of Type 12 is regularly long, and, when stressed, as it generally is in a rist forms, receives the rising accent. The a of the second syllable of the agrist stem is stressed only when forming a secondary diphthong with a following repeated radical element, in which case it receives a falling (lāala'uhi he called him) or raised accent ( $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -sal-t' $g\bar{u}^u n t' ga'n$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The various forms of this verb seem to be made up of three distinct stems. The non-agrist forms of both transitive and intransitive (sana'p'de e I SHALL FIGHT) employ a stem (sana-) of Type 5. Most agrist forms. including the reciprocal agrist, use the stem sāansan- of Type 12 (seensa'nsi HE FIGHTS ME; sāansa'nsinik' WE FIGHT EACH OTHER). The stem saans of Type 10b is probably limited to such transitive forms of the aorist as have a third person object (sāansa'en 1 fight him; sāns he fought him).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Parallel form, perhaps with iterative significance, to  $lee la'usi, \S~7.$ 

<sup>3</sup> This werb has a short i in the first syllable of the agrist, so that, as far as the agrist stem is concerned, it seems to belong to Type 13a. Perhaps it is best considered a verb of mixed type (13a in aorist, 12 in non-aorist).

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Type 13. Verb-stem  $c+v+c_1+c+a+c_1$ ; aorist  $c+v+c_1+v+c+a+c_1$ . For *i*- umlaut of the *a* see § 8, 3a. This type embraces a very large number of verbs, chiefly of iterative, usitative, or intensive signification. Of these, some are the iterative or usitative derivatives of simpler verbs; others, again, are hardly found in simpler form, the action they express being of a necessarily repetitive character. (e. g., Rub, Rattle, Chew); in still others the repetitive idea is not strongly marked or is even absent. Of Type 13a, which covers practically the whole number of type-cases, examples will be given under the characteristic stem-vowels.

## Verb-stem

## (1) a:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -gaxgixi'n I shall scratch him da-ts!a'lts!ilin I shall chew it  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -k'a' $\bar{\imath}$ p'k'ibin I shall chip them off

(2) e:

ī-ts:!e'lts:!ilin I shall rattle it ī-heegwa'k'wnan (see § 19) I shall work

al-gesgasa'lt'e' I shall be washing

se'nsant'e' I shall whoop hemhama'nk' he will imitate him

(3) o(u):

dī'-t'gumt'ga'm squeeze and crack (insects)!

ī-yulya'l rub it!

al-p!īi-ts:!u'lts:!alhip' do ye put it on fire!

(4) i:

ī-smīlsmilin I shall swing it ī-s·wi'ls·wilin I shall tear it to pieces

ts·!i'nts·!anxde e I shall be an-

ī-s·i'ls·alhi distribute it!

de-k'iūk'auk'wan I shall brandish it before my face (172.11)

yiwiyawa''s one who talks 148.18

#### Aorist stem

 $\bar{\imath}$ -gaxagixi' $\epsilon_n$  I scratched him da-ts!ala'ts!ili $\epsilon_n$  I chewed it  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -k'ap!a'k'ibi $\epsilon_n$  I chipped them off (118.11; 120.16)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -ts·!ele'ts·!il $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I rattled it  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwe'hak' $^{w}na^{\varepsilon}n$  I worked

al-gesegas $a'lt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I was washing

sene'sant'e' I whooped (180.15) heme'ham he imitated him 24.4, 8

dī<sup>i</sup>-t'gumu'tg'imi<sup>e</sup>n I squeezed and cracked (insects)
ī-yulu'yili<sup>e</sup>n I rubbed it al-p!ī<sup>i</sup>-ts:!ulu'ts:!ili<sup>e</sup>n I put it on fire (152,20)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -smili'smili $^{\varepsilon}n$  I swung it (72.10)  $\bar{\imath}$ -s wili's wili $^{\varepsilon}n$  I tore it to pieces

ts·!inī'its·!an $xde^{\varepsilon}$  I was angry (24.16; 148.15)

ī-s·ili's·alhi he distributed it 31.1 de-k'iwi'k'auk'wa<sup>e</sup>n I brandished it before my face (172.12)

yiwiya'ue he talks, makes a sound 148.9

BOAS ]

The verb-stem of the last example seems at first sight identical with the agrist stem, but the second i is to be explained as a connective element similar to the i of  $le^{\epsilon}wilau$ - above (see under Type 12);  $yiwiyawa'^{\epsilon}s$  is thus developed from a theoretical  $*yiwyawa'^{\epsilon}s$ .

The verb  $k'a^{\epsilon}p'k'ab$ - above illustrates a slightly divergent subtype of Type 13a. If the final consonant of the stem is a fortis, it appears as a non-fortis (voiceless media or aspirated surd according to the phonetic circumstances) when repeated. This phenomenon is best explained as an example of catch dissimilation; \*k'ap!ak'ap!-, i. e.,  $k'a^{\epsilon}b^{\epsilon}ak'a^{\epsilon}b^{\epsilon}$ - is dissimilated to  $k'a^{\epsilon}b^{\epsilon}ak'ab$ -, k'ap!ak'ab- (see § 22). In non-aorist forms, where the fortis becomes a syllabic final, it naturally gives way to the equivalent catch-aspirated surd. Further examples of this subtype are:

## Verb-stem

ī-sgō'ɛ't'sgidin I shall cut them one after another (21.2,4)
ha-u-gwen-yu'ɛ't'yidin I shall gobble them all down
xa-ɛ̄ī-sgī'ɛp'sgibin I shall cut them through (21.2)
bāa-t'eɛ'k't'a'xdāa (=-ta'g-x-)
they will all bob up
ba-i-diɛ-t'ga'ɛst'gāas stick out your anus! 164,19; 166,1,6

#### Aorist stem

ī-sgot!o'sgidi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I cut them one after another (144.2,3)
ha-u-gwen-yut!u'yidi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I gobbled them all down (126.10)
xa-<sup>ɛ</sup>ī-sgip!i'sgibi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I cut them through (22.9; 138.7)
bā<sup>a</sup>-t'ek!e't'ax they all bobbed up
ba-i-di<sup>ɛ</sup>-t'gats!a't'gisi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I stuck out my anus (166.8)

In regard to vocalie quantity it will be noticed that both the stem vowel and the repeated vowel are generally short. Comparatively few cases are found with long stem-vowel in non-aorist forms ( $he^{\epsilon}-gwagw$ -,  $sw\bar{\iota}^{i}lswal$ -,  $sg\bar{\varrho}^{u\bar{\iota}}\dot{\iota}^{i}sgad$ -). Indeed the shortness of the vowel of the verb-stem is about the only mark of difference between verb-stems of Type 13 and aorist stems of Type 12. Thus:

\$\bar{\bar{\epsilon}} \sim wal \text{ (non-aorist of Type 13) tear it to pieces!; but \$\bar{\epsilon} \sim w\bar{\epsilon}^i l - s \cdot w\bar{\epsilon}^i l\text{ (aorist of Type 12) he tore it (with one tear)}

A few verbs allow the repeated vowel, particularly in third personal forms, to be long; when stressed, as it generally is, it has a falling accent. Besides ts·!inī/its·!anx- (also ts·!i'nīits·!anx- or ts·!i'nits·!anx-190.19), may be mentioned:

gwen-hegwe'ehagwanhi he related it to him 57.9; cf. 59.6  $p!\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'^{\ddot{u}}p!alhi$  they marched in single file 192.3

In non-aorist forms the vowel, if long and stressed, takes the rising accent; before a glottal catch, however, we regularly have the

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-8

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

falling accent  $(sg\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}t'sgad-, sg\bar{\iota}'^{i\varepsilon}p'sgab-)$ . In the agrist the stress generally falls on the repeated vowel.

Only two verbs have been found that at first sight conform to Type 13 b. They are:

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

 $de^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ -ge'uk!iwin I shall tie (a  $de^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ -gewe'k!iwi $\epsilon$ n I tied it bowsalmon) bowstring-fashion string-fashion (cf. 88.5) dü'lt!ilin I shall stuff them into it dülü't!ilien I stuffed them into it (122.19; 138.17)

This curious type of verb is easily explained if we assume that the bases are not gew- and  $d\ddot{u}l$ -, respectively, but  $geu^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $d\ddot{u}l^{\varepsilon}$ -. They are, then, strictly comparable to verbs like sgot!osgad- discussed above; instead of having a fortis consonant, i. e., a stop with glottal closure, as the final consonant of the base, they have a semivowel or diphthong-forming consonant (w, y, l, m, n) as the base final. The verb and agrist stems of  $geu^{\epsilon}$  and  $d\ddot{u}l^{\epsilon}$ , formed according to Type 13 a, are theoretically  $*gew^{\varepsilon}gau^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $*gewe^{\varepsilon}gau^{\varepsilon}$  and  $*d\ddot{u}l^{\varepsilon}dal^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $*d\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}^{\varepsilon}dal^{\varepsilon}$ , respectively. Allowing, as in the case of the forms like k'ap!ak'abdiscussed above, for catch dissimilation, these forms are seen to be phonetically equivalent to geuk!au-, gewek!au- and dült!al-, dülüt!al-, respectively (see § 12). If the initial consonant of the verb happens not to be a media, then there is no opportunity for the development of a fortis in the second syllable of the verb-stem. It is clear, then, that the following verbs are further examples of Type 13 b:

 $b\bar{a}^{a-\epsilon}al$ -mo'l<sup>\epsilon</sup>malan I shall turn things over  $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^u$ gal-le' $u^{\varepsilon}$ liwin I shall shake shells in my ears

Verb-stem

ha-u-gwen-yu'neyinin I shall gobble them down

 $b\,ar{a}^{\,a\,-\,arepsilon}a\,l\,-\,\mathrm{m}\,\mathrm{o}'l\,\mathrm{o}^{\,arepsilon}\,\mathrm{m}\,\mathrm{a}\,l\,a^{\,arepsilon}n\,$  I turn things over

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$ -lewe' eliw $i^\epsilon n$  I shook shells in my ears 122.2

ha-u-gwen-yunu' $^{\epsilon}$ yin $i^{\epsilon}n$  I gobbled them down (cf. yut!uyadabove)

The stem syllable of verbs of Type 13 b, when bearing the stress, naturally have the falling accent.

Examples of Type 13 c are not common and have also by-forms of Type 13 a:

gwida'k'wdan I shall throw it gwidi'k'wda<sup>e</sup>n I threw it (122.13); (a inorganic)

Verb-stem

cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -gwidigwid $i'^{\epsilon}n$  (108.21)

Aorist stem

lobo'lp' $na^{\epsilon}n \cdot I$  used to pound them; cf. lobo'lap' $na^{\epsilon}n$  (57.14)

BOAS]

It is very probable that the -a- in the second member of reduplicated stems (Types 12 and 13) is the inorganic -a- we have already met with. Its persistence, even in cases where the otherwise resulting phonetic combination is a possible one, may be ascribed to the analogic influence of the probably larger number of cases where its presence is phonetically necessary.

Type 14. Verb-stem v+c; aorist v+c+v+n. The -n of the few verbs that make up this class is probably a petrified derivative element, yet it must be considered as characteristic of the aorist stem in an even more formal sense than, for example, the aoristic -i- of Type 4. The only examples that have been found are:

The last verb seems to insert a -y- in the aorist, between the -e- of the verb-stem and that of the aoristic addition, in the manner of verbs of Type 9b. In regard to vocalic quantity these verbs differ among themselves. The verb-stem of all but wai- is long in vocalism. The first vowel of the aorist stem is short in every case, the repeated vowel is sometimes short (xeben-, p!iyin-), sometimes long ( $way\bar{a}^an$ -,  $p!eye^en$ -). The stressed stem vowel bears a rising accent.

The -n of  $way\bar{a}^a n$ - and  $p!eye^e n$ - is eclipsed before a catch in the third person:

 $waya'^{\varepsilon}$  he slept 152.22; 154.6  $p!eye'^{\varepsilon}$  he was lying down 49.5

but:

 $xebe'^{\epsilon}n$  he did it 78.9; 118.14

The loss of the -n takes place also in the third person agrist of  $y\bar{a}^a n$ -go (Type 5). Thus:

 $ya'^{\epsilon}$  he went 15.3,11; 59.1; 92.26

subordinate form  $y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon}$  58.8 and (rarely)  $y\bar{a}'^anda^{\varepsilon}$  when he went.

Type 15. Verb-stem  $\{-\frac{1}{2}\}$ ; a orist stem  $-\bar{\imath}^t$ . The ending  $-\bar{\imath}^t$ , found in a considerable number of verbs of position, is not, properly speaking, a stem-forming element at all, as shown by the fact that

suffixed elements may intervene between it and the base; yet, being wanting in the non-aorist forms of many verbs, it has something of the appearance of such. The non-agristic -as- of a few verbs has absolutely no appreciable derivative force, and may be regarded as a purely formal element characterizing the non-aorist forms of the verb. As examples of Type 15a may be given:

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
s'a's ant'e' I shall stand (cf.	s·as·inī $t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1; 77.9)
23.6)	
s·u' $\varepsilon$ alt' $e^{\epsilon}$ I shall sit (55.11;	s·u <sup><math>\varepsilon</math></sup> wilī $t$ ' $e$ <sup><math>\varepsilon</math></sup> I sat (21.1; 178.21)
186.21)	
$k'e'p'alt'e^e$ I shall be long ab-	k'ebilī $t'e^{\varepsilon}$ I was long absent
sent	(124.20)
$l\tilde{a}p'de^e$ I shall become (92.11;	$l\bar{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ lī $t$ ' $e^{arepsilon}$ I became (see also
166.14)	Type 10a) 186.19

Of

If examples of Type 15 $b$ may be r	nentioned:
Verb-stem	Aorist stem
dink!a's $d\bar{a}^a$ it will lie stretched out	dink!ī it lies stretched out
t!obaga's $d\bar{a}^a$ he will lie like one dead (148.8)	t!obigī he lay like one dead

This non-agristic -as- seems to occur also in:

da-sma-ima'sde I shall smile da-smayam he smiled which otherwise belongs to Type 2 or 3 (if the second -m- is part of the base).

Type 16. Verb-stem  $v+c+c_1+i$ : a rist  $v+c+v+c_1$ . This type embraces only an inconsiderable number of verbs. They are:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
$d\bar{\imath}$ -k!a'lsi $de^e$ I shall be lean in	$d ilde{\imath}$ -k!ala's $na^{arepsilon}n$ I am lean in my
my rump	rump 102.22
gwel-sal-t!e'iside I shall be	$gwcl$ -sal-t!eyēs $na^{\varepsilon}n$ I have no
lean in legs and feet	flesh on my legs and feet
	102.22

Several verbs of position that show an -i-in the agrist show an -iin non-aorist forms. Whether this -i- is merely a shortened form of the agristic  $-i^{i}$ , or identical with the non-agristic -i of verbs of Type 16, is doubtful; but, in view of the absence of the -vi- in non-agristic forms of verbs of Type 15, the latter alternative seems more probable. Such verbs are:

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

BOAS]

Aorist stem da-sga'lit' $\bar{a}^a$  it will lie scattered da-sgalī it lies scattered about about p'ildi't' $\bar{a}^a$  flat thing will lie p'ildī flat thing lies t'ge'its !idāa round thing will lie t'geits!i round thing lies (13824)s  $eini't'\bar{a}^a$  it will lie with openseini it lies with opening on ing on top (like box) s·u'k'di $d\bar{a}^a$  it will lie curled up s'ugwidī it lies eurled up  $w\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'did\bar{a}^a$  it will lie heaped wîk!idî.it lies heaped about

Of similar appearance, though the agrist (not the future) is transitive in form, is:

Verb-stem  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sge'k!it' $e^e$  I shall listen  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sgek!iy $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I listened (third person  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sgek!i 102.8)

In speaking of verbs of Types 15 and 16, the terms verb-stem and aorist stem are used in a purely relative sense, the portions of the listed forms printed in Roman characters not being really on a par with those similarly marked in the first fourteen classes. These last two types have significance as such only in so far as certain elements of an essentially derivative character  $(-i^i-, -i-, -as-)$  are at the same time formal means of distinguishing aorist from non-aorist forms. It is not difficult to show that in several cases these elements are themselves preceded by non-radical elements.

One or two agrists have been found in the material obtained that can not be well classified under any of the sixteen types illustrated above. They are:

gwen- xoxog[w] $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I string (salmon) together (=fully reduplicated xogxog-; otherwise to be analyzed as xoxo-g- of Type 10 a) 74.14 sal-s- $\bar{a}^a$ xs- $\bar{x}$ x he slid

This latter verb with its mysterious  $i^i$  in the repeated syllable is absolutely without known—rallel. Irregular is also the defective verb ei- BE (see §60, fourth footnote).

# 3. Verbal Suffixes of Derivation (§§ 41-58) § 41. GENERAL REMARKS

Although the absolute number of non-pronominal suffixes in the verb is considerable (almost or quite thirty), the number of those that have a well-defined, more or less transparent signification is not large (hardly more than a dozen or so) when compared with what

one is accustomed to in certain other American languages. Of these, barely one or two (a frequentative and a comitative) can be said to convey anything like a material notion, the rest being of the more or less formal or relational character met with in suffixes of inflective languages—intransitivizing elements, causative, reflexive, passive, reciprocal, and others of less easily described signification. Those suffixes that have no clearly defined value may be put in a class by themselves as "petrified" suffixes, the justification for such a classification being purely descriptive; genetically they probably form a heterogeneous group.

## § 42. PETRIFIED SUFFIXES

In speaking of verbs of Types 2 and 3, it was pointed out that in a large number of cases certain consonants that one would naturally be inclined to consider part of the verb-stem could be shown by more careful analysis to be really of a suffixal character. The criteria for such a suffix are partly, as was there indicated, the existence of evidently related forms in which the consonant is lacking, partly certain phonetic features. In a considerable number of cases different suffixes are found joined to the same verbal base, yet hardly ever determining so specific a meaning that their primary signification can be detected. The following examples,

t'geits: !ī something round lies (138.24) t'geyeba'ɛn I roll it t'ge॰ya'lxdeɛ I run around al-t'geye't'giyaɛn I tie it around (my head) 188.5 wīɛ-ī-t'geye'ɛk!in he is surrounded on all sides 48.13

evidently all contain the same radical element or base (t'gey-), which has reference to circular action or position. The suffixes  $-ts\cdot !$ -, -b-, and -k!-, however, can not be shown to be directly responsible for the specific meanings of the different forms, these being determined chiefly, it would seem, by the succeeding suffixes, the prefixes, and the general form (transitive or intransitive) of the verb. Similarly, the forms  $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}$ -sgaya'pxde $^{\varepsilon}$  I LIE down, da-sgaya- $na'^{\varepsilon}n$  I LIE down, and possibly also da-sgali IT LIES SCATTERED ABOUT (LIKE GRAIN), contain the same radical element (sga[y]-); but, as in the examples first cited, the abstracted suffixes -p-, -n-, and -l-, refuse to yield anything tangible. The stems galb- Twist and gelg- Twirl fire-drill are very probably related, though neither

the difference in vowel nor the use of different consonants can be explained. The same difficulty is met with in  $di'nik! a^{\epsilon}n$  i stretched it out (62.1) and  $b\bar{a}^a$ - $din\bar{\imath}'^i t! a^{\epsilon}n$  i hung them on line (59.9). In some cases a difference of suffix is associated with a difference of direction of verbal action, transitive and intransitive. Thus we have:

al-ts!ayaga'en I wash him (64.5): al-ts!ayãp'de I wash myself (not reflexive in form)

 $p!alaga'^{\epsilon}n$  I relate a myth to him:  $p!ala'p'de^{\epsilon}$  I relate a myth  $ts!ayama'^{\epsilon}n$  I hide it (124.23):  $ts!ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\epsilon}$  I hide

The various petrified suffixes found will be listed with examples under each.

-b-. There seem to be two quite distinct -b- suffixes, one characteristic of transitives, the other of a certain group of intransitives. Examples of transitive -b- are:

 $t'geyeba'^{\epsilon}n$  I roll it (base t'gey-), with secondarily intransitive derivative:

al-t'geya'px it is round (literally, it rolls)

 $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -sgaya'px $de^{\epsilon}$  I lie down (derived, like al-t'geya'px, from some such transitive as \* $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -sgayaba' $^{\epsilon}n$  I lay it down flat, that, however, does not happen to occur in the material at hand)

de -ī-gene' p'gwa he lay curled up like dog (also -geneūk'wa)

galaba'en I twist it by rolling (cf. gelg- twirl fire-drill)

 $sg\bar{\imath}lpx$  warm your back! (seems to imply  $sg\bar{\imath}ilba'n$  I shall warm his back) (25.8, 9)

All intransitives in -b- (-p'-), whether or not secondarily derived from transitives, belong to that class of verbs to be later discussed as Intransitive Verbs, Class II. Among those with primarily intransitive -p'- are:

 $\cdot al\text{-}ts!ay\tilde{a}$ p' $de^{\varepsilon}$ I washed my face

ts!ayap'de I hid

 $p!ala'p'de^{\varepsilon}$  I tell a myth

 $s \cdot in - xin \bar{\imath} xan p' de^{\epsilon}$  I sniff (cf.  $x\bar{\imath} n$  mucus)

 $s\cdot as\cdot a'nhap'de^{\epsilon}$  I stand around (not trying to help anyone) (cf  $s\cdot a's\cdot ant'e^{\epsilon}$  I shall stand)

 $s{\cdot}in{\text{-}wi'}l\bar{\imath}^ik\text{`ap`}de^\epsilon$ I blow my nose

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -s·o' $w\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}k'a$ p' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I jump up (48.15; 49.1)

A number of Class II intransitive verbs show a suffixed -p'- in all forms but the aorist. It is not possible to say whether this -p'- is morphologically identical with the -p'- of verbs like

 $ts!ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\varepsilon}$  or not, but such seems likely. Intransitives with non-arristic -p'- are:

 $l\tilde{a}$ p' $de^{\epsilon}$  I shall become (92.11) (aorist  $l\tilde{a}^{a}l\tilde{u}^{t}(e^{\epsilon})$  186.19 sana'p' $de^{\epsilon}$  I shall fight (aorist  $s\tilde{a}^{a}nsa'nt'e^{\epsilon}$  [184.13])  $tg\tilde{u}np'de^{\epsilon}$  I shall be cold (aorist  $t'gun\tilde{u}k'de^{\epsilon}$  [90.3])

Finally, all Class II intransitives have a -p'- before the formal elements in the first person plural and impersonal of the aorist and future and in the imperative and inferential modes:

s·as·inīp'ik' we stand s·a's·anp'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>t' they (indef.) will stand s·a's·anp' stand! s·a's·anp'anp' do ye stand! s·a's·anp'ga<sup>ɛ</sup>m stand! (future) s·a's·anp'k' he stood, it seems

- There is small doubt, however, that this -p'- is quite distinct from the non-aoristic -p'- of verbs like  $l\tilde{a}p'de^e$ , which occurs in the entire future. A form like  $l\tilde{a}p'$  become! is in that event perhaps to be analyzed as  $l\tilde{a}^a-p'-p'$ , the first -p'- being the non-aoristic element found also in  $l\tilde{a}p'de^e$ , while the second -p'- is identical with the imperative-inferential -p'- of s'a's'anp'. This analysis is purely theoretical, however, as contraction to a single -p'- is unavoidable in any case.
- -p!-. This consonant is evidently a suffixed element in:
   ha<sup>\epsilon</sup>-\bar{i}-\ha^\u03cd'\lambda\u03cd'\u03c4\u03c
- 3. -m-. Apparently as transitive element -m- appears in:  $ts!ayama'^{\epsilon}n$  I hide it (124.23) (cf.  $ts!ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\epsilon}$  I hide [24.2])

As intransitive suffix it appears in:

*t'gisi'*<sup>€</sup>m it gets green

xudumt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I whistle (base xud-; related to xdeīt' flute [?]) (33.16) ts·!us·umt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I make noise by drawing in breath between teeth and lower lip (78.9,10,12; 79.1,3,5; 96.9,10,12)

It may not be altogether accidental that the latter two verbs both express the making of a noise. This idea is found expressed also in:

ts: !elemt'e I rattle (102.13) (cf. ī-ts: !ele'ts: !ili n I rattle it)

but the -m- of this verb may be really an older -n- dissimilated to -m- because of the preceding -l-. The -m- corresponds to an evidently identical suffixed -am- of the related noun ts·tela'm HAIL 152.12,16.

BOAS]

4. -d-, -t'- seems to be found only with transitive verbs:

 $w\bar{a}^a himida'^{\epsilon}n$  I speak to him (but with unexpressed object  $w\bar{a}^a himi'xade^{\epsilon}$  I was talking [to somebody]) (59.16; 63.10)

dak'-hene da' n I wait for him (cf. hene xade I wait)

 $k!\bar{u}y\bar{u}mida'^{\varepsilon}n$  I call his name from distance, greet him (198.11) (probably derivative of  $k!\bar{u}'yam$  friend! 31.6, 8)

 $s \cdot omoda'^{\epsilon} n \text{ I cook it (58.10) (cf. } s \cdot \ddot{u}m\ddot{u}'xade^{\epsilon} \text{ I cook)}$ 

ts'! $\ddot{u}m\tilde{u}mt'a^{\epsilon}n$  I cook it (170.17,19); future s' $\ddot{u}mt'an^{-1}$  (170.16) (cf. s' $\ddot{u}mxi$ ' stirring paddle 170.14)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -min $\bar{i}k$ ' $da^{\epsilon}n$  I taught him; future  $d\bar{a}^a$ -mi $\bar{n}$ t'an

lawadana'en I hurt him (186,12)

yamada'en I ask him (70.6; 74.10; 120.16)

 $wiyimada'^{\epsilon}n$  I "wish" to him, work supernatural power on him (57.1)

 $m\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}^i\mathrm{d}a'^{\epsilon}n$  I love her

 $xa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-ts\cdot!iwi't'$  he split it (26.6) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}-ts\cdot!iw\bar{\imath}'^{i}ts\cdot!au$  he split it up)

It will be noticed that most of the verbs listed imply, not direct physical action, but rather the direction of one's thought or words toward another person. It is therefore highly probable that the -d- (except possibly in s·omd- cook) is identical with the -d- implied in the -s·- (= -tx-) of the indirect object (§ 47). Unlike the -d- here discussed, however, the -s·- of the indirect object can be used only if the indirect object is not of the third person. It is clear that -d- is not really quite in line with the other suffixes that we have termed "petrified," this being shown, among other things, by the fact that it may be preceded by other suffixes, as in dāa-minī-k'-daan.

Evidently quite distinct from this indirective -d- suffix is the -(a)d- suffix of a few intransitive class II verbs in which the -d- is followed by -ī<sup>i</sup>- in aorist, -i- in non-aorist forms (see § 40, 16). This aoristic -ad- appears always unlauted to -id-.

 $cugwid\bar{\imath}^i$ -, non-aorist cuk'di- lie curled up  $w\bar{\imath}k'lid\bar{\imath}^i$ -, non-aorist  $w\bar{\imath}^\epsilon k'di$ - lie heaped about  $t'gup'lid\bar{\imath}$  (box, canoe) lies bottom side up

5. -t!-. This consonant has been found as an evident suffix in:

 $b\bar{a}^a$ - $di'n\bar{\imath}t!ana^{\epsilon}n$  I strung (dentalia) on line (59.9) (cf. dink!-stretch out)

t'gemzt! $ia^{u\varepsilon}$  it gets dark 188.14 (cf. t'ge $^{\epsilon}mt$ 'ga $^{\epsilon}mx$  it is quite dark [cf. 196.7]; alt'ge $^{\epsilon}m$  black 162.4; [196.6])

 $<sup>^{-1}</sup>$  s·om-d- and s· $\ddot{u}$   $\ddot{u}$ m-t'a- are parallel forms of one verb that seem to be used with no difference in meaning, though their agrist stems are formed according to different types.

6. -g-, -k'-. As in the case of -b-, it seems advisable to recognize two distinct -g- suffixes, the one appearing as a transitivizing element, the other as a verb-making element added on to

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

nouns or adjectives. Examples of its transitive use are:

p!alaga'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I tell him a myth al-ts!auaga'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I wash him (64.5)

 $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ -wa-gelegi' $\varepsilon$ n I drill for fire with it (88.12)

ī-k!us·gi'xink' he will pinch me (116.8,12) (cf. ī-k!us·ū'k!was·i he always pinches me)

da-t!abaga'en I finish it (61.8; 176.6)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -dalaga'mda<sup>e</sup>n I put holes in his ear (22.1) (cf.  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dele'p'i he stuck it across his ear)

 $swad\tilde{a}t'ga^{\epsilon}n$  I run after him (59.13; 75.3; 120.19, 20)

Examples of its use in adjectival intransitives are:

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}k'$  he feels hot, it is hot 94.15 (cf.  $t'\bar{u}$  hot 57.15)

 $d\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^\epsilon{\bf k}$ it is good, he does right 180.11 (cf.  $d\bar{u}$  good, beautiful 58.7,8)

 $t'gun\tilde{u}k'de^{\epsilon}$  I feel cold (90.3) (cf.  $t'g\tilde{u}np'ia^{u\epsilon}t'$  it will be cold)

xuma'k'de' I shall be full, satiated (128.11) (cf. xu'ma food 54.4 and s'īx-xu'm dried venison 43.12,13)

gel-dulu'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I am lazy

Further examples of -k'- that are difficult to classify are:

de-lümü'sga $de^{\epsilon}$  I tell the truth (184.3)

s in-wilīk ap dam you blow your nose

 $yala'k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I dive (connected with yal- lose [?]) (60.10,11; 61.11)

In wa- $t!ilik'ni^{\epsilon}n$  I gave each one (130.4) (future wa-dilnhin) and in the morphologically analogous  $d\bar{a}^a$ - $minik'da^{\epsilon}n$  I taught him (future  $d\bar{a}^amini'(an)$ ), the -k'- is confined to the aorist. In  $w\bar{\epsilon}t'gi$  he took it from him 16.13, the -g- is found only in the third personal object of the various tense-modes ( $w\bar{\epsilon}t'gin$  it was taken from him 13.11; wede'k'ink' he will take it from him (17.10.11). All other forms of the aorist stem  $we^{\epsilon}d$ - (verbstem wede-) lack it:

 $w\bar{\epsilon}si$  (from \* $w\bar{\epsilon}t'si$ ) he took it from me (17.3) wede'sbink' he will take it from you (16.10,11)

7. -k!--, -k!w-. These elements seem to be characteristic of transitives. Examples are:

 $wi^{\epsilon}$ -i- $t'ge'ye^{\epsilon}k!in$  he is surrounded on all sides (transitives and passives are closely related) 48.5,13; (176.14)

 $al-p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $ts\cdot!u'luk!i^{\epsilon}n$  I burn it (73.9,12; 96.26) (cf.  $al-p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $ts\cdot!u'l$ - $ts\cdot!alhip'$  do ye burn it! 198.10)

```
d\tilde{\imath}^{\epsilon}-\tilde{\imath}-sg\ddot{u}'y\ddot{u}k!i^{\epsilon}n I make it fall (48.7,8,12)

he^{\epsilon\epsilon}-de-le'lek!i^{\epsilon}n I finish talking 50.4

di'nik!a^{\epsilon}n I stretch it out (see under suffix -t'-) (59.9; 62.1)
```

 $he'yek!i^{\epsilon}n$  I left it over (61.7; 196.8)

 $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^u$ k! $a^{\epsilon}n$  I name him (158.5) (cf.  $p!\bar{u}'w\bar{u}p!ausi$  he keeps calling me)

 $ts \cdot !ini'^{\epsilon}k'$  he pinehed it 31.1; (32.7)

ba-i-yunu'k!i $\varepsilon n$  I pull it out foreibly

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $le'mek!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I killed them off (14.13; 43.1; 108.20)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -go'yok! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I pushed him (49.2) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -goyogiy $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I kept pushing him)

ba-i-s·in-xi'lik!wi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I blow my nose (cf. xīn mucus)

p!a-i-t'gwili'k!wana<sup>e</sup>n I spill (water, blood) (58.1; 72.8) (cf. t'gwilī'it'gwal<sup>e</sup> it keeps dropping)

-k!- seems to occur also in the perhaps only secondarily intransitive:  $b\bar{a}^a$ -s·ow $\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}$ k'ap'de<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> (=-s·ow $\bar{o}'^u$ k!-hap'-) I jump up (48.15; 49.1) · (cf. s·o'w $\bar{o}^u$ s·a<sup> $u\varepsilon$ </sup> he keeps jumping [112.5,10])

8. -ts:!-. Only in a very few cases is this suffixed consonant met with: t'geits:!t round thing lies (138.24)

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i}$ -t'gumu'te! $i^{\epsilon}n$  I squeeze and crack it (cf.  $d\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - $t'gumu't'gimi^{\epsilon}n$  I squeeze and crack many insects)

 $yow\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}$ s he starts 186.10;  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}$ ts! $ana^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to start ha-yau-t'ge'nets! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I put it about my waist  $ha^{\varepsilon}w$ - $\bar{i}$ -ha'nats! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I made it stop (raining) (152.16)

Judging from these few examples. -ts:!- is characteristic, like -b-, -g-, -p!-, -k!-, and -t!-, of transitive verbs; t'geits:! $\bar{\imath}$  is probably related to a transitive \*t'ge'yets:! $a^{\epsilon}n$ , as is  $dink!\bar{\imath}$  IT LIES STRETCHED OUT to  $di'nik!a^{\epsilon}n$ .

-s- occurs as an evident suffix in:

 $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - $t!\bar{\imath}^isi'^\epsilon n$  I mashed them (cf.  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - $t!iy\bar{\imath}'t!iya^\epsilon n$  I mashed them one after another)

9. -(a)l. This suffix includes both intransitives and transitives:

al-gesegasa'l $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I was washing

 $k'ebil\tilde{t}'\dot{e}^{\varepsilon}$  I was long absent (124.20)

 $s \cdot u^{\epsilon} w i l \tilde{\imath} t' e^{\epsilon} I \text{ sit } (21.1); 72.9; (178.21)$ 

 $yamlit'e^{\varepsilon}$  I look pretty ([?] = fat, sleek; cf. ya'mx fat, grease 54.5)

al-we'k!al $a^{\epsilon}n$  I shine (126.3; 128.14)

ī-t'wīiyili'€n I make it whirl up

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!e^{\epsilon}w$ il $i'^{\epsilon}n$  I whirl it around

*ī-t'ge°y*ili'<sup>ε</sup>n I roll it around

al-t' $g\bar{\imath}^{\imath}y$ a'lx (tears) roll down his face 138.25

 $b\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t' $gw\bar{a}^a l$ a'lx (children) run about

 $k'ewe'k'awa^{\epsilon}$ l he barks

de-gülü'k!alx it was blazing 188.15

The idea of unbroken continuity is fairly evidently shown by these examples to be connected with the suffix -(a)l-.

10. -(a)n-. Quite a number of intransitives are found that have this element, to which no particular meaning can be assigned. Such are:

 $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I stand (34.1; 77.9; 144.14,17)

moyūgwa'nt'e I'm spoiled

 $h\ddot{u}^{\dot{u}}$ li'nt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I am tired (102.1) (cf.  $h\ddot{u}$ l $\ddot{u}'hi$ lint' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I used to be tired [48.11])

 $lig\bar{\imath}$ nt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I am resting (100.14) (cf. ligilaga'nt' he kept resting 102.1)

In a large number of transitives a suffixed -n- is also found, without its being clearly possible to identify it either with the causative -n- or the indirect objective -n(an)- for:

lawadana'en I hurt him (186.12)

ts:  $ibina'^{\epsilon}n$  I make a speech to him (146.11; 178.11)

wa-t!ilik'ni $^{\varepsilon}n$  I gave each one (130.4)

k!emna'n I shall make it (28.2,13,14) (aorist without object k!eme'nxa<sup>e</sup> he makes)

 $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{u}^{u}gwini^{\epsilon}n$  I drink it with it  $(\bar{u}^{u}gwa^{\epsilon}nxde^{\epsilon}$  I drink)

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $w\bar{a}^{a}gini'n$  she is bought with it

The last two examples are rather different in character from the others. See § 64.

- 11. -w-. Two apparently quite distinct -w-suffixes must be taken account of.
  - (1) A suffixed -w- is found to characterize in all forms a group of intransitives belonging to Type 2; it is only in certain derivative forms that the -w- is lacking, and thereby possibly shown to be a non-radical element:

 $hiwili\bar{u}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I ran to (24.1), but  $hiwililt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I used to run to  $sgele\bar{u}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I shouted (196.1), but  $sgelelt'e^{\varepsilon_1}$  I kept shouting (59.3)

Examples of this group of verbs are:

Aorist Future (non-aorist)  $sgele'^{u\varepsilon}$  he shouted 59.4; 90.8  $sgelwa'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will shout  $hiwili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he ran to 47.1; 70.7  $hiwilwa'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will run to (136.21)  $hili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he jumped 48.9; 58.3  $hili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he climbed (77.8)  $hiwa'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will jump (160.16)  $hiwa'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will fight him (33.2,3)  $hili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he climbed (77.8)  $hilwa'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will climb

<sup>1</sup> Still, in these frequentative (usitative) forms the absence of the -w- may be accounted for by supposing that it dropped off as a syllabic final after a consonant (see § 18). Then syelëlt'e is for an older\*sgelëlw'e. This supposition is greatly strengthened by the future sgelwa'lt'ee'l'LL KEEP SHOUTING (cf. sgelwada'e you will shout).

In non-agristic forms the phonetic conditions may, as usual, necessitate an inorganic -a-:

ge wila'u run there! (29.10) sgela'ut'e I shall shout bila'ut'e I shall jump (160.17)

- In these cases the evidence for the suffixal character of the -w- is rather slim. In one verb, however, it has a clearly intransitivizing influence:
  - ganic) he goes with woman to see her married 148.6

 $t!emeya'nwia^{u\varepsilon}$  they (indef.) go with her to see her married 178 1

- $t!emeyana'^{u\varepsilon}$  (second a inor- :  $t!amayana'^{\varepsilon}n$  I take her to her husband (148.5)
- (2) -w- (-aw- after a consonant in the agrist) is characteristic of all tense-modes but, in some cases, the present imperative and inferential (probably for phonetic reasons, see §§ 11 and 18) of a number of transitive verbs, provided the object is of the third person. Such verbs are:
  - $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$  I eat it 30.11 ( $gaya\tilde{u}$  he ate it 54.5); future ga-iwa'n128.18; noun of agent qa-iwa's eater (of it) 94.3; but imperative gaī eat it! 32.4; gaīk' he ate it (inferential) 142.19
  - al-sqalawi's I turn my head to look at him; future  $sq\bar{a}^a lwi'n$ ; part. sgala'uk' (-a'- is inorganie) 144.17; but  $sg\tilde{a}lk'a^{\varepsilon}$  I looked at him turning my head (inferential)
  - al-sgalāaliwi'en (Type 8) I keep turning my head to look at him; future sgalwalwi'n; but sgelēlxi he keeps turning his head to look at me
  - ba-i-de-yeeqiwida'e you will drive (sickness) out of (body) 198.4,5; imperative -yeeqa'u
  - $w\bar{a}^a q i w i'^{\epsilon} n$  I brought it to him (176.17); future wagaw i'n; but  $w\bar{a}^a q a' s b i^{\epsilon} n$  I brought it to you (194.11)
  - $l\bar{a}^a l a' u h i$  he caused them to become ( $l\bar{a}^a l$  become) 43.1
- It is very likely that the absence of the -w- is conditioned, at least in certain forms, rather by phonetic than by morphologic motives  $(qa\bar{\imath} \text{ from } * qa\bar{\imath}w; sg\bar{a}lk'a^{\varepsilon} \text{ from } *sg\bar{a}lwk'a^{\varepsilon})$ . This is rendered plausible by a form like ga-iwawa'lsbink' THEY WILL ALWAYS EAT YOU 26.8 (repetition of -w- in frequentative as in al-sqalwalwi'n), in which the object is not of the third person. The -w- seems to have been retained here because of the following vowel. The form  $w\bar{a}^{q}ga^{\prime\varepsilon}n$  I BROUGHT IT (110.17) as com-

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

pared with  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  i brought it to him (future waga'n: wagawi'n) suggests that the signification of the -w- in transitive verbs is to indicate the indirect object, at least for the third person. It is, however, almost certainly accidental that  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  stands by the side of  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^{\epsilon}n$  with -s- to indicate the indirect object. That -w- is not the morphologic equivalent of -s- is evidenced by the fact that it stands also by the side of the transitive connective consonant -x- (cf. al- $sgalawi'^{\epsilon}n$ : al- $sgala'xbi^{\epsilon}n$  i turn my head to look at you). It must be confessed that after all no very distinct signification can be attached to either the intransitive or transitive -w-.

12. Constant -a. A number of verbs whose stem (including petrified suffix) ends in two consonants add to this stem an -a that appears in all their forms, even though the consonant combination is one that may stand in a final position (cf. footnote, § 10). No reason can be assigned for the retention of the -a in all forms, except the ruling analogy of the aorist; in this tense-mode the -a is in all probability directly due to the consonant-cluster, as the aorist verb-forms to be presently given differ in this very respect from the aorist forms of other stems ending in two consonants (e.g., non-aorist  $s \cdot \ddot{u} \dot{u} m t' a$ - Boil with constant -a-, though ending in a finally permissible consonant-cluster, because of a rist ts:!ümüümt'a-; contrast non-aorist somd- boil without -a- because of aorist s·omod-). The following are examples of verbs of the character described:

swadāt'ga he followed him 75.3 mats!āsga he always put it 132.9 ts:!ümū̃mt'a he boils it 30.2 dāa-minīk'da he taught him Non-aorist
swa't'ga follow him!
masga` put it! 104.5
s·ūmt'a boil it!
dāa-miñt'a teach him! (contrast wāahīmt' talk to him!
with aorist -himid-)

If the verb is instrumental in vocalism (see § 64), the constant a is replaced by the instrumental i. Thus:

ī-k!os·õs·gi he keeps pinching him

That this constant -a is felt to be somewhat different in character from ordinary inorganic or connective -a- (as in ts:!ela'mt'e' or  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^e n$ ) is shown by the fact that it is changed to -i- when-

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

BOAS]

ever the object is not of the third person, in reciprocals, in reflexives, and in verbs with non-agentive -x-:

swedēt'gixi he followed me

 $d\bar{a}^a$ - $min\bar{\imath}k$ 'dixbi he taught you

 $yow\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}snixbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause you to start (but parallel  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}ts!anxbi^{\varepsilon}n$  with connecting a)

 $way\bar{a}nhixbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I put you to sleep;  $wa\bar{\imath}nhixigam$  I was put to sleep

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\ddot{u}s\cdot\bar{u}s\cdot gixi$  he keeps pinching me;  $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\ddot{u}s\cdot gi'xink'$  he will pinch me

ī-t!ene'hisdam you hold me 86.13,14.

ī-lasgi'xant'p' touch one another!

ī-lesgi'k'wit' touching himself

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!ēlhix $de^{\epsilon}$  I keep bobbing up (60.11,13,14)

#### § 43. FREQUENTATIVES AND USITATIVES

Frequentatives, continuatives, and usitatives are formed from simpler verb forms in great part by various methods of repetition of all or part of the phonetic material of the stem, to a somewhat less extent by means of suffixation. In many repetitive forms a distinct tendency to use a long vowel provided with a rising pitch-accent is observable. As it has not been found feasible to draw anything like sharp lines between the exact significations of the various repetitive forms, it seems best to dispose of the material from a purely formal point of view rather than to attempt to classify it rigidly into frequentatives, iteratives, usitatives, and continuatives. The methods of forming repetitives will be taken up in order.

1. Type 13 of Stem-Formation. It was remarked before that most verbs of this type normally employed in that form are such as to imply a repetition of the action they express. The type may, moreover, be freely formed from bases implying non-repetitive action whenever it is desired to convey a general frequentative or usitative meaning. The frequentative idea may have reference to the repetition of the act itself (iterative or usitative) or to the plurality of the transitive object or intransitive subject affected (distributive); any sharp characterization of the manner of the frequentative action in each case is, however, doubtless artificial apart from the context. The following examples of repetitive with corresponding non-repetitive forms will illustrate the general frequentative force:

#### BURFAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Non-repetitive verb-stem	Repetitive
lebe- pick up and eat (seeds)	le' ep'lap' (non-aorist) pick and eat many (seeds)! 34.2
loho-n- cause to die	loho'lahana <sup>e</sup> n I used to kill them
wog- arrive	$wogowa'^{arepsilon}k$ ' many arrived 112.2
$\begin{cases} t!oxox- \text{ (aorist) gather} \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} wa^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!oxo't!ixi^{\epsilon}n & \text{I used to} \\ \text{gather them} \end{cases}$
$d\bar{o}^u x$ - (non-aorist)	$wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $d\bar{\upsilon}xda'xk'$ they have been gathering them (inferential)
hen-d- wait for	hene'handa <sup>e</sup> n I always used to wait for him
odo- hunt for	odo'ɛ̃at' she always hunted for them 116.6
og- give to	ogo' <sup>€</sup> ak'i he always gave them 112.17
dōum- kill	$d\bar{o}^u m da' m k'$ he used to kill them (inferential) 25.1; 27.15
$war{\imath}$ - go, travel	$wiyiwit'e^{\epsilon}$ I used to go (there) (96.1)
$p!\bar{a}^ag$ - swim	$p!aga'p!a^{\varepsilon}k'$ he used to swim
ts:!iu-d- split	$xa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\overline{\imath}$ - $ts$ ·! $iw\overline{\imath}'^{i}ts$ ·! $au$ he split it to pieces
$sgar{\imath}p$ !- cut	$sg\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}p'sga'p'gam$ they had been all cut up (21.2; 138.7)
hül-p!- skin, peel off bark	$he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ - $i$ - $h\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}hal$ he kept peeling off bark (160.5)
hog- run	hogo'hak'de <sup>e</sup> I am always run- ning
heel- sing	$hele'hal^{\varepsilon}$ he used to sing
$al-h\tilde{u}i$ - $x$ - hunt	$al-h\bar{u}y\bar{u}'h\bar{\iota}^i x$ he always hunted $(-h\bar{\iota}^ihay-, \S 8)$ 86.1

It will be observed that the repetitive form is, on the whole, built up on the verbal base, not the verb or agrist stem. Thus, e. g., the verb-stems lebe- and loho- do not enter into the formation of the frequentatives at all, which are formed, according to Type 13a, directly from the simple bases leb- (verb-stem  $le^ep'lab$ -, agrist lebelab-) and loh- (verb-stem lohlah-, agrist loholah-). Similarly, a form like  $p!aga'p!a^ek'$  shows no trace of the agrist stem p!agai-of the simplex; verbs of Type 6 generally show the fortis consonant of the base in all forms of the frequentative (see §40, 6):  $sgotlo'sgidi^en$  1 cut it 72.10, base  $sg\bar{o}t!$ -

45.10). Suffixes with no distinct derivative signification drop off in the frequentative (cf. ts:!iu-d- and hül-p!- above, also §42 passim), but, if they are functional elements, are put after the reduplicated complex (cf. loho-n- and hen-d- above); frequentatives thus become, as was indicated in the treatment of petrified suffixes, criteria for the determination of the simple base. Some verbs, however, retain a petrified suffix in the frequentative without apparent reason: ts:!ümümt'a he boils it; ts:!ümü'ts:!amt'a he always boils it.

The only use made of the aorist stem in the formation of frequentatives is in the case of such forms as have an initial fortis in the aorist as against a media in the verb-stem, mainly verbs of Type 8. The aorist of the corresponding frequentative also shows the initial fortis, but is not otherwise influenced by the form of the aorist stem of its simplex; e. g., aorist of simplex, t!oxox-, but of frequentative, t!ox-o-t!ax- with retained t!-. Such verbs as aorist t!oxot!ax, non-aorist  $d\bar{o}^uxdax$ -, are to be considered as of mixed type (in this case partly 8, partly 13a).

Verbs like odo<sup>c</sup>ad- and ogo<sup>c</sup>ag- with a secondarily developed glottal eatch in the aorist (see §6) seem to retain this catch in non-aorist forms, a stop+the eatch resulting in a fortis:

aorist ogo' eag- always give to; non-aorist o'k![w]ag-

A small sub-class is formed by those frequentatives that omit the -a- of the repeated base (Type 13c). Such are:

Verb-stem	
wa-yanagwa'n I shall run after	7
him	
waî <i>t'e e</i> I shall sleep (71.15;	,
142.14)	
he'l-youna'n I shall sing a song	
(106.7)	
waga'n I shall bring it	
_	

Repetitive wa-yana-in $agwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to run after him waya $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ hid $e^{\varepsilon}$  I used to sleep (-h- conditioned by accent) yonoin $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I always sing it

wagao'k' $na^{\epsilon}n$  I used to bring it (?=\*wagawg-, but see 4, footnote) (45.6)

A very peculiar type of frequentative formation is illustrated by: loha'lhik' (a' is inorganic) they used to die (inferential) (168.9); aorist stem doubtless loholhi-

derived from a orist lohoi- die, non-aorist loho- (contrast a orist loho- lah-an-, non-aorist lohlah-an in the causative). The otherwise purely a oristic -i- of Type 4 is here dragged into the non-aorist forms.

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-9

2. Type 4 of Reduplication. This method of forming the frequentative seems to be but a variant of the first (the repeated initial consonant coming last instead of immediately after the connecting vowel, or the initial consonant not being repeated at all if there is a petrified suffix), and is found in only a few verbs, where it takes the place of the first method. A glottal catch generally separates the repeated vowel of the stem from the immediately following a. Examples are:

Aorist stem	Repetitive
$k!eme \begin{Bmatrix} -n-\\ -i- \end{Bmatrix}$ make	$k!eme'^{\epsilon}amga^{\epsilon}n$ I always make
kieme (-i-) make	it (instead of *k!eme'-
	$k!ama^{\epsilon}n)$ (77.5); $k!em^{\epsilon}a$ ' $mk$ '
	$(=-\epsilon amg-k')$ he used to make
	it (inferential) 122.18
t!omom- kill	$t!omo'amda^{\epsilon}n$ I used to kill
	them (instead of *t!omo'-
	$t!ama^{\epsilon}n)$ (13.10; 54.3)
$k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}w$ - throw away (pl. obj.)	$k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}auga^{\epsilon}n$ I used to throw
	them away (instead of $*k!\bar{u}$ -
	$w\bar{u}'k!awa^{\epsilon}n)$ (134.6)
$p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}$ - $k!$ - call, name	$p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}a$ - $uga^{\epsilon}n$ I keep calling
,	his name(100,21)(instead of
	* $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'p!auk!a^{\epsilon}n$ ; cf. $p!\bar{u}'$ -
	$w\bar{u}p!aus$ i he keeps calling
	me by name)
$de$ - $ts$ ·! $ini^{\epsilon}$ - $x$ - $(=ts$ ·! $ini$ - $k$ !- $x$ - $) die$	de-ts:!inī'anx he always died
	(instead of $*ts:!in\bar{\imath}'ts:!anx$ )
	74.7
<i>leme-k!</i> - take along (cf. 108.10)	leme'amk' he used to take
	(everything) (instead of
	*leme'lamk`)
If the initial consonant is a for	rtis, it becomes a media when

If the initial consonant is a fortis, it becomes a media when repeated, as illustrated in the first three examples. This may be explained by eatch dissimilation (see §22)—e. g., a theoretical  $*k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}au^{\epsilon}k'$  (from  $*k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'k!au$ ) is dissimilated to  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}auk'$ . Similarly a theoretical  $*p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}au^{\epsilon}k'$  (from  $*p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'p!au^{\epsilon}k'$ ) is dissimilated to  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}auk'$ . The non-aorist frequentative forms of these verbs sometimes follow the first method of formation (cf.  $d\bar{o}^{u}mda'mk'$  under method 1), sometimes the second (as  $k!em^{\epsilon}amg$ -).

3.  $c+v+c_1+v+c$ . The few verbs that belong here differ from the preceding in that they repeat only the initial consonant after the repeated stem-vowel (Type 11). An example is:

BOAS]

Aorist stem  $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{u}g\bar{u}i$ - wear Repetitive

 $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{u}q\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}t'$  he keeps wearing it, used to wear it

As in the first method, so also in the second and third, nonradical functionless elements of the simplex disappear in the frequentative. Thus the suffixed -i- of k!emèī HE MADE IT and -n- of k!eme'nxae he makes, also the agrist characteristic of dī-t!ūqūī he WORE IT, are not found in their corresponding frequentative forms.

4.  $v+c+v^v+c$ . The large number of verbs whose frequentatives follow this formula (1a of types of reduplication) always have another consonant, whether part of the stem or a petrified suffix, after the nonfortis repeated consonant characterizing the frequentative, so that the appearance at least of infixation is often produced. Externally, frequentatives of this type resemble aorists of verbs of Type 8, but differ from them in the consistent length of the repeated vowel. In signification these verbs are generally continuative or usitative rather than properly frequentative or iterative. As examples may be given:

Aorist stem	Repetitive			
k!os·o-g- pinch	<i>ī-k!os·õs·g i</i> he is always pinching him			
himi-d- talk to	$w\bar{a}^a$ - $him\bar{\iota}^t mda'^{\epsilon}n$ I used to tall to him			
baxam- come	$bax\tilde{a}xmia^{u\varepsilon}$ they keep coming (194.13)			
<i>t!ülü-g-</i> follow	ha-t!ülüülga'εn I keep follow- ing in (trail)			
al-sgal-aw- turn head to look at	al-sgalāaliwi'em I keep turning my head to look at them			
gaya-w- eat	gayāiwa'⁵n I used to eat it			
hene-d- wait for	heneenda'en I keep waiting for him			
p!alag- tell a myth	$p!al\bar{a}^alga'n$ the myth is always told			
hem-g- take out	ba-i-heme mga'en I always took them out			
<i>ūyū⁵s</i> laugh	$\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}\bar{i}^{t}s\cdot de^{\epsilon}$ (dissimilated from $*\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}\bar{i}^{i\epsilon}s\cdot - [?]$ ) I keep laughing			
ts!ayag- shoot	ts!ayaīk' he used to shoot them 154.14			
yilim- ask for	yilī <sup>i</sup> nma' <sup>ε</sup> n I keep asking for it (see § 21)			

Aorist stem	Repetitive
ts!aya-m- hide	ts!aya-ima'en I always hide it
	(134.8)
gini-g go to	ginīnk' they went there one
	after another 46.11
<i>mats!ag-</i> put	mats!ãsga they always put it
	away 132.9
wits:!im- move	$wits$ ·! $\bar{\imath}smade^{\epsilon}$ I keep moving
sgelew- shout	$sgel \tilde{e}lt'e^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep
	shouting (59.3)
hiwiliw- run to	$hiwilīlt'e^{\epsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep
	running

The verb yewei- RETURN seems to form its frequentative according to method 4, but with added -g-:

yewè'ok' he used to come back 47.4; 116.2; yewèoga't' you used to come back; yewèo'k'de<sup>e</sup>, yewèūk'de<sup>e1</sup> I used to come back

There is not enough material available to determine in every case the non-agristic forms of the frequentatives of this group. As a general rule, however, it seems that the non-agristic stem of the frequentative is formed by repeating a consonant or semi-vowel, but in such a manner as to indicate the non-agristic simplex back of it. Thus the frequentative of the inferential ts: !aīmk' HE HID IT is ts: !a-imīk' HE WAS ALWAYS HIDING IT; of bil[à]uk' HE JUMPED 160.17 it is bilwàlk' (? = \*bilwalwk') They always jumped 160.16. From qaik' (inferential) HE ATE IT 142.19 is formed gayaîk' (if really inferential in form; perhaps third person subject agrist gayaig- in contrast to -qayāiw of other persons, see above) HE USED TO EAT IT 54.6, which, though resembling the agrist in the repetition of the stem-vowel, differs from it, probably for phonetic reasons, in the absence of the -w-. The form wits:!è'smade HE WILL KEEP MOVING, given as the future of wits !ismade, can not, for want of parallel forms, be accounted for. From  $sg\bar{a}^{a}lw$ . non-agrist of sqalaw-, is formed the frequentative sqalw-alw- (perhaps according to Type 8, lw-being a consonatic unit).

5. Vowel lengthening. Many verbs, particularly such as belong to Type 2, obtain a usitative signification by merely lengthening the short repeated vowel of the stem, this vowel, when stressed, assuming the falling accent. Examples of this simple process are:

<sup>1</sup> It is not at all certain that the -o- (-u-) of these forms really represents the -w- of the stem. It is quite probable that there is a distinct type of frequentative in repeated vowel+-og-, in which case wagao'- k'na'n 1 USED TO BRING IT (see above under 1) would be another example.

BOASI

#### HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

Simplex

 $yimi's \cdot a^{\varepsilon}$  he dreams  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xa^{\varepsilon}$  he sets traps  $geyewa'lxde^{\epsilon}da^{\epsilon} ba-ik!iyi'^{\epsilon}k$ 'when

I ate he came

k'ewe'k'awa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>l he barks

Repetitive

 $yim\bar{\imath}'is\cdot a^{\varepsilon}$  he is always dreaming  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'^{u}xa^{\varepsilon}$  he used to set traps  $geye^ewa'lxde^eda^{\varepsilon}$  $ba-i-k!iu\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}k'$ whenever I used to cat he

 $k'ewe'\epsilon k'awa^{\epsilon}l$  he is always barking

As the last example shows, by this method verbs which are already frequentative in form can be made to take on a usitative meaning.

6.  $\tilde{v}+(c+)$  ha. The accented vowel  $(\tilde{v})$  of frequentatives conforming to this formula is either the second vowel of the stem of the simplex or the repeated vowel of the stem not found in the simplex, and is followed by the last consonant (semi-vowel) of such verb-stems as end in two consonants. The forms that belong to this group seem in some cases to have rather a continuative than iterative force. Examples are: Simplex

$loh \tilde{o} n$	he	caused	$_{ m them}$	to	die
(100	(8.0)				
liwila'	ut'e'	€ I looke	ed (59.	14)	
$w  ilde{o}^u lt$ ʻ	she	went for	r (woo	d) (1	non-
aori	ist $u$	voo-) (16	32.8);	186.	6

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqek! $\tilde{\imath}$  he listened 102.8  $d\bar{a}^a$ -agani'  $\epsilon_n$  I heard it (55.3)

 $s \cdot u^{\epsilon} wili$  he sits, stays 21.1

s as  $init'e^{\epsilon}$  I stand (34.1)

Repetitive

lohonha he keeps killing them

 $liwilhaut'e^{\varepsilon}$  I kept looking (144.19)  $wo^{\varepsilon}\tilde{o}^{u}ha$  she used to go for wood 43.15; 158.18

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqek!e $\bar{i}ha$  he listened around 102.3

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -aq $\tilde{a}nhi^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to hear about

 $(s \cdot \bar{u}'^{\epsilon} alha^{\epsilon})$  they always stayed (together) 112.2

 $|s\cdot\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}alhibik'|$  we always stay together

 $s \cdot as \cdot a' nhap' de^{\varepsilon}$  I stand around

The last two examples do not show a rising pitch-accent, because the vowel (-a-) preceding the -l- and -n- respectively is inorganic and therefore incapable of carrying a rising or raised accent (cf. as parallel bila'ut'e 1 SHALL JUMP, not \*bilaŭt'e, because of inorganic -a-). They also illustrate the loss in the frequentative of a nonradical element ( $-i^i$ ) of the simplex; in  $s \cdot \bar{u}'^{\epsilon} alh a^{\epsilon}$  the loss of the  $-i^i$ involves also the transfer of the verb to the first class of intransitives (second person singular, Class I, s'\(\bar{u}'^\epsilon alhat\) YOU STAY AROUND; Class II,  $s \cdot u^{\epsilon} wil \bar{\imath} t' a m$  you sit).

7.  $\tilde{v}+lha$ . It is very probable that the verbs that belong here contain the continuative -l- treated under the head of petrified suffixes (see § 42, 9). The formula may then be considered morphologically identical with that listed as method 5, except that the continuative -l- is introduced before the -ha. Examples of this group are:

Aorist (or verb) stem	Repetitive		
t!oxox- gather	$wa^{-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}$ - $t!ox\tilde{o}lhi^{\epsilon}n$ I always gather		
	$ ext{them}$		
	$[b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek! $\bar{\epsilon}lhixia^{u\epsilon}$ they all		
(1 = 9 4' -7.1	$\begin{bmatrix} b\bar{a}^a - t'ek!\bar{\epsilon}lhixia^{u\varepsilon} & \text{they all} \\ \text{emerged } 60.11 \end{bmatrix}$		
$(b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!-x emerge)	$b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!ēlhixde $^{\epsilon}$ I keep emerging		
	(60.14)		
( <i>sgīp!</i> - eut)	$xa^{-\epsilon}i$ - $sgip!ilhi$ he cut them all		
	through 26.11		
k!ot'k!ad- break	$xa^{-\epsilon}i-y\bar{a}^a-k!od\tilde{o}lhi$ he always just		
	broke them in two 29.1		
( <i>al-xīk!-</i> see)	$al\text{-}xik!$ ī $lhi^{\epsilon}n$ I used to see him		
qwidi(k'wd)- throw	gwidīlha he kept throwing it		
	(164.11)		
(lok!- trap)	lok!õlha he was always trapping		
•	them 78.4; 100.4		

The non-agristic forms of these frequentatives dispense with the repeated vowel  $(\hat{r})$  characteristic of the agrist, so that the introduction of an inorganic -a'- is necessitated:

```
gwida'lhan I shall keep throwing it al-xik!a'lhik' I used to see him (inferential)
```

The remarks made under method 1 in regard to the formation of frequentatives directly from the verb-stem rather than the aorist stem apply also here ( $sgot!\tilde{o}lha$  108.8 from verb-stem  $sg\bar{o}t!$ - cut, aorist  $sg\bar{o}^ud$ -, like sgot!o'sgat').

8. v + w + v + lha. Only two verbs have been found that follow this very irregular formula for the frequentative:

Simplex	Repetitive
$l\tilde{a}p'$ become! 25.2 $l\tilde{a}^a l\tilde{\epsilon}$ it became 22.7	$\begin{cases} lawa'lhip' \text{ always become! (78.5)} \\ dah\tilde{o}xa \ lawa'lhida^{\epsilon} \text{ whenever it} \\ \text{became evening 44.1; 78.6} \end{cases}$
$ligigwa'^{\epsilon}n$ I fetch (game) home (70.3,5; 164.4)	liwi'lhagwa <sup>e</sup> n I always come home with (game) (136.2)

The latter of these shows at the same time an unaccountable loss of the -g- of the stem; the future of the simplex,  $l\bar{\imath}^i gwa'n$ , probably does not exhibit an absolute loss of the -g-, but rather a contraction of  $l\bar{\imath}^i g-gw$ - to  $l\bar{\imath}^i g-gw$ -.

BOAS 1

# TRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 44-51)

#### § 44. General Remarks

Under this head may be conveniently listed a number of suffixes that either transitivize intransitives (causative, comitative, indirective -amd-, -ald-) or are characteristic of transitive verbs (indirective -s- = -tx- to, indirective -an(an)- for, indirect reflexive). It must be confessed, however, that the various suffixes may be so thoroughly interwoven among themselves and with the purely formal elements that follow, that a certain amount of arbitrariness can hardly be avoided in treating of them. The suffixes will now be taken up in order.

### § 45. Causative -(a)n

Causatives are formed from intransitives by the addition of -nto the intransitive form, minus, of course, its formal pronominal elements. If the final sound preceding the -n- is a vowel, the suffix can be directly appended, the vowel being generally lengthened; a final consonant (or semivowel), however, generally, though not always, requires a connective -a- (-i when umlauted) between it and the suffix; doublets (with and without connective -a-) sometimes occur, the combination of consonant + -n- then taking a constant -a (-i) after it. If the accented vowel  $(\tilde{v})$  of the agrist immediately precedes the -nin all forms, an inorganic -h- must be introduced, the combination -nh- then necessitating a following constant -a; doublets, conditioned by the position of the accent, here also occur. Certain suffixed elements  $(-i-, -\bar{\imath}^i-)$  characteristic of intransitives drop off before the causative -n-, yet in some forms they are retained; intransitivizing elements naturally remain, for without them the verb would itself be transitive and incapable of becoming a causative. The agrist and nonaorist forms of the causative, with the qualification just made, are built up on the corresponding tense-mode forms of the primitive verb. Examples of causative -(a)n- are:

Intransitive

yelnada'<sup>e</sup> you will be lost (a palatalized by preceding y to -e-) 14.3 yowo'<sup>e</sup> he is 21.1

Causative

 $yalnanada'^{\varepsilon}$  you will lose it

 bā<sup>ε</sup>-ī-yowoni'<sup>ε</sup>n I woke him up (literally, I caused him to be up with my hand) 16.4

§§ 44-45

Intransitive

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}k'$  he is hot 94.15 ba-i-biliwa't you ran out 24.15

 $h\tilde{a}x$  it burns 94.18

 $t'aga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he cries 62.2

 $\begin{cases} hoyo'^{\epsilon}t' \text{ he dances } 46.12 \\ hoida'^{\epsilon}t' \text{ he will dance} \end{cases}$ 

 $y\bar{a}^a n$ - go (aorist)

yana- go (non-aorist)

hene's they were used up 184.6 yowo's he started, was startled 186.10

 $y\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}sd\bar{a}^a$  he will start 186.10

*t!obigī* he lies like dead

 $\begin{cases} t!obaga'sd\bar{a}^a & \text{he will lie like} \\ & \text{dead (148.8)} \end{cases}$ 

s·as·inī he stands 144.14

 $s \cdot a' s \cdot ant \cdot \bar{a}^a$  he will stand

de-qülü'k!alx it blazes 188.15

 $p'ele'xa^\varepsilon$ he goes to war 126.13

dak'-limtmxgwa<sup>ε</sup> (tree) falls on him (108.12)

#### Causative

ba-i-yowona' in I miss him in shooting (?=I cause him to be out) (138.5)

l ba-i-yowonha<sup>€</sup>n

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}gana'^{\epsilon}n$  I make him hot ba-i-biliwana't' he ran him out  $\hbar ax$ na he burned it 98.8  $\hbar ax$ ank'wa he burned him up

27.16

jt'agāana'εn I make him cry t'egēnxi he makes me cry

hoyodana'en I make him dance hoidana'n I shall make him dance

 $y\bar{a}^a na$ 'n he made him go;  $y\bar{a}^a - nana'^{\epsilon}n$  I made him go

 $y\tilde{a}$ nha (= \* $y\tilde{a}n$ -nha) he made him go;  $y\tilde{a}$ nha  $\tilde{b}$ n I made him go.

yanā<sup>a</sup>na'n¹ I shall cause him to go

 $\bar{v}$ -henenini' $\epsilon_n$  I used them up  $\begin{cases} y \bar{o}w \bar{o}'^u ts! \text{an} x b i^{\epsilon} n \text{ I startled you} \\ yow \bar{o}'^{u\epsilon} \text{sni} x b i^{\epsilon} n \text{ (for change of } a \text{ to } i \text{ see } \S 42, 12) \end{cases}$ 

 $\begin{cases} y ar{o}'^u t s ! ext{an} a n \ ext{I} \ ext{shall startle him} \\ y ar{o}^u s ext{sna} n \end{cases}$ 

t!obigīnha<sup>e</sup>n I make him lie like dead

t!obaga'snan I shall make him lie like dead

 $\left\{ egin{array}{ll} s\cdot as\cdot in ilde{\imath} ext{nha}^{\epsilon}n & ext{I} & ext{make him} \ ext{stand} \end{array} 
ight.$ 

l*s∙as*∙ãnha<sup>¢</sup>n

s'a's anhan I shall make him

de-gülü'k!alxna $^{\epsilon}n$  I make fire blaze

p'ele'xanaen I make him go to war

dak'-limīmxgwadini<sup>e</sup>n I chop (tree) on to him

<sup>1</sup> Also yana'k'nan I SHALL MAKE HIM GO, with inserted and unexplained suffix -k'-.

BOAS ]

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

Intransitive

Causative

 $yewe'^{i\varepsilon}$  he returned 49.10; 88.5

 $b\bar{a}^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $yew\bar{\epsilon}$ n he cured him (literally, he caused him with his hand to return up) 15.2

The causative in -*r̃nha*- is sometimes usitative in meaning:

lohonha he used to kill them; lohon he killed them 142.9

Examples occur of transitives in -n- formed from intransitives in which no causative notion can be detected:

da-lõnha $^{\epsilon}n$  I lied to him; de-l $\tilde{u}$ nhixi he lied to me (intransitive da-lõt $^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$  I shall lie [110.23])

gel-wayā<sup>a</sup>na'<sup>e</sup>n I slept with her (26.4); gel-wa-ina'n I shall sleep with her (108.3) (intransitive wayānt'e<sup>e</sup> I sleep [188.22]; waīt'e<sup>e</sup> I shall sleep [188.20]); but wayānha<sup>e</sup>n I cause him to sleep (162.1); waīnhan I shall cause him to sleep, waīnha put him to sleep! 106.4,8

The connective a of the causative suffix -an- in the aorist is treated differently from the a of the non-aorist forms in so far as in the former case the -an- diphthong, when stressed, receives a raised accent, while in the latter the a, as a strictly inorganic element, takes the falling accent. Thus:

Aorist

hōugwa'n he made him run (yewēn he caused him to return) (p!agān he bathed him [186.25]) Non-aorist

hogwa'n make him run! yeewa'n make him return! p!āaga'n bathe him! 186.24

In other words, the phonetic relation between a rist and non-a rist illustrated by several verb types (e. g., agan - : ag[a]n -) is reflected also in the causative suffix (-an - : -[a]n -). The same is true of other -[a]n -suffixes not causative in signification (see § 42, 10):

Aorist

Non-aorist

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^uma$ 'n he fixed it 150.13 ( $k!em\bar{e}nxbi^en$  I make you 27.9)

*ī-k!ūma'n* fix it! *k!ema'n* make it! 186.24

§ 46. Comitative -(a) gw-

Comitatives, i. e., transitive forms with the general meaning of to do some action (expressed by verb-stem) together with, attended by, having something (expressed by object of verb), may be formed only from intransitives by the suffix -gw- (final  $-k^{'w}$ , rarely -k'wa in monosyllables); after a consonant (including semivowel) a connective -a- appears before the -gw-, though in a few cases (as in a crist  $y\bar{a}^an$ - go) the -gw- is directly appended. Dissyllabic stems ending in vowel +-g- or -w- often add the comitative -gw- directly, in

which case the preceding vowel is generally lengthened; doublets, however, are sometimes found with connecting a. The second vowel of aorist stems is apt to be lengthened in comitative forms, yet not as consistently as in the case of causatives. Differing in this respect from the causative -n-, the comitative suffix does not require the loss of a final aoristic intransitive element (e. g., -i-). From aorist lohoidie are formed lohou-n-cause to die, but lohoy-agw-die together with. The reason seems clear. While the action of a causative verb is logically transitive, that of a comitative is really intransitive, and the verb is only formally transitive. In the former case the subject of the verb does not undergo the action that would be expressed by the intransitive stem (lohoi-); in the latter it does. Examples of the comitative are:

Intransitive  $y\bar{a}^a n$ - go (aorist) yana- (non-aorist) [ligi- come home from hunt (aorist)  $[l\bar{\imath}^i g$ - (non-aorist) gini(g)- go to

dal-yewey- run away

wi- travel

 $l\bar{o}^u l$ - play

daway- fly

henen- use up, be satiated yewey- return

yaway- talk

{he'l· sing (non-aorist) |helel- (aorist) |§ 46 Comitative

yānk'w he takes it along (lit., he goes having it) 17.13 yanagwa'nk' he will take it along liqi'k'w he fetched game home

ligi'k'w he fetched game home 70.3

 $\begin{cases} l\bar{\imath}^{i}gwa'nk' & (=l\bar{\imath}^{i}g-gwa'nk') \text{ he} \\ \text{will fetch game home} (130.6) \end{cases}$ 

ginīigwa'en I take it to (31.11); also giniyagwa'en (13.12); future ginagwa'n (= ginaggwa'n with inorganic a because of preceding n) (146.6) dal-yeweya'k'w he ran away

dal-yeweya'k'w he ran away with it

wīk'wa he travels around with it 14.2

 $l\bar{\sigma}^u l \operatorname{agw} a'^{\epsilon} n$  I play with him (124.14)

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -wa-dawaya'k'<sup>w</sup> he flies with it

henenagwa'<sup>ε</sup>n I eat it all (43.12) yeweyagwa'<sup>ε</sup>n I fetch them back (30.1; 47.13)

yawayagwa'<sup>e</sup>n I talk about it (lit., I talk having it)108.12 nãx-ī-he<sup>e</sup>lagwa'n I shall sing with pipe in hand

ī-hele lagwa' n I sing with it in hand

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

BOAS]

Intransitive

t!obagas- lie like dead (non-aorist)  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}s$ --laugh

baxam- come

biliw- fight, jump

Comitative

nāx-da-t!obaga'sgwank' he lies like dead with pipe in mouth ūyū's gwasn I laugh at him da-yawīx baxama'k'w they came talking (literally, mouth-talking they-camewith) 126.2

lõux biliwagwana'k' we play at fighting (literally, play wefight-having)

 $wa-bil\bar{\imath}^i gwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I jump having it  $(=*biliugwa'^{\epsilon}n$ , see §7)

If the object of the comitative verb is other than a third person, the suffix -gw- is followed by the indirective -d-, which does not ordinarily appear as such, but unites with the immediately following transitive connective -x- to form -s-; a connective -a- is inserted between the -gw- and the -s-, so that the whole comitative suffix for a first or second personal object is -(a)gwas-. Examples are:

 $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}s$ :gwasi he laughs at me henenagwa'sam he ate us up (192.15)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -wa-dawiyagwa'sbink' he will fly up with you

The form -gwad- of the comitative suffix appears as such preceding -in- (umlauted from -an-) in the third personal object of indirect form-forms built up on intransitive verbs derived from transitives:

 $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwadini^{\epsilon}n$  I trap for him (probably = I cause [-in] him to be having [-gwad-] [some one] to trap [ $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}$ -xa-] [for him]); but  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwasi$  he traps for me

p'ele'xagwadinien I go to war for him; but p'ele'xagwasi he goes to war for me

It is highly probable, however, that in such cases the -gwad- is to be definitely analyzed into a comitative element -gwa-+ an indirective element -d- (-t'-) to, for; this seems to be pointed out by the fact that when the for - object becomes identical with the subject, i. e., when the verb becomes an indirect reflexive (for one's self), the -d-immediately precedes the regular reflexive suffix -gwi-, leaving the causative suffix -(a)n- between it and the comitative suffix -gw-:

 $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwant'gwide^{\epsilon}$  I trap for myself (probably = I cause [-an-] myself [-gwi-] to be having [-gw-] [some one] to trap [ $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}$ -xa-] for [-t'-] [me])

#### BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Comitatives in -gw- are formed not only from intransitivized transitives in -xa- (e. g.,  $\bar{\imath}$ -lübü'xak' she pounds with it in hand [55.10]; 56.1), but also from non-agentive intransitives in -x- (see below, § 56). Examples are:

Non-agentive

sgō'usde<sup>\varepsilon</sup> (=sgō'ud-x-de<sup>\varepsilon</sup>) I cut (without implied object), am across (148.8) he<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-me<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-t'bō'uk't'bax he lay down with his arms folded,

lay rolled up and put away (cf. he<sup>e</sup>-me<sup>e</sup>-t'bō'<sup>u</sup>k't'baga<sup>e</sup>n I roll it up and put it away) t'qe<sup>e</sup>ya'lx it runs around, rolls

ba-i-s:ili'x he landed

Comitative

 $sg\bar{o}'^u sgwa^{\epsilon}n$  I got tired <sup>1</sup> of it (21.6)

he εε-wa-t'bōuk't'ba'xgwa he lay down with it clasped in his arms 154.6

wa-t'ge 'ya'lxgwa'n I roll with it wa-i-s'ügü's'üxgwa'n I am sleepy (literally, something like: I am confused having sleep)

ba-i-s ili'xgwa he landed with (his canoe) 13.5

The obverse, as it were, of these transitive forms in -x-gwa-, is given by certain rather curious Class I intransitive forms in -x-gwa- built up on intransitive, not, like normal -x- derivatives, on transitive stems; they may be literally translated as to be with (or having) (something) doing or being. Thus from the intransitive agrist dak'-limim- (tree) falls on top of is formed the intransitive dak'-limimxgwade<sup>e</sup> it falls on top of me (108.12), in which the logical subject (tree) becomes an implied object, while the real object or goal of motion (me) is treated as the grammatical subject. The form quoted would have to be literally translated as I am with (or having) (it) falling on top of (me). I (as tree) fall having it, together with it would probably be something like \*dak'-limimgwa'en. Morphologically similar to dak'-limimxgwade<sup>e</sup> are doubtless:

 $hewe'h\bar{o}x$ gwa $de^{\varepsilon}$  I yawn (literally, I am having —[?])  $yele'^{\varepsilon}$ sgwa $de^{\varepsilon}$  (= yelet!-x-gwa-) I am sweating (literally, I am —having it, i. e., perspiration [?])

With such an interpretation, the form dak'-limīmxgwadini' n I chop it on to him becomes readily intelligible as a causative built

 $<sup>^{-1}</sup>$   $sg\delta'usde^s$  and  $sg\delta'usgwa^sn$  are morphologically quite clearly related, though in signification the latter form has widely departed from what must have been its primary meaning.

<sup>\$ 46</sup> 

BOAS]

up on an intransitive in -xgwa-; literally translated it would read I cause (-in) him to be with (-gwad-) (it) falling  $(lim\tilde{\imath}^im-x-)$  on top of (dak'-) (him). This chimes in well with the interpretation given above of the really very perplexing "for" forms in -gwadin- and -gwant'gwi.

As will have been noticed from some of the examples already given (yawayagw- talk about,  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}^{i\varepsilon}s$ :gwa- laugh at,  $sg\bar{o}^usgwa$ - be tired of, heneragw- consume), the primarily comitative meaning of the -gw- suffix is sometimes greatly obscured, at times practically lost. Other examples illustrating this weakening of the fundamental signification are:

Intransitive	Comitative
hoyod- dance	hoyod-agw- dance (a particular
	kind of) dance 100.15; 102.9
$bar{a}^a$ - $yar{a}^a$ n- go up	$b\bar{a}^a$ - $y\bar{a}^a$ n- $g$ w- piek up 24.3; 59.15
ba-i-ginig- go out to, come	$ba$ - $i$ - $gin\bar{\imath}^i$ - $gw$ - take out (no leg
	motion necessarily implied)
xeben- do (so)	xebeey-agw-1 hurt, destroy 136.23

### § 47. Indirective -d-(-s-)

The -d- of the indirect object never appears in its naked form (except, as we have seen, in certain forms in -gwad-; see also under -d- in petrified suffixes), but always combined into -s- with the following element -x- that serves to bind pronominal objects of the first and second persons to the verb-stem with its derivative suffixes (see §64). The indirect object of the third person is not normally expressed by this -d-, but, like an ordinary direct third personal object, is left unexpressed, the general character of the verb being impliedly indirective. As a matter of fact, an incorporated pronominal indirect object is used only when the direct object is of the third person, never of the first or second; and, since the pronominal object of the third person is never expressed in the verb, this means that what is translated as the indirect object is in reality morphologically the direct object of the verb. The indirective idea is merely a derivative development; or, more correctly, certain transitive verbs with indirective "face" require an -s- (=-d-+-x-) instead of -x- with an incorporated object of the first or second person. I GIVE IT TO HIM is, then, really rendered in Takelma by I-HIM-GIVE; I GIVE IT TO YOU, by I-

<sup>1</sup> For the change of non-causative -n- to -y- (-i-) cf. k!emèi- and k!emeen- MAKE.

YOU-GIVE; I GIVE HIM FOOD, by I-HIM-FOOD-GIVE, in which the logically indirect object HIM must be looked upon as the direct object of the verbal complex food-give (food, not being a pronominal object, is loosely incorporated as a prefix in the verb); I GIVE YOU FOOD, by I-YOU-FOOD-GIVE, the pronominal combination I YOU being expressed at the end of the verb-complex in the same form as in a simple transitive like I-YOU-SEE, except that it is preceded by -sinstead of -x-; such combinations as I GIVE YOU TO HIM, ME and HE GIVES ME TO YOU, HIM can not be expressed by one verb-form. In these latter cases the grammatical object of the verb is no longer indirectly affected by the action; hence another, though probably etymologically related, verb-stem is employed, while the indirect object is expressed by a local phrase outside the verb: I GIVE YOU TO HIM (=I-YOU-GIVE [not indirective "face"] HIM-TO), -x-, not -s-, preceding the combination I you. The idea of TO in intransitives like GO, RUN, and so on, is regularly expressed by such an extra-verbal local Many verbs that, from our point of view, seem ordinary transitives, are in Takelma provided with the indirective -s-. Examples illustrating the use of this -s- are:

Aorist	Future
[ogoyi'en I give it to him 180.11	o'k'in (170.13; 180.9,16)
$\{ogu'sbi^{\varepsilon}n \text{ I give it to you } 23.3$	o'sbin (178.15)
$\log n x b i^{\epsilon} n$ I give you)	(oĩnxbin I shall give you)
$\{w\bar{e}t'gi^{\varepsilon}n\ (\text{for -}g\text{- see }\$42,\ 5)\ I$	wede'k'in (17.10,11)
took it from him 76.1	
$w \tilde{\epsilon} s b i^{\epsilon} n$ I took it from you (17.3)	wede'sbin (16.10,11)
$al-da-p'\tilde{o}^u p'iwi^{\epsilon}n$ I blew at it (15.1)	
[al-da-p'ūp'ausbi <sup>ε</sup> n I blew at you	
$\{w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I brought it to him }\}$	wagawi'n I shall bring it to
(for -w- see §42, 11) (176.17)	him
$\int wa^a ga' sam^a$ he brought it to us	wege'sink' he will bring it to me
(194.11)	
$(eiyi'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I hurt him})$	
eīsbi⁵n I hurt you	
[gayaŭ he ate him 54.5	ga-iwa`nk` 130.5
$\int gaya\tilde{u}sbi^{\varepsilon}n$ I ate you	gaīsbink' he will eat you 26.8
$\int al-yebebi'^{\epsilon}n  I  \text{showed it to him} (77.8)$	al-yebi'n I shall show it to him
$al$ -yebe' ps $bi^{\varepsilon}n$ I showed it to you	al-yēpsi show it to me!

<sup>1</sup> The -y- is peculiar to agrist forms of this verb with a third personal object (ogoyi't' you to him; ogoihi he to him 122.11) and to the third personal passive agrist (ogoyi'n he was given it 15.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With connecting a before s. In o'sbin above -g- + -s-gives -s-, but \* $w\bar{\epsilon}sdam$  (= $w\epsilon\epsilon g$ -sdam) would become confused with  $w\bar{\epsilon}sdam$  (= $w\epsilon\epsilon d$ -sdam) you took it from Me.

BOAS]

Some verbs that belong here show the -s- only in the agrist, other forms having only -x-. Examples are:

Future  $(he^{e\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}^iwi'^{\varepsilon}n \text{ I went away from } he^{e\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}wi'n$ him 23.12  $he^{e\epsilon}$ - $\tilde{\imath}usbi^{\epsilon}n$  I went away from  $he^{e\epsilon}$ - $\tilde{\imath}wi'xbink'$ you (184.14,15)  $y\bar{\imath}^i m \tilde{\imath} s b i^{\epsilon} n$  I lent it to you 98.15 yimi'xi lend it to me! 98.14, 21 [ī-t!aūt!iwien I eateh him 33.4  $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{a}^awi'n$  (33.8) lī-t!aut!a'usbi he caught you  $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{a}\bar{u}$ xbink' (140.15)  $[naga'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I said to him } 72.9]$  $n\bar{a}^a g i' n$  (15.15; 196.20)  $n\tilde{a}xbin$  (60.3) lnaga'sbien I said to you 108.4  $(dak'-da-h\bar{a}^ali'^{\epsilon}n$  I answered him dak'-da-hala'hin (61.6)dak'-da-hālsbien I answered you dak'-da-hala'xbin (134.20) $s\bar{a}^a nsa'^{\epsilon}n$  I fight him (110.20) sana'n (28.15; 33.9)  $ls\bar{a}^a nsa' nsbi^{\epsilon}n$  I fight you sana'xbin

# § 48. Indirective -(a')/d-

This suffix is probably composed of the continuative -l- (see § 42, 9) and the indirective -d-, though, unlike the latter suffix, it is always employed to transitivize intransitives, a characteristic intransitive element of the aorist (e. g., -i-) regularly remaining. After vowels, the suffix appears simply as -ld-; after consonants and semivowels, a connective -a- is generally introduced, which, when accented, receives a falling pitch. The general idea conveyed by the suffix is that of purposive action toward some person or object, so that it may be conveniently translated by Moving at or Toward, in order to reach, going to get. Examples of its use are:

 $hili\tilde{u}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I climb hiliwa'ld $a^{\epsilon}n$  I climb for it (77.8)  $yadada' da^{\epsilon}n$  I swim for him (to save him from drowning)  $yada't'e^{\varepsilon}$  I swim (yadad-) yededa'lsi he swims for me  $bili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he jumped 32.13; 78.11  $biliwa'lsa^{\epsilon}n$  they fought (literally, they jumped at, for each other) 27.4 da-t!ayaldi' $\epsilon n$  I went to get it to  $(da-t!aya'^{i\varepsilon})$  they went to get eat; da-t!ayalt' he went to get (something) to eat 75.9 it to eat (ā shows by its accent that it is part of stem) 76.9 da- $d\bar{a}^a$ ldi'n (future) (33.9)  $da-d\bar{a}^aya'^{\epsilon}t'$  (future) (33.9)  $sgele'^{u\varepsilon}$  he shouted 59.4; 90.8 sgelewa'lt' he shouted to, for him 59.4; (94.1)

wiliw- go, run

 $xudu'^{\varepsilon}m$  he whistled

ligi'k'w he fetched home (game) 70.3; 128.12;  $ligi'^{\epsilon}$  he came home (with game) 124.22

[wiliwa'ldaen I go and show it to him de-wiliwa' $da^{\epsilon}n$  I fight him (27.3)  $xuduma'lda^{\epsilon}n$  I whistled to him (33.16)

de-liqia'lt' he fetched it for him to eat 126.9; 130.9

yonoba'lt' they held nets waiting for fish 32.1

In  $w\tilde{o}^u lt$  HE WENT AFTER IT 29.12 the -ld- is confined to the aorist; non-agrist forms have the stem woo- without suffix: woo'n I shall go AFTER IT (162.8,10).

§ 49. Indirective -(a')md-

There hardly seems to be any significant difference between this and the preceding suffix, except that the indirective force of -(a')mdseems in many cases to be much less clear and that it may be appended to transitive as well as to intransitive stems. It is quite probable that in some of the examples the -m- of the suffix is really the dissimilated product of an original -l- because of an -l- of the stem (see § 21); yet this explanation could not be made to apply to all the cases. Those forms that contain a radical -l- are given first:

Simple form

 $t!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ la' md $a^{\epsilon}n$  I fish for (salmon)  $ts \cdot !elela' m da^{\epsilon} n \quad I \quad paint \quad him \quad (=I)$ put paint—s·e'·el—on to him) s·in-delega'msdam you put holes in my nose 22.2

malaga'msbien I am jealous of you

 $malagia'^{u\varepsilon}$  they are jealous (cf. malag-, malagan- tell)  $yala'k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I dive (61.8) . (lagaq- feed) legwe'l he sucked it (186.18) (qeleq-twirl)

ūyūts!- laugh *ya*'mt' ask him! 70.6

k!emen- make

 $dak'-t'g\bar{u}'^uba^{\epsilon}n$  I put (hat-like object) over as covering

 $yalaga'mda^{\epsilon}n$  I dive for it (60.10)  $lagaga'mda^{\epsilon}n$  I paid him (184.17) legwela' mda<sup>e</sup>n I sucked it out of him  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}$ -al-qelegala' md $a^{\epsilon}n$  I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.3)

 $d\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'ts!$ amd $a^{\epsilon}n$  I fool him yamda'mt' (go and) ask of him!74.10  $p'\tilde{o}y$ amd $a^{\epsilon}n$  I smoke them out (76.11)

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -k!emena'mda $\epsilon$ n I make him ready to go (76.13)

 $dak'-t'q\bar{u}'^ub$ amt' she covered it (basket) over 61.9

§ 49

BOAS]

§ 50. Indirective -(a)n(an)- "for"

From transitives, never from intransitives, are formed verbs in -(a)n or -(a)nan- (the first -a- is the connective vowel already spoken of) signifying to do (the act expressed by the verb-stem) for, in BEHALF OF (the object of the verb). No rule can be given as to when -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is to be used, the two suffixes being frequently found to interchange in the same form. It is not likely that -(a)nanis a mere duplication of the simpler -(a)n-, as no other case of suffixreduplication could be shown to exist in Takelma, but rather a compound suffix consisting of two distinct elements that happen to be Neither of the -(a)n- elements in-(a)nan-, however, homonymous. can be identified with either the causative -(a)n- or the petrified -(a)nof certain transitive verbs (see § 42,10), for the full -(a) nan-suffix is found suffixed to them (e. g.,  $loh\bar{o}^u ninini'^{\epsilon}n$  I killed him for HIM [ = I CAUSED HIM TO DIE FOR HIM]). As in the case of the ordinary indirect object-suffix -s-, only the third person (and that, as far as the pronoun is concerned, by implication) is tolerated as the logical object, the grammatical object being always the person in whose behalf the action is done. If the formal (i. e., indirect) object of the verb is of the third person, the -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is nearly always followed by the "instrumental" i (see § 64), an umlaut of the suffix to -(i)n- or -(i)nin- necessarily resulting (see § 8, 3c). The longer form of the suffix -(a)nan is apt to be limited to the agrist forms with third personal object; non-aorist forms and aorist forms with first or second personal object generally have the shorter form of the suffix, -(a)n. What was said above of a phonetic character in regard to the causative -(a)n- applies also here. Examples are:

Transitive

 $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $ox\tilde{o}xi^{\epsilon}n$  I gather them (192.4)

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^uma$ 'n he fixed it (150.13; 186.16,18)

Indirective

 $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $ox\tilde{o}x$ in $i^{\epsilon}n$  I gather them for him

 $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{u}x\bar{u}x$ anxi he gathers them for me

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^umin$ inin $i'^{\epsilon}n$  I fixed it for him

ī-k!ūmininini'nk' he will fix it for him

 $\{\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^u manan'xi \text{ he fixed it for } \}$ me  $\{\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}mana'nhi \text{ fix it for him!} \}$ 

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12--10

§ 50

#### BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

Transitive

 $l\bar{a}^aba'^{\epsilon}n$  I carry it (178.4,5,6)

 $\bar{o}^{\prime u} q a^{\varepsilon} n$  I trap them (78.5)

(k!adāi- pick (aorist)

 $k!\bar{a}^ad$ - pick (non-aorist)

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $w\bar{\imath}'^{i}qi^{\epsilon}n$  I spread it out (120.1)

k!emen- make

limimana'en I fell tree (cause it to fall) (108.11)

 $loh\bar{o}^u na'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to die (142.9)

 $d\tilde{o}^u m k' wan k'$  he will kill him (116.18)

 $s\bar{a}^a gwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I paddle it (60.1; 112.9)

 $p!ahanana'^{\epsilon}n$  I cause it to be cooked, done

Indirective

[lāabinini'en I carry it for him lāaba'nhaen

 $le^{e}ba'nxi$  he carries it for me  $\int l\bar{o}^{u}ginini'^{e}n$  I trap them for him

 $l\bar{o}'^uq$ in $i^{\varepsilon}n$ 

(p!iyin)  $l\bar{u}'^ug$ anxi he traps (deer) for me

 $egin{array}{l ar{o}' k! ext{in} in ext{ I shall trap them for} \\ ext{him} \end{array}$ 

 $\left\{ egin{aligned} k! aday & ext{in} i'^{arepsilon} n & ext{I pick them for} \ & ext{him} \end{aligned} 
ight.$ 

 $\lfloor k! adar{a} ilde{\imath}h ext{in} i^{\epsilon}n 
brace$ 

k!edeya'nxi he picks them for him

 $k!\bar{a}^ad\text{inin}i'n$  I shall pick them for him

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $w\bar{\imath}'^{i}g$ anxi he spreads it out for me

k!emenini'en I make it for him

k!emnini'n I shall make it for him

 $limiminin'^{\epsilon}n$  I fell it for him

 $(loh \bar{o}^u n inin i'^{\epsilon} n \ \mathbf{I} \ killed \ him \ for \ him$ 

 $\int loh \bar{o}^u n$ ana'nhi he killed him for him

 $l\bar{u}h\bar{u}^u na'nxi$  he killed him for me

dōumana'nk'wank' he will kill him for him

han-se<sup>e</sup>gwa'nsin I am paddled across (literally, it, i. e., canoe, is paddled across for me)

 $p!ahayinini'^{\epsilon}n^{1}$  I make it done for him

A number of transitive verbs in -(a)n(an)- in which the for (in behalf of) idea is not clearly apparent nevertheless doubtless belong here. Such are:

For the change of suffixed n to y see § 46, second footnote.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} al^{\epsilon-\bar{o}^{u}d\operatorname{ini}^{\prime}\epsilon_{n}} \text{ I look around for him} \\ (92.27) & \\ \bar{\epsilon}^{\epsilon}-od\operatorname{oni}^{\prime}n \text{ I shall feel around} \end{array}$$
 
$$\begin{array}{c|c} (\bar{o}^{u}da^{\prime\epsilon_{n}} \text{ I hunt for him} \\ [116.8]) \end{array}$$

malagana'nhi he told him 30.15 (mala'xbi he told you [162.6])

It not infrequently happens in verbs where the logical relation existing between the subject and a first or second personal object can hardly be other than an indirect one, that the for idea is expressed by means of the simple transitive form with -x- or -s- instead of the more explicit indirective -(a)n(an)-, as shown in the following examples:

k!edèīsi he picks them for me (literally, he picks to me, alongside of k!edeya'nxi he picks them for me)<sup>1</sup>

me<sup>\*</sup>bēp'xip' come and chop out (a hole) for me (to enable me to get out) (literally, come and chop me!) 90.16

gel-ts!eye'mxi he hid it from me (158.7); but gel-ts!ayamini'en I hid it from him

The idea of doing something for some one when the action is an intransitive one can not be expressed in the verb itself, so that periphrases of one kind or another are resorted to; e. g., i go for him is expressed by i go, he having sent me. In verbs that are intransitive only in form, but logically still transitive, that is, in transitive verbs with unexpressed object, the for idea is expressed by the complex suffix -gwa'dan- (with first or second personal object -gwas-), the analysis of which has been attempted above (see § 46). Thus we have  $(p!iyin) l\bar{o}'^u gin(in)i^e n$  i trap (deer) for him built up on a transitive in both form and meaning (i. e.,  $l\bar{o}'^u ga^e n$ ), but  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwadini^e n$  i trap for him built up on a formal intransitive  $(l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xag^e)$ . The idea of for, in behalf of one's self is rendered in transitive verbs by adding to the indirective suffix -(a)n(an)- the regular reflexive suffix -k'wi- (-gwi-):

 $d\bar{o}^u mana'nk'wid\bar{a}^a$  he will kill them for himself  $t!\bar{u}m\bar{u}k'wank'wide^{\varepsilon}$  I kill them for myself  $de^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-w\bar{v}'igank'wide^{\varepsilon}$  I spread it out for myself  $han\text{-}se^{\varepsilon}gwa'nk'wide^{\varepsilon}$  I paddle myself across, really, I paddle (canoe) across for myself

<sup>1</sup> There must be a difference in signification, however, between k!edèlsi and k!edeya'nri. The former probably means "he picks them for me, i. e., in order to give them to me;" the latter "he picks them in my behalf (perhaps because I am sick and can not do so myself.)" Compare also de'ise'eri he opened the door for me (i. e., in order to let me in) (63.12) with de'ise'eganri he opened the door on my behalf (perhaps because I was unable to do so myself).

In intransitive verbs with implied transitive force a -t'- is inserted between the indirective -(a)n(an)- and the reflexive -qwi-:

lük!ü'xagwant'gwit' he traps for himself

Also this form in -gwant'gwi- was explained above.

### § 51. Indirect Reflexive -qwa-

By indirect reflexive is here meant action in reference to something belonging to one's self, not action in behalf of one's self. From the latter idea (expressed, as we have seen, by -[a]n[an]k'wi- and -[a]n[an]-t'gwi-) the indirect reflexive in -gwa- differs in being always found in a transitive setting; from the comitative -(a)gw(a)- it differs phonetically in being formed only from transitive verbs with expressed object and in the constancy of the final -a- (third person acrist -k'wa, not -k'w). Examples of its use are:

s·in-<sup>\varepsilon</sup>i-t'qili'<sup>\varepsilon</sup>sgwa <sup>1</sup> he scratched his own nose 14.11; 15.7

 $m\tilde{a}nx$  al- $n\tilde{u}'^{u}$ k'wa (= gw-k'wa) he painted his own face (cf.  $n\tilde{o}^{w}gw$ - $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I paint it)

ī-gaxaga'xgwa<sup>e</sup>n I scratch myself, i. e., my own (cf. ī-gaxagixi'<sup>e</sup>n I scratch him)

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $n\bar{o}'^u$ k'wa warm your nands! (188.20) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ - $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $n\bar{o}'^u$ k'wi<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>n I warm his hands)

s·in- $de^{e}le'p'$ gwa he stuck it into his own nose (cf.  $d\bar{a}^{a}$ -dele'p'i he pierced his — another's — ear)

| bīls fal-giliga'lk'wafn I covered myself with moss (48.14) (cf. bīls - jeligili'fn I covered him with moss)

bīls 'ī-giliga'lk'wa'n I covered my hands with moss

gwen-p!iyi'nk'wa he lies on pillow (probably = he causes his neck to lie) <sup>2</sup>

k!edè7k'wa<sup>e</sup>n I pick them for myself (literally, I pick my own) de-k'iūk'auk'wak' he brandished it before his face 172.11

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^u ma'n$ k'wa he prepared himself, got ready 172.2 (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^u$ -ma'n he fixed it, got it ready 114.7)

It will be noticed that whenever what in English we are accustomed to consider a direct reflexive is really such only in form, not in fact, the Takelmaidiom requires the indirect -k'wa-form, not the direct reflexive in -gwi-. Thus, I see or scratch myself is not logically a reflexive in the same sense as I kill, drown, or hang myself, the former involving strictly action on what belongs to the subject, not on the subject itself: I see or scratch my own (flesh). Still such distinctions can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The object, generally a body-part, to which the action refers is printed in Roman characters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> p'iyin-connected with **-p**!eyen-Lie?

hardly be insisted upon; much depends on idiomatic usage. The indirect reflexive suffix, it would seem, is employed only when the direct object is incorporated in the verb; if the direct object is taken out of the verb-complex and provided with a possessive pronoun, all ambiguity as to the relation between subject and object is removed and the -gwa- falls out. Thus we have  $d\bar{a}^a$ -de'le'p'gwa He Pierced his own ear with indirect reflexive -gwa- to show the possession of the object ( $d\bar{a}^a$ - ear) by the subject;  $d\bar{a}^a$ dele'p'i would mean he pierced another's ear. The former sentence can also be expressed more analytically by  $d\tilde{a}nxdagwa\ hadele'p'i$  his-own (-dagwa) -ear he-in-pierced-it;  $d\tilde{a}nxda\ hadele'p'i$  would then have reference to the piercing of another's ear. In other words, the reflexive idea is expressed in the verb or in the noun according to whether the latter is incorporated or independent.

# INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 52-57)

## § 52. General Remarks

Under this head are included such suffixes as intransitivize a transitive verb by removing the object (-xa-), transferring the object from without to within the sphere of the subject (reflexive, reciprocal), or changing the character of the action altogether (non-agentive, positional). The passive intransitivizes by removing, not the object, but the subject, the former remaining in exactly the same form in which we find it in the corresponding transitive; the voice is characterized by peculiar suffixes that differ for the various tense-modes, and which, following as they do the pronominal elements of the verb, will receive appropriate treatment in discussing the purely formal verbal elements. The normal transitive, its ancillary passive, the active intransitive (-xa-), the reflexive, the reciprocal, the non-agentive, and the positional may be looked upon as the seven voices of a transitive verb, of which only the first five (possibly also the sixth), however, can be freely formed from any transitive stem. Of the seven voices, the first two are provided with a distinct set of pronominal object (and transitive subject) suffixes; the third and the fifth, with Class I intransitive subjects; the remaining, with Class II intransitive subjects.

Before giving examples of the intransitive suffixes, it may be useful to rapidly follow out a particular transitive stem (dink!- stretch out [= base din-+ transitive petrified suffix-k!-]) in its various voices. First

of all, we may form an ordinary active transitive verb with expressed object by attaching to the verb or aorist stem the appropriate pronominal suffixes: ba-i-de-di'nik!aen i stretch it out (like a rubber BAND or the like) (62.1). Secondly, from this may be formed a passive by the addition to the stem (dinik!-) of the pronominal object and characteristic passive suffix: ba-i-de-di'nik!an it is or was (actively) STRETCHED OUT. Thirdly, the transitive stem may be made intransitive by a failure to specify the object: ba-i-de-di'niexadee I stretch (SOMETHING) OUT. Fourthly, a direct reflexive is formed by the suffix -awi-: ba-i-de- $di'ni^{\epsilon}k'wide^{\epsilon}$  I (actually, if such were possible) STRETCH MYSELF OUT, in as literal a sense as in, e.g., I KILL MYSELF. Fifthly, the transitive form may be made reciprocal by the compound suffix -x-(or -s-)an-: ba-i-de- $di'ni^exa^en$  they (actively and literally) STRETCH ONE ANOTHER OUT. Sixthly, the non-agentive voice is formed by a suffixed -x-: ba-i-de-dini'ex it stretches out (144.14), in the sense in which a sore might be supposed to spread, without volition and without apparent agency; this particular form is idiomatically employed to refer to the stretching out, advancing, marching, of a single column, the figure here being evidently that of a long stringlike line moving out without distinctly sensed agency. Similarly,  $b\bar{a}^a$ -dini' $^{\epsilon}x$  (clouds) spread up in long strips 13.3 are not actively spread out by some one, do not spread out some unexpressed object, are not conceived of as actually spreading themselves out, and are not conceived of as being in the static, purely positional condition of lying extended. Seventhly, the last, positional voice is expressed by an aoristic -1i-, non-aoristic -as-: dink!i it lies spread out, referring to a long string or other elongated body extended on the ground; future  $dink!a'sd\bar{a}^a$ . A synopsis for the second person singular (and reciprocal plural) of dink!-(dinik!-) spread of the seven voices in the six tense-modes is given in Appendix A. The intransitive suffixes will now be taken up in order.

### § 53. Active Intransitive -xa-

The -a- of this suffix is a constant element except before a personal ending beginning with a vowel: p'ele'xik' we go to fight. Like other non-radical -a- vowels it may be unlauted to i:  $s\cdot om-l\ddot{u}-h\ddot{u}ixiya^{u\varepsilon}$  they (indef.) operate as  $s\cdot omloho'lxa^{\varepsilon}s$  (class of medicine men) 172.14. The final consonant of the aorist stem of verbs of Type

8 falls out before the -xa-, also an indirective d (including the -d- of -[a]md-, [a]ld; a final radical -d-, however, unites with -xa- to form -sa-). Verbs of Type 5 employ not the agrist, but the verb-stem. in the agrist of the -xa- derivative (cf. the parallel phenomenon in the formation of the frequentative, § 43, 1 and 6; for exceptions see § 40, 5), inserting the repeated stem-vowel between the fortis consonant of the stem and the suffix; -xa- derivatives of Type 5 verbs thus belong to Type 2. For the vocalism of the stem of -xa- forms, see § 31, 5. Verbs in -xa- of Types 2 and 3 regularly have a short second stem vowel, even if the quantity in the primitive verb is long; this short vowel may, however, be secondarily lengthened, with falling accent, to express a frequentative idea. In non-aorist forms the stress tends to fall on the -xa-. Verbs in -xa- can be formed, of course, only from transitives, and, although in form they are strictly intransitive, they always logically imply an object. Examples of -xa- are:

 $l\bar{u}b\bar{u}'$ xa $^{\epsilon}$  she pounded 16.9;  $\bar{\imath}$ - $l\bar{u}'p$ xagwank' she will pound having it (pestle) 55.10 (aorist transitive lobo'p' she pounded them 16.9)

 $t!\bar{\imath}^i la' m \times ade^{\epsilon}$  I went fishing  $(t!\bar{\imath}^i la' m da^{\epsilon} n$  I fished for them)

k!āawa'nxaɛ she sifts 57.15 (k!āawa'ndaɛn I sift acorn meal [16.10]) dak'-t'ek!e'xaɛ he smokes 96.23 (Type 5 dak'-t'e'egiɛn I give him to smoke [170.13])

p!ebe'xa<sup>e</sup> he beat off (bark) 55.6 (p!abab- chop [90.11])

 $\hat{l}ebe'$ sa $de^{\epsilon}$  I sew ( $lebeda'^{\epsilon}n$  I sew it)

 $sg\bar{u}t!\bar{u}'$ xa<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> he is cutting 92.2 (Type 5 aorist  $sg\bar{o}^ud$ - 72.10)

 $a\bar{l}$ - $x\bar{\imath}k!i'$ xa $^{\epsilon}$  he looked around 102.12 (Type 5 aorist al- $x\bar{\imath}^{i}g$ - 124.8)  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'$ xa $^{\epsilon}$  he traps (Type 5 aorist  $l\bar{o}^{u}g^{u}$ - 78.5); future  $l\ddot{u}'^{\epsilon}$ x $^{w}$ agwa-dinin I shall trap for him

wā<sup>a</sup>-himi'xade<sup>e</sup> I was talking to somebody (wā<sup>a</sup>-himida'<sup>e</sup>n I talked to him [59,16])

dak'-da-hele'halxa $de^{\epsilon}$  I always answer (dak'-da- $h\bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon}n$  I answer him [146.14])

dak'-hene'xa<sup>e</sup> he waits; future dak'-henxa't'e<sup>e</sup> I shall wait (dak'-hene'da'en I wait for him)

 $yimi's a^{\epsilon}(=-s\cdot -xa^{\epsilon})$  he dreams; future  $yims\cdot a't'e^{\epsilon}$ ; imperative  $yims\cdot a'$ 

In  $k!eme'nxade^{\epsilon}$  I was making, working (future  $k!emxa't'e^{\epsilon}$ ) the loss of the -n- in the non-agrist forms (cf. k!emna'n I shall make it [28.14]) may be due to a purely phonetic cause (see § 11)

# § 54. Reflexive -gwi-

The final consonant of the aorist stem of some verbs of Type 8 is eclipsed, with lengthening of preceding vowel, also before the reflexive -gwi- (see § 40, 8), in the case of others it is preserved. Where the -gwi- reflexive is derived from indirect transitives in -d- (-amd-, -gwadan-), there is often practically no difference in signification between it and the indirect reflexive -gwa-. Examples of -gwi- are:

t!omõk'wide<sup>¢</sup> I kill myself (from t!omom-)
al-yebe'p'gwit' he showed himself (yebeb-)
al-xī'ik'wit' he looked at himself
p!agānk'wide<sup>¢</sup> I bathed (literally, I caused myself to bathe;
cf. p!agāna'<sup>¢</sup>n I bathe him)
se<sup>¢</sup>la'mt'gwide<sup>¢</sup> I shall paint myself (se<sup>¢</sup>la'mdan I shall paint him)
ft'gwaxāīk'wide<sup>¢</sup> I tattooed myself (t'gwaxāī he tattooed him)
ft'gwāa'xa'nt'gwide<sup>¢</sup> I shall tattoo myself (= for myself)
ī-gis'iga's'gwide<sup>¢</sup> I tickle myself
al-wa-ts!eyēk'wide<sup>¢</sup> I washed myself with it
dāa-delega'mt'gwide<sup>¢</sup> (= dāa-dele'p'gwa<sup>¢</sup>n) I pierce my ears
(yūk') k!emēnk'wit' they made themselves (strong) 27.12
xuma ogoīk'wide<sup>¢</sup> I give food to myself (= I food-give myself)
ī-lesgi'k'wide<sup>¢</sup> I shall touch myself

Before the imperative endings -p', -p'anp' the reflexive suffix becomes lengthened to  $-gw\bar{\imath}^{t}$ :

k!ēt'gwī¹p'¹ pick them for yourself!

deegwa'lt'gwī¹p'anp' take care of yourselves! 126.20; (128.24)

The reflexive of naga- say to is irregular in that is is formed not from the transitive stem, but from the corresponding intransitive nagai-say: nagaik'wit' he said to himself 104.1 (cf. nagaik'wa, §62).

§ 55. Reciprocal 
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -x \\ -s \end{array} \right\}$$
-an-

The -x- and -s- preceding the characteristic reciprocal -an- (umlauted -in-) suffix are nothing but the connective consonant of direct and indirect transitive verbs respectively, the choice in the reciprocal form between the two depending entirely upon which is used in the corresponding simple transitive. A difference, however, in the use of this -x- (-s-) between the transitive and reciprocal is found in so far as in the latter it appears with a third as well as first and second

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indirect reflexive (for oneself) in signification, though without indirective suffix of any kind. The form is thus analogous to such as  $k/ed\delta isi$  mentioned above (see §59). That the reflexive action is thought of as indirective in character seems to be indicated by the ablaut of the stem (k/dad-); see §31, 6.

<sup>§§</sup> 54-55

personal object. The phonetic form of what precedes the -x- (-s-) is the same as in the transitive from which the reciprocal is derived. The reciprocal element -an- is the only one of the verbal suffixes that is placed between the connecting -x- and the personal endings, so that it may rightly be looked upon as in a way equivalent to the incorporated objective pronouns. Examples of -x-an- are:

 $kloy\tilde{o}xinik$  we go together, accompany one another (33.15)

 $t!\hat{e}\tilde{u}$ xin $iba^{\epsilon}ni$  let us play shinny!

*ī-lats!a*'xinik' we touch one another

al-s·in-lō'uxaen they meet each other (literally, they thrust noses to one another)

t!omoxaen they kill one another (33.10)

gel-wayãnxa<sup>e</sup>n they were sleeping together (literally, they caused each other to sleep facing each other) 190.2

al-xī'ixaen they looked at each other

Examples of -s-an-, i. e., of indirect reciprocals, are:

naga'sa'n they said to each other 31.9 (cf.  $naga'sbi^{\epsilon}n$  I said to you [100.1]); future  $n\tilde{a}$ xan't' (cf.  $n\tilde{a}xbin$  [60.3])

 $s\bar{a}^a nsa'nsa^\epsilon n$  they fight one another (23.14; 184.13) (cf.  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'ns-bi^\epsilon n$ ); future  $sana'xan^\epsilon t$  (23.15) (cf. sana'xbin)

 $he^{e\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\iota}\tilde{u}s$ 'a $\epsilon$ n they went away from one another (cf.  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\iota}\tilde{u}sbi^{\epsilon}n$  [184.14]); future  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\iota}wi'$ xan $\epsilon t'$  (cf.  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\iota}wi'$ xbin)

 $l\bar{a}^a ma' l s a^{\epsilon} n$  they guarreled with each other 27.2; 86.10

 $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi'sa $^\epsilon$ n they talked to one another 124.14(cf.  $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi's $bi^\epsilon n$ )

 $l\bar{o}^u gwa'$ s ini $ba^{\epsilon}$  let us play 32.5 (cf.  $l\bar{o}^u gwa'sbin$  future)

 $t!\ddot{u}'lt!als: \mathrm{in}iba^{\varepsilon}$ let us play at gambling-sticks ( $t!\ddot{u}'l)$ 31.9

al-sege'sak'sinik' we keep nodding to one another; seek'sa'k'-sank' they nodded to one another (inferential) 172.10(but unreduplicated al-see'xinik' we nodded to each other)

#### § 56. Non-agentive -x-

The difference in signification between the non-agentive -x- and the intransitive -xa- may be well brought out by a comparison with the distinctly double signification of English intransitively used transitives. If such a transitive word as split be relieved of its object, it may be employed in two quite distinct senses, either to indicate the same sort of action that is expressed by the transitive, but without explicit direction (as, the carpenter can split, i. e., can split beams, boards); or to indicate a spontaneous non-volitional activity resulting in a static condition identical with that induced by the corresponding transitive action (as, the beams, boards, split, i. e., spontaneously

undergo motion resulting in that condition which is brought about by corresponding activity from without: THE CARPENTER SPLITS THE BEAMS, BOARDS). SPLIT in the former case is rendered in Takelma by  $x\bar{a}^a-ts\cdot !iwi'xa^\varepsilon$  (a orist transitive  $ts\cdot !iwi-d$ -); in the latter, by  $x\bar{a}^a$ ts: !iwi's: (=-ts:!iwi'd-x). It is true that in some cases the use of -xdoes not seem to be logically justified (e. g.,  $al-h\bar{u}y\bar{u}xde^{\epsilon}$  I Hunt 136.18; al-ho-yoiya'en I Hunt them); but something must be allowed for idiomatic, not literally translatable usage. Such petrified suffixes as -d- do not drop out before the -x-; the repeated consonant of Type 8 verbs falls off as usual (yet cf. forms like limīm-x-qwa-, §46). Examples of the non-agentive are:

#### Transitive

*ī-k'wā'aqwi⁵n* I awakened him 16.4 (future  $\bar{\imath}$ - $k'w\bar{a}'k!win$ ) leme'ek' they took them along leme'ex they all went 136.7 ī-t'ge εyili'εn I roll it de-ts:!ibi'p' he closed door  $p!a-i-ha-u-t'q\bar{u}'^up'$  he upset it  $wa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!eme$ 'm he assembled them 110.3  $ha^{\epsilon}w^{-\bar{\imath}-ha'}nats!i^{\epsilon}n$  I made it stop

dī-sqü'yük!i<sup>e</sup>n I knock it down (48.7, 8)ī-gwidigwa't'i he threw them

(108.21; 138.3)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -smili'smili $\epsilon$ n I swing it  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'e'egi<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>n I lift it up (Type 5)

#### Non-agentive

 $k'w\bar{a}'^a \times de^{\epsilon}$  I awoke (16.3) (future  $k'w\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}xde^{e}$  [190.5])

t'geeya'lx it rolls de-ts:!ibi'x (door) shut p!a-i-ha-u-t' $g\bar{u}'^u p$ x it upset 60.8  $wa-t!em\tilde{e}xia^{u\varepsilon}$  people assembled 144.23  $ha-u-hana'^{\epsilon}s(=-\dot{a}'ts!x)$ it stopped (152.15; 198.9) $d\bar{\imath}$ - $sg\ddot{u}'^{i\epsilon}xk'$  it fell (nobody push-

ing) (59.11; 62.1) hüülü'nk'wa (tiredness) gwidigwa's (= -a'tx) he was plumb tired out (probably = he tottered with tiredness) 120.12

 $smili'smalxde^{\epsilon}$  I swing 1 (73.2)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!e't'ax it bobs up and down (60.11,13,14)

In some verbs -alx- (= continuative -al- + non-agentive -x-) seems to be quite equivalent to the intransitive -xa-:

 $geyewa'lxde^{\epsilon}$  I am eating (31.3) (but, hortatory,  $ge\tilde{\imath}xaba^{\epsilon}$  let us eat)  $le^{\epsilon}ba'nxde^{\epsilon}$  I carry (178.6)  $(l\bar{a}^{a}ba'^{\epsilon}n$  I carry it [178.3,4])  $\bar{u}^u q w a' n x d e^{\varepsilon} I drink (see § 21).$ 

The non-agentive character of verbs in -x- may be reflected in transitives (causatives) derived from them, in that in such causatives

<sup>1</sup> It may not be uninteresting to note, as throwing light on the native feeling for -z-, that this form sounded somewhat queer to Mrs. Johnson, for, as she intimated, one can't very well be swinging without either actively swinging one's self or being swung by some one.

the subject is not thought of as being the direct cause of the state or activity predicated, but is rather considered as indirectly responsible for it. Thus, from the agrist stem t'gwilik!w- (t'gwili' x water,

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

BLOOD DROPS, DRIPS 58.1) are formed:

p!a-i-t'gwili'k!wana<sup>e</sup>n I (voluntarily) drop, spill it p!a-i-t'gwili'<sup>e</sup>xna<sup>e</sup>n I have it drop (unavoidably), spill it (72.8,16)

#### § 57. Positional-īi-

As we have already seen (§ 40, 15), this suffix, though of clearly derivational character, is generally, probably always, confined to the aorist. A positional verb in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - may be defined as expressing the state or condition resulting from the completed action of a transitive or non-agentive; e. g.,  $p!a-i-ha-u-t'gup!id\bar{\imath}$  it (BOX-LIKE OBJECT) LIES UPSIDE DOWN is a verb expressing the result of the action defined in  $p!a-i-ha-u-t'g\bar{u}'^uba^en$  i upset it and  $p!a-i-ha-u-t'g\bar{u}'^upx$  it upset 60.8. From one point of view the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - serves to mark off a class of purely positional verbs, a different verb-stem being used for each general form-category of the object described. Such verbs of position are:

dink!ī long, stretched out object lies (transitive aorist dinik!-)
t'geits'!ī round object lies (138.24) (t'geyets'!-)
p'ildī flat object lies
t!obigī corpse, dead-looking body lies
s'einī box-like object with opening on top lies
p!a-i-ha-u-t'gup!idī box-like object with opening below lies
(t'gūub-)
s'ugwidī curled-up object (like bundle of rope) lies
da-sgalī scattered objects (like grain on floor) lie
wīk!idī several objects heaped together lie (wīig-)
s'as'inī erect object is, he stands 34.1; 45.12; 77.9
s'u'wilī sitting object (person) is, he sits, dwells 21.1; 57.2
k'ebilī absent object is, he is long absent 124.20

Not so clearly positional are:

 $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\imath}$  (generally heard as  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}$ ) it becomes 33.17; 45.3  $yaml\bar{\imath}$  he looks pretty

Of these verbs those that are directly derived from transitives, it will be observed, use in the agrist the verb-stem, not the agrist stem, of their simplex (thus dink!-, not dinik!-). The derivational -(a)d-(see § 42, 4) that seems to characterize a number of positional verbs can not be explained.

Certain Takelma place-names in  $-\bar{\imath}$  (or  $-\bar{\imath}-k'$ , -i'-k' with suffix -k' characteristic of geographical names) can hardly be otherwise explained than as positional verbs in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, derived from nouns and provided with local prefixes defining the position of the noun. Such are:

 $Di^{\varepsilon}$ - $dan\bar{\imath}$  Table Rock (probably = rock [da'n] is  $[-\bar{\imath}]$  west  $[di^{\varepsilon}]$ ); west of the rock would be  $di^{\varepsilon}$ -dana' (cf. dana't'k' my rock) Dak'- $t'gam\bar{\imath}$ -k' (cf. Dak'- $t'gamiya'^{\varepsilon}$  person from D.) (= place where [-k'] elks [t'ga'm] are  $[\bar{\imath}]$  above, on top [dak']) Dal-dani'k' (cf. Dal- $daniya'^{\varepsilon}$  one from D.) (= place where [-k'] in brush, away from creek [dal] is  $[-\bar{\imath}]$  rock [da'n]) han- $xilm\bar{\imath}$  ghost land (= across river [han] are  $[-\bar{\imath}]$  ghosts [xila'm]) de- $d\bar{\imath}^iw\bar{\imath}$  near the falls of Rogue River (= in front [-de] are  $[-\bar{\imath}]$  falls  $[d\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}]$ )

#### § 58. IMPERSONAL -iau-

Verging toward the purely formal (pronominal) elements of the verb is the suffix -iau-. Forms in -iau- are intransitive, and may be formed from all intransitives and all transitives with incorporated pronominal object, the function of the suffix being to give an indefinite, generalized collective, or impersonal, signification (cf. German MAN, French on) to the always third personal pronominal (Class I intransitive) subject. Examples are:

yāania'us people go 58.14; 152.5 was-ī-t!emēxiaus people assemble 144.23 esbia'us people are 192.7 (cf. esbi'k' we are 180.13) ts!āū yōuya'uk' there was (inferential) deep water (cf. 188.14) sāansa'nsiniaus fighting is going on 23.14 dōmxbiyaust people will kill you (intransitive; but transitive with definite third personal subject dōmxbink' they will kill you) (33.10)

future yanaya'uet' future wae-ī-dēmxiauet'

future sana'xiniauet'

In particular, states of the weather or season, necessarily involving indefiniteness of subject, are referred to by forms provided with the indefinite suffix -iau-. Examples are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This example is due to Mr. H. St. Clair 2d, from whose Manuscript Notes on Takelma it was taken. It is there written Di'tanī'.

# HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

lop!odia'ue it is raining, hailing, or snowing 90.1; 152.11 (but definitely nox lop!o't' it rains 90.1; (198.9); ts:!elam lop!o't' it hails; p!ā'as lop!o't' it snows 90.2; 196.7)

lep'niya'uk' it has gotten to be winter

samgia'uɛt' it will be summer (92.9)

BOASI

samgiaugulugwa'n it is about to be summer (literally, it is summer-intended, see § 68) (cf. 48.13)

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}gia'^{u\varepsilon}$  it is hot (i. e., it is hot weather; but  $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}k'$  it, some object, is hot [25.10]; 94.15)

 $we'egia-uda^{\epsilon}$  when it is daybreak 73.6; 126.13

# 4. Temporal-Modal and Pronominal Elements (§§ 59-67) § 59. INTRODUCTORY

Every Takelma verb except, so far as known, the defective copula ett'e I AM, has forms of six tense-modes—aorist, future, potential, inferential, present imperative, and future imperative. Of these, all but the agrist, which is built up on a derived agrist stem, are formed from the verb-stem. A special tense or mode sign, apart from the peculiar stem of the aorist, is found in none of the tense-modes except the inferential, which, in all the voices, is throughout characterized by a -k'-(-g-) following the objective, but preceding the subjective, pronominal elements. Each of the tense-modes except the potential, which uses the personal endings of the aorist, is, however, characterized by its own set of pronominal endings. It is for this very reason that it has seemed best to use the term tense-modes for the various modes and tenses, instead of attempting a necessarily artificial classification into tenses (aorist and future) and modes (indicative, potential, imperative, and inferential), the method of distinguishing the latter being fundamentally the same as that employed to form the former, i. e., the use of special pronominal schemes.

The purely temporal idea is only slightly developed in the verb. The aorist does duty for the preterite (including the narrative past), the present, and the immediate future, as in NOW I SHALL GO; while the future is employed to refer to future time distinctly set off from the present, as in I SHALL GO THIS EVENING, TO-MORROW. A similar distinction between the immediate and more remote future is made in the imperative. The present imperative expresses a command which, it is intended, is to pass into more or less immediate fulfillment, as in GO AWAY! while the command expressed by the future

imperative is not to be carried out until some stated or implied point of time definitely removed from the immediate present, as in COME TO-MORROW!, GIVE HER TO EAT (when she recovers). The uses of the potential and inferential will be best illustrated by examples given after the forms themselves have been tabulated. In a general way the potential implies the ability to do a thing, or the possibility of the occurrence of a certain action or condition (I CAN, COULD GO if I care, cared to), and thus is appropriately used in the apodosis of an unfulfilled or contrary-to-fact condition; it is also regularly employed in the expression of the negative imperative (prohibitive). peculiar form of the potential (verb-stem with a orist pronoun endings) seems in a measure to reflect its modal signification, the identity of its stem with that of the future indicating apparently the lack of fulfillment of the action, while the acristic pronominal elements may be interpreted as expressing the certainty of such fulfillment under the expressed or implied circumstances by the person referred to.

The inferential implies that the action expressed by the verb is not directly known or stated on the authority of the speaker, but is only inferred from the circumstances of the case or rests on the authority of one other than the speaker. Thus, if I say the bear killed the man, and wish to state the event as a mere matter of fact, the truth of which is directly known from my own or another's experience, the aorist form would normally be employed:

mena' (bear) yap!a (man) t!omõk'wa (it killed him)

If I wish, however, to imply that it is not definitely known from unmistakable evidence that the event really took place, or that it is inferred from certain facts (such as the finding of the man's corpse or the presence of a bear's footprints in the neighborhood of the house), or that the statement is not made on my own authority, the inferential would be employed:

mena' yap!a domk'wak' it seems that the bear killed the man; the bear must have, evidently has, killed the man

Inasmuch as mythical narration is necessarily told on hearsay, one would expect the regular use of the inferential in the myths; yet, in the great majority of cases, the aorist was employed, either because the constant use of the relatively uncommon inferential forms would have been felt as intrusive and laborious, or because the events related in the myths are to be looked upon as objectively certain.

BOAS]

The inferential is also regularly employed in expressing the negative future.

Not only do the pronominal elements vary for the different tensemodes, but they change also for the two main classes of intransitive verbs and for the transitive (subject and object), except that in the present imperative and inferential no such class-differences are discernible, though even in these the characteristic -p'- of Class II intransitives brings about a striking formal, if not strictly personal, difference. We thus have the following eleven pronominal schemes to deal with:

Aorist subject intransitive I.

Aorist subject intransitive II.

Aorist subject transitive.

Future subject intransitive I.

Future subject intransitive II.

Future subject transitive.

Inferential subject.

Present imperative subject.

Future imperative subject intransitive I and transitive.

Future imperative subject intransitive II.

Object transitive (and subject passive).

The transitive objects are alike for all tense-modes, except that the combination of the first person singular object and second person singular or plural subject (i. e., THOU or YE ME) always agrees with the corresponding subject form of intransitive II. Not all the personal forms in these schemes stand alone, there being a number of intercrossings between the schemes of the three classes of verbs. total number of personal endings is furthermore greatly lessened by the absence of a dual and the lack of a distinct plural form for the The third person subject is positively characterized third person. by a distinct personal ending only in the aorist subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive II, and the future subject transitive; as object, it is never characterized at all, except in so far as the third person object, when referring to human beings, is optionally indicated by a special suffix -k'wa-(-gwa-). In all other cases the third person is negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending. The second singular subject of the present imperative is similarly negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending, though the -p' of the present imperative intransitive II superficially contradicts this statement (see § 61).

#### BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

The pronominal schemes, with illustrative paradigms, will now be taken up according to the verb-classes.

# § 60. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS I

This class embraces most of the intransitives of the language, particularly those of active significance (e.g., come, go, run, dance, play, sing, die, shout, jump, yet also such as be, sleep), verbs in -xa-, indefinites in -iau-, and reciprocals. The tense-modes of such verbs have the following characteristic subjective personal endings:

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present Imperative	Future imperative
Singular: First person	-t'ee, -dee	-t'ee, -dee	-k'-a*1		
Second person	-(a`)t' -€	$-(a)da'^{\epsilon}$ $-(a')^{\epsilon}t'$	-k' *eit' -'k'		-(a')ek*
Plural:					
First person	-1'k'	-(i)ga'm	-k'-ana'k'	-(a)ba'4	
Second person .	-(a')t'p'	-(a')t'bae	-k' eeit'p	-(a)ba's {-(a`)np' -`p'	?

1It is possible that this suffix is really  $-k'a^en$ : -n after a catch is practically without sonority, and very easily missed by the ear. The first person singular and plural inferential endings are then both transitives in form (cf.  $-a^en$  and -ana'k' as first person singular and plural subject of transitives); the third person is without ending in both. The ending  $-k'-a^en$  is made particularly likely by the subordinate in  $-k'-a'n-da^e$  (see § 70).

The imperative is necessarily lacking in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural in  $-(a)ba'^{\epsilon}$  of the present imperative is used as a hortatory: yanaba' ELET US GO! 158.11; (cf. 168.11). This  $-(a)ba'^{\varepsilon}$  is not infrequently followed by emphasizing particles: -ni' (e. g.,  $yub\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ni$ ) LET US BE! [cf. 158.8]); -hi (e. g.,  $ye^{\varepsilon}ba'^{\varepsilon}hi$  LET US RE-TURN! 63.1; see § 114, 2), or -ha'n (e.g.,  $ya'naba^{\epsilon}ha$ 'n LET US GO 64.1), the last of these being clearly identical with the nominal plural element -han (see § 99); -nihan is also found ( $ya'nab\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}niha'n$  LET US ALL GO, PRAY! [cf. 150.24; 152.6]). No true future hortatory and second person plural imperative seem to exist; for the latter, the ordinary indicative form in -t'b $a^{\varepsilon}$  ( $-daba^{\varepsilon}$  in the other classes) was always given. The connective -a- is used with most of the consonantal endings, as indicated in the table, when the preceding part of the word ends in a consonant, otherwise the ending is directly attached; in the reciprocal -t'p', - $^{\epsilon}t'$ , and -t'ba $^{\epsilon}$  are directly added to the suffix -an-. Before the only vocalic ending, -i'k', a glide -y- is introduced if the preceding sound is a vowel (e. g., al-yowoyi'k' WE LOOK). In the first person plural of the future -iga'm (-aorist -ig-+-a'm; cf. -da'm in possessive

BOAS]

pronouns, §§ 91-3) is used after consonants, -ga'm after vowels. The first form of the second person plural imperative (-a'np') is used to follow most consonants (-'np') to follow a "constant" -a- of the stem), -'p' being found only after vowels and probably m and n (e. g., yu'p' be YE!; yana'p' GO YE!).

In regard to the etymology of the endings, it is clear that the second person plural agrist is derived from the corresponding singular form by the addition of a characteristic -p' (cf. the imperative), that the second persons of the future are differentiated from the agrist forms by an added  $-a^{\epsilon}$ , and that the first person singular future is identical with the corresponding form in the agrist, except for the lack of a catch. The second persons of the inferential are periphrastic forms, consisting of the third personal form in -k' (modesign, not personal ending) plus  $e\bar{\imath}t$ ' thou art,  $e\bar{\imath}t$ ' p' ye are.

As paradigmatic examples are chosen a stem ending in a vowel (aorist yowo- be), one ending in a consonant (aorist baxam- come), a reciprocal (aorist  $s\bar{a}^ansan$ -san- fight with one another), and an indefinite in -iau- (aorist  $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}$ -g-iau- be hot).

#### AORIST

Singular: First person Second person Third person Plural: First person Second person	yo:	wo't'e <sup>e</sup> I run wo't' woyi'k' wo't'p'	baxami'k' baxama't'p'		sāansa'nsaen they fight sāansa'nsinik' sāansa'nsant'p'		t'ūwūgia′u <sup>€</sup> it is hot	
			1	FUTUR	E			
Singular: First person Second person Third person Plural: First person Second person		yu't'e° yuda' <sup>ε</sup> yu'et' yuga'm yu't'ba <sup>ε</sup>	baxma't'es baxmada's baxma'st' baxmaga'm baxma't'bas			sana'xanet' sana'xinigam sana'xant'bae	t' üugia'uet'	
				POTENTI	ΑL			
Third person Plural: First person		yu't'es yu't' yuwi'k'		baxma't'es baxma't' baxma's baxmi'k' baxma't'p'		sana'zatn sana'zinik' sana'zant'p'	t'Augia'u	

#### INFERENTIAL

Singular: First person Second person Third person		yu'k'a* yu'k!eīt' ' yu'k'	barma'k'a' barma'k'eIt' barma'k'	sana'zank'	t'ūugiau`k'
Plural: First person Second person	· ·	yu'k'ana'k' yu'kleIt'p'	bazma'k'ana'k' bazma'kleit'p'	sana'zank'ana'k' sana'zankleīt'p'	

 $1 - k' + \ell = k!$  See § 12.

#### PRESENT IMPERATIVE

	1	1	1
Singular:		İ	
Second person	. yu`	barma'	
Plural:			
First person	. yuba't	barmaba'e	sana'xinibae t
Second person	.   yu'p'	baxma'np'	(?) sana' ranan p'

<sup>1</sup> The -i- of -iba\* evidently corresponds to the -i- in the first person plural aorist -ik\*, future -igam, but appears, so far as known, only in the reciprocal, and, of course, in such cases as require connective -i- instead of -a- (see below, § 64): ha\*w-ī-k:emniba\* LET US SWEAT, with -i- because of instrumental i-.

#### FUTURE IMPERATIVE

Singular:			
Second person	yu'ek'	barma'ek'	

A few intransitives of this class add the consonantal pronominal endings directly to the final semi-vowel (-y-) of the stem, instead of employing the connective vowel -a-. Such are:

eît' 1 thou art 108.2, eît'p' ye are 14.10 (contrast yeweya't' thou returnest [58.13], but yeweît'e<sup>e</sup> I return [188.4] like eît'e<sup>e</sup> I am 198.2)

nagaīt' thou sayest 56.5, nagaīt' p' ye say 170.4 (contrast t'agaya't' thou criest, but t'agaīt' e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I cry [180.5] like nagaīt' e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I say 180.1)

To this somewhat irregular group of verbs belongs probably also  $l\bar{o}^{u}$ -PLAY, though, not ending in a semi-vowel in either the verb or a rist stem, it shows no forms directly comparable to those just given; its third person a rist, however, shows a rising accent before the catch:  $l\bar{o}^{u}l^{\varepsilon} {}^{2}$  70.4 (not  ${}^{*}l\bar{o}'{}^{u}l^{\varepsilon}$ ), a phenomenon that seems connected (see below, § 65) with the lack of a connecting vowel before the personal endings.

A few stray verbs, otherwise following the normal scheme of intransitive Class I endings, seem to lack a catch in the third person agrist:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verb is defective, having only the three forms given above, the first person plural  $e \cdot bi$ 'k' 180.13, and the (cf. class II) Indefinite  $e \cdot bia'$  192.7, the latter two with loss of i and intrusive -b. The third person and the non-aorist forms are supplied by yo- BE.

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>simeq l^t$  appears also in certain usitatives:  $hiwiRl^t$  He used to run,  $sgelel^t$  He kept shouting, in which the rising accent is probably radical (see § 43, 4); these forms, furthermore, have lost a w, § 18 (cf.  $hiwili@t'e^t$  I run,  $sgele@t'e^t$  I shout).

lop!o't' it rains 90.1, 2 (yet lop!oda't' you are raining 198.9;
lop'da'ε't' it will rain; lop'da'x to rain, § 74, 1)
hãx it burns 98.1 (yet haxa'ε't' it will burn)

Several intransitive Class I usitatives seem to lack the catch of the third person agrist also:

ginīnk' he always went to 46.11 (from gini'ɛk' he went to) witc!īsma he keeps moving (from witc!i'ɛm he moves 148.12) yewèo'k' he is wont to return 47.4; 116.2 (yet yewèoga't' you are wont to return)

No explanation can be given of this irregularity.

The inferential endings, as has been already remarked, are identical for all classes of verbs, so that the following applies to Class II intransitives and to transitives as well as to Class I intransitives. The mode-sign -k is added directly to the final vowel or consonant of the verb-stem (or stem with its added derivative and pronominal object suffixes) without connecting a. All combinations of consonants are here allowed that are at all possible as syllabically final clusters (see § 16); indeed some of the final consonant clusters, as -sk, -p'k, -np'k, -lp'k, hardly occur, if at all, outside the inferential. If the resulting consonant combination would be phonetically impossible an inorganic a is introduced between the two consonants that precede the inferential -k; secondary diphthongs with raised accent may thus arise:

k!ema'nk' he made it (verb-stem k!emn-)
bila'uk' he jumped 160.17 (verb-stem bilw-)

Double diphthongs are often allowed to stand unaltered before -k' (e.g., oink'he gave them; also imperative oin give them!); sometimes doublets, with double diphthong or with inorganic a, are found (e. g., ts!aimk' or ts!aya'mk' he hid it; also passive participle ts!aimhak'w hidden, but ts!aya'm hide it! ts!eya'mxi hide me! ts!aya'mxamk' he hid us [158.7]). With a final -g- or -gw- the inferential -k' unites to form -k' or -k'w, but with lengthening of the preceding vowel; -k!-+k' becomes -k'. Examples are:

 $he^{\epsilon}n\tilde{a}k'w$  (=-a'gw-k') he consumed them (cf. 48.10); but  $he^{\epsilon}na'k'w$  consume them!

wa-yanāk'w (=yana'-gw-k') he ran after them 98.10; but wa-yana'k'w run after them!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This form can not possibly have been misheard for \*lop!o' $^{\ell}$ t', the form to be expected, as the subordinate is  $lop!\delta t'a^{\ell}$ , not \*lop! $\delta t'ada^{\ell}$ , which would be required by a \*lop!o' $^{\ell}$ t' (see § 70).

180

 $y\tilde{o}^{u}k^{'w}$  (= yogw-k') she married him 192.16  $he^{\epsilon}$ - $\tilde{i}$ - $le'm^{\epsilon}k'$  (= lemk!-k') he destroyed them (146.20); 154.11; also imperative (= \*lemk!)

### § 61. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS II

Most verbs of Class II intransitives, unlike those that are most typical of Class I, are derived from transitives, the majority of examples falling under the heads of non-agentives in -x, reflexives in -ywi, positionals in  $-\overline{v}i$ , and verbs with intransitivizing -p'- either in all their tense-modes or in all but the agrist (see § 42, 1). Besides these main groups there are a straggling number of not easily classified verbs that also show the peculiarities of the class; such are:

sene'sant'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I whoop (110.20; 180.15) wīt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I go about (90.1; 92.29; 122.23) ligīnt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I rest (48.11; 79.2, 4; 102.1) hüüli'nt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I am tired (48.4, 11; 102.1, 8; 120.11)

In a rough way the main characteristic of Class II intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, is that they denote conditions and processes, while Class I intransitives are in great part verbs of action. Following is the scheme of subjective pronominal endings characteristic of Class II:

	Aorist	Aorist Future		Present imperative	Future im- perative
Singular:					
First person .	-t'e€, -de€	t'e€, -de€	(-p')-ga€		1
Second person .	-t'am, -dam	-t'a∈, -da∈	(-p')-k' <sup>e</sup> eit'	(-p')	(-p')-ga€m
Third person .	{ <del></del> }	-t'āa, -dāa	(-p')-k'		
Plural:	` '				Ì
First person .	(-p')-ik'	(-p')-igam	(-p')-g-ana'k'	(-p*)-aba€	
Second person.	-t'ap', -dap'	-t'abae, -dabae	(-p')-k' *eīt' p'	(-p')-anp'	

In comparing these endings with those of Class I intransitives, it is seen that the characteristic peculiarities of Class II intransitives are: the -am of the second person singular agrist and future imperative (-t'am[=-t'+-am], -ga^em[?=-ek'+-am]); the -a- between the -t'- and the -p'- (-b-) in the second person plural agrist and future; the lack of a catch in the third person agrist; the ending -t' $\bar{a}^a$  of the third person future; and the presence of a -p'- (-b-) in the first person plural agrist and future and in the inferential, present imperative, and future imperative forms. The last feature is, however, absent in the non-agentive -x- verbs and in the future of reflexives. The labial in

the first person plural of the aorist and future is evidently connected with the -b- of  $e^{\epsilon}bi'k'$  we are (see § 60, fourth footnote); the parallelism is made complete by the fact that impersonal forms in -iau-derived from Class II intransitives (except non-agentives) show a -p'-before the suffix, analogously to  $e^{\epsilon}bia'^{u\epsilon}$ :

 $sene'sanp'ia^{u\varepsilon}$  there is whooping,  $se'nsanp'ia^{u\varepsilon}t'$  there will be whooping

In the third person of the aorist, positionals in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, non-agentives, and verbs in -p'- and other consonants (except n and probably l, m) lack a positive ending, while reflexives and most of the miscellaneous verbs (ending in a vowel or n, l, and m) show a final -t'. There is every reason to believe that the absence of a -t' in the former group of forms is due to phonetic conditions that brought about its loss (see § 18).

As examples of verbs of this class will serve a non-agentive (aorist ha-u- $hana^es$ - stop), a reflexive (aorist  $\bar{\imath}$ -lets!ek'wi- touch one's self), a positional (aorist s-as- $in\bar{\imath}^i$ - stand), and one of the miscellaneous verbs ( $w\bar{\imath}^i$ - go about).

#### AORIST.

Singular:					
First person .	hana'esdee I stop	lets!ēk'wide I touch myself	s-as-init'e-1 stand	wît'e∈ I go abou	
Second person	hana'esdam	lets!ēk'widam	s·as·inīt'am	wit'am	
Third person	hana'es	lets!ēk'wit'	s·as·inī	wīt'	
Plural:					
First person .	hana'esik'	lets!ēk'wibik'	s-as-inīp'ik'	wīp'ik'	
Second person	hana'esdap'	lets/ēk'widap'	s-as-inīt'ap'	wit'ap'	
		FUTURE	<u>'</u>	,	
Singular:					
First person .	ha'nesdee	lesgi'k'wide•	s·a's·ant'e•	wit'e.	
Second person	Second person ha'nesdae less		s·a's·ant'ae	wit'ae	
Third person .	ha'n€sdã.	lesgi'k'widā.	s·a's·ant'ā.	wīt'ā=	
Plural:					
First person .	ha'n€sigam	lesgi'k'wigam	s·a's·anp'igam	wip'igam	
Second person	ha'n'sdabas	lesgi'k'widabae	s·a's·ant'abae	wit'abae	
		POTENTIAL.			
Singular:					
First person .	ha'n∉sde€	lesgi'k'wide:	s·a's·ant'e	wit'es	
Second person	ha'nesdam	lesgi'k widam	s-a's-ant'am	wit'am	
Third person .	ha'nes	lesgi'k'wit'	s.a's.ant' (?)	wīt'	
Piural:					
First person .	ha'nesik'	lesgi'k'wibik'	s·a's·anp'ik'	wîp'ik'	
Second person	ha'nesdap'	lesgi'k'widap'	s-a's-ant'ap'	wit'ap'	

#### INFERENTIAL

Singular:	ha'n@sga@	lesgi'k'wip'gas	s-a's-anp'gas	sein'ess
First person . Second person	ha'neskleit'	lesgi'k'wip'k!eit'	sa's anp'kleit'	wip'gas wip'kleit'
Third person .	ha'nesk'	lesgi'k'wip'k'	sasanpkien	wip'k'
Plura!:	na n-sk	teogr k wip k	3 a 3 anp k	wip K
First person .	ha'nesgana`k'	lesgi'k'wip'gana'k'	s-a's-anp'gana'k'	wip'gana'k'
Second person	ha'neskleît'p'	lesgi'k'wip'kleit'p'	s-a's-anp'kleit'p'	wip'kleit'p'
Second person	ha'n∈s	lesgi'k'wīip'	s·a's·anp'	wip'
Singular:				
Plura!:				
First person .	ha'nesabae	lesgi'k'wiip'abae	s-a's-anp'abae	wip'aba₅
Second person	ha'nesanp'	lesgi'k'wiip'anp'	s·a's·anp'anp'	wip'anp'
	1	FUTURE IMPERATI	VE	<u></u>
		1	1	1
Singular: Second person	ha'n€sga€m	lesgi'k'wî ip'gasm	s·a's·anp'gaeni	wip'gaem

Those verbs of this class that are characterized, either throughout their forms or in all non-aorist forms, by a suffixed p' have this element coalesce with the -p' of the first person plural, inferential, and imperative, but with lengthening of an immediately preceding vowel. In the imperative this lengthened vowel seems to take on a falling accent:

 $p!al\bar{a}'^ap'$  tell a myth! (cf.  $p!ala'p'de^e$  I shall tell a myth, with inorganic second a)

sanā'ap' fight! (cf. sana'p'dee I shall fight, with radical second a)

The verb wog- arrive is peculiar in that the acrist is formed after the manner of Class II verbs ( $w\bar{o}k'$  He arrives 47.15;  $w\bar{o}k'dam$  you arrive), while the non-acrist forms belong to Class I (e. g.,  $woga'^et'$  He will arrive). It is further noteworthy that many, perhaps most, Class II intransitives form their usitative and frequentative forms according to Class I. Examples, showing the third person acrist catch, are:

s·ū'·alha· they always dwell 112.2 (from s·u·wilī 21.1; but first person plural s·ū'·alhibik'); contrast Class II s·as·a'nhap' he keeps standing (from s·as·inī 34.1)

 $wogowa'^{\epsilon}k'$  they keep arriving 112.2 (from  $w\tilde{o}k'$ )

 $s \cdot o' w \bar{o}^{u} s \cdot a^{u\varepsilon}$  they keep jumping (112.5,10) (from  $s \cdot ow \bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon} k' a p'$  48.15)

Several non-agentives in -x- drop the -x- and become Class I intransitives in the frequentative:

p!a-i-t'gwil $\bar{t}'it$ 'gwal $^{\epsilon}$  (water) keeps dripping down (ef. p!a-i-t'gwil $\bar{t}'^{i\epsilon}x$  it drips down 58.1)

 $\begin{cases} x\bar{a}^a - sgot!o'sga^\epsilon t' \text{ it breaks to pieces } 62.1 \text{ (cf. } x\bar{a}^a - sg\bar{o}'^u s = -sg\bar{o}^u d - x \text{ it breaks } [61.13]) \end{cases}$ 

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}t$ ' $sgada^{\varepsilon}t$ ' it will break to pieces (cf.  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}sda$  it will break [148.8])

### TRANSITIVES, CLASS III (§§ 62-66)

### § 62. General Remarks

The subject pronominal elements of the transitive verb combine with the objective elements to form rather closely welded compound endings, yet hardly ever so that the two can not separately be recognized as such; the order of composition is in every case pronominal object + subject. It is only in the combinations thou or YE —— ME that such composition does not take place; in these the first person singular object is, properly speaking, not expressed at all, except in so far as the stem undergoes palatalization if possible (see § 31, 1), while the second person subject assumes the form in which it is found in Class II of intransitive verbs. The pronominal objects are decidedly a more integral part of the verb-form than the subjects, for not only do they precede these, but in passives, periphrastic futures, nouns of agency, and infinitives they are found unaccompanied by them. For example:

dõmxbina<sup>e</sup> you will be killed (178.15) dõmxbigulu'k' he will kill you dõmxbi<sup>e</sup>s one who kills you dõmxbiya to kill you

are analogous, as far as the incorporated pronominal object (-bi-) is concerned, to:

 $d\tilde{o}mxbink$ ' he will kill you;  $t!om\tilde{o}xbi^{\epsilon}n$ I kill you

The pronominal objects are found in all the tense-modes, as far as the meaning of these permits, and are entirely distinct from all the subjective elements, except that the ending of the second person plural coincides with one form of the second person singular present imperative of the intransitive, -anp'. These elements are:

Singular: First person, -xi (with third subjective); second person, -bi; third person, -—; third person (human), -k'wa. Plural: First person, -am; second person, -anp' (-anb-).

It does not seem that -k'wa-, which is optionally used as the third personal object when reference is distinctly had to a human being (or to a mythical animal conceived of as a human being), can be combined with other than a third personal subject (at least no other examples have been found); nor can it be used as an indirect object if the verb already contains among its prefixes an incorporated indirect object. These restrictions on the use of -k'wa- enable us effectually to distinguish it from the indirect reflexive -k'wa- which has already been discussed, this element normally requiring an incorporated object prefixed to the verb. Examples of the objective -k'wa- are:

t!omõk'wa¹ it killed him 15.16; 28.11

he<sup>ee</sup>-īūk'wa he went away from him

hãxank'wa he burnt him 27.16

sāansa'nk'wa he fought with him 28.10

nagaīk'wa he said to him 152.3 (with very puzzling intransitive

-i-; contrast naga' he said to him)

wēt' gigwa she took (it) away from him (49.6)

lãk'wak' (inferential) he gave him to eat

In several respects this -k'wa differs fundamentally from the other object suffixes. It allows no connective -x- to stand before it (see § 64); the indirective -d- of -a'ld- (see § 48) drops out before it:

gayawa'lk'wa he ate him; cf. gayawa'lsbi he ate you (26.8) and, differing in this respect from the suffixless third person object, it allows no instrumental i to stand before it (see § 64):

ī-t!ana'hagwa he held him (25.10); cf. ī-t!ana'hi he held it 27.4
dak'-da-hālk'wa he answered him 180.18; cf. dak'-da-hāa'li'\*n I answered him (146.14)

It is thus evident that forms with suffixed -k'wa approximate intransitives in form (cf. nagaik'wa above). With a stem-final g, gw the suffix unites to form -k'wa, the preceding vowel being lengthened and receiving a rising accent; with a stem-final k! it unites to form -k'wa, the preceding vowel being lengthened with falling accent. Examples are:

t!ayāk'wa he found him 71.14; cf. t!aya'k' he found it 43.4; 134.17 malāk'wa he told him 22.8; (72.14); cf. malagana'nhi he told it to him (see § 50) 30.15

<sup>1</sup> The final consonant of the acristic stem of Type 8 verbs is regularly lost before -k'wa.

BOAS]

 $da-k!os\cdot\tilde{o}^uk'wa$  they bit him 74.5 (aorist stem  $-k!os\cdot og$ -)

 $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}leme'^{\epsilon \epsilon}k'wa$  he destroyed them (50.2); cf.  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}leme'k!i^{\epsilon}n$  I destroyed them (110.2)

 $m\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'^{\dot{u}\varepsilon}k'wa$  he swallowed him 72.16; cf.  $m\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'k!a^{\varepsilon}n$  I swallowed him (73.1)

Verbs that have a suffixed comitative -(a)gwa- show, in combination with the objective -k'wa-, a probably dissimilated suffix -gik'wa (-gigwa), the connecting a preceding this compound suffix being of course umlauted to i:

xebeyigi'k'wa he hurt him (cf. xebeyagwa'<sup>e</sup>n I hurt him [136.23]) ūyū'<sup>ie</sup>sgigwa he laughed at him 27.5 (cf. ūyū'<sup>e</sup>sgwa<sup>e</sup>n I laugh at him [71.7])

It is rather interesting to observe how the objective -k'wa-may serve to remove some of the ambiguities that are ap' to arise in Takelma in the use of the third person. HE GAVE IT TO HIM is expressed in the inferential by the forms o'k'ik' and o'k'igwak', the latter of which necessarily refers to a human indirect object. If a noun or independent pronoun be put before these apparently synonymous forms, sentences are framed of quite divergent signification. In the first sentence (noun + o'k'ik') the prefixed noun would naturally be taken as the object (direct or indirect) of the verb (e.g., ne'k'di o'k'ik, HE WHO-GAVE IT? [ = TO WHOM DID HE GIVE IT?]); in the second (noun + o'k'igwak'), as subject, a doubly expressed object being inadmissible (e. g., ne'k'di o'k'igwak' who gave it to him?). To whom DID HE BRING IT? with incorporated object ne'k'di reads ne'k'di  $me^{\epsilon}$ - $w\tilde{a}k$  literally, he-who-hither-brought-it? Who brought it TO HIM? with subject ne'k'di reads (as inferential form) ne'k'di wagawo'k'wak' (-o- unexplained). HE FOUND THE ANTS is expressed by t!ibis īi t!aya'k', but the ants found him by t!ibis īi t!ayāk'wa. The usage illustrated may be stated thus: whenever the third personal object refers to a human being and the subject is expressed as a noun, suffixed -k'wa must be used to indicate the object; if it is not used, the expressed noun will most naturally be construed as the object of the verb. An effective means is thus present in Takelma for the distinction of a personal subject and object.

#### BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

## § 63. Transitive Subject Pronouns

The various tense-modal schemes of subject pronouns in the transitive verb are as follows:

_	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:					
First person	-(a') <sup>ε</sup> n	-(a')n	-k'-ae	Ì	
	(-(a')t'	$-(a)da^{\varepsilon}$			(-(a')€k'
Second person	-dam (1st sing.	-dae (1st sing. obj.)	}-k' 'eit'		-gaem (1st sing
Third person	obj.)	-(a`)nk'	-k'		( obj.)
Plural:			1		
First person	-(a)nak'	-(a)naga'm	k'-anak'	-(a) ba'e	
	(-(a')t'p'	$-(a')t'ba^{\epsilon}$	1	∫- <b>p</b> `	
First person Second person	-dap' (1st sing.	-dabae (1st sing.	}-k' feit'p'	$\{-(a)np'$	

Setting aside the peculiar second personal subject first personal singular object terminations, it will be observed that the subjective forms of the transitive are identical with those of the intransitive (Class I) except in the first person singular and plural aorist and future, and in the third person aorist and future. The loss in the future of the catch of the first person singular aorist  $(-t^*e^*: t^*e^* = -\epsilon n: -n)$  and the addition in the future of -am to the first person plural aorist  $(-ik^*: -igam = -nak^*: -nagam)$  are quite parallel phenomena. It will be observed also that the first person plural, probably also singular, aorist of the transitive, is in form identical, except for the mode-sign  $-k^*$ -, with the corresponding form of the inferential, so that one is justified in suspecting this tense-mode to consist, morphologically speaking, of transitive forms with third personal object (see § 60, first footnote).

The forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - (aorist t!omom-) KILL will show the method of combining subjective and objective pronominal elements.

		A	ORIST							
	Objective									
Subjective	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural					
Singular:										
1st per.		t!omōxbi€n	t!omoma'€n		t!omōranbaen					
2d per.	t!ümüxdam		t!omoma't'	t!omoximit'						
3d per.	t/üműxi	t!omōrbi	t!omom	t!omōxam	t!omōranp' 1					
Plural:										
Jst per.		t!omorbinak'	t!omomana'k'		t!omoranbana'k'					
2d per.	t/umüzdap'		t!omoma't'p'	t!omozimit'p'						

1 Not to be confused with thomozant'p' YE ARE KILLING EACH OTHER!

### HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

#### FUTURE

		·	OTORE			
			Objective			
Subjective	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural	
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per. Plural: 1st per.	dümzda <sup>c</sup> dümxink'	dömzbin dömzbink' dömzbinagam	dōuma'n dōumada'e dōuma'nk' dōumanaga'ın	dõmzimida <sup>c</sup> dõmzamank'	dömzanban dömzanbank' dömzambanagan	
2d per.	dűmzdaba€		dōuma't'bat	dõmrimit'bar 1		
		PRESENT	IMPERATIVE	·		
Singular: 2d per. Plural: 1st per. 2d per.	dümxi dümxip*		dõum  dõumaba'  dõump' (al-zī'- k'anp' see him!)	dõmzam dõmzamp'1		
	<u> </u>	FUTURE	IMPERATIVE			
Singular:		I			1	
2d per.	dũm1ga€m		dōuma'€k'	? 1		

<sup>1</sup> These forms were not actually obtained, but can hardly be considered as doubtful.

It is not necessary to give the transitive potential and inferential forms, as the former can be easily constructed by substituting in the future forms the agrist endings for those of the future:

 $d\bar{u}mxi$  he would kill me  $d\bar{o}^u ma'^{\epsilon}n$  I should, could kill him  $d\bar{o}^u m$  he would, could kill him

The inferential forms can be built up from the corresponding future forms by substituting for the subject endings of the latter those given in the table for the inferential mode:

dũmxik' he killed me dõmxamk!eīt' you killed us dõmk'a<sup>c</sup> I killed him dõmxanp'gana'k' we killed you

The only point to which attention need be called in the agrist and future forms is the use of a connecting vowel -i- instead of -a- when the first personal plural object (-am-) is combined with a second singular or plural subject (-it', -it'p', -ida<sup>e</sup>, -it'ba<sup>e</sup>); this -i- naturally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Probably expressed by simple future domzimidae.

[BULL. 40

carries the umlaut of -am- to -im- with it, but -am- reappears when -i- drops out, cf. inferential  $d\tilde{o}mxamk!e\tilde{\imath}t'$ . With the -i- of these forms compare the -i- of the first person plural intransitives -ik', -iga'm,  $-iba^e$  (§ 60 and § 60, second footnote).

# § 64. Connecting -x- and -i-

It will have been observed that in all forms but those provided with a third personal object the endings are not directly added to the stem, but are joined to it by a connecting consonant -x- (amalgamating with preceding -t- to -s--). This element we have seen to be identical with the -x- (-s-) of reciprocal forms; and there is a possibility of its being related to the -xa- of active intransitive verbs, hardly, however, to the non-agentive -x-. Though it appears as a purely formal, apparently meaningless element, its original function must have been to indicate the objective relation in which the immediately following pronominal suffix stands to the verb. From this point of view it is absent in a third personal object form simply because there is no expressed pronominal element for it to objectivize, as it were. The final agristic consonant of Type 8 verbs regularly disappears before the connecting -x-, so that its retention becomes a probably secondary mark of a third personal pronominal object. The fact that the third personal objective element -k'wa- (-gwa-) does not tolerate a preceding connective -x- puts it in a class by itself, affiliating it to some extent with the derivational suffixes of the verb.

There are, comparatively speaking, few transitive stems ending in a vowel, so that it does not often happen that the subjective personal endings, the third personal object being unexpressed, are directly attached to the verb or agrist stem, as in:

naga'εn I say to him 72.9, cf. naga' he said to him 92.24
 sebe'n I shall roast it (44.6); future imperative odo'εk' hunt for him! (116.7)

Ordinarily forms involving the third personal object require a connecting vowel between the stem and the pronominal suffix. Not all verbs, however, show the purely non-significant -a- of, e. g., t!omoma'en, but have a to a large extent probably functional -i-. This -i- occurs first of all in all third personal object forms of verbs that have an instrumental prefix:

ts!ayaga'<sup>ε</sup>n I shoot him (192.10), but wa-ts!ayagi'<sup>ε</sup>n I shoot (him) with it

ī-lats!agi't' you touched it

BOAS]

The greater number of cases will probably be found to come under this head, so that the -i- may be conveniently termed INSTRUMENTAL -i-. Not all forms with -i-, by any means, can be explained, however, as instrumental in force. A great many verbs, many of them characterized by the directive prefix al- (see § 36, 15), require an -i-as their regular connecting vowel:

lagagi'<sup>ε</sup>n I gave him to eat (30.12) lā<sup>a</sup>liwi'<sup>ε</sup>n I call him by name (116.17)

lō<sup>u</sup>ginini'<sup>ε</sup>n I trap them for him (and most other for-indirectives in -anan-)

Examples of -i-verbs with indirect object are:

ogoyi' $^{\epsilon}n$  I give it to him 180.11 (contrast oyona' $^{\epsilon}n$  I gave it [180.20])  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it to him (176.17) (contrast  $w\bar{a}^a ga'^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it [162.13])

A number of verbs have -a- in the aorist, but -i- in all other tense-modes:

 $y\bar{\imath}^i miya'^{\epsilon}n$  I lend it to him, but yimi'hin I shall lend it to him  $naga'^{\epsilon}n$  I said to him (second -a- part of stem) 72.9, but  $n\bar{a}^agi'n$  I shall say to him;  $n\bar{a}^agi'^{\epsilon}k'$  say to him! (future) 196.20;  $n\bar{a}k'ik'$  he said to him (inferential) 94.16; 170.9; 172.12

The general significance of -i- seems not unlike that of the prefixed directive al-, though the application of the former element is very much wider; i. e., it refers to action directed toward some person or object distinctly outside the sphere of the subject. Hence the -i- is never found used together with the indirect reflexive -k'wa-, even though this suffix is accompanied by an instrumental prefix:

 $x\bar{a}^a\hbox{-} p!\hat{\imath}^i\hbox{-} n\bar{o}'^u k'w a^\epsilon n$ I warm my own back (188.20)

In a few cases the applicability of the action of the verb can be shifted from the sphere of the subject to that of another person or thing by a mere change of the connective -a- to -i-, without the added use of prefix or suffix:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $l\bar{a}'^at!an$  I shall put it about my waist, but  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $l\bar{a}'^at!in$  I shall put it about his waist

In the form of the third personal subject with third personal object of the aorist, the imperative with third personal object, and the inferential with third personal object, the -i- generally appears as a suffixed -hi- (-'i-), incapable of causing umlaut:

malagana'nhi he told him 30.15, but  $malagini'^{\epsilon}n$  I told him (172.1)  $wa-t!om\tilde{o}mhi$  he killed him with it

ī-k!ūumanana'nhi he fixed it for him

ī-k!ūmana'nhi fix it for him!

 $\bar{\imath}$ -k! $\bar{u}$ mana'nhik' he fixed it for him (infer.), but  $\bar{\imath}$ -k! $\bar{u}$ mininini'nk' he will fix it for him

It should be noted, however, that many verbs with characteristic -i- either may or regularly do leave out the final -i:

 $alx\bar{\imath}'^ik'$  he saw him 124.6, 8 (cf.  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^ig^{i\epsilon}n$  I saw him, 188.11)¹  $\bar{\imath}$ -lats!a'k' he touched him (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ - $lats!agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I touched him)  $ba^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ - $ye^{\epsilon}wa'n$  revive him! (15.2)(cf.  $ba^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ - $yewe^{\epsilon}ni'^{\epsilon}n$  I revived him)  $(he^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ - $lele'^{\epsilon}k'$  he let him go (13.6) (cf.  $he^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}$ - $le'lek!i^{\epsilon}n$  I let him go [50.4])

|he<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-\bar{i}-le'l'^\varepsilon k' let him go! 182.15 (cf. he<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-\bar{i}-le'lk!in I shall let him go) |ba-i-di-t'ga'^\varepsilon t'g\bar{a}^a s | stick out your anus! 164.19; 166.6 (cf. ba-i-di-t'gats!a't'gisi^\varepsilon I stuck out my anus [166.8])

 $\bar{\imath}$ -k! $\bar{u}^u ma$ 'n he prepared it 190.22 (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -k! $\bar{u}^u mini'^e n$  I prepared it)

It must be confessed that it has not been found possible to find a simple rule that would enable one to tell whether an i-verb does or does not keep a final -hi (-'i). Certain verbs, even though without instrumental signification, show an -i- (or -hi-) in all forms with third personal object. Such are:

aorist ogoy- give to (ogoīhi he gave it to him 156.20) aorist we't'-g- take away from (wēt'gi he took it from him, 16.13) aorist lagag- feed (laga'k'i he gave him to eat 30.12; lāk'i give him to eat! lāk'igana'k we seem to have given him to eat)

and indirective verbs in -anan-. Irregularities of an unaccountable character occur. Thus we have:

 $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$  he left him (cf.  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}^iwi^{\epsilon}n$  I left him); but imperative  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}wi'hi$  leave him! (not \*- $\bar{\imath}wi$ ', as we might expect)

In many cases the loss or retention of the final -hi seems directly connected with syntactic considerations. A large class of verbs with instrumental prefix (generally  $\bar{\imath}$ -) drop the final -hi, presumably because the instrumentality is only indefinitely referred to (cf. § 35, 1). Examples of such have been given above. As soon, however, as the instrument is explicitly referred to, as when an instrumental noun is incorporated in or precedes the verb, the -hi is restored. Thus:

<sup>1</sup> The -i- of these verbs regularly disappears, not only here but in every form in which the normal connecting vowel -a- fails to appear in other verbs: al-xi''k' (inferential) HE SAW HIM (\*al-xik'-k' like domk' HE KILLED HIM), homonymous with al-xi''k' (imperative) SEE HIM! (=\*alxi'k'). As soon, however, as the verb becomes distinctly instrumental in force, the -i- is a constant element: al-wa-xi'k' (inferential) HE SAW II WITH IT.

BOAS]

 $la^{-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}-t'b\bar{a}'^ak'$  he burst it (cf.  $-t'b\bar{a}'^agi^{\epsilon}n$  I burst it)

(i-s'wili's wal he tore it to pieces (cf. -s'wili's wilien I tore it to pieces)

ī-s'wi'ls wal tear it to pieces!

 $\bar{\imath}$ -s· $w\bar{\imath}$ ils·wa'l he tore it (once)

ī-heme'm he wrestled with him 22.10 (cf. -hememi'en I wrestled with him

despite the prefixed -ī-; but:

la-waya-t'bā'ak'i he burst it with a knife

han-waya-s·wils·wa'lhi tear it through in pieces with a knife! (73.3)

# Similarly:

 $b\bar{a}$ - $\bar{\epsilon}i$ - $sg\bar{a}^ak$ 'sga'k' he picked him up 31.11 (cf.  $-sg\bar{a}k$ 'sgigi $\bar{\epsilon}n$  I picked him up)

but:

 $k!a'm\bar{a}^a\ dan\ b\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{a}^ak$ 'sga'k'i tongs rocks he-picked-them-up-with (=he picked up rocks with tongs) 170.17

despite the lack of an instrumental prefix in the verb. Explicit instrumentality, however, can hardly be the most fundamental function of the -hi. It seems that whenever a transitive verb that primarily takes but one object is made to take a second (generally instrumental or indirective in character) the instrumental -i- (with retained -hi) is employed. Thus:

 $ma'xla \ k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}$  he threw dust

but:

ma'xla ealk!ūwūhi dust he-threw-it-at-him (perhaps best translated as he-bethrew-him-with-dust) cf. 184.5

where the logically direct object is ma'xla, while the logically indirect, perhaps grammatically direct, object is implied by the final -hi and the prefix al. Similarly, in:

k'o'px bababa't'i wāadi'xda ashes he-clapped-them-over his-body (perhaps best rendered by: he-beclapped-his-body-with-ashes) 182.9

the logically direct object is  $k'o^{\epsilon}px$ , the logically indirect object, hisbody, seems to be implied by the -'i. This interpretation of the -hi as being dependent upon the presence of two explicit objects is confirmed by the fact that most, if not all, simple verbs that regularly retain it (such as GIVE TO, SAY TO in non-aorist forms, BRING TO, verbs in -anan-) logically demand two objects.

As soon as the verb ceases to be transitive (or passive) in form or when the third personal object is the personal -k'wa, the instrumental -i- disappears:

gel-yalā'axalt'gwit' he forgot himself 77,10 (cf. gel-yalā'axaldien I forgot him)

ogoīk'wa he gave it to him 96.18 (cf. ogoīhi he gave it to him 188.12) It is possible that in wēt'gigwa не тоок іт from нім the -gi- is a peculiar suffix not compounded of petrified -g- (see § 42, 6) and instrumental -i-; contrast ī-t!ana'hi не неld іт with ī-t!ana'hagwa не неld нім. Any ordinary transitive verb may lose its object and take a new instrumental object, whereupon the instrumental -i-becomes necessary. Examples of such instrumentalized transitives are:

 $ga'l^{\varepsilon}wa-ts!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  bow I-with-shoot-it (cf.  $ts!ayaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I shoot him)  $wa^{-\varepsilon}\bar{u}^{u}gwi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drink with it (cf.  $\bar{u}^{u}gwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drink it)

If, however, it is desired to keep the old object as well as the new instrumental object, a suffix -an- seems necessary. Thus:

 $yap!a\ wa-s\bar{a}^aginina'^{\epsilon}$  people they-will-be-shot-with-it  $x\bar{\imath}'^i\ wa-^{\epsilon}\bar{u}^ugwini'^{\epsilon}n$  water I-drink-it-with-it

It is not clear whether or not this -an- is related to either of the -an-elements of -anan- ( $\S$  50).

A final -'i is kept phonetically distinct in that it does not unite with a preceding fortis, but allows the fortis to be treated as a syllabic final, i. e., to become <sup>e</sup> + aspirated surd:

 $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $le'me^{\epsilon}k'i$  he killed them off, but- $le'mek!i^{\epsilon}n$  I killed them off

Forms without connective vowel whose stem ends in a vowel, and yet (as instrumentals or otherwise) require an -i-, simply insert this element (under proper phonetic conditions as -hi-) before the modal and personal suffixes:

wa-woo'hin I shall go to get it with it (contrast woo'n I shall go to get it)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t!ana'hi $^{\varepsilon}$ n I hold it;  $\bar{\imath}$ -t!ana'hi he holds it 27.4

di-s·al-yomo'hin I shall run behind and catch up with him; di-s·al-yomo'hi catch up with him! (contrast yomo'n I shall catch up with him)

wa-sana'hink' they will spear them with them 28.15 (verb-stem sana-)

A constant -a- used to support a preceding consonant combination is, in -i- verbs, colored to -i-:

*ī-lasgi*' touch him! (ef. masga' put it! [104.8]) § 65

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

It is remarkable that several verbs with instrumental vocalism lose the -i- and substitute the ordinary connective -a- in the frequentative. Such are:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -go'yok! $i^{\epsilon}n$  I nudge him;  $\bar{\imath}$ -goyogiya' $\epsilon n$  I keep pushing him  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ -t! $\bar{\imath}^i$ si' $\epsilon n$  I crush it;  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ -t!iy $\bar{\imath}^i$ t!iy $a^{\epsilon}n$  I keep crushing them

It can hardly be accidental that in both these cases the loss of the -i- is accompanied by the loss of a petrified consonant (-k!-, -s-).

The following scheme of the instrumental forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - KILL (third personal object) will best illustrate the phonetic behavior of -i-:

	Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:						
First person	t!omomi'€n	dõumi'n	đōumi′€n	dŏmhiga⁴		
Second person	t!omomi't'	dōumida'€	dōumi`t'	domhik!eit'	dõmhi	dōmhi⁴k'
Third person	t!omomhi	dōumi'nk'	$d\delta m$ hl	d5mhik'		
Plural:						
First person	t!omomina'k'	dōuminaga'm	dōumina'k'	domhigana'k'	dōmhiba•	
Second person	t!omomi't'p'	dōumi't'bat	dōumi't'p'	đồmhik!eĩt'p'	độmhip'	i

# § 65. Forms Without Connecting Vowel

A considerable number of transitive verbs whose agrist stem ends in a long diphthong with rising pitch (long vowel+semivowel, nasal, or liquid) treat this diphthong as a vocalic unit, i. e., do not allow the second element of the diphthong to become semivocalic and thus capable of being followed by a connective -a- before the personal endings (cf. intransitive forms like eī-t', § 60). If such a long diphthong is final, or precedes a consonant (like -t') that is itself incapable of entering into diphthongal combination with a preceding vowel, no difficulty arises. If, however, the long diphthong precedes an -n-(in such endings as  $-\epsilon n$ , -n, -nak), which, as has been seen, is phonetically on a line with the semivowels y (i) and w (u), a long double diphthong (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid + n of time-value Such a diphthong can not be tolerated, but must be reduced to an ordinary long diphthong of time-value 3 by the loss of the second element (semivowel, nasal, or liquid) of the diphthong of the stem (see § 11). Thus the coexistence of such apparently contradictory forms as  $dar{a}^a$ -yehètt' you go where there is singing and  $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehēn (with passive -n) it was gone where there was singing (from \*yehèin) can be explained by a simple consideration of syllabic

weight. The rising pitch-accent, it should be noted, is always preserved as an integral element of the diphthong, even though a  $-^{\epsilon}n$  follow, so that the first personal singular subject third personal object of such verbs  $(-\bar{v}^{\epsilon}n)$  stands in sharp contrast to the corresponding form of the great mass of transitive verbs  $(-v'^{\epsilon}n)$ .\(^1\) The first person plural subject third person object and the third personal passive are always parallel in form to the first person singular subject third person object in  $-^{\epsilon}n$  ( $k!ad\bar{a}^ana'k'$  and  $k!ad\tilde{a}^n$  like  $k!ad\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$ ). Examples of transitives with a orist stems ending in long diphthongs not followed by connective -a- are:

t'gwaxãen I tattoo him : t'gwaxāīt' you tattoo him :  $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\ddot{u}g\ddot{\bar{u}}$ î he wears it 96.16  $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\ddot{u}q\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^{\epsilon}n$  I wear it  $(d\bar{a}^a-yeh\bar{e}^\epsilon n \text{ I go where there is} : d\bar{a}^a-yeh\bar{e}^\epsilon t \text{ you go where there}$ is singing (106.10) singing  $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehēn (third person pas $d\bar{a}^a$ -yeheena'k' (first person plural)  $k!ad\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$  I picked them up :  $k!ad\bar{a}\bar{i}$  he picked them up  $da-t!ag\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^{\epsilon}n$  I built a fire :  $da-t/ag\bar{a}i$  he built a fire 88.12;  $swad\tilde{a}n$  (passive) they got :  $swad\tilde{a}isa^{\epsilon}n$  they are gambling with one another beaten in gambling  $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$  I give it  $(=*oy\tilde{o}n^{\epsilon}n)$ but also oyona' n with connecting -a $k!em\bar{e}^{\epsilon}n$  I did it 74.13 : k!emèi he did it 92.22; 144.6; 176.1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14

In a orist k!emèi- MAKE the -i-, actually or impliedly, appears only when the object is of the third person (singular first, k!emē\*n; second, k!emèit'; third, k!emèi; plural first, k!eme\*na'k'; second, k!emèit'p'); all other a oristic and all non-a oristic forms replace the -i- by a -n-:

 $k!em\tilde{e}nxbi^{\epsilon}n$  I make you 27.9

k!emēnxa<sup>e</sup>n they make one another; future k!emna'nk' he will make it 28.14

A few reduplicated transitives ending, in both aorist and verb-stems, in a short diphthong (-al-, -am-, -an-, -aw-), lack a connective -a-

<sup>11</sup>t may be noted in passing that the Takelma reduction of an over-long diphthong (in to een) offers in some respects a remarkable parallel to the reduction of an Indo-Germanic long diphthong to a simple long vowel before certain consonants, chiefly m (e.g., Indo-Germanic  $*dijens = \text{Skr. } dydu^ns$ , Gk.  $Zei_r$ , with preserved -y-because followed by -s, a consonant not capable of entering into diphthongal combination; but Indo-Germanic acc.  $*dijens = \text{Ved} \cdot Skr. dydm$ , Ilom. Gk. Zip with lost -y-because followed by -m, a consonant capable of entering into diphthongal combination). I do not wish to imply, however, that the accent of forms like yehlen is, as in dijens, the compensating result of contraction.

before the personal endings, so that a loss of the final consonant (-1-, -m-, -n-, -w-) takes place in third personal objective forms before a consonantal personal ending. Such verbs are:

 $heme'ha^{\epsilon}n$  I mocked him (= heme'ham he moeked him 24.4, 5, 8; 182.6, 7  $-ham^{\varepsilon}n$  $\bar{\imath}mi'ha^{\varepsilon}n$  I sent him  $(=-am^{\varepsilon}n)$ imi'hamsin I was sent (43.2)  $(gel-hewe'ha^{\varepsilon}n^{-1} \text{ I think } (=-au^{\varepsilon}n):$ gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11; gel-hewe'hat' you think 142.20 p!a-i- $di^{\epsilon}$ -sqimi'sq $a^{\epsilon}n^{2}$  I set them  $p!a-i-di^{\varepsilon}-sgimi'sgam$  he set in ground  $(=-am^{\epsilon}n)$ them in ground  $b\bar{a}$ - $\epsilon al$ - $mo'lo\epsilon ma\epsilon n$  I turned them :  $b\bar{a}$ - $\epsilon al$ - $mo'lo\epsilon mal$ he turned them over (170,16) over  $(=-al^{\varepsilon}n)$  $b\bar{a}$ - $\epsilon al$ - $mo'l^{\epsilon}man$  I shall turn them over (=-aln) $s\bar{a}^a n s a'^{\epsilon} n$  I fight him  $(=-an^{\epsilon}n)$ :  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'n$  he fights him (28.10) (but also  $s\tilde{a}ns$ , see § 40, 10b) : da- $m\bar{a}^a n mini'^{\epsilon} n$  I count them  $m\bar{a}^a n m a'^{\epsilon} n$ T count them  $(=-an^{\varepsilon}n)$ up (156,14) (but also  $m\tilde{a}n =$ \* $m\tilde{a}nm$  he counted them 78.8; 100.8)

How explain the genesis of these two sets of contract verb forms, and how explain the existence of doublets like  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}ma^{\epsilon}n$  and  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}mala^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}mat'$  and  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}malat'$ ,  $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$  and  $oyona'^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $s\bar{a}^{a}nsa'n$  and  $s\tilde{a}ns$ ? The most plausible explanation that can be offered is that originally the personal endings were added directly to the stem and that later a connecting -a- developed whenever the preceding consonant or the personal ending was not of a character to form a diphthong. Hence the original paradigms may have been:

First person					$oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$	$mo'lo^{\epsilon}ma^{\epsilon}n$
Second person					oyona't'	mo'lo <sup>€</sup> mala't'
Third person					oyõn	$mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mal$
ioh mana Aham la						

which were then leveled out to:

$oyona'^{\varepsilon}n$	$mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mala^{\varepsilon}n$
oyona't'	mo'lo <sup>€</sup> mala't'
oyon	$mo'lo^{\epsilon}mal$

because of the analogy of a vast number of verbs with connecting -a- in both first and second persons, e. g.,  $ts!ayaga'^{\epsilon}n$ , ts!ayaga't'. Forms like  $mo'lo^{\epsilon}mat'$ ,  $s\bar{a}^{a}nsa't'$ , would arise from leveling to the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This verb is transitive only in form, intransitive in meaning. The true transitive (THUNK OF) employs the full stem hewehaw- with connective -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: gel-hewe'hiwi'n 1 THUNK OF HIM; gel-hewe'hausdam YOU THUNK OF ME.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The form sgimi'sga'n is interesting as a test case of these contract verh forms. The stem must be sgimisgam-; it can not be sgimisg-, as sg-could hardly be treated as a repeated initial consonant. No cases are known of initial consonant clusters treated as phonetic units.

person by the analogy of such forms as  $tlomoma'^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $tlomoma'^{\epsilon}n$ . The third person generally brings out the original diphthong, yet sometimes the analogy set by the first person seems to be carried over to the third person (e. g.,  $s\tilde{a}ns$  beside  $s\tilde{a}^ansa'n$ ), as well as to the third person passive and first person plural subject transitive. Such forms as  $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$  are best considered as survivals of an older "athematic" type of forms, later put on the wane by the spread of the "thematic" type with connecting -a- (e. g.,  $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$ , not  $*gay\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$  from  $*gaya\tilde{u}^{\epsilon}n$ ). Owing to the fact that the operation of phonetic laws gave rise to various paradigmatic irregularities in the "athematic" forms, these sank into the background. They are now represented by aorists of Type 2 verbs like  $naga'^{-\epsilon}n$  I say to him and  $wa-k!oy\tilde{o}^{-\epsilon}n$  I go with him, non-aorist forms of Type 5 verbs (e. g., odo'-n), and such isolated irregularities as intransitive  $e\bar{\imath}$ -t and  $naga\bar{\imath}$ -t (contrast yewey-a't and t'agaya't') and transitive contract verbs like  $k!ad\bar{a}^{\epsilon}n$  and  $s\bar{a}^ansa'^{\epsilon}n$ .

# § 66. Passives

Passives, which occur in Takelma texts with great frequency, must be looked upon as amplifications of transitive forms with third personal subject. Every such transitive form may be converted into a passive by the omission of the transitive subject and the addition of elements characteristic of that voice; the pronominal object of the transitive becomes the logical, not formal, subject of the passive (passives, properly speaking, have no subject). The passive suffixes referred to are -(a)n for the aorist,  $-(a)na^{\varepsilon}$  for the future, and -am for the inferential. Imperatives were not obtained, nor is it certain that they exist. Following are the passive forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ -, instrumental forms being put in parentheses:

	 Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential
Singular: First person Second person Third person.	 t!ümüxin t!omōxbin t!omoma'n (t!omomi'n)	dūmxinac dõmxbinac dõumana'c (dõumina'c)	dűmzin dőmzbin dőuma'n (dőumi'n)	dümrigam dömrbigam dömk'am (dömhigam)
Plural: First person . Second person	t!omõximin t!omõxanban	dōmziminas dōmzanbanas	dõmzimin dõmzanban	dõmzamk'am dõmzanp'gam

I Some verbs whose aorist stem ends in a vowel take a constant -a- with preceding inorganic h instead of adding the personal endings directly. Such a verb is  $\bar{\imath}$ -t/ana- HOLD; the constant -a- or -i- of forms like  $\bar{\imath}$ -t/ana/hagwa,  $\bar{\imath}$ -t/ene/hi-s'dam is perhaps due to the analogy of the instrumental -i- of forms like  $\bar{\imath}$ -t/ana/hi $\bar{\imath}$ n.

BOAS]

The connective -a-, it will be observed, is replaced by -i- when the formal object is the first person plural (-am-); compare the entirely analogous phenomenon in the second personal subjective first personal plural objective forms of the transitive (§ 63). It is curious that the third person agrist of the passive can in every single case be mechanically formed with perfect safety by simply removing the catch from the first personal singular subjective third personal objective of the transitive; the falling accent (rising accent for verbs like  $k!em\bar{e}^{\epsilon}n$ ) remains unchanged:

\$\bar{\epsilon} \text{-t!a'ut!iwin}\$ he was caught 29.12 naga'\(\beta\) n I said to him 72.7, 9: naga'n he was spoken to 102.16 k!em\(\bar{e}^{\epsilon}\) n I made it 74.13: k!em\(\bar{e}^{\epsilon}\) it was made 13.12 178.12
It is hardly possible that a genetic relation exists between the two forms, though a mechanical association is not psychologically incredible.

Not only morphologically, but also syntactically, are passives closely related to transitive forms. It is the logical unexpressed subject of a passive sentence, not the grammatical subject (logical and formal object), that is referred to by the reflexive possessive in -gwa (see §§ 91, 92). Thus:

dīk!olola'n t'gā'a p'dagwan wa' he-was-dug-up their-own-horns (not his-own-horns) with (in other words, they dug him up with their own horns) 48.5

There is no real way of expressing the agent of a passive construction. The commonest method is to use a periphrasis with  $xebe'^{\varepsilon}n$  HE DID so. Thus:

eī salk!omo'k!imin p!iyin xebe'<sup>ɛ</sup>n canoe it-was-kicked-to-pieces deer they-did-so (in other words, the canoe was kicked to pieces by the deer) 114.5

# § 67. VERBS OF MIXED CLASS, CLASS IV

A fairly considerable number of verbs are made up of forms that belong partly to Class I or Class II intransitives, partly to the transitives. These may be conveniently grouped together as Class IV, but are again to be subdivided into three groups. A few instransitive verbs showing forms of both Class I and II have been already spoken of (pp. 162-3, 166).

1. Probably the larger number is taken up by Type 13 verbs in -n-, all the forms of which are transitives except those with second person singular or plural subject. These latter are forms of Class II (i.e., aorist singular -dam, plural -dap'; future singular -dae, plural

 $-daba^{\varepsilon}$ ). The -n- appears only in the first person singular and plural (aorist - $na^{\varepsilon}n$  and -nana'k'), yet its absence in the other persons may, though not probably, be due to a secondary loss induced by the phonetic conditions. The forms, though in part morphologically transitive (and, for some of the verbs, apparently so in meaning), are in effect intransitive. The object, as far as the signification of the verb allows one to grant its existence, is always a pronominally unexpressed

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

third person, and the instrumental -i- can not be used before the personal endings. Among these semitransitives in -n- are:

[gwen-sgut!u'sgat'na<sup>c</sup>n I cut necks
[gwen-sgut!u'sgat' he cut necks 144.2 (cf. transitive instrumentals
gwen-waya-sgut!u'sgidi<sup>c</sup>n, gwen-waya-sgut!u'sgat'i 144.3)
[da-bok!oba'k'na<sup>c</sup>n I make bubbles (or da-bok!o'p'na<sup>c</sup>n 102.22)
[da-bok!o'p'dam you make bubbles
bā<sup>a</sup>-xada'xat'na<sup>c</sup>n I hang them up in row
[lobola'p'na<sup>c</sup>n I used to pound them (57.14) (or lobo'lp'na<sup>c</sup>n)
[lobo'lp'dam you used to pound them
[ī-layā'ak'na<sup>c</sup>n I coil a basket 122.2
[ī-layā'ak' she coils a basket
k!ada'k!at'na<sup>c</sup>n I used to pick them up (116.11)
da-dagada'k'na<sup>c</sup>n I sharpen my teeth (126.18)
ūgū'<sup>c</sup>ak'na<sup>c</sup>n I always drink it
wagao'k'na<sup>c</sup>n I always bring it 43.16; 45.6)

Morphologically identical with these, yet with no trace of transitive signification, are:

ī-hegwe'hak' wna n I am working {xa-hege'hak' na n I breathe (78.12; 79.1, 2, 4) {xa-huk!u'hak' na n (third person xa-huk!u'hak') {al-t'wap!a't'wap' na n I blink with my eyes 102.20 {al-t'wap!a't'wap' dam you blink with your eyes

The following forms of  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwehagw- (verb-stem  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwagw- [ = -hegwhagw-]) work will serve to illustrate the -n- formation:

	Aorist	Future	· Inferential	Present imperativ
Singular:				
1st per.	hegwe'hak'wna <sup>e</sup> n	he egwa'k' wnan	$ \begin{array}{ccc} he^{\epsilon g}wa'\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{w}\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} & (=-kw'-\\ \mathbf{k}'\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}) \end{array} $	
2d per.	hegwe'hak'wdam	heegwa'k'wdae	heegwa'k!wel't'	he'k'wāak'w
3d per.	hegwe'hak'w	[?]	heegwa'k'w	
Plural:				
1st per.	hegwe'hak'wnana'k'	heegwa'k'unanagam	heegwa'k'wana'k'	hegwa'k'wabae
2d per.	hegwe'hak'wdap'	heegwa'k'wdabae	heegwa'klweit'p'	he'k'wāagwa'np'

<sup>2.</sup> Practically a sub-group of the preceding set of verbs is formed by a very few verbs that have their aorist like \(\bar{\bar{\cappa}}\)-hegwe'hak`\(\bar{\cutu}\)na\(\bar{\chi}\)n, \(\frac{\chi}{\chi}\) 67

BOAS]

but their non-agrist forms like Class II intransitives. They evidently waver between Class II, to which they seem properly to belong, and the semi-transitive -n- forms. Such are:

 $\begin{cases} d\bar{\imath}-k!ala' \mathrm{sna}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n} & (\mathrm{but\ also} \qquad : \ \mathrm{future}\ d\bar{\imath}-k!a'lsi\mathrm{de}^{\circ} \\ d\bar{\imath}-k!ala' \mathrm{sde}^{\varepsilon}) \ \mathrm{I\ am\ lean} \\ \mathrm{in\ my\ rump} \\ d\bar{\imath}-k!ala' \mathrm{sdam} & (\mathrm{second\ per-} \qquad : \ \mathrm{future}\ d\bar{\imath}-k!a'lsi\mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon} \\ \mathrm{son}) \\ gwel-\mathrm{sal-}t!ey\bar{\epsilon}\mathrm{sna}^{\varepsilon}n \ \mathrm{I\ have} \qquad : \ \mathrm{future-}t!e\bar{\imath}si\mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon} \\ \mathrm{no\ flesh\ on\ my\ legs\ and} \\ \mathrm{feet}.$ 

It may be observed that the existence of a form like \*gwel-sal-t!eī-sinan was denied, so that we are not here dealing with a mere mistaken mixture of distinct, though in meaning identical, verbs.

3. The most curious set of verbs belonging to Class IV is formed by a small number of intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, with a thoroughly transitive agrist, but with non-agrist forms belonging entirely to Class II. This is the only group of verbs in which a difference in tense is associated with a radical difference in class. Examples are:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqek!iya' $\epsilon$ n I listened : future  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqe'k!it'e dāa-sqek!iya't' you listened  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqek! $\bar{\imath}$  he listened 102.8 [al-we'k!ala<sup>ε</sup>n I shine future al-we'k!alt'ee al-we'k!alat' you shine al-we'k!alana'k' we shine future al-we'k!alp'igam (third inferential person  $k!al\mathbf{p'k'}$ : future al-ge'yandee al-geyana'<sup>ε</sup>n I turn away my face  $\left\{ egin{array}{l} da\text{-}smayama'^{arepsilon} \mathbf{n} \ da\text{-}smaya\widetilde{m}ha^{arepsilon} \mathbf{n} \end{array} 
ight\}$ I smile : future da-sma-ima'sdee da-smaya $\widetilde{m}$  he smiles

To these should probably be added also da-sgayana' $^{\epsilon}n$  I lie down (3d da-sgaya $\tilde{n}$ ), though no future was obtained. Here again it may be noted that the existence of \*da-sma-ima'n as a possible (and indeed to be expected) future of da-smayama' $^{\epsilon}n$  was denied.

da-smayamana'k' we smile

<sup>1</sup> There are in Takelma also a number of logically intransitive verbs with transitive forms throughout all the tense-modes: al-rallyana'k' we are seated (56.2; 150.20); passive al-rallya'n people are seated 152.18. Similar is sal-rogui they stand; cf. also gel-heuc'hau he thinks, p. 179, note 1. As these, however, have nothing to mark them off morphologically from ordinary transitives, they give no occasion for special treatment. It is probable that in them the action is conceived of as directed toward some implied third personal object.

200

# 5. Auxiliary and Subordinating Forms (§§ 68-72) § 68. PERIPHRASTIC FUTURES

Periphrastic future forms are brought about by prefixing to the third personal (unexpressed) objective forms of the aorist stem -gulugw-desire, intend the verb-stem (if transitive, with its appended pronominal object) of the verb whose future tense is desired. The pronominal subject of such a form is given by the transitive subject pronoun of the second element (-gulugw-) of the compound; while the object of the whole form, if the verb is transitive, is coincident with the incorporated pronominal object of the first element. The form of the verb-stem preceding the -gulugw- suffix is identical with the form it takes in the inferential. Thus:

ba-i-hema'k'ulu'k'w he will take it out (cf. inferential ba-i-he-ma'k' = -hemg-k'), but imperative ba-i-he'mk' 16.10

but, without inorganic a:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $\hbar \bar{e} mgulu'k'^w$  he will wrestle with him (cf. inferential  $\hbar \bar{e} mk'$ )

Indeed, it is quite likely that the main verb is used in the inferential form, the -k of the inferential amalgamating with the g- of  $-guitug^w$ -to form g or k. This seems to be proved by the form:

loho'k'-di-gulugwa't' do you intend to die? (di= interrogative particle)

Morphologically the verb-stem with its incorporated object must itself be considered as a verb-noun incorporated as a prefix in the verb- $gulug^w$ - and replacing the prefix gel- breast of gel- $gulugwa'^en$  i desire it 32.5, 6, 7. Alongside, e. g., of the ordinary future form  $d\bar{o}^u m a' n$  i shall kill him may be used the periphrastic  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - $gulugwa'^en$  literally, i kill (him)-desire, intend. This latter form is not by any means a mere desiderative (i desire to kill him would be expressed by  $d\bar{o}^u m i a'$  gel- $gulugwa'^en$  [= to-kill-him i-it-desire]), but a purely formal future. Similarly,  $d\bar{u}mxi$ - $gulu'k'^w$  is used alongside of the simpler  $d\bar{u}mxink'$  he will kill me. As a matter of fact the third personal subjective future in  $-gulu'k'^w$  is used about as frequently as the regular paradigmatic forms heretofore given:

yana'-k'ulu'k' he will go (128.9) sana'p'-gulu'k' he will fight (cf. 48.10) yomo'k'wagulu'k' she was about to catch up with him 140.18 alxī' ski-gulu'k' he will see you

BOAS]

The reason is obvious. The normal futures  $(yana'^{\varepsilon}t')$  HE WILL GO;  $sana'p'd\bar{a}^a$ ;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}xbink'$ ) imply a bald certainty, as it were, of the future action of a third person, a certainty that is not in ordinary life generally justifiable. The periphrastic forms, on the other hand, have a less rigid tone about them, and seem often to have a slight intentive force: HE INTENDS, IS ABOUT TO GO. The difference between the two futures may perhaps be brought out by a comparison with the English I SHALL KILL HIM  $(=d\bar{o}^u ma'n)$  and I'M GOING TO KILL HIM  $(d\bar{o}^u m-gulugwa'^{\varepsilon}n)$ .

Though a form like dūmxi-gulu'k' HE WILL KILL ME is in a way analogous to sin-ī-lets!e'xi he touches my nose, the incorporated object dumxi- KILL-ME of the former being parallel to sin- nose of the latter, there is an important difference between the two in that the object of the periphrastic future is always associated with the logically  $(d\bar{o}^u m)$ , not formally  $(-guluq^w)$ , main verb. This difference may be graphically expressed as follows: HE-[KILL-ME]-INTENDS-IT, but HE-[NOSE-HAND]-TOUCHES-ME; strict analogy with the latter form would require \*doum-gulu'xi he-[kill]-intends-ME, a type of form that is not found. It is not necessary to give a paradigm of periphrastic future forms, as any desired form can be readily constructed from what has already been said. The incorporated pronominal object is always independent of the subject-suffix, so that you will kill me, for example, is rendered by dumxi-quluqwa't', the ordinary YOU—ME forms (singular -dam, plural -dap') finding no place here.

Inasmuch as all active periphrastic futures are transitive in form, passive futures of the same type (all ending in -gulugwa'n) can be formed from all verbs, whether transitive or intransitive. When formed from transitive stems, these forms are equivalent to the normal future passives in  $-(a)na^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -gulugwa'n he will, is about to, is going to be killed  $d\bar{u}mxi$ -gulugwa'n I am to be killed, it is intended to kill me

As the intransitive stem in the periphrastic future is never accompanied by pronominal affixes, there is only one passive future form that can be constructed from an intransitive verb. This form always refers to the third person, generally to the intended or imminent action of a group of people:

hoida-gulugwa'n (verb-stem hoid- + inorganic -a-) there will be dancing

 $l\bar{o}^u$ -gulugwa'n people are going to play (literally, it is play-intended)

The passive future in -gulugwa'n can also be used with the indefinite form in -iqu:

sana'xiniau-gulugwa'n it is intended, about to be that people fight one another; there will be fighting

The extreme of abstract expression seems to be reached in such not uncommon forms as:

we'egiau-gulugwa'n it was going to be daylight (literally, it was being-daylight intended) 48.13

As the suffixed pronominal objects of reciprocal forms are intransitive in character, the first element of a periphrastic future of the reciprocal must show an incorporated intransitive pronoun, but of aorist, not future form:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -di-lasgi'xant' p'-gulugwa't' p' are you going to touch one another? (aorist  $\bar{\imath}$ -lats!a'xant' p'; future  $\bar{\imath}$ -lasgi'xant' ba $^{\epsilon}$ )

# § 69. PERIPHRASTIC PHRASES IN na(g)- DO, ACT

The verbal base  $na(g)^1$  (intransitive na-; transitive  $n\bar{a}^ag$ -) has hitherto been translated as say (intransitive), say to (transitive). This, however, is only a specialized meaning of the constantly recurring base, its more general signification being do, act, be in motion indefinitely. It is really never used alone, but is regularly accompanied by some preceding word or phrase with which it is connected in a periphrastic construction; the na(g)- form playing the part of an auxiliary. As a verb of saying, na(g)- is regularly preceded by a quotation, or else some word or phrase, generally a demonstrative pronoun, grammatically summarizing the quotation. Properly speaking, then, a sentence like I shall go, he said (to me) (=  $yana't'e^e$  [ga]  $naga'^{ie}$  [or nege's:]) is rendered in Takelma by I shall go (that) he did (or he did to me), in which the quotation  $yana't'e^e$  I shall go, or else its representative ga that, is incorporated as prefix in the general verb of action.

The most interesting point in connection with periphrastic phrases in na(g)- is the use of a number of invariable, generally monosyllabic, verbal bases as incorporated prefixes. The main idea, logically speaking, of the phrase is expressed in the prefix, the na(g)-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Most of its forms, as far as known, are listed, for convenience of reference, in Appendix A, pp. 286-90. It will be seen to be irregular in several respects. Examples of its forms are to be found in great number in "Takelma Texts."

element serving merely to give it grammatical form. This usage is identical with that so frequently employed in Chinookan dialects, where significant uninflected particles are joined into periphrastic constructions with some form of the verb-stem -x- do, make, become (e. g., Wasco tq!u'b itciux he cut it [literally, cut he-it-made]), except that in Takelma the particles are identical with the bases of normally formed verbs. It is not known how many such verb-particles there are, or even whether they are at all numerous. The few examples obtained are:

```
na<sup>\varepsilon</sup> do (cf. na't'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I shall say, do)
s'as' come to a stand (cf. s'as'in\varepsilon he stands 144.14)
s'il paddle canoe (cf. ei-ba-i-s'ili'xgwa he landed with his canoe
13.5)
t'gel<sup>\varepsilon</sup> fall, drop
ts'!el rattle (cf. ts'ele'\varepsilon m it rattles 102.13)
t'b\varepsilon'\varepsilon make a racket (cf. t'b\varepsilon'\varepsilon xde^\varepsilon I make a noise)
liw\varepsilon'\varepsilon look (cf. liwila'ut'e^\varepsilon I looked [60.7])
le'yas lame (cf. gwel-le'ye^\varepsilon sde^\varepsilon I am lame)
p'i'was jumping lightly (cf. p'iwits!ana'\varepsilon I make it bounce)
we'k!alk' shining (cf. al-we'k!ala^\varepsilon n I shine)
sgala'uk' look moving one's head to side (cf. al-sgalawi'n I shall
look at him moving my head to side)
```

The last two are evidently representatives of a whole class of quasi-adverbial -k'-derivatives from verb-stems, and, though syntactically similar to the rest, hardly belong to them morphologically. The -k' of these invariable verb-derivatives can hardly be identified with the inferential -k', as it is treated differently. Thus:

we'k!al-k' shining 126.3; 128.14, but inferential al-we'k!al-p'-k' (Class IV, 3) he shone

Most frequently employed of those listed is  $na^{\varepsilon}$ , which is in all probability nothing but the base na- po, to forms of which it is itself prefixed; its function is to make of the base na(g)- a pure verb of action or motion in contradistinction to the use of the latter as a verb of saying:

ga-nāk'i say that to him! 55.8, but ga-na<sup>e</sup>nāk'i do that to him! 182.4; 184.4
ga-naga'<sup>ie</sup> he said that 72.12, but ga-na<sup>e</sup>naga'<sup>ie</sup> he did that 58.3
gwalt' a-na<sup>e</sup>na'<sup>e</sup>t' the wind will blow as it is blowing now (literally, wind [gwalt'] this [a-]-do [na<sup>e</sup>]-act-will [na'<sup>e</sup>t']) (152.8)
ga-na<sup>e</sup>ne'x thus, in that way (literally, that do-acting, doing) 71.6; 110.21; but ga-ne'x that saying, to say that 184.10

§ 69

Examples of the other elements are:

 $ei\text{-}s\text{-}i'l\text{-}naga'^{i\varepsilon\,1}$  he paddled his canoe (literally, he canoe-paddledid) 13.5

s·as·-naga'<sup>iɛ</sup> he came to a stand 22.6; 31.14, 15; 55.12; 96.23 s·as·-nā<sup>a</sup>gi'n I shall bring him to a halt (literally, I shall s·as·-do to him)

 $liw\bar{a}'^a$ -nagaĩt'  $e^{\epsilon}$  I looked (55.6; 78.10, 13; 79.5)

 $t'qe'l^{\varepsilon}$ -nagaīt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I fell, dropped down

 $t'gel^{\varepsilon} naga^{\varepsilon}n\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}k'$  he always fell down 62.8

ts!e'l naga'ie (bones) rattled (literally, they did ts!el) 79.8

 $t'b\bar{o}'^u x \ naga'$  they made a racket so as to be heard by them 192.9  $we'k!alk'-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he shines

sgala'uk'-naganā'aɛk' he looked continually moving his head from side to side 144.14, 17

gwēlxdā<sup>a</sup> le'yas-na'k' his leg was laming 160.17 p'i'was-naga'<sup>iɛ</sup> he jumped up lightly 48.8

Syntactically analogous to these are the frequent examples of postpositions (see § 96), adverbs, and local phrases prefixed to forms of the undefined verb of action na(g)-, the exact sense in which the latter is to be taken being determined by the particular circumstances of the locution. Examples are:

gada'k'-naga''<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> they passed over it (literally, thereon they did) 190.21

ganau-nagana' k' he went from one (trap) to another (literally, therein he kept doing) 78.5

hawi-nāk'i tell him to wait! (literally, still do to him!)

 $hagw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm (in the road) - $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (he did) (= he traveled in the road)

haxiya' (in the water)  $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (= he went by water)

dak-s $in\bar{\imath}'^i da$  (over his nose)  $-nab\bar{a}'^{a\bar{\imath}}ha'n$  (let us do) (= let us flock of crows] pass over him!) 144.11

 $da'k'd\bar{a}^ada$  (over him) -na' (do!) (= pass over him!)

dak'-yawadē (over my ribs) -naga'iε ( =he passed by me)

ge (there)  $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (= they passed there) 144.18

he<sup>eε</sup>-wila'mxa-hi (beyond Mount Wila'mxa) -nãk' ω (do having it!) (= proceed with it to beyond Mount Wila'mxa!) 196.14

These examples serve to indicate, at the same time, that the particles above mentioned stand in an adverbial relation to the na(g)- form:

 $s\cdot as\cdot -naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he come-to-a-stand-did, like  $ge\ naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he there-did Compare the similar parallelism in Wasco of:

<sup>1</sup>sil has been found as a prefix also in the comitative eis-sil-yāangwa'en I come in a canoe (literally, 1-canoe-paddling-go-having).

BOAS]

k!wa'c gali'xux afraid he-made-himself (= he became afraid) (see "Wishram Texts," 152.9)

 $kw\hat{o}'ba\ gali'xux$  there he-made-himself ( = he got to be there, came there)

Here may also be mentioned the use of verb-stems prefixed to the forms of k!emn- make and  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - say to. Such locutions are causative in signification, but probably differ from formal causatives in that the activity of the subject is more clearly defined. Examples are:

wede wo'k' k!emna't' do not let him arrive! (literally, not arrive make-him!)

wo'k' k!emana'nxi let me come! (literally, arrive make-me!)

gwel-lets k!emna'n I shall make him lame (literally, be-lame I-shall-make-him)

yana nāk'i let him go (literally, go say-to-him)

The forms involving k!emen- are quite similar morphologically to periphrastic futures in  $-gulug^w$ -, the main point of difference being that, while k!emen- occurs as independent verb,  $-gulug^w$ - is never found without a prefix. The forms involving  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - are probably best considered as consisting of an imperative followed by a quotative verb form. Thus  $yana\ n\tilde{a}k'i$  is perhaps best rendered as "Go!" say It to him! The form  $hoida-yo'k'ya^es$  (hoid- dance+connective -a-) one who knows how to dance suggests that similar compound verbs can be formed from yok'y- know.

## § 70. SUBORDINATING FORMS

A number of syntactic suffixes are found in Takelma, which, when appended to a verbal form, serve to give it a subordinate or dependent value. Such subordinate forms bear a temporal, causal, conditional, or relative relation to the main verb of the sentence, but are often best translated simply as participles. Four such subordinating suffixes have been found:

 $-da^{\varepsilon}(-t'a^{\varepsilon})$ , serving to subordinate the active forms of the aorist.

 $-ma^{\epsilon}$ , subordinating those of the passive agrist.

 $-na^{\varepsilon}$ , subordinating all inferential forms in -k'. Periphrastic inferential forms in  $e\overline{\imath}t'$  and  $e\overline{\imath}t'$  p' are treated like acrists, the form-giving elements of such periphrases being indeed nothing but the second person singular and plural acrist of ei- BE.

 $-k'i^{\epsilon}$  (- $gi^{\epsilon}$ ), appended directly to the non-aorist stem, forming dependent clauses of unfulfilled action, its most frequent use being

the formation of conditions. Before examples are given of subordinate constructions, a few remarks on the subordinate forms themselves will be in place.

The agristic  $-da^{\epsilon}$ - forms of an intransitive verb like  $h\bar{o}g^{\nu}$ - run are: Singular:

e	Independent	Subordinate
First person.	$har{o}'k`de^{\epsilon}$ I run	$h\bar{o}'k'de^{\epsilon}da^{\epsilon}$ when I ran,
-		I running
Second person	$har{o}gwa$ ' $t$ '	$har{o}gwada'^{arepsilon}$
Third person	$h \bar{o}'^{\epsilon} k$	$har{o}'k$ ' $da^{\epsilon}$
Plural:		
First person.	$har{o}gwi`k'$	$har{o}gwiga'm$
Second person	$h \bar{o} gwa$ 't' $p$ '	$har{o}gwa't`ba^{\epsilon}$
Impersonal	$h \bar{o} gwia'^{u \epsilon}$	$har{o}gwia'$ - $uda^{arepsilon}$

Of these forms, that of the first person plural in -a'm is identical, as far as the suffix is concerned, with the future form of the corresponding person and number. The example given above  $(\hbar\bar{o}-gwiga'm)$  was found used quite analogously to the more transparently subordinate forms of the other persons  $(alx\bar{\imath}'ixam\ \hbar\bar{o}gwiga'm$  He saw us run, like  $alx\bar{\imath}'ixi\ \hbar\bar{o}'k'de^eda^e$  He saw me run); the form of the stem is all that keeps apart the future and the subordinate aorist of the first person plural (thus hogwiga'm we shall run with short o). No form in  $-i'k'da^e$ , such as might perhaps be expected, was found. The catch of the first and third person singular of class I verbs disappears before the  $-da^e$  (see § 22). The falling accent of the stem, however, remains, and the quantity of the stressed vowel is lengthened unless followed by a diphthong-forming element. Thus:

 $y\bar{a}'^a da^{\epsilon}$  when he went 58.8 ( $ya'^{\epsilon}$  he went 96.8); cf. 188.17 ba-i- $k!iy\bar{\imath}'^ik'da^{\epsilon}$  when he came (ba-i- $k!iy\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'$  he came 156.24)  $yawa'ida^{\epsilon}$  as they were talking 130.13 ( $yawa'^{i\epsilon}$  they talked)  $xebe'nda^{\epsilon}$  when he did so 142.10 ( $xebe'^{\epsilon}n$  he did so 118.14)

The subordinate form of the third person agrist of class II intransitives ends in  $-t^*a^{\varepsilon}$  if the immediately preceding vowel has a rising accent. Thus:

s'as'inīt'a' when he stood (s'as'inī he stood 120.12)  $lop!\tilde{o}t'a'$  when it rained (lop!o't' it rained 90.1)

In the second person singular the personal -t and the -d- of the subordinating suffix amalgamate to -d-. The subordinate second person plural in -t  $ba^{\epsilon}$  is not improbably simply formed on the analogy of the corresponding singular form in  $-da^{\epsilon}$ , the normal difference

between the singular and plural of the second person consisting simply of the added -b- (-p') of the latter; similarly,  $e\text{-}ida'^\varepsilon$  when thou art and  $e\overline{\imath}t'ba^\varepsilon$  when ye are. Judging by the analogy of the subordinates of transitive forms in -dam and -dap' the subordinate forms of the second persons of class II intransitives end in - $t'a^\varepsilon$  (- $da^\varepsilon$ ) and - $t'aba^\varepsilon$  (- $daba^\varepsilon$ ):

s·as·inīt'a<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> when you stood (s·as·inīt'am you stood) s·as·inīt'ba<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> when ye stood (s·as·inīt'ap' ye stood)

Note the ambiguity of the form  $s'as'in\bar{\imath}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  when he or you stood; compare the similar ambiguity in  $naga'-ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he said and  $naga-ida'^{\varepsilon}$  when you said 130.14; 132.23.

The transitive subordinates of the aorist are also characterized by a suffixed  $-da^{\epsilon}$ , except that forms with a third personal subject invariably substitute  $-(a)na'^{\epsilon}$  ( $-ina'^{\epsilon}$  with first person plural object), and that the personal endings -dam (Thou—ME) and -dap' (YE—ME) become simply  $-da^{\epsilon}$  and  $-daba^{\epsilon}$  respectively. The latter forms are thus distinguished from non-subordinate futures merely by the aoristic stem ( $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{i}xda^{\epsilon}$  when you saw me, but  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}xda^{\epsilon}$  you will see me). Analogously to what we have seen to take place in the intransitive, -t'p' becomes  $-t'ba^{\epsilon}$ . The subordinate aorists of t!omom- kill are: 1

Subjective	Objective						
	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural		
Singular:							
1st per.		t!omozbinda <sup>ε</sup> (t!omozbi <sup>ε</sup> n)	t!omoma'nda <sup>e</sup> (t!omoma' <sup>e</sup> n)		t!omoxanbandae (t!omoxanbaen)		
2d per.	l!ümüxdae (t!ümüxdam)		t!omomada' <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!omoma't')	t!omōximida <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!omōximit')			
3d per.	t!timűxinat (t!üműxi)	t!omoxbinae (t!omoxbi)	t!omomana'e (t'omom)	t!omoximinae (t!omoxam)	t!omöxanbana* (t!omöxanp')		
Plural:	(""""""	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	(* ************************************	(1.01101011)	(tismosonp)		
1st per.		t!omõxbinagam (t!omõxbinak')	t!omomanaga'm (t!omomana`k')		t!omõxanbanagam (t!omõxanbanak')		
2d per.	t!ümüxdabas (t!ümüxdap*)		t!omoma't'bae (t!omoma't'p')	t!omōximit'bat (t!omōximit'p')			

The forms with first personal plural subject (-na'k') and second personal object were not obtained, but the corresponding forms in -iga'm (first person plural intransitive) and -anaga'm (first person plural subject third person object) leave no doubt as to their correctness. These forms differ from ordinary futures of the same

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  The corresponding non-subordinate forms are given in parentheses.

number and person only in the use of the aorist stem. Only very few examples of subordinate -anaga'm have been found:

 $aga'hi\ ligigwanaga'm$  just-these which-we-brought-home 134.18; contrast  $l\bar{\imath}^igwanaga'm$  we shall bring them home

 $yew\bar{e}xebe^{e}yagwanaga'm$  if we should slay him (literally,perhaps thatwe-slay-him) 136.23; contrast  $xe^{e}bagwanaga'm$  we shall slay him

The use of the agrist stem in the subordinate, it will be observed, is also the only characteristic that serves to keep distinct the third personal subjective subordinates and the future forms of the passive:

 $al-x\bar{\imath}'^ixbina^\varepsilon$  when he saw you, but  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^\varepsilon xbina^\varepsilon$  you will be seen It may be noted that the third personal subjective agrist forms of the transitive may be mechanically formed, like the passives of the same tense, from the first person singular subject third person object agrist by merely dropping the glottal catch of the latter form and adding  $-a^\varepsilon$ . Thus:

gel-hewe'hana<sup>e</sup> when he thought 45.2; 142.10, 13, 16 (cf. gel-hewe'ha<sup>e</sup>n I thought); but gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11

The subordinate of the form with personal object -k'wa is formed by adding  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ :

malāk'wana<sup>e</sup> when he told him 72.14 (malāk'wa he told him 142.4)

The agrist passive subordinates cause no trouble whatever, the characteristic  $-ma^{\varepsilon}$  being in every ease simply appended to the final -n of the passive form:

 $t!omoma'nma^{\epsilon}$  when he was killed 146.22 (from t!omoma'n he was killed 148.3)

 $t!om\tilde{o}xanbanma^{\varepsilon}$  when you (plural) were killed

The complete subordinate inferential paradigm is rather motley in appearance;  $-na^{\varepsilon}$  is suffixed to the third personal subject in -k':

 $p!\tilde{a}k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he bathed  $laba'k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he carried it 126.5  $ga\bar{\imath}k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he ate it  $d\bar{u}mxik'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he killed me

The first person singular in  $-k'a^{\varepsilon}(n)$  becomes  $-k'anda^{\varepsilon}$ ; the first person plural subordinate was not obtained, but doubtless has -k'anaga'm as ending. The subordinate of the passive in -k'am is regularly formed by the addition of  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $ga\bar{\imath}k'amna^{\varepsilon}$  when it was exten  $d\tilde{\imath}omxamk'amna^{\varepsilon}$  when we were killed

The periphrastic forms in  $e\bar{\imath}t'$  and  $e\bar{\imath}t'p'$  become  $-k'+eida'^{\varepsilon}$  and  $e\bar{\imath}t'ba^{\varepsilon}$  in the subordinate; e. g.,  $w\bar{a}^ah\bar{\imath}^imt'k!eida'^{\varepsilon}$  when you answered him. The active inferential subordinates of  $d\bar{o}^um$ - with third personal object thus are:

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

Singular:

First person,  $d\tilde{o}mk'anda^{\epsilon}$ Second person,  $do^{u}mk!eida'^{\epsilon}$ 

Plural:

First person,  $d\tilde{o}mk'$ anaga'm Second person,  $d\tilde{o}^umk!$ e $\tilde{u}'ba^{\epsilon}$ 

Third person,  $d\tilde{o}mk'na^{\epsilon}$ ; personal,  $d\tilde{o}mk'wak'na^{\epsilon}$ 

Impersonal  $d\bar{o}^u mia\bar{u}k$ ' $na^{\epsilon}$ 

The subordinating element  $-na^{\varepsilon}$  also makes a subordinate clause out of a -t' participle (see §76):

gwi na't'na<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ga<sup>\varepsilon</sup> a'ldi naga'n how-he-looked (gwi na't' how-looking) that all he-was-called 60.5; (cf. 78.3) yap!a ga na't'na<sup>\varepsilon</sup> that number of people 110.15

Also adjectives and local phrases may be turned into subordinate clauses by the suffixing of  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $xilam-na'^{\varepsilon}$  when she was sick 188.10  $aga\ d\tilde{o}^{u}k'$   $gwelda-na'^{\varepsilon}$  this log under-it when (= while he was under this log) 190.20

Examples will now be given of constructions illustrating the use of subordinate forms. It is artificial, from a rigidly native point of view, to speak of causal, temporal, relative, and other uses of the subordinate; yet an arrangement of Takelma examples from the view-point of English syntax has the advantage of bringing out more clearly the range of possibility in the use of subordinates. The subordinate clause may be directly attached to the rest of the sentence, or, if its temporal, eausal, or other significance needs to be clearly brought out, it may be introduced by a relative adverb or pronoun (where, when, now, who). Both constructions are sometimes possible; e. g., a sentence like I do not know who killed the may be rendered either by not i-it-know who he-him-killing or not i-whom-know he-him-killing. Subordinate constructions with eausal signification are:

 $ts \cdot lolx$  (1)  $\ddot{u}'s \cdot \dot{i}$  (2)  $t!\ddot{u}m\tilde{u}xda^{\epsilon}$  (3) give me (2) dentalia (1), for you have struck me (3) (cf. 15.8)

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$  (1) gel- $g\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'xi$  (2)  $gayawa'nda^{\epsilon}$  (3) he does not (1) like me (2), because I ate it (3)

- $g\bar{u}xde^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $gayawana'^{\varepsilon}$  (2) goyo' (3) yap!a (4)  $ald\bar{\iota}$  (5)  $he^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\iota}-leme'k!it'$  (6) you killed off (6) all (5) the people (4), because shamans (3) ate (2) your wife (1) 146.11
- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $ya'^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $g\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (3)  $me^{\varepsilon}-w\tilde{\sigma}^{u}k'de^{\varepsilon}da^{\varepsilon}$  (4)  $ga^{\varepsilon}a'l$  (5) he did not (1) go (2), because I (3) came (4);  $ga^{\varepsilon}a'l$  (on account of, for) is employed to render preceding subordinate unambiguously causal
- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $s\cdot in-ho'k\cdot wal$  (2)  $\dot{y}u'k\cdot na^{\varepsilon}$  (3) ga (4)  $ga^{\varepsilon}al$  (5)  $sb\bar{\imath}n^{\varepsilon}a$  (6) xa'm-hi (7)  $l\bar{a}p'k'$  (8) not (1) being (3) nose-holed (2), for (5) that (4) (reason) Beaver (6) got to be (8) under water (7) 166.18

# A temporal signification is found in:

- $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ -yewe'<sup>i\varepsilon</sup> (1) ald\varepsilon l (2) t!omoma'nma^\varepsilon (3) they all (2) returned far off (1), after (many of them) had been slain (3) 146.22
- goyo (1) gel-lohoigwa'nma $^{\epsilon}$  (2) when shamans (1) are avenged (2) 148.2
- ba-i- $k!iyi'^{\epsilon}k'$  (1) p'im (2)  $gayawa'nda^{\epsilon}$  (3) he came (1) when I was eating (3) salmon (2)
- $al-x\bar{\imath}'^igi^{\varepsilon}n$  (1)  $gwi^{\varepsilon}ne$  (2)  $y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon}$  (3) I saw him (1) when (2) he went (3)

Relative clauses of one kind and another, including indirect questions, are illustrated in:

- a'nī<sup>ε</sup> (1) nek' (2) yok!oya'<sup>ε</sup>n (3) lege'xina<sup>ε</sup> (4) I do not (1) know (3) who (2) gave me to eat (4) (literally, not I-whom-know he-giving-me-to-eat)
- $yok!oya'^{\epsilon}n$  (1) nek' (2)  $laga'ximina^{\epsilon}$  (3) I know (1) who (2) gave us to eat (3)
- $m\tilde{a}n$  (1) mi'xal (2) ha- $loh\bar{o}^u nana'^{\epsilon}$  (3) he counted (1) how many (2) he had trapped (3) 100.8
- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$  (1)  $yok!\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$  (2) gwi (3)  $giniyagwa'nma^{\epsilon}$  (4) he did not (1) know (2) where (3) she had been taken to (4) 13.12
- ga'hi (1)  $d\bar{u}k$ ' (2)  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{u}g\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  (3) wa-k!ododi'nma $^{\varepsilon}$  (4) they wore (3) the same (1) garments (2) with which they had been buried (4) 96.16
- $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1)  $na^{\epsilon}naga\bar{\imath}t'e^{\epsilon}da^{\epsilon}$  (2)  $na^{\epsilon}na'^{\epsilon}k'$  (3) do (future imperative) (3) what I (1) am doing (2)
- $\bar{\imath}$ -k'we' exi (1) ulum (2)  $wa\bar{\imath}k$ '  $and a^e$  (3) they awoke me (1) who (or while, when I) before (2) was sleeping (3) 74.5; 75.6

Purpose may be implied by the subordinate in:

p'im (1)  $gayawana'^{\epsilon}$  (2) laga'k'i (3) he gave them (3) salmon (1) to eat (2) 30.11

The subordinate serves very frequently as a clause of indirect discourse after such verbs as know, see, discover. With a regular

verb of saying, such as na(g)-, it is nearly always necessary to report the exact words of the speaker.

al-xī'igien (1) xebeyigi'k'wanae (2) I saw him (1) hurt him (2) yok!oya'en (1) p'im (2) gaīk'nae (3) I know (1) that he has been eating (3) salmon (2) (literally, I-know-him salmon he-having-eaten)

 $al-x\bar{\imath}'^ixi$  (1)  $t!om\tilde{o}xanbanda^{\varepsilon}$  (2) he saw me (1) strike you (pl.) (2)  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^iqi^{\varepsilon}n$  (1)  $dal-yewe'ida^{\varepsilon}$  (2) I saw him (1) run away (2)

Not infrequently an adverb is to be considered the main predicate, particularly when supported by the unanalyzable but probably verbal form  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si(na^{\varepsilon})$ , while the main verb follows as a subordinate clause. Compare such English turns as It is here that I saw him, instead of here I saw him:

 $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si$  (2)  $eit'e^{\varepsilon}da^{\varepsilon}$  (3) I am (3) right (2) here (1) (literally, here it-is really [?] that-I-am)

 $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si$  (2)  $eida'^{\varepsilon}$  (3) you are (3) right (2) here (1)

mī<sup>i</sup> (1) wala'<sup>e</sup>si (2) ī-k!ūmanana'nhik'na<sup>e</sup> (3) he had already fixed it for him (literally, already (1) it-was-really (2) that-he-had-fixed-it-for-him (3))

Examples of subordidates depending on predicatively used adverbs without wala's i are:

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wan\bar{a}$  (2)  $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (3)  $n\dot{\epsilon}'ida^{\varepsilon}$  (4) [it is] not (1) even (2) here (3) that they did (4) (probably=even they did not get here) 61.3

 $hop!\grave{e}^{\epsilon}n$  (1)  $p!\bar{a}^{\prime}as$  (2) hi's (3)  $lop!\~ot'a^{\epsilon}$  (4) it used to snow long ago (long ago [1] that snow [2] almost [3] stormed [4])

alī (1)  $he^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -leme' $k!inda^{\varepsilon}$  (2) [it is] right here (1) that I destroy them (2) 108.20

An example of a subordinate depending on a demonstrative pronoun is:

 $\bar{\imath}'daga$  (1) yap!a (2)  $s:as:in\bar{\imath}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  (3) that man is standing (literally, [it is] that [1] man [2] that is standing [3])

The form  $wala'^{\epsilon}sina^{\epsilon}$  is in all probability a third personal agrist transitive subordinate form in  $-na^{\epsilon}$ , as is shown by its use as a substantive verb for the third person when following an adverb, apparently to supply the lack of a third person in the regular substantive verb ei:

eme<sup>e</sup> (1) wala<sup>e</sup>sina<sup>e</sup> (2) ā'k!a (3) he (3) is right (2) here (1) (literally, something like: [it is] here that-it-really-is he)

ge (1)  $wala'^{\epsilon}s \cdot ina^{\epsilon}$  (2) he is over there (literally, [it is] there [1] that-he-really-is [2])

Most astonishing is the use of wala'esinae as a modal prefix of a subordinate verb (of the movable class treated above, see § 34) to assert the truth of an action in the manner of our English did in sentences like he did go. Thus, from dak'-da-hālsbi he answered YOU. is formed the emphatic dak'-da-wala' sina -halsbina He did ANSWER YOU. The only analysis of this form that seems possible is to consider the verbal prefixes dak'-da- as a predicative adverb upon which  $wala'^{\varepsilon}sina^{\varepsilon}$  is syntactically dependent, the main verb  $-h\tilde{a}lsbina^{\varepsilon}$ itself depending as a subordinate clause on its modal prefix. The fact that dak'-da- has as good as no concrete independent existence as adverb, but is idiomatically used with the verbal base hal- to make up the idea of ANSWER, is really no reason for rejecting this analysis, strange as it may appear, for the mere grammatical form of a sentence need have no immediate connection with its logical dismemberment. The above form might be literally translated as (IT IS) ABOVE (dak'-) WITH-HIS-MOUTH (da-) THAT-IT-REALLY-IS THAT-HE-ANSWERED-YOU.

§ 71. CONDITIONALS

Conditionals differ from other subordinate forms in that they are derived, not from the full verb-form with its subject-affix, but, if intransitive, directly from the verb-stem; if transitive, from the verbstem with incorporated pronominal object. In other words, the conditional suffix  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (- $gi^{\varepsilon}$ ) is added to the same phonetic verbal units as appear in the inferential before the characteristic -k', and in the periphrastic future before the second element -gulugw-. The phonetic and to some extent psychologic similarity between the inferential (e.g.,  $d\bar{u}mxik'$  he evidently struck me) and the conditional (e.g., dũmxiqiε if he strikes, had struck me) makes it not improbable that the latter is a derivative in  $-i^{\varepsilon}$  of the third personal subjective form in -k' of the latter. The conditional, differing again from other subordinates in this respect, shows no variation for pronominal subjects, the first and second personal subjective forms being periphrastically expressed by the addition to the conditional of the third personal subjective of the appropriate forms of ei- BE. From verb-stem yana- go, for example, are derived:

Singular:

First person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ett'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> Second person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ett'
Third person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>

BOAS] HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

197

Plural:

First person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> e'bi'k' Second person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> e\varepsilon it' p'

Impersonal: yanayaŭk'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>

The conditional is used not merely, as its name implies, to express the protasis of a condition, but as the general subordinate form of unrealized activity; as such it may often be translated as a temporal or relative clause, an introductory adverb or relative pronoun serving to give it the desired shade of meaning. Examples of its use other than as a conditional, in the strict sense of the word, are:

 $yok!oya'^{\epsilon}n$  (1) nek' (2)  $l\tilde{a}xbigi^{\epsilon}$  (3) I know (1) who (2) will give you to eat (3)

dewe'nxa (1)  $al-x\bar{\imath}'k!in$  (2)  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  (3)  $yana'k'i^{\epsilon}$  (4) I shall see him (2) to-morrow (1), when (3) he goes (4)

 $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}xink'$  (1)  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  (2)  $yana'k'i^{\epsilon}$   $e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\epsilon}$  (3) he will see me (1) when (2) I go (3)

gwen-t'gāa-bo'k'danda (1) ts·!ō'ut!igie (2) yā'a (3) heene (4) yā'a (5) xeebagwa'n (6) just (3) when they touch (2) the eastern extremity of the earth (1), just (5) then (4) I shall destroy them (6) 144.15

It has a comparative signification (as though) in:

 $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  (1) de- $g\ddot{\imath}'k!alxg\dot{\imath}^\varepsilon$  (2)  $na^\varepsilon naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (3) it was (3) as though fire (1) were glowing (2) 142.1

Conditional sentences are of two types:

- (1) Simple, referring to action of which, though unfulfilled, there yet remains the possibility of fulfillment.
- (2) Contrary to fact, the hypothetical activity being beyond the possibility of fulfillment.

Both types of condition require the conditional form in the protasis, but differ in the apodosis. The apodosis of a simple conditional sentence contains always a future form (or inferential, if the apodosis is negative), that of a contrary-to-fact condition, a potential. Examples of simple conditions are:

- ga (1)  $na^{\varepsilon}n\tilde{a}k'i^{\varepsilon}$   $e\bar{\imath}t'$  (2)  $haxada'^{\varepsilon}$  (3) if you do (2) that (1), you'll get burnt (3)
- $\bar{a}k'$  (1)  $yana'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $g\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (3)  $hono^{\varepsilon}$  (4)  $yana't'e^{\varepsilon}$  (5) if he (1) goes (2), I (3) go (5) too (4)
- wede (1)  $yana'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $g\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (3)  $hono^{\varepsilon}$  (4) wede (5)  $yana'k'a^{\varepsilon}$  (6) if he does not (1) go (2), I (3) won't (5) go (6) either (4)
- gwalt' (1) mahai (2) wo'k'i $^{\epsilon}$  (3) ga (4)  $n\bar{a}^{a}gi'^{\epsilon}k'$  (5) if a great (2) wind (1) arrives (3), say (5) that! (4) 196.19

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

The apodosis of such conditions is sometimes introduced by the demonstrative pronoun ga that, which may be rendered in such cases by then, in that case:

aga (1)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -s $g\bar{o}'^u$ s $gi^\varepsilon$  (2) ga (3) loho't' $e^\varepsilon$  (4) if this (1) string parts (2), in that case (3) I shall be dead (4) 59.10, (11)

Of this type are also all general conditions referring to customary action that is to take place in time to come, such as are often introduced in English by words like whenever, wherever, and so on. Examples of such general conditions are:

- wi'lau (1) k!emniyaūk'i<sup>¢</sup> (2) wa-t'bā'agamdina<sup>¢</sup> (3) whenever people will make (2) arrows (1), they (arrows) will be backed (literally, tied) with it (3) (with sinew) 28.2
- $w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i$  (1)  $d\bar{u}$  (2) ba-i- $gin\bar{a}k'wi^{\epsilon}$  <sup>2</sup> (3) goyo' (4)  $he^{\epsilon}ne$  (5)  $d\bar{o}^u$ - $mana'^{\epsilon}$  (6) whenever a shaman (4) goes out with <sup>3</sup> (3) one whose body (1) is good (2), then (5) he shall be slain (6) 146.6
- goyo (1) gel-lohogwia $\bar{u}k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $he^{\varepsilon}ne$  (3)  $y\bar{a}'^{a}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  (4) yap!a (5) gama'xdi (6)  $p!\hat{e}'^{\varepsilon}t'$  (7) whenever one takes vengeance for (2) a shaman (1), just (4) then (3) ordinary (6) people (5) will lie (7) (i. e., be slain) 146.8
- wede (1)  $hono^{\epsilon}$  (2) ne'k' (3)  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'wak'$  (4) yap!a (5)  $loho'k'\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$  (6) no (1) one (3) will see him (4) again (2), when a person (5) dies (6) 98.10
- $gana^{\varepsilon}ne'x$  (1)  $yo'^{\varepsilon}t'$  (2) yap!a (3)  $g\bar{a}ik'i^{\varepsilon}$  (4) thus (1) it shall be (2) as people (3) grow, multiply (4) 146.15

# Examples of contrary-to-fact conditions are:

- aldī (1)  $yuk'ya'k'i^{\varepsilon}$   $e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $mala'xbi^{\varepsilon}n$  (3) if I knew (2) all (1), I should tell it to you (3) 162.5
- nek (1)  $yo'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2) dak'- $limxgwa^{\varepsilon}$  (3) if it were (2) anyone else (1), it (tree) would have fallen on him (3) 108.11, 13
- $\bar{\imath}'daga$  (1) ge (2)  $yu'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (3) wede (4)  $d\bar{o}^u ma'^{\varepsilon}n$  (5) if that one (1) had been (3) there (2), I should not (4) have killed him (5)
- $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1) ge (2)  $yu'k'i^{\varepsilon}e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  (3)  $b\tilde{o}^u$  (4)  $yana'^{\varepsilon}$  (5) haga' (6) if I (1) were (3) there (2), he would have gone (5) in that event (4)

In the last example, haga' is a demonstrative adverb serving to summarize the protasis, being about equivalent to our in that event, under those circumstances. This word may be the adverbialized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> General conditions, however, that apply to past time, or that have application without reference to time-limit, are constructed by the use of the subordinate for the protasis, and agrist for the apodosis, both verbs being, if possible, frequentative or continuative in form: ts-lixi(1)  $k'ewe' \in k'awalda^e$  (2)  $he^ene$  (3) yap!a (4) al-l-l-ayaik' (5) whenever the dog (1) barked (2), then (3) he found (5) a person (4).

 $a = -gin\tilde{a}k'w + -k'i^{\epsilon}$ .

3 Causes the death of.

<sup>§ 71</sup> 

BOAS]

form of the demonstrative pronoun  $h\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}ga$  that one; it is used also with persons other than the third:

yana't'e haga' I should have gone in that event

### § 72. USES OF POTENTIAL AND INFERENTIAL

The potential and inferential modes differ from the aorist in the negative particle with which they may be combined. An indicative non-future statement, such as is expressed by the aorist, is negatived, without change of the verb-form, by means of the negative adverb  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $y\tilde{a}nt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I went;  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$   $y\tilde{a}nt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I did not go

An imperative or future form, however, can not be directly negatived, but must be expressed by the potential and inferential respectively, the non-agristic negative adverb wede being prefixed. Thus we have:

### Negative future:

 $yana'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he will go : wede yana'k' he will not go yanada'<sup>ε</sup> you will go : wede yana'k!eīt' you will not go yana't'e E I shall go : wede yana'k'a $^{\epsilon}$  I shall not go dõmxbin I shall kill you :  $wede \ d\tilde{o}mxbiga^{\epsilon} \ I$  shall not kill 178.15 you (cf. 178,15)  $d\bar{o}^u ma'nk'$  he will kill him : wede(1) ne'k' (2) yap!a (3) qama'xdi (4)  $d\tilde{o}^umk'$  (5) no (1) one (2) will slay (5) a person (3) who is no shaman (4) 146.16

Negative imperative:

yana' go! (sing.) : wede yana't' do not go!

yana'np' go! (pl.) :  $wede \ yana't'p'$  do not go! (156.9)  $d\tilde{o}^u m$  kill him! :  $wede \ d\tilde{o}^u ma't'$  do not kill him! :  $wede \ qa \ na^\epsilon na't'$  do not do that!

The particle wede is used with the inferential and potential, not only to form the negative future and imperative, but in all cases in which these modes are negatived, e. g., wede  $d\bar{o}^u m a'^{\epsilon} n$  i should not have killed him, i would not kill him. There is thus no morphologic distinction between a prohibitive do not go! and a second person subject negative apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition, you would not have gone. It is probably not a mere accident that the negative particle wede is phonetically identical with the verb-stem wede-take away. This plausible etymology of wede suggests that the origin of

the negative future and imperative constructions lies in such periphrastic sentences as:

Remove (all thought from your mind) that I (inferentially) go (i. e., I shall not go)

Remove (all thought from your mind) that you might, would go (i. e., do not go!)

The inferential, as we have seen above (see § 59), is used primarily to indicate that the action is not directly known through personal experience. An excellent example of how such a shade of meaning can be imparted even to a form of the first person singular was given in § 70; s'\(\varepsilon\_1\) i -k'we'\(\varepsilon\_x\) i ulum wa\(\varepsilon\_x\) and a\(\varepsilon\_x\) they woke me up while i WAS SLEEPING! 74.5 In the myth from which this sentence is taken, Covote is represented as suffering death in the attempt to carry out one of his foolish pranks. Ants, however, sting him back into life; whereupon Covote, instead of being duly grateful, angrily exclaims as above, assuming, to save his self-esteem, that he has really only been taking an intentional nap. The inferential form  $waik'anda^{\varepsilon}$ is used in preference to the matter-of-fact agrist wayant'e edae I SLEEPING, because of the implied inference, I WASN'T DEAD, AFTER ALL, ELSE HOW COULD THEY WAKE ME? I WAS REALLY SLEEPING, MUST HAVE BEEN SLEEPING. Closely akin to this primary use of the inferential is its frequent use in rhetorical questions of anger, surprise, wonder, and discovery of fact after ignorance of it for some time. Examples from the myths, where the context gives them the necessary psychological setting, are:

- $geme'^{\varepsilon}di$  (1)  $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (2)  $waya\bar{\imath}xagwat'$  (3)  $yu'k'a^{\varepsilon}$  (4) how (1) should I (2) be (4) daughter-in-lawed (3) (i. e., how do I come to have any daugher-in-law?) 56.10 I didn't know that you, my son, were married!
- $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1) di' (2)  $ha'mi^{\varepsilon}t'ban$  (3)  $d\tilde{\imath}^u mk'a^{\varepsilon}$  (4) did I (1) kill (4) your father (3) ? (2) 158.2
- s:-gwi  $d\tilde{\imath}'$  (1) le'mk!iauk' (2) where (1) have they all gone (2), any way? 90.25, 27 says Coyote, looking in vain for help
- $\bar{o}+(1)$   $m\bar{v}$  (2) di' (3) sample a'uk' (4) Oh! (1) has it gotten to be summer (4) already (2)? (3) says Coyote, after a winter's sleep in a tree-trunk 92.9
- ga (1) di' (2)  $x \tilde{c} p' k'$  (3) ga (4) di' (5)  $g \bar{u}^u x d e' k'$  (6)  $g a \tilde{\iota} k'$  (7) so it is those (1) that did it (3) ? (2) those (4) that ate (7) my wife (6) ? (5) 142.18

- e'me<sup>e</sup> (1) daba'<sup>e</sup>x (2) di (3) <sup>e</sup>eī<sup>e</sup>a (4) yu'k' (5) are (5) canoes (4) (to be found) only (2) here (1) ? (3) 114.7 (i. e., why do you bother me about ferrying you across, when there are plenty of canoes elsewhere?)
- ga (1) di' (2) p!ā'ant' (3) gaīk'a<sup>\varepsilon</sup> (4) so that (1) was their livers (3) that I ate (4) ? (2) 120.14 says Grizzly Bear, who imagined she had eaten not her children's, but Black Bear's children's, livers, on discovering her mistake

A peculiar Takelma idiom is the interrogative use of  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  when, now long followed by wede and the inferential, to denote a series of repetitions or an unbroken continuity of action. Examples are:

gwiene (1) di' (2) wede (3) waik' (4) he kept on sleeping (literally, when [1] did he not [3] sleep [4] ? [2]) 142.11; 152.24 gwiene' (1) di (2) wede (3) ho'k' (4) he ran and ran (literally, how long [1] did he not [3] run [4] ? [2]) 78.14.

gwīene (1) di' (2) wede (3) dāk'am (4) he kept on being found, they always stumbled upon him again (literally, when [1] was he not [3] found [4] ? [2]) 110.15

Similar psychologically is the non-negative future in:

 $ge'me^{\epsilon}di$  (1)  $hono^{\epsilon}$  (2)  $al-d\bar{a}^agi'nk'$  (3) they never found him again (lit., when [1] will they find him [3] again? [2]) 190.25

# 6. Nominal and Adjectival Derivatives (§§ 73-83)

### § 73. INTRODUCTORY

Although such derivatives from the verb-stem as infinitives and nouns of agency should logically be treated under the denominating rather than the predicative forms of speech, they are in Takelma, as in most other languages, so closely connected as regards morphology with the latter, that it is much more convenient to treat them immediately after the predicative verb-forms. The number of nominal and adjectival forms derived from the Takelma verb-stem is not very large, comprising infinitives or verbal nouns of action, active and passive participles, nouns of agency, and a few other forms whose function is somewhat less transparent. The use made of them, however, is rather considerable, and they not infrequently play an important part in the expression of subordinate verbal ideas.

### § 74. INFINITIVES

Infinitives, or, as they are perhaps better termed, verbal nouns, may be formed from all verbs by the addition of certain suffixes to the stem or stem + pronominal object, if the verb form is transitive.

Inasmuch as infinitives, being nothing but nouns in form, may take possessive affixes, forms may easily result that combine a transitive object and a possessive pronoun; e. g.,  $d\tilde{o}mxbiyat'k'$  my (-t'k' scheme II § 92) KILLING YOU (-bi-), FOR ME TO KILL YOU (cf.  $y\tilde{e}xbiyaxdek'$  my BITING YOU 116.9; -x-dek' scheme II § 92). The classification of verbs into classes is reflected also in the infinitive forms, each of the three main classes being distinguished by a special infinitive suffix. The suffixes are:

Intransitive I -(a')x. Intransitive II -k'wa (-gwa). Transitive -ia (-ya).

The peculiar sub-classes that were grouped together as Class IV all form their infinitives in -k'wa (-gwa). Besides these three main suffixes, -(d)epx- (-apx-) with possessive suffixes is employed to form infinitives from reflexives in -gwi-, while active intransitives in -xa-form their infinitives by employing the bare stem-form with verbal derivative -xa. Infinitives in -xa'k'wa also occur. The infinitive often shows the stem in a purer form than the non-aorist finite forms; in particular the non-aoristic -p'- of Class II intransitive verbs regularly disappears before the -gwa of the infinitive.

Examples of infinitives are:

### 1. From Class I intransitives:

waīxde<sup>e</sup> your sleeping bā<sup>a</sup>-dawi'x to fly up hogwa'x to run t!e<sup>e</sup>wa'x to play shinny

ne'x saying 108.16; 184.10

yana'x to go
hoida'x to dance
lõux to play 31.7
naene'x doing 94.10; 72.4;
148.13
gina'x to go (176.8) (from simple base gin-; contrast third person future ging-a'et')

Stems ending in long diphthongs either take -x or -ax. Thus we have either  $ha-y\tilde{e}\bar{u}-x-d\bar{a}^ada$  or  $ha-ye^ew-a'x-d\bar{a}^ada$  in their returning 124.15.

#### 2. From Class II intransitives:

k'wā'as xgwa to wake up (intransitive) geiwa'lxgwa to eat lãk'wa to become p!ala'k'wa to tell a myth t'gēlxgwa to run around, roll

ba-i-di'nexgwa to march sa's'ank'wa to stand sana'k'wa to fight

BOAS]

### 3. From Class IV verbs:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $he^{\epsilon}gwa'k'wa$  (=  $-he^{\epsilon}g^{w}hag^{w}$ -k'wa) to work

al-we'k!alk'wa to shine da-bo'k'ba'xgwa to bubble under water (observe verbsuffix -x- of infinitive; but da-bok!oba'k'na'n I make bubbles)

### 4. From -xa- verbs:

 $l\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}xwa$ ' (= $l\bar{u}k!$ -xa') to trap

p'e'lxa to go to war (but also p'elxa'k'wa 1)

### 5. From reflexives:

t'gwāaxa'nt'gwidepxdagwa to tattoo himself lū'exagwant'gwiapxde'k' to trap for myself se'la'mt'gwidepxdek' to paint myself han-se'gwa'nt'gwiapxdek' to paddle myself across

### From non-reflexive verbs are derived:

ga-iwia pxde'k' my eating

 $w\bar{u}xiapxd\bar{u}^a$  his coming to get me

### 6. From transitives:

p!ala'xbiya to tell you a myth

ī-garga'xgwia to scratch one's self

 $\begin{cases} \bar{\imath} - k'w\bar{a}'^a k!wia \text{ to wake him} \\ \bar{\imath} - k'we' e^{\epsilon}xiya \text{ to wake me (164.20)} \end{cases}$  $d\bar{a}^a$ -agania' to hear about it  $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $d\tilde{o}xia$  to gather them  $\bar{\imath}$ -gi's·gis·ia² to tickle him wayanagwia` to run after him  $l\bar{o}^u gwia$ ` to play with it  $d\tilde{o}mk$ 'wia³ to kill him

The syntactical usage of verbal nouns of action is illustrated in the following examples:

hūli'nk wat'k k!emna'nk he will make me tired (literally, mytiredness he-will-make-it)

 $t!om\tilde{o}x\bar{a}^ada$   $wiyina'^\epsilon n$  I help him kill (literally, his-killing [no object] I-aid-it)

ho'gwax gel-gulugwa'<sup>\epsilon</sup>n I like to run (lit., running I-like-it) (196.8) a'nī<sup>\epsilon</sup> yok!ōī nexde'k' he does not know what I said (literally, not he-knows-it my-saying)

xi-\(\varepsilon\)uga ga\(\varepsilon\)a in order to drink water (literally, water-drinking for)

 $ba-i-k!iyi'^{\epsilon}k'$   $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}xbiya$   $ga^{\epsilon}a'l$  he came to see you (literally, hecame seeing-you for)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Infinitives in -k'wa seem sometimes to be formed from other Class 1 intransitives, e. g., wisma'k'wa то моve; hara'k'wāa то вики (also hara'rgwāa).

<sup>\*</sup> Umlauted from \*ī-gi's gas ia.

<sup>\*\* \*\* \*\*</sup>wi- here represents objective - k'wa- umlauted by infinitive ending -(y)a (see § 8). Similarly \*\*s  $\bar{u}$  mt' ia to Boll it 170.16 from -t'aya.

The normal method of expressing purpose, as the last two examples show, is by the use of an infinitive followed by the general locative postposition  $ga^{\varepsilon}a^{\gamma}l$  to, at for. The infinitive, as its inclusion of the object shows, preserves its verbal character almost completely, and may itself govern another infinitive:

k!emnia` al-we'k!alk`wāa to make it shine (literally, to-make-it its-shining)

Not a few infinitives have become more or less specialized as regular nouns, though it is extremely doubtful if the transparently verbal origin of such nouns is ever lost sight of. Such nouns are:

p!ala'k'wa myth 50.4; 172.17

t'ge°mt'ga'mxgwa darkness gina'x passage-way 176.9

§ 75-76

 $ye'l^{\varepsilon}sgwix$  sweat (cf.  $ye'l^{\varepsilon}sgwade^{\varepsilon}$ I shall sweat [140.1]) ts:!ip'na'x speech, oration (cf. ts:!i'p'nan I shall make a speech to them [146.11]) sana'k'wa fight, battle ts:!e\*ma'x noise (cf. dāa-ts!ēm-xde\* I hear a big noise 90.21)

### PARTICIPLES (§§ 75-78)

#### § 75. General Remarks

Participles are either active or passive, and may be formed with considerable freedom from all verbs. They have not been found with incorporated pronominal objects, the active participles being more adjectival than verbal in character, while the passives naturally hardly allow of their incorporation. The passive participle is often provided with possessive affixes that correspond to the transitive subjects of the finite verb; the active participle, on the other hand, undergoes no modification for person, but, like any adjective, is brought in connection with a particular person by the forms of the copula ei- BE.

### § 76. Active Participle in -t'

This participle is formed by simply appending a -t', one of the characteristic adjectival suffixes, to the verb-stem. Inferential and imperative -p'- of Class II intransitives disappears before this element (e. g., se'nsant' whooping), but not the non-aoristic -p'-, which is characteristic (see § 42, 1) of some of the verbs of the same class; e. g., sana'p' fighting (from \*sana'p't'). Participles in -t' never denote particular action, but regularly indicate that the action predi-

BOAS]

cated of a person is one that in a way marks him off from others, and that may serve as a characteristic attribute. Not infrequently, therefore, a -t'- participle has the value of a noun of agency; the fact, however, that it never appears with pronominal elements, but is always treated as an adjective, demonstrates its attributive, non-substantival character. It is possible to use it with a preceding nominal object, so that sentences may result that seem to predicate a single act definitely placed in time; yet an attributive shade of meaning always remains. For example, wihin  $d\tilde{o}mt'$   $e\tilde{\iota}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  (literally, MY-MOTHER HAV-ING-KILLED I-AM) and within  $t!omoma'^{\varepsilon}n$  both mean I KILLED MY MOTHER, but with a difference. The latter sentence simply states the fact, the emphasis being on the act itself; the former sentence, on the other hand, centers in the description of the subject as a matricide, I AM ONE WHO HAS KILLED HIS MOTHER. The latter sentence might be a reply to a query like WHAT DID YOU DO? the former, to WHO ARE YOU?

Examples of -t' participles are:

```
gwi-na't' how constituted, of what kind? (gwi-1) [how, where] + na't' [from na- do, act]) 14.4, 9, 10; 15.6
```

ga-na't' of that kind, so in appearance 63.12; 192.7

wunt' k!emēen I make him old (cf. wununt'e I grow old)

 $t'g\bar{a}^a$  haxa't' burnt field (not passive, but really=field that has at one time burned) 92.29

hēlt' eīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I know how to sing (literally, singing I am)

yap!a lohont' eīt'e I have killed (many) people (literally, people causing [or having caused]-to-die I am)

loho't' having died, dead 148.13

hawa'x-xiwi't' (it is) rotting

xuda'mt'  $e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I am whistler

ni'xa yi'lt' having copulated with his mother (insulting epithet applied to Coyote) 86.5, 6, 16

Examples of participles with lost -t' have been given above (see § 18).

§ 77. Passive Participle in -(a)k'w, -i'k'w

Nominal participial forms in -k' of passive signification can be freely formed from all transitive verb-stems, the stem invariably undergoing palatalization (see § 31). The suffix -k' ordinarily requires a preceding connective -a- replaced, as usual, by an instrumental -i- in such passive participles as are derived from verb-forms themselves provided with -i-. Participles in -ak' tend to be accented on the

syllable immediately preceding the suffix, in which case an inorganic -h- generally appears before the -a-; -hak'w is also regularly used with preceding fortis (see § 19). It is not unlikely that the suffix is organically -hak'w, the -ha- implying continuity (see § 43, 5). Instrumental passives in -ik'w, on the other hand, are generally accented, with raised pitch, on the -i- of the suffix. For example, dumhak'w (ALWAYS) KILLED OF STRUCK PERSON, but  $wa\hbox{-} d\bar{u}^u mi'k'^w$  thing with which one KILLS (literally, KILLED-WITH thing). Inasmuch as -k'w- participles, differing in this respect from active participles in -t', are distinctly nominal in character, they may be provided with possessive suffixes; e.g., dũmhak'w-dek' MY STRUCK ONE. Forms thus arise which, like -t'-participles supplemented by forms of ei- BE, have independent predicative force. What we have seen to apply to -t'-participles, however, in regard to particularity of action, applies with equal if not greater force to predicatively used passives in -k'u. While a sentence like  $\bar{\imath}'daga$ t!omoma'n (domk'am) that one was slain, with finite passive, implies the fulfillment of a single act, a sentence whose predicate is supplied by a passive participle (like \(\bar{t}'\)daga \(d\bar{u}\)mhak'\(\bar{u}\) that one is [REGULARLY] SLAIN, STRUCK) necessarily refers to habitual or regularly continued activity: ī'daga dūmhak' vde'k' that one is my (regu-LARLY) STRUCK ONE thus approaches in signification the finite frequentative \(\tilde{\gamma}' \) daga \(t! \) omo' amda\(^{\epsilon}\) That one I (always) strike, but differs radically in signification from both  $\bar{\imath}'daga$   $t!omoma'^{\varepsilon}n$ I KILLED THAT ONE and  $\bar{\imath}'daga~d\tilde{o}mt'~e\tilde{\imath}t'e^{\epsilon}$  I am one that has killed THAT ONE.

Examples of  $-k^{\prime w}$ - participles are:

gwen-sgū'uɛ't'ôk'w (those) with their necks cut off (21.2, 4, 5)
xa-ī-sgī'uɛ'p'sgibik'w (bodies) cut in two 21.2; 22.3
(mī) gela'p'ak'w¹ something which is (already) twisted
gūhak'w naɛ'ne'x like something planted, sown
waɛ'-ī-dūxik'wdek' I have been gathering them (literally, my
gathered ones)
dalɛ-wa-p'ū't!ik'w (manzanita) mixed with (sugar-pine nuts) 178.5
t'ān t'gwīl gūt'ôk'wdāa squirrel has been burying (gōud-) hazelnuts (literally, squirrel hazel-nuts [are] his-buried-ones)²
sēk'ak'wde'k' I (always) shoot (sāag-) him (literally, my shot one)
mīla'shak'wdek' I love her (literally, my loved one)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf.  $galaba'^{\epsilon}n$  I twist it; -a'- above is inorganic, hence unpalatalized to - $\epsilon$ -.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>t'gwit$  (HAZEL-NUTS) is the grammatical subject;  $g\bar{u}t'\delta k'ud\bar{u}^{2}$  predicates the subject;  $t'\bar{u}n$  (SQUIRREL) is outside the main core of the sentence, being merely in apposition with the incorporated  $-d\bar{u}^{2}$  (HIS) of the nominal predicate.

BOAS

As the last example shows, the indirective -s- of verbs with indirect object is preserved in -hak'w participles (contrast mīla't'-k' HE LOVED HER [inferential]).

Participles of instrumental signification in -i'k' are freely employed to make up instrumental nouns, such as names of implements. Examples are:

```
douk'-squ'ut!ik'u log-cut-with (=saw)
seel-wa-seela'mdik'u black paint (writing) - therewith - painted
  (written) (=pencil)

ō-smi'lsmilik'u (thing) swung (=swing)
dūk'u-wa-sqū'ut!ik'u dress-therewith-cut (=scissors)
k!wāī-bāa-sqēk'sgigik'u grass-up-pitched-with (=pitchfork)
yap!a-wa-dōumi'k'u people-therewith-killed, e. g., arrow, gun
daema'xau ale-wa-xī'ik!ik'u far therewith-seen, e. g., telescope
mülmili'k'u something to stir (mush) up with
```

It is interesting to note that forms in  $-k^{\prime u}$  may be formed from the third person possessive of nouns, chiefly terms of relationship. These are shown by the palatalized form of the stem to be morphologically identical with passive participles in  $-k^{\prime u}$ . Examples are:

```
Noun Participle

ts \cdot !ele'i his eye 86.7, 9 ts \cdot !ele'ik' w eye-having 27.9

ni'xa his mother 17.11; 126.7 ni'xak' w he has a mother

ma'xa his father 17.12; 126.6 me'xak' w he has a father

k'a^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ik!\bar{\imath}^ihis woman (178.8) k'e^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{e}'p'ik!ik' w he has a wife

t!\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ik!\bar{\imath}^i her husband 46.1 t!\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{e}'p'ik!ik' she has a husband
```

Such forms in -k'w may well be compared to English adjectives of participial form in -ed; e. g., Left-handed, four-cornered. They may be further adjectivalized by the addition of -at' (see below, § 108); e. g., me'xagwat' father-having.

#### § 78. Passive Participles in -xap' (-sap')

Less common than passive participles in  $-(a)k'^{w}$  are certain forms in -xap' (-sap'), which, like the former, show a palatalized form of the stem, and seem to be identical in function with them. Like  $-k'^{w}$ - participles, again, they may be provided with possessive pronominal suffixes, though these belong to another scheme of endings:

gel- $g\ddot{u}la'k'ak'w$ -de'k' my liked one, I like him (=gel- $g\ddot{u}la'xab$ -at'k') gel- $g\ddot{u}la'k'ak'w$ -da they like him (=gel- $g\ddot{u}la'xap'$ )

Forms in -xap' are in particular use as names of articles of clothing. Examples are:

gwen-wī'isxap' handkerchief, neckerchief 188.5 (cf. gwen-wī'ik!an I shall wind it about my neck)

dak'-wī'isxap' something wound about one's head

xāa-le''sxap' (=-t!-xap') belt (cf. xāa-lā'at!an I shall put it about my waist)

gwen-p!īxap' pillow (cf. gwen-p!īk'wan I shall lie on pillow)

ha-lū'usxap' shirt (cf. ha-lō'uk!win I shall put on shirt)

ha-ya-u-t'ge'nsap' (=-ts!-xap') vest (cf. ha-ya-u-t'ge'nts!an I shall put it about my middle, ribs)

sge' sxap' man's hat

### NOUNS OF AGENCY (§§ 79-82)

### § 79. Introductory

Four suffixes have been found that are employed to form nouns of agency from verb-stems,  $-{}^{\varepsilon}s$ ,  $-s\bar{a}^a$ ,  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , and -xi. The first of these is more strictly verbal in character than the other three, being capable, unlike these, of incorporating the pronominal object.  $-s\bar{a}^a$  and  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , probably genetically related suffixes, are used apparently only with intransitive stems (including, however, such as are partly transitive in form, i.e., that belong to Class IV).  $-{}^{\varepsilon}s$  and  $-x\bar{\imath}$  are used with both transitive and intransitive stems.

### § 80. Nouns of Agency in $-(a')^{\varepsilon}$ s

This suffix is used to form agentives with more freedom than the others seem to be. The ending -\(^{\epsilon}\)s is added directly to the verb-stem, with connective -a'- (instrumental -i-) if phonetically necessary. No examples have been found of agentives in -\(^{\epsilon}\)s from intransitives of Class II. Examples are (49.4; 60.10):

hoida' $^{\varepsilon}$ s dancer h $\bar{a}$ pxi-t' $\bar{a}^a$ g $a'^{\varepsilon}$ s child-crier (= cry-baby)

he'la' $^{\varepsilon}$ s singer  $xut'ma'^{\varepsilon}$ s whistler

p! $\bar{a}^a$ g $a'^{\varepsilon}$ s bather  $k'aiwi'^{\varepsilon}$   $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ -d $\tilde{o}$ xi $^{\varepsilon}$ s one who gathers everything

y $\bar{a}^a$ d $a'^{\varepsilon}$ s swimmer xuma- $k!emna'^{\varepsilon}$ s food - maker

(= cook) 54.4

ts!a- $uya'^{\varepsilon}$ s fast runner 138.2  $d\tilde{o}$ m $xbi^{\varepsilon}$ s one who kills you  $mala'ximi^{\varepsilon}$ s one who tells us

The last two examples show incorporated pronominal objects; the first personal plural object -am- is, as usual, followed by the connec-

BOAS]

tive -i-. The strongly verbal coloring of the agentive in -ss is perhaps best indicated by its employment as a final clause. Examples of this use are:

 $ba-i-k!iyi'k'de^{\varepsilon}$   $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}xbi^{\varepsilon}s$  I came to see you (literally, as one-seeing-you)  $me^{\varepsilon}-gini'^{\varepsilon}k'$   $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}xi^{\varepsilon}s$  he came to see me  $hoida'^{\varepsilon}s$  di  $me^{\varepsilon}-giniga't'$  did you come to dance? (i. e., as dancer)

hoida'ɛs di meɛ-giniga't' did you come to dance? (i. e., as dancer) a'nīɛ meɛ-gini'k'deɛ lõuɛs. I did not come to play, as player 31.6 (cf. § 74 for another method of expressing this idea)

## $\S$ 81. Nouns of Agency in $-s\tilde{\iota}^i$ , $-s\tilde{a}^a$

These, as already observed, are less distinctly verbal in force than the preceding. Some verbs have agentives in both  $-\varepsilon_8$  and  $-\varepsilon_8\bar{a}^a$ ; e. g.,  $he^{\varepsilon}la'^{\varepsilon}_8$  and  $h\bar{\varepsilon}ls\bar{a}^a$  singer. Not infrequently there is a distinct feeling of disparagement in a  $-s\bar{a}^a$ - agentive as compared with one in  $-\varepsilon_8$ ; e. g.,  $hog^wa'^{\varepsilon}_8$  good runner, but  $ho'k's\bar{a}^a$  one who always runs (because of fear). Both of these suffixes are added directly to the stem without connecting vowel. If stressed, they have the falling accent.  $-s\bar{a}^a$  is the regular agentive ending of Class II intransitives; -p'- is or is not retained before it under the same conditions as in the case of the participial -t' (see § 76).

Further examples of agentives in  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$  and  $-s\bar{a}^a$  are:

*ī-heeqwa'k*'wsī' worker da- $l\tilde{o}$ si liar (but non-disparaging  $l\tilde{o}^{u\varepsilon}$ s player)  $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}s\cdot\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (=  $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}s\cdot-s\cdot\bar{\imath}^{i}$ )  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  I make him laugh (literally, laugher)  $(al-t'w\bar{a}^ap't'wa'p's\bar{\imath}^i blinker)$  $al-t'w\bar{a}^ap't'wa'p's\bar{a}^a$  $x\bar{a}^a$ -wîs $\bar{a}^a$  go-between (settler of feud) 178.11  $d\bar{a}^a$ -p!iya wīsā<sup>a</sup> one going, dancing by side of fire (=medicineman)  $yims \cdot \bar{a}'^a$  (=  $yims \cdot -s \cdot \bar{a}'^a$ ) dreamer (= medicine-man) waīsā big sleeper eseūsāa big sneezer se'nsansāa one knowing how to whoop sana'p'sāa one knowing how to fight s'a's'ansāa one always standing  $s \cdot \bar{u}'^{\varepsilon} a l s \bar{a}^a$  one always sitting nōts!adam yu'sāa eebik' we are neighbors (literally, neighboringto-us being [stem yu-] we-are) t!obaga'sãa (= -a's-sãa) eīt' you are always lying like dead

A few nouns in  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , in which an agentive meaning can not well be detected, nevertheless doubtless belong here:  $l\bar{\imath}^u s \bar{\imath}^i$  PLAYTHING

[BULL. 40

(110.6,11) (cf. verb-stem  $l\bar{o}^u$ - play); less evidently,  $le^e psi$  feather 28.2;  $ala'ks\bar{\imath}^i$  its tail (86.21, 23)

### § 82. Nouns of Agency in -xi

Only a few verbal derivatives in -xi have been obtained. They are:

 $al-h\bar{u}y\bar{u}xi$  (= -x-xi) hunter  $ye^{\epsilon}xi$  needle, awl (literally [?], biter [cf. verb-stem  $ye^{\epsilon}g^{w}$ - bite]) 122.8

gel-dula'xī¹ eīt'e⁵ I am lazy, one who is lazy gel-he'¹⁵xi stingy (cf. verb-stem  $he^{i\varepsilon}x$ - be left over)  $s \cdot \bar{u}mx$ i' paddle stirrer (cf.  $s \cdot \bar{u}^{\bar{u}}m$ -t'a- boil) (170.16) eī t'gēlxī¹ wagon (literally, canoe one-that-rolls)

### § 83. FORMS IN -i'ya

Two or three isolated verb-forms in  $-i'ya^1$  have been found that appear to be of a passive participal character. There are not enough such forms available, however, to enable one to form an idea of their function. The few examples are:

 $t'g\bar{a}^a$  (1) haxani'ya (2)  $m\bar{\imath}^i$  (3) al-t!aya'k' (4) then (3) he discovered (4) a burnt-down (2) field (1) 92.26

yap!a (1)  $d\bar{o}^u mi'ya$  (2)  ${}^{\epsilon}al$ -t!aya'k' (3) he discovered (3) killed (2) people (1)

Both of these forms in -i'ya, it will be observed, are derived from transitive stems (haxani'ya from causative haxa-n- cause to burn, burn), and would seem to be best interpreted as attributive passives corresponding to the attributive actives in -t'. To these forms belongs probably also:

dī<sup>i</sup>-he'liya (1) wa-iwī'<sup>i</sup> (2) girl (2) who sleeps on a raised board platform (1) (literally, perhaps, up-boarded girl [cf. he'la'm board]) 13.2

### II. The Noun (§§ 84-102)

### § 84. Introductory

Despite the double-faced character of some of the nominal derivatives of the verb-stem (e.g., the passive participles), there is formally in Takelma a sharp line of demarcation between denominating and predicative elements of speech. This is evidenced partly by the distinct sets of pronominal suffixes peculiar to noun and verb, partly by certain nominal elements appearing before the possessive affixes and serving, perhaps, to distinctly substantivize the stem. Only a

<sup>1</sup> Not to be confused with transitive infinitives in -ia'.

BOAS]

small number of stems have been found that can, without the aid of nominal (or verbal) derivative elements, be used as both nouns and verbs. Such are:

Noun

se' el black paint, writing

he' el song 106.7; (164.16)

liw-ā'a naga'iɛ he looked (perhaps = his-look he-did) 55.6

dūk'w shirt 96.16

t!ü'l gambling-sticks in grassgame

xle' eɛ p' dough-like mass of
camass or fat

xãn urine

Verb

se'l-a'md-a'n I paint it

hēl sing! (170.12)
liwila'u-t'e' I looked (152.17)
 (imperative līū 14.11; [60.2])

dī-dūk'w wear it! (55.9; 96.16)
t!ü'lt!al-siniba' let us gamble
 at grass-game 31.9

ī-xlep!e'xlib-i'n I mash it into
 dough (94.11)

xala'xam-t'e' I urinate

A number of cases have been found of stem + suffix serving as noun and verb (e. g.,  $w\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lha'm$  menstrual "round" dance 100.10, 16:  $w\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lha'mt'e^{e}$  I shall have first courses 162.7, 8); but in these it is probable that the verb is a secondary derivative of the noun. Even in the first two examples given above, a difference in pitch-accent serves to distinguish the noun from the verb-stem:  $h\bar{e}l$ -gulu'k'w He will sing, but he' ellowedge ello

 $se'^e l$ - $se^e la'msi$  write to me!  $d\bar{u}^u qw\bar{u}'^i d\bar{\iota} - d\bar{u}^u qwa'nk'$  she shall wear her skirt 55.9

If we analyze noun forms like t!ibagwa`nt'k` my pancreas and  $d\bar{a}^anxde`k`$  my ear, we find it necessary to consider five more or less distinct elements that go to make up a noun with possessive suffix, though all of these but the radical portion of the word may be absent.

First of all we have the stem (t!iba-;  $d\bar{a}^a$ -) which may or may not be similar in form to a verbal base, and which occurs either as an absolute noun unprovided with a pronominal suffix (body-part nouns and terms of relationship, however, do not ordinarily appear in their naked stem-form), or as an incorporated noun; e. g., t!iba- $w\bar{c}sin$  I AM PANCREAS-DEPRIVED, MY PANCREAS HAS BEEN TAKEN FROM ME.

Appended to the stem are the purely derivational or formative elements of the noun. Takelma is characterized rather by a paucity than an abundance of such elements, a very large proportion of its nouns being primitive, i. e., non-derivative, in character. Of the two nouns that we have chosen as types  $d\bar{a}^a nx de'k'$  shows no formative element in the proper sense of the word, while the -gw- of t!iba-gwa'nt'k' is such an element (cf. from stem  $l\bar{\imath}u$ - look liu-gw-ax-de'k' MY FACE).

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

More characteristic of the Takelma noun than derivational suffixes is a group of elements that are never found in the absolute form of the noun, but attach themselves to it on the addition of a pronominal suffix or local pre-positive. The -n- and -(a)n- of  $d\bar{a}^a nx de^i k^i$  and t!ibagwa'nt'k', respectively, are elements of this kind (cf. ha-da-n-de IN MY EAR; ha-t!ibagw-an-de in my pancreas), also the -a- of dana't'k' MY ROCK (cf. ha-dan-a' IN THE ROCK [from da'n rock]), and the -u of  $ha-t'g\bar{a}\bar{u}$  in the earth 33.7 (from  $t'g\bar{a}$  earth). The function of these elements, if they have any and are not merely older formative suffixes that have become crystallized in definite forms of the noun, is not at all clear. They are certainly not mere connective elements serving as supports for the grammatical suffixes following, as in that event it would be difficult to understand their occurrence as absolute finals in nouns provided with pre-positives; nor can they be plausibly explained as old case-endings whose former existence as such was conditioned by the preceding pre-positive, but which now have entirely lost their original significance, for they are never dependent on the pre-positive itself, but vary solely with the noun-stem:

ha-dan-a' in the rock;  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dan-a' beside the rock; dal-dan-a' among the rocks; dan-a'-t'k' my rock; dak'-dan-a- $d\bar{e}$  over my rock (with constant -a- from da'n rock 16.12)

 $ha-gw\bar{a}^al-a$ 'm in the road 62.6;  $d\bar{a}^a-gw\bar{a}^al-a$ 'm along the road;  $gw\bar{a}^al-a$ 'm-t'k' my road (96.8);  $dak'-gw\bar{a}^al-am-d\bar{e}$  over my road (48.6, 8) (with constant -am- from  $gw\bar{a}n$  road 148.7)

For want of a better term to describe them, these apparently non-significant elements will be referred to as noun-characteristics. Not all nouns have such characteristics:

ha-gela'm in the river (from gela'm river 21.14) as opposed to  $x\bar{a}^a$ gulm-a'n among oaks (from gulu'm oak 22.10, 11)

Whether such nouns were always without them, or really preserve them, but in a phonetically amalgamated form, it is, of course, impossible to decide without other than internal evidence.

A fourth nominal element, the pre-pronominal -x-, is found in a large number of nouns, including such as possess also a characteristic

(e. g.,  $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x-de'k') and such as are not provided with that element (e. g., sal-x-de'k' MY foot); a large number, on the other hand, both of those that have a characteristic (e. g., t!ibagw-a'n-t'k') and of those that lack it (e. g.,  $b\bar{e}m$ -t' $\bar{a}^a$  HIS STICK) do without the -x-. A considerable number of nouns may either have it between the characteristic and the pronominal ending or append the personal endings directly to the characteristic, no difference in signification resulting. In such doublets, however, the pronominal suffixes belong to different schemes:

bilg-an-x-de'k' and bilg-a'n-t'k' my breast  $se^e ns - i - x - da'^{\varepsilon}$  and  $se^e ns - i' - \varepsilon t'$  your hair  $w\bar{a}^a d - i' - x - da$  (92.24) and  $w\bar{a}^a d - \bar{\imath}'^i$  his body 146.6

The characteristic -a- never tolerates a following -x-. Where doublets occur, these two elements seem to be mutually equivalent: cy-a'-t'k' (112.6) and ei-x-de'k' MY CANOE (from ei CANOE 114.3). Such doublets, together with the fact that nothing ever intervenes between it and the personal suffix, make it possible that this -x- is a connective element somewhat similar in function to, and perhaps ultimately identical with, the connective -x- of transitive verbs. This, however, is confessedly mere speculation. What chiefly militates against its interpretation as a merely connective element is the fact of its occurrence as a word-final in phrases in which no possessive element is found:

 $dagax \ w\hat{o}'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  head without  $ha-d\bar{a}^a-n-x \ molhi't'$  in-ear red (i. e., red-eared) 14.4; 15.13

If the local phrase involves a personal pronominal element, the -x-disappears:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x-de'k' my ear, but ha-da-n-d $\tilde{e}$  in my ear

This treatment marks it off sharply from the noun-characteristics.

Fifthly and lastly, in the integral structure of the noun, comes the possessive pronominal suffix (the first person singular of terms of relationship, however, is a prefixed wi-). The following tabulated summary shows the range of occurrence of the various elements of the noun:

- 1. **Stem.** Occurs as absolute noun  $(gw\tilde{a}n)$ , or incorporated in verb  $(d\bar{a}^a$ -).
- 2. **Derivative element.** Occurs as ending of absolute form of noun whose stem appears only in incorporation: t!iba'-k'v pancreas.

### 214 BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

- 3. **Noun characteristic.** Occurs with all increments of absolute form of noun; i. e., with pronominal suffix  $(gw\bar{a}^a l a'm t'k')$ , with pre-positive  $(ha gw\bar{a}^a l a'm)$ , and with pre-positive and pronominal element  $(ha gw\bar{a}^a l am d\bar{e})$ .
- 4. **Pre-pronominal -x-.** Occurs with pronominal suffix  $(d\bar{a}^a-n-x-de'k')$  and pre-positive  $(ha-d\bar{a}^a-n-x)$ , but never with pre-positive and pronominal element.
- 5. **Pronominal suffix.** Occurs in two distinct forms: one for nouns without pre-positives  $(d\bar{a}^a-n-x-de^ik^i)$ , and one for nouns accompanied by pre-positive  $(ha-da-n-d\bar{e})$ .

A tabulated analysis of a few typical words follows:

Stem	Derivative	Character- istic	Pre-pro- nominal	Pronominal	Meaning
(ha-) wax1		g-a`n			in the creek
$l\epsilon'$ -	k'w-	an-		t'k'	my anus
da-uyā'a-	k'w			de'k'	my medicine-spirit
$d\bar{a}\sim$		n-	z-	de'k'	my ear
bo'k'd-	an		7-	de'k'	my neck
k°a i€-	lā'p'ak!-	i-		t'k'	my woman
lōu-	8.1			t'k'	my plaything
sge'c€-	xab	a-		t'k'	my hat
li'u-	gu-		ax-	$de^{k}$	my face
rāa-		ha'm-		da	on his back
ts:!e'k'ts:!ig-	1	i-	I-	$d\epsilon$ ` $k$ *	my backbone
(ha-) yaw-		a-		$d\tilde{e}$	in my ribs
$d\bar{o}um$		a'l-		t*k*	my testicles
rāal-(rān.)		a'm-		t*k*	my urine
ī-		ũ-	r-	de'k'	my hand
(haε-) 1-		ũ-		$d\bar{\ell}$	in my hand

 $^{4}$  A point (.) shows the absolute form of the word.

# 1. Nominal Stems (§§ 85, 86) §85. GENERAL REMARKS

The stem is in a very large number of cases parallel in form to that of a verbal base (e. g., with da'n rock, s'o $\widetilde{m}$  mountain,  $m\tilde{e}x$  crane, cf. t!an- hold, s'om- bold,  $he^em$ - wrestle). An extensive number of noun-stems, however, are apparently amplifications of a simpler monosyllabic base, and have all the outward appearance of an aorist stem in the verb. It becomes, then, not only possible, but fundamentally important, to classify noun-stems into types that seem, and ultimately doubtless are, entirely analogous in form to corresponding verbal types. The noun-stem wili- house, for example, can be conceived of as formed from a base wil- in the same manner

as the agriculture as the agriculture of the verb-stem  $n\bar{a}^a q$ - say to some ONE. Similarly, the noun yele'x burden-basket is phonetically related to a hypothetical base \*yelx-, as is the agrist leme-k!- to the non-aorist lem-k!-. A small number of nouns appear in two forms, one corresponding to the agrist stem, the other to the verb-stem of a verb: gulu'm oak, but with characteristic -(a)n-: gulm-an-(the nonagrist gula'm with inorganic -a- also occurs). Similarly, yulu'm and yula'm EAGLE. In such variable nouns we have a complete morphologic analogy to Type 2 (or 3)) verbs like aorist xudum- wiiistle, verb-stem xut'm- (with inorganic -a-: xudam-). In both gulu'm and xudum- the -m- is almost certainly a suffixed element. It must be carefully noted, however, that, while in the verb we very often have both the agrist stem and the base (as verb-stem) in actual existence, in the case of nouns we rarely can go beyond the stem as revealed in an absolute or incorporated form. It is true that sometimes a hypothetical noun-base phonetically coincides with a verbal base, but only in the minority of cases can the two be satisfactorily connected. Thus, yut!-, abstracted from  $y\bar{u}t!u$ 'n duck, is very probably identical with the yut!- of aorist yut!uyad- swallow greedily like hog or DUCK. On the other hand, little is gained by comparing the yul- of yulu'm EAGLE with the yul- of a orist yuluyal- RUB; the pliy- of p!i'yin deer and p!i'yax fawn with the agrist -p!iyin-(k'wa-) lie ON PILLOW (cf. qwen-p!īxap' PILLOW), unless the deer was so called, for reasons of name-taboo, because its skin was used for the making of pillows (or, more naturally, the reverse); the way- of waya' knife with way- sleep; or the noun-stem yaw- RIB (occurring as ya-u- when incorporated) with the verb-stem yaw- (viw-) TALK. It is not justifiable to say that noun-stems of apparently non-primitive form are necessarily amplified from the bases that seem to lie back of them (e. g., wili- from wil-; yulu-m from yul-), but merely that there is a strong tendency in Takelma for the formation in the noun of certain typical sound-groups analogous to those found in the verb.

### § 86. TYPES OF STEM FORMATION

Though it is probably impossible to duplicate all the various types of aorist and verb stem found in the verb, most of those that are at all frequent occur also in the noun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Improbable, however, if a orist *p!cycn*-LIE and *p!iyin-k'wa*-LIE on PILLOW are radically connected (see § 31).

1. The most characteristic type of noun-stem in Takelma is the monosyllabic group of consonant (less frequently consonant-cluster) + vowel (or diphthong) + consonant (less frequently cluster). type may be considered as corresponding to the normal monosyllabic verb-stem. Out of a very large number of such primitive, underived noun-stems are taken a selection of examples.

Occurring as naked stems only when incorporated:

gwel- leg s·in- nose yaw- rib  $d\bar{a}^a$ - ear ī- hand *qel*- breast  $x\bar{a}^a$ - back gwen- neck de e- lips, mouth dag- head ha- woman's private parts s·al-foot

### Occurring as absolute nouns:

 $n\tilde{o}x$  rain 90.1 p!ī fire 62.10; 78.13 bē sun 54.3; 122.15; 160.20  $b\bar{e}m$  tree, stick 25.5; 48.7 *xi*\ water 15.1; 57.14  $t'g\tilde{a}$  land 49.12; 73.9 t'gwa' thunder 55.8  $p!\bar{a}'^as$  snow 90.2, 3; 152.16 p'i'm salmon 17.12; 30.10  $l\tilde{a}n$  salmon-net 31.2; 33.4  $m\tilde{a}l$  salmon-spear shaft 28.7 t'qwa'n slave 13.12 gwan trail 148.7  $b\bar{u}s$  fly  $d\bar{e}l$  yellow-jacket 73.7, 10  $m\tilde{e}x$  crane 13.1 *xe*'m raven 162.8, 12  $s \cdot \tilde{e}m$  duck 55.2; 166.10  $s ilde{e}l$  kingfisher  $m\tilde{e}l$  crow 144.9; 162.7  $y\tilde{a}k'^w$  wildcat 42.1; 46.9 xa'mk' grizzly bear 106.14 dip' camass 108.18; 124.12  $k!w\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  grass 31.8  $h\bar{\imath}x$  roasted camass 178.4  $\bar{o}'^u p'$  tobacco 194.1 k!wal pitch 88.13; 158.9  $y\bar{u}p'$  woman's basket-cap 178.3 § 86

mo'x grouse t'gwe'lk'w rat (sp.?)  $t'\bar{\imath}'^{i}s$  gopher 78.4, 7 sbīn beaver 112.1; 166.12  $s \cdot \bar{u}x$  bird 22.4; 166.10 da'n rock 13.6; 16.12  $l\bar{a}'^a p$  leaves  $s \cdot \tilde{\imath} x$  venison 16.6; 55.1 xīn mucus la" excrement 122.2 t'qa'm elk 158.4; 196.6  $t!\tilde{a}k$ ' mussel 26.7  $b\tilde{o}^u n$  acorn-hopper xo' fir 24.10; 54.6 hūlk' panther 42.1  $b\bar{\imath}k^{'w}$  skunk 164.2 t' $\tilde{a}n$  squirrel 94.2, 4 s·om mountain 43.6  $x\tilde{a}n$  urine  $d\tilde{o}^u m$  testicles 130.20  $d\tilde{o}^{u}m$  spider  $h\bar{o}\bar{u}$  jack-rabbit 108.8  $qa'l^{\varepsilon}$  bow  $h\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  cloud 13.3  $b\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$  grasshopper 92.28, 29 xni'k' acorn dough 16.12  $g\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  thick brush 71.1 t'gwîl hazelnut 116.5, 11, 14

BOAS]

Occurring generally with possessive suffix:

```
w\bar{a}^a d- body 92 24;
                                                                         130.24;
\frac{ma^{-1}}{ham} father 17.12; 70.7; 158.3
                                               146.6
                                            x\bar{u}^{u}l- brains

\begin{array}{c}
ni-\\
hin-\\
\end{array}
 mother 17.9; 76.10, 13;
                                            seen-skin
                                            delg- buttocks 45.9; 72.10;
                                               94.15
g\bar{u}^u x- wife 13.2; 45.3; 64.5; 142.12
                                            bilg- breast
t'īi- male, husband 45.14; 126.14
                                            k'\bar{u}^u b- hair 24.8; 162.4
n\bar{\imath}^i- teats 30.14 (ni) found as
                                            a-is - property 23.2; 154.13
  absolute form 130.9)
p!\bar{a}^a n- liver 120.15 (p!\bar{a}n found
  as absolute form 57.9, 13)
```

These lists might be very greatly increased if desired. It will be noticed that a considerable number of the nouns given are such as are generally apt to be derivative or non-primitive in morphology.

In regard to accent monosyllabic nouns naturally divide themselves into two classes:—those with rising or raised accent, embracing the great majority of examples, and those with falling accent. Of the latter type a certain number owe their accent to a glottal catch of the stem. Besides  $ga'l^{\xi}$ , already given above, may be cited:

```
t'go'^{i\epsilon} leggings k!a'l^{\epsilon}s sinew 27.13; (28.1) p!e'^{e}l^{\epsilon} basket-plate 168.15 k'o'^{\epsilon}x tar-weed seeds 26.15
```

These offer no special difficulty. There is a fairly considerable number of monosyllabic nouns, however, in which the falling accent can not be so explained, but appears to be inherently characteristic of the nouns. Besides  $\bar{o}^{\prime u}p^{\cdot}$ ,  $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a}s$ ,  $t^{\cdot}\bar{\imath}^{\prime i}s$ , and  $l\bar{a}^{\prime a}p^{\cdot}$ , may be mentioned:

```
ne'el song 106.7 se'el black paint, writing ge'e' kerophyllum tenax ye'e' tears w\bar{a}'^as bush (sp.?) 25.12 t!e'e'k'^w yellowhammer 90.18;194.15 t'be'^ck'^w shinny-ball a'lk' silver-side salmon p!e'^es (with derivative -s? see § 87, 8) flat rock on which acorns are pounded 74.13; 75.2; 118.17
```

For two of these nouns (he'cl and se'cl) the etymology is obvious. They are derived from the verb-stems hecl- sing and secl-(amd-) Paint; it may well be that the falling accent here characterizes substantives of passive force (That which is sung, painted). Possibly  $l\bar{a}'^ap'$  and  $\bar{o}'^up'$  are to be similarly explained as meaning those that

<sup>1</sup> Most nouns of relationship show monosyllabic stems; none can be shown to be derivative in character.

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

ARE CARRIED (BY BRANCHES) and THAT WHICH IS DUG UP<sup>1</sup> (cf. aorist stems  $l\bar{u}^ab$ - carry and  $\bar{o}^ub$ - dig up).

2. A very considerable number of noun-stems repeat the vowel of the base, corresponding to a rist stems of Type 2 verbs. Such are:

```
wi'li house 13.1; 14.8; 192.6

ts·li'xi dog

moxo' buzzard 105.23

sgi'si coyote 13.1; 70.1; 108.1

sgwini' raccoon

k/a'ma spit for roasting 170.17

yap!a' person 14.12; 96.2; 128.2

yana' acorn 15.16; 16.9; 58.9
```

gwit!i-(n)- wrist k'aba- son 23.2; 128.5; 138.14 xaga- maternal aunt xli'wi war-feathers 110.18 waya`knife73.3;144.20;172.12 goyo`shaman47.11;142.7;188.7 wōup!u-(n)- eyebrows

With probably derivative final consonant are:

```
      lege'm- kidney
      daga'n turtle

      lap'ām frog 102.10; 196.3
      ts:!axā'an bluv

      yulu'm eagle 77.2; 122.15;164.8
      wigīn red liza

      gulu'm oak 22.10
      li'bin news 10

      k'ülũm fish (sp.?)
      yi'win speech

      loxo'm manzanita 126.17; 178.5
      ts:!amāl mou

      142.4
      s:imi'l dew

      p!i'yin deer 17.1; 42.2; 54.2
      (k!el)mehel-i'

      ga'k!an ladder 176.8
      ing 178.4
```

ts:!axā'an blue-striped lizard
wigīn red lizard
li'bin news 108.20; 194.9
yi'win speech 126.10; 136.12
ts:!amāl mouse 102.10; 104.9;
142.4
s:imi'l dew
(k!el)mehel-ī'i basket for cook-

Here again it will be observed that the rising or raised accent is the normal one for the second syllable of the stem. But here also a well-defined, if less numerous, group of noun-stems is found in which the repeated long vowel bears a falling accent. Examples are:

```
t'gwalar{a}'^a hooting owl 194.9 t'libis\dot{a}'^i ant 74.4; 75.5 har{u}^us\dot{u}'^u chicken-hawk 142.6 da-uyar{a}'^a shaman's spirit (? from dawy- fly) 164.14 s\ddot{u}h\ddot{u}'^u quail 70.2, 5; 71.4 mayar{a}'^a-k'^w- orphan 154.5
```

Compare also  $t!on\bar{o}'^us$  below (Type 3);  $ts!li\bar{l}i'k!$ - and  $t'bele'^es$  (Type 3) owe their falling accent to the presence of a glottal catch.

Very remarkable is the stem formation of the noun  $t!\ddot{u}x\ddot{u}'i$  driftwood 75.5. It is evidently formed from the verb-stem  $d\bar{o}^ux$ - (a rist stem t!oxox-) gather (wood) according to a rists of Type 7b, at the same time with vowel ablaut (cf. theoretic  $t!\ddot{u}x\ddot{u}$ -xi he gathers me) and falling accent, perhaps to give passive signification (see § 86, 1); its etymologic meaning would then be that which is gathered. No other noun of similar stem formation has been found.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If this etymology of  $\tilde{o}'^up'$  is correct, Pit River  $\tilde{o}p'$  tobacco must be borrowed from Takelma.

<sup>§ 86</sup> 

BOAS 1

3. It is not strictly possible to separate noun-stems corresponding to acrists of verbal Type 2 from those that are to be compared with acrists of Type 3. The doubt that we found to exist in the verb as to the radical or suffixal character of certain consonants is present also in regard to the final consonant of many dissyllabic nouns. The following nouns with repeated vowel show final consonants that are not thought to be elements of derivation. If this view is correct, they are to be compared with Type 3 acrist stems.

libīs crawfish 30.2

nihwi'k'w black bear 116.1;

118.1

ts·!ilī'ik!- elbow

s·idib-i- (house) wall 176.4, 9

lep!ēs cat-tail rushes

t'bele's pine-nuts

t!ewēx flea

s·elēk'w pestle 56.1

s·ülūk' cricket

t!onō'us·humming-bird (perhaps with derivative -s)

ü'lük!- hair 27.1; 140.6; 158.1 deges¹- sifting basket-pan 196.13 k!aba's porcupine-quills t'gwaya'm lark 22.1; 160.3 hülün ocean 60.8; 154.14 oho'p' black shells (sp.?) 55.9 mot!o'p' seed-beater yuk!um- salmon-tail 198.9 dugu'm baby 126.9

4. Analogous to a rist stems of Type 4 verbs (e. g., yewei-) are a few nouns with repeated vowel and following -i- to form a diphthong. Of such nouns have been found:

ts·!clei- eye 27.8; 86.7; 92.20 k'wedei- name 100.21 k!elei- bark 54.6 k!oloi storage basket 61.5; 138.17 da-k!olo'i-da-x- cheek maha'i (adjective) large 196.10 (cf. plural mahmī 130.4 for base)

That the final -i- of these nouns is not an added characteristic, but an integral part of the noun-stem, is proven by the facts that no examples have been found of vowels followed by noun-characteristic -i- (ordinarily -n- or -m- is employed), and that ts:!elei- has been found incorporated in that form.

5. A few nouns are found that show a repeated initial consonant; they may be compared to Type 10 aorist stems. Examples are:

seens- hair 136.28 (cf. seenskin) lüül- throat 25.2 (? cf. aorist lomol choke) bo'p' alder (94.17)

ts·! $u'n^{\varepsilon}$ s (ts·!unts·!-) deerskin cap embroidered with woodpecker-scalps

<sup>1</sup> Absolute form dega's 178.4; cf. yula'm 164.3 alongside of yulu'm 77.8?

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

```
su\tilde{n}s thick, deep (of snow) 90.3
                                        ts!e'n^{\varepsilon}s (ts!ents!-) wild-rose
                                           berry 92.23
bebe'-n rushes
                                         b\tilde{a}p' seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9;
                                           94.19)
                                        ts !a'iɛs'1 bluejay (onomato-
b\bar{u}^u b-a'n arm 23.2, 4; (172.4)
                                           poetic) 22.14; 102.10; 166.11
                                         belp' 2 swan 102.10; 104.14
sēns bug (sp.?)
```

Here may also be mentioned  $k!a'mak!\bar{a}^a$  HIS TONGS (also  $k!a'm\bar{a}^a$ ).

6. Reduplicated nouns are not frequent in Takelma, particularly when one considers the great importance of reduplication as a grammatical device in the verb. Examples corresponding in form to Type 12 aorists (i. e., with -a- [umlauted to -i-] in second member) are:

```
t'qwi'nt'qwin-i- shoulder (also
  t'gw\bar{\imath}'^{i}nt'gw-i-)
gelga'l fabulous serpent (cf.
  aorist gelegal-amd- tie hair
  into top-knot 172.3)
sīinsa'n decrepit old woman
yūk'ya'k'w-a
               (place name)
  188.13
t'qa'lt'qil-i- belly
```

ts:!e'k'ts:!iq-i-backbone 112.4; 198.6gi'xgap' medicine, poison (irreg.) 188.12

gwi'sgwas chipmunk  $p'\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}t'p'id$ -i-salmon-liver (with dissimilated catch) 120.19,20  $b\tilde{o}^u t'bid$ -i-orphans (also  $b\tilde{o}t'ba$ )

Also  $wa-iw\bar{\imath}'^i$  GIRL 55.7; 96.23 doubtless belongs here; the  $-w\bar{\imath}'^i$  of the second syllable represents a theoretic -wi'y, umlauted from -wa'y, the falling accent being due to the inorganic character of the repeated a. A very few nouns repeat only the first consonant and add a, leaving the final consonant unreduplicated. Such are:

```
ba'k'b\bar{a}^a red-headed woodpecker (onomatopoetic) 92.2, 6
ha'^{\varepsilon}k'\bar{a}^a (=*hak!-h\bar{a}^a) goose 102.10; 106.2, 5
b\tilde{o}t^{\prime}b\tilde{a}^{a} orphan 122.1, 5
```

A few nouns, chiefly names of animals, show complete duplication of the radical element without change of the stem-vowel to -a- in the second member. This type of reduplication is practically entirely absent in the verb. Examples are:

```
ts!e'^{\varepsilon}ts!e^{\varepsilon} small bird (sp.?)
                                         al-k!ok!o'k' (adj.) ugly-faced
                                            60.5
  dalda'l dragon-fly 21.1; 28.6
                                          bobo'p' screech-owl 194.1
  p'abā'ap' manzanita-flour
                                         t'ga'nt'gan fly (upper dialect)
Even all of these are not certain. Those with radical -a- might
```

just as well have been classified with the preceding group (thus

<sup>1</sup> That #s' is felt to be equivalent to -ts'! is shown by Bluejay's song: ts'!a'its'!\(\tilde{t}\)-\(\tilde{a}\) gwa'tca gwatca 104.7. 2 bel-is felt as the base of this word, cf. Swan's song beleldo+ wa'inha 104.15, which shows reduplication of bel-like agrist helel- of hel- SING.

BOAS]

dalda'l may be very plausibly connected with a rist t' alat!al- from t' alat!al-, non-a rist  $d\bar{a}^a l$ dal from  $d\bar{a}^a l$ - crack); while p' ab $\bar{a}'^a p'$  and bobo'p' may, though improbably, show Type 1 reduplication  $(p'ab-\bar{a}^ab$ - like p!ab-ab- chop). This latter type of reduplication seems, however, to be as good as absent in the noun (but cf.  $sgw \hat{o}gw \hat{o}'k'^w$  robin; mele'lx burnt-down field 92.27 may be morphologically verbal, as shown by its probably non-agentive -x). The fullest type of reduplication, that found exemplified in the arrists of Type 13 verbs, has not been met with in a single noun.

### 2. Noun Derivation (§§ 87, 88)

### § 87. DERIVATIVE SUFFIXES

The number of derivative suffixes found in the noun, excluding those more or less freely employed to form nominal derivatives from the verb-stem, are remarkably few in number, and, for the most part, limited in their range of application. This paucity of live word-forming suffixes is, of course, due to a great extent, to the large number of nominal stems in the language. The necessity of using such suffixes is thus greatly reduced. The various derivational affixes found in the Takelma noun will be listed below with illustrative examples.

1. t'(a)-. This is the only derivational prefix, excluding of course such considerably individualized elements as the body-part prefixes of the verb, found in Takelma. It is employed to form the words for the female relationships corresponding to ELDER BROTHER and YOUNGER BROTHER.

wãxa his younger brother 54.1, 5 t'awãxa his younger sister 55.2 wi-cobī my elder brother 46.10 wi-t'obī my elder sister (55.14)

2.  $-l\bar{a}'p'a(k!-)$ . This suffix is found only in a number of nouns denoting ranks or conditions of persons; hence it is not improbable that it was originally a separate word meaning something like Person, People. That it is itself a stem, not a mere suffix, is shown by its ability to undergo ablaut (for- $l\hat{e}'p'i$ - see § 77). -k!- is added to it in forms with possessive or plural affix. For example, from  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'a$  178.7 Male, husband are formed  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ik!it'k'$  my husband (142.7) and  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ak!an$  husbands, men (130.1, 7). The fact that the stem preceding  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  appears also as a separate word or with other elements indicates that words containing  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  may be best considered as compounds.

Examples are:

 $t!\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}$ lā'p'a male, husband 178.7 (cf.  $t!\bar{\imath}^{i-}$  husband, male)

 $k'a^{i\epsilon}$ lā'p'a woman 25 9, 12; 108.4, 5 (cf.  $k'a^{i\epsilon}s \cdot o'k'da'$  girl who has already had courses)

[BULL. 40

 $mologol\bar{a}'$ p'a old woman 26.14, 16; 56.3 (cf. mologo'l old woman 168.12; 170.10)

 $b\tilde{o}^u t' b\bar{a}^a l\bar{a}' p'ak!an$  orphans (ef.  $b\tilde{o}t'ba$  orphan and  $b\tilde{o}^u t' bid-i-t'k'$  my orphaned children)

 $lomt!\bar{\imath}^i l\bar{a}' p^* a k! a n$  old men 128.11; 136.1 (cf.  $lomt!\bar{\imath}'^i$  old man 24.11; 126.19)

 $os \cdot \bar{o}^u l\bar{a}'$ p'a poor people

3. -k'. A number of place-names with suffixed -k' have been found:

La'mhik' Klamath river

Sbīnk' Applegate creek (cf. sbīn beaver)

 $Gwen-p'u\tilde{n}k'$  village name 114.14 (cf. p'u'n rotten 140.21)

Ha-t!onk' village name

Dak'-t'qamīk' village name (cf. t'qa'm elk)

Gel-yãlk' village name 112:13; 114.8 (cf. yãl pine)

Somolu'k' i village name

Dal-dani'k' village name (cf. da'n rock)

4.  $-a'^{\varepsilon}(n)$ . Nouns denoting Person coming from are formed by adding this suffix to the place-name, with loss of derivative -k'. Examples are:

 $Ha\text{-}gw\bar{a}^a \text{la}'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-gwãl, Cow creek  $Lamh\bar{\imath}^i ya'^{\varepsilon}$  person from La'mhik', Klamath river  $Sb\bar{\imath}^i na'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Sbīnk', Applegate creek

Dal-sa'lsana<sup>€</sup> person from Dal-salsañ, Illinois river

 $D\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$ - $l\bar{o}miya'^{\epsilon}$  person from  $D\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$ - $l\bar{o}m\bar{\imath}$ 

Gwen-p'u'na<sup>e</sup> person from Gwen-p'uñk'

Dal-daniya'<sup>€</sup> person from Dal-dani'k'

 $S \cdot omola'^{\epsilon}$  person from  $S \cdot omolu'k'$  (see footnote)

 $Ha-t!\bar{o}^u na'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-t!onk'

 $La\text{-}t'g\bar{a}^awa'^\varepsilon$ person from La-t'gāŭ, uplands 192.14

Dak'-t'gamiya'<sup>e</sup> person from Dak'-t'gamīk'

Ha-t' $\bar{\imath}^i l a'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-t' $\bar{\imath}$ l

*Gel-yāala'ε* person from Gel-yālk'

 $Dak'-ts!\bar{a}^awana'^{\varepsilon}$  person from dak'-ts! $\bar{a}^a$ wa'n, i. e., above the lakes (= Klamath Indian)

Dak'- $ts!\bar{a}^a mala'^{\varepsilon}$ 

<sup>1</sup> The -u'- of this word is doubtless merely the pitch-accentual peak of the -l-, the -u- resonance of the liquid being due to the preceding -o-. The word is thus to be more correctly written as Somolk' (similarly, wulx enemy was often heard as wulu'x), as implied by  $S \cdot omola'^c$  one from Somolk'. In that event  $s \cdot omol^c$  is very probably a frequentative in v+l (see § 43, 6) from  $s \cdot om$  Mountain, and the place-name means very mountainous regions.

BOAS]

 $D\bar{a}^a$ -gelma'en person from  $D\bar{a}^a$ -gela'm, Rogue river (= Takelma Indian)

Dī-dalama''n person from Dīdalam, Grant's Pass

Judging from the material at hand, it seems that  $-a'^{\epsilon}n$  is used only when the place-name ends in -m, though the ease with which  $-a'^{\epsilon}n$  may be heard as  $-a'^{\epsilon}$  (see first footnote § 60) detracts from the certainty of this generalization.

5. -gw. This element occurs as a suffix in a number of terms relating to parts of the body. Examples are:

t!iba'k'w pancreas 47.17; t!ibagw-a'n-t'k' my pancreas (47.5, 6, 7, 13) (incorporated t!iba- 46.1, 9)

li'ugw-ax-dek' my face (cf. verb-stem  $l\bar{\imath}u$ - look)

da madagw-a'n-t'k' my shoulder

 $da-uy\bar{a}'^a\bar{k}'w-dek'$  my medicine-spirit (incorporated  $da-uy\bar{a}^a-164.14$ )

le'k'w-an-t'k' my rectum (cf. la'' excrement 122.2) ma'p!agw-a-t'k' my shoulder-blade

6. -(a)n-(a)m-(a)n or its phonetic derivatives -(a)m-(a)l-(a)l (see § 21) that there is absolutely no doubt of its suffixal character, despite the impossibility of ascribing to it any definite functional value and the small number of cases in which the stem occurs without it. The examples that most clearly indicate its non-radical character will be conveniently listed here:

he \*la`m board 176.5 (cf. dī-he'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2) ts !ela`m hail 152.12, 16 (cf. verb-stem ts !el- rattle) p!i'yin deer 13.10; 42.2 (cf. p!i'yax fawn 13.11; 49.11) yi'win speech 126.10; 138.4 (cf. verb-stem yiw- talk) li'bin news 194.9 (? cf. verb-stem laba- carry) yūt!u`n white duck 55.5 (cf. verb-stem yut!- eat greedily) {do'lk'am-a- anus (also do'lk'-i- as myth form 106.4, 8) {do'lk'im-i- do'lk'in-i- 106.6, 9} xdãn eel (cf. reduplicated hū\*-xdū'axdagwa\*n I throw away something slippery, nastily wet [49.7]) s ugwa`n root basket 124.5 (cf. s ugwidī it lies curled up like bundled roots or strings) dan ye'\*-wald-in-ī' rocks returning-to- them, myth name of Otter

Other examples, etymologically untransparent, will be found listed in § 21. The difference between this derivational -n (-m) and

160.10, 13 (cf. verb-stem ye<sup>e</sup>w-ald- return to)

noun-characteristic -n- (-m) lies in the fact that the former is a necessary part of the absolute form of the word, while the latter appears only with grammatical increments. Thus the -am of  $he^{\epsilon}la$ 'm board can not be identified with the -am of ha- $gw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm in the road, as  $gw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm has no independent existence. The exact morphologic correspondent of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -am- is  $he^{\epsilon}lam$ -a- (e. g.,  $he^{\epsilon}lam$ -a'-t'k' my board). A doubt as to the character of the -n- can be had only in words that never, or at least not normally, occur without possessive suffix:

lege'm-t'k' my kidneys  $w\bar{o}^up!u'n-t'k'$  my eyebrows <sup>1</sup>

7. -a. There are a rather large number of dissyllabic nouns or noun-stems with final -a, in which this element is to outward appearance an integral part of the radical portion of the word. The number of instances in which it occurs, however, is considerable enough to lead one to suspect its derivational character, though it can be analyzed out in an even smaller number of eases than the suffix -n above discussed. The most convincing proof of the existence of a suffix -a is given by the word xu'ma food, dry food, 54.4; 188.1, a derivative of the adjective xu'm dry 168.15 (e. g., p'im xu'm dried salmon; cf. also  $x\ddot{u}m\ddot{u}'k'de^{\varepsilon}$  i am sated [132.1]). Other possible examples of its occurrence are:

```
yola' fox (? cf. verb-stem yul- rub) 70.1, 4, 5; 78.2, 3, 9
mena' bear 72.3; 73.2, 3, 4, 5; 106.7, 10
p!elda' slug 105.25
noxwa' small pestle
t'e'lma small pestle 62.1; 116.18, 19; 118.2
ma'xla dust 172.3; 184.5, 9
k!eda' grass for string (sp.?)
t!ela' shinny-stick (? cf. verb-stem t!èu- play shinny)
t!ela' louse (? cf. verb base t!el- lick) 116.3, 6, 7, 8, 11
t!iba- pancreas 46.1, 9; 49.7
ela- tongue (characteristic -a-?)
dola' old tree 24.1
yana' oak 22.11; 168.1, 2, 3, 6, 7 (cf. yangwa's oak sp.; with
-gwas cf. perhaps al-gwa's-i- yellow)
```

It is of course possible that some of the dissyllabic nouns in -a listed above (§ 86, 2) as showing a repeated vowel (e. g., ya'p!a) really belong here.

<sup>1</sup> These seem to be parallel to gwitti'n-t'k' MY WRIST, in which -n-, inasmuch as it acts as the equivalent of the characteristic -ū- (cf. gwittiūxdc'k' MY WRIST with īūxdc'k' MY HAND), is itself best considered characteristic element.

8. -s. This element is in all probability a derivational suffix in a fairly considerable number of words, as indicated particularly by the fact of its frequent occurrence after a consonant. Examples are:

 $p!e'^e$ s mortar-stone fastened in ground (cf. verb-stem  $p!\hat{e}$ - lie) 74.13; 120.17

la'ps blanket (? cf. base lab- carry on shoulder) 98.14, 15, 19, 21 p!e'ns squirrel

gūms (adj.) blind 26.14 (? cf. gomha'k' w rabbit)

 $b\bar{e}ls$  moccasin

k!u'ls worm (? cf. verb-stem  $g\bar{o}^{u}l$ -, aorist k!olol- dig)

yõls steel-head salmon (? cf. yola' fox)

bīls moss 43.16; 44.1; 47.15

bami's sky 79.7 (cf. verb-prefix bam- up)

bãls (adj.) long 14.5; 15.12, 15 (? ef. da-balni'-xa [adv.] long time)

Also some of the dissyllabic nouns in -s with repeated vowel listed above (§ 86, 3) may belong to this set.

A few other stray elements of a derivational aspect have been found. Such are:

-ax in p!i'yax fawn 13.11; 16.8; 17.1, 2 (cf. p!i'yin deer)

-xi<sup>1</sup> in bomxi' otter 13.5; 17.13; 154.13; 156.14;  $\bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ xi seed-pouch;  $h\bar{a}^a pxi$ ' child 13.8, 13 (cf.  $h\bar{a}p' da$  his child 98.13 and  $h\bar{a}^a p'$ -incorporated in  $h\bar{a}^a p'$ -k!emna' \( \varepsilon \) Children-maker 172.15)

pluralie -x- in  $h\tilde{a}pxda$  his children 16.3; 118.1, 14

-x- varies with -s- in adjective  $h\tilde{a}psdi$  small;  $h\tilde{a}^apxi'$  hapsdi little children 30.12

A large number of dissyllabic and polysyllabic nouns still remain that are not capable of being grouped under any of the preceding heads, and whose analysis is altogether obscure:

 $b\tilde{a}xdis$  wolf 13.1; 16.10; 17.10

domxa'u Chinook salmon

 $y\bar{\imath}k'a't'$  red deer

yiba'xam small skunk

bixa'l moon 196.1

k!a'nak!as basket cup (probably reduplicated and with derivative -s)

§ 88. COMPOUNDS

Of compounds in the narrower sense of the word there are very few in Takelma. Outside of personal words in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$ , which we have suspected of being such, there have been found:

 $lomt!\tilde{\imath}'^i$  old man 24.11, 12; 126.19 (cf.  $t!\tilde{\imath}^i$ - male)

 $k'a^{i\varepsilon}s \cdot o'k'da$  girl who has had courses (cf.  $k'a^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{a}'p'a$  woman)

Independent nouns may, however, be juxtaposed without change of form to make up a descriptive term, the qualifying noun preceding:

```
hapxi-t!ī'it'āa child male-person (=boy) 14.1, 6; 17.3, 6; 156.10 hapxi-wa-iwī'i child female-person (=girl) 29.7; 30.1; 71.3 hapxi-t'āaga'ēs child crier (=cry-baby) da'n mologo'l rock old-woman 170.10, 15, 20; 172.1 dan hapxi-t!ī'it'āa rock boy 17.8 dan wīilī'i his rock knife 142.20 gwa's wili brush house (for summer use) 176.14 yāx wili graveyard house 14.8, 9; 15.5, 6 wilī heēla'm house boards 176.5 xamk' wa-iwī'i grizzly-bear girl 124.10; 130.6, 7, 26 mena dap!ā'la-ut'an bear youths 130.11 yap!a goyo' Indian doctor 188.12
```

Examples of compounds in which the first element is modified by a numeral or adjective are:

```
wili ha^{\epsilon i}go' yap!a' house nine people (= people of nine houses) 150.16 yap!a^{\epsilon}alt'gu'^{i\epsilon}s' goyo' person white doctor (= white doctor) 188.11
```

A certain number of objects are described, not by a single word, but by a descriptive phrase consisting of a noun followed by an adjective, participle, or another noun provided with a third personal possessive suffix. In the latter case the suffix does not properly indicate a possessive relation, but generally a part of the whole or the fabric made of the material referred to by the first noun. Such are:

```
lasgu'm-īūxgwa't' snake handed (=lizard) 196.4

t'gwīl ts:!ī'ik'da hazel its-meat (=hazel-nut)

t'gwa he*lamā'a thunder its-board (=lumber) 55.8, 10

p!iyin sge'c*xabāa deer its-hat (not deer's hat, but hat of deerskin)

p!iyin ts:!u'nts:!ī' deer its-cap-embroidered-with woodpecker-
scalps

k'ai mologolā'p'axdāa what its-woman (=what kind of woman!)

122.3

wi'li gwala' houses many (=village)

ts:!i'xi maha'i dog big (=horse)

p'im sinīxdc salmon its-nose (=swallow) (perhaps so called
because the spring run of salmon is heralded by the coming of
swallows)

mena' *alt'guna'px bear +? (=dormouse [?])

xi'lam sebe't' dead-people roasting (=bug [sp.?])' 98.13, 15

p'un-yi'lt' rotten copulating-with (=Oregon pheasant)
```

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix B, note 2 of first text.

BOAS]

# § 89. 3. Noun-Characteristics and Pre-Pronominal -x-

As noun-characteristics are used four elements: -(a)n (including -am and -al), -a-, -i-, and -u-. Although each noun, in so far as it has any noun-characteristic, is found, as a rule, to use only one of these elements, no rule can be given as to which of them is to be appended to any given noun. Nouns in suffixed -(a)n, or -(a)m, for example, are found with characteristic -i-  $(b\bar{u}^ubin-i$ - [from  $b\bar{u}^u-ba$ 'n ARM]), -a-  $(he^elam-a$ - [from  $he^ela$ 'm BOARD]), -(a)n (qulm-an- [from gula'm OAK]), and without characteristic (bo'k'dan-x-dek' MY NECK [from bo'k'dan 15.12, 15]).

```
1. -(a)n. Examples of this characteristic element are:
```

gwit!i-n- wrist (cf. variant gwit!ī-ū-)

t!ibagw-an- pancreas 45.15; 46.5 (absolute  $t!iba'k'^w$  47.17)

da<sup>€</sup>madaqw-an- shoulder

lek'w-an- rectum

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x- ear 14.4; 15.13 (incorporated  $d\bar{a}^a$ -)

 $ts/\bar{a}^aw$ -an- lake, deep water 59.16 (absolute  $ts/\bar{a}\tilde{u}$  162.9; 166.15)

gulm-an- oak (absolute gula'm)

bob-in-1 alder 94.17 (absolute bo'p')

Its phonetic reflexes -al and -am occur in:

 $s \cdot \bar{o}^u m$ -al- mountain 124.2; 152.2 (absolute  $s \cdot o \tilde{m}$  43.6; 122.16)

 $d\tilde{o}^u m$ -al- testicles 130.8 (absolute  $d\tilde{o}^u m$  130.20)

 $ts!\bar{a}^a m$ -al- (in Dak'- $ts!\bar{a}^a mala'^{\varepsilon}$  Klamath Indian, parallel to Dak'- $ts!\bar{a}^a wana'^{\varepsilon}$ )

 $gw\bar{a}^a\bar{l}$ -am- trail 48.6, 8; 96.8, 9 (absolute  $gw\tilde{a}n$  148.7)

 $x\bar{a}^a l$ -am- urine (absolute  $x\tilde{a}n$ )

-am- is also found, though without apparent phonetic reason, in  $x\bar{a}^a$ -ham- back (incorporated  $x\bar{a}^a$ -). Certain nouns add -g- before taking -an- as their characteristic:

wax-gan- creek (absolute wa'x)

del-gan-(x-) anus 45.9; 72.10; 94.15

bil-gan-(x-) breast

gel-gan- breast (cf. variant gel-x-)

2. -a-. More frequently occurring than -(a)n- is -a-, examples of which are:

dana- rock (absolute da'n 17.8; dal-am- as possible variant in place-name  $D\bar{\imath}$ -dala'm over the rocks [?])

ey-a- canoe 112.6; 114.5, 13; 156.2 (cf. variant ei-x-)

t'gwan-a- slave (absolute t'gwa'n 13.12)

heelam-a- board 55.8, 10 (absolute heela'm 176.5)

 $y\bar{o}^u k!w$ -a- bone 186.1; 196.17 (absolute  $y\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}k^{\epsilon_w}$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This word happened to occur with following emphatic  $y\bar{a}'a$ , so that it is probably umlauted from bob-an-.

```
p'im-a- salmon 31.1; 32.4 (absolute p'i'm 30.10, 11; 31.3.)
    do'lk'am-a- rectum (cf. variant do'lk'im-i-)
     ma'p!aqw-a-shoulder blade (absolute ma'p!ak'w)
     yaw-a- rib 194.10 (incorporated ya-u-)
     xiy-a- water 58.6; 156.19; 162.13 (absolute xi 162.7, 8, 14)
     p!iy-a- fire 118.4; 168.19 (absolute p!ī 88.12, 13; 96.17)
All nouns in -xab- take -a- as their characteristic, e. g., sge'^{e\varepsilon}xab-a-t'k'
MY HAT (from sqe'^{\epsilon\epsilon}xap' HAT)
  3. -i-. Examples of nouns with -i- as their characteristic are:
    d\bar{u}^u gw-i- shirt 13.4; 96.26; 192.4 (absolute d\bar{u}k'^w 96.16)
    b\bar{u}^u bin-i- arm 31.4; 172.4, 5, 6 (absolute b\bar{u}^u ba'n 23.2, 4, 9)
    t'qwi'nt'gwin-i- shoulder
    ts:!ugul-i- rope (cf. absolute ts:!ūk')
    k'u^{u}b-i- hair, skin 24.8; 160.6
    ülük!-i- hair 27.1, 4; 126.11; 136.20; 158.1; 188.4, 5; 194.7.
    k!alts!-i- sinew 28.1 (absolute k!a'l^{\epsilon}s 27.13)
    b\bar{a}^a b-i- seeds (sp.?) 34.1; 79.9; 94.19 (absolute b\tilde{a}p)
    k!elw-i- basket bucket 170.14, 16, 18, 19 (absolute k!e'l 186.17)
    m\bar{a}^a l-i- spear-shaft 156.1 (absolute m\tilde{a}l 28.7, 9, 10)
    d\bar{u}^u l-i- spear-point (absolute d\bar{u}l 28.8, 9; 156.19, 20)
    l\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}l-i-(x-) throat 25.2
    m\bar{u}^u l-i- lungs
    t!egilix-i- skull 174.3
    t'galt'gil-i-(x-) belly
    ts:!ek'ts:!ig-i-(x-) backbone 112.4
    ham-i- father 158.3 (e. g., ham-i'-t' your father, but wi-ha'm my
       father 138.19)
A number of terms of relationship show an -i- not only in the second
person singular and plural and first person plural but also, unlike
ham-i- father, in the first person singular, while the third person in
-xa(-a) and the vocative (nearly always in -\tilde{a}) lack it.
                                        k'aba'-xa his son 138.16
    wi-k' abai my son (23.2, 3)
    (wi^{\epsilon}-ob) my elder brother
                                         o'p-xa his elder brother 48.3; 62.2
       (46.10)
    wi-t obī my elder sister
                                         t'o' p-xa his elder sister 55.14; 56.6
    wi-k!a'si my maternal
                                         k!a's-a his maternal grandparent
       grandparent 14.2; (15.12)
                                            16.1, 2; (154.18)
    wi-xdāi my paternal uncle
                                         xd\tilde{a}-xa his paternal uncle
    wi-hasi' my maternal uncle
                                         ha's-a his maternal uncle
     wi-t'adi' my paternal aunt
                                         t'a'd-a his paternal aunt (63.9;
       22.14
                                           77.14)
                                         xaqa'-xa his maternal aunt
     wi-xagaī my maternal aunt
     wi-ts!aī
                my
                       (woman's)
                                         ts!a'-xa her brother's child; his
       brother's child 22.1; 23.8,
                                           sister's child
       10; my (man's) sister's
       child 148.19; 150.4
```

BOAS]

Still other terms of relationship have an -i- in all forms but the vocative. It is probable, though not quite so certain for these nouns, that the -i- is not a part of the stem, but, as in the preceding group, an added characteristic element. Such nouns are:

Vocative gamdi'-xa his paternal grandgamdã parent (170.21; 188.13) siwi'-xa her sister's child; his  $siw\tilde{a}$ brother's child wak'di'-xa his mother's brothwak'dã 77.4 er's son 77.6; 88.14; (188.9) t!omxi'-xa1 his wife's parent t!omxã lamts!i'-xa her brother's wife  $lamts!\tilde{a}$ yidi'-xa her husband's sister ųidã nanbi'-xa his brother's wife;  $nanb\tilde{a}$ his wife's sister ximni'-xa his relative by mar $ximn\tilde{a}$ riage after linking member has died

The -i- has been found in the vocative before the - $\tilde{a}$  (but only as a myth-form) in  $obiy\tilde{a}$  o elder brother! 59.3; 62.4 (alongside of  $ob\tilde{a}$ ), so that it is probable that the vocative - $\tilde{a}$  is not a mere transformation of a characteristic vowel, but a distinct element that is normally directly appended to the stem. Other examples of myth vocatives in - $\tilde{a}$  appended to characteristic -i- are  $ts!ay\tilde{a}$  o nephew! 23.1 (beside  $ts!\tilde{a}$ ) and  $w\hat{o}'k'dia'$  o cousin! 88.14, 15 (beside  $wak'd\tilde{a}$ ). The stem ham- with its characteristic -i- is used as the vocative:  $ham\tilde{t}$  o father! 70.5; 71.7; also o son! Quite unexplained is the not otherwise occurring -i- in the vocative of mot- son-in-law: motia' 166.6, 7. As already noted (see § 88, 2), nouns in  $-l\tilde{a}'p'a$  regularly take an -i- after the added-k!- of possessive forms:  $-l\tilde{a}'p'ik!$ -i-.

4. -u-. Only a few nouns have been found to contain this element as their characteristic. They are:

ī-ū-x- hand 58.2; 86.13 (incorporated ī-)
gwit!ī-ū-x- wrist² (cf. variant gwit!i-n-)
ha-u-x- woman's private parts 108.4; 130.8 (incorporated ha-)
t'gā-u- earth, land 55.3, 4; 56.4 (absolute t'gā 73.9, 11, 13)
-t!omxa'u wife's parent (cf. t!omxi'xa his wife's parent 154.16; 164.19; see footnote, sub 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first person singular shows -u as characteristic: wi-t/omra'u.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>It is highly probable that this word has been influenced in its form by  $i\bar{u}z$ - HAND, which it resembles in meaning, if it is not indeed a compound of it.

The pre-pronominal element -x- is in some words appended directly to the stem or stem + derivational suffix; in others, to one of the noun-characteristics -(a)n, -i, and -u (never -a). A considerable number of words may or may not have the -x- after their characteristic; a few show variation between -a- and -x-; and but a very small number have -x- with or without preceding characteristic (e. g., gel-x-, gel-gan-, and gel-gan-x- breast). Examples of -x- without preceding characteristic are:

```
dag-ax- head ¹ 90.12, 13; 116.8; 188.4, 5 (incorporated dak'-) sal-x- foot 120.18 (incorporated sal-) gwel-x- leg 15.15; 86.18; 122.10; 160.17 (incorporated form gwel-) dee-x- lips (incorporated dee-) 186.18 gwen-ha-u-x- nape (incorporated gwen-ha-u-) ei-x- canoe (absolute eī) dīemo-x- hips (incorporated dīemo-) liugw-ax- face bok'dan-x- neck (absolute bo'k'dan) hāan-x-² brothers 136.7
```

Rather more common than nouns of this type seem to be examples of -x- with preceding characteristic, such as have been already given in treating of the noun-characteristics. A few body-part nouns in -x- seem to be formed from local third personal possessive forms (-da); e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}alda-x-dek'$  my forehead from  $d\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}alda$  at his forehead (but also  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}a'l-t'k'$  with first personal singular possessive ending directly added to stem or incorporated form  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}al$ -); da-k!olo'ida-x-dek' my cheek is evidently quite parallel in formation. Body-part nouns with pre-pronominal -x- end in this element when, as sometimes happens, they occur absolutely (neither incorporated nor provided with personal endings). Examples of such forms follow:

```
haŭx woman's private parts 130.19
da'gax head
yū'k!alx teeth 57.4
dayawa'nt!ixi ɛ̃īū'x other hand 86.13
gwelx dayawa'nt!ixi other leg 86.18
```

<sup>1-</sup>ar- contains inorganic -a-, and is not to be analyzed as characteristic -a- + -r- (parallel to -i- + -r-). This is shown by forms in which -r- regularly disappears; e.g.,  $dak'-d\tilde{e}$  over me (not \*dag-a-d\tilde{e} as parallel to -s-in-i-d\tilde{e}).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Perhaps with pluralic -z- as in haap-z- CHILDREN, p. 225.

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

BOAS]

### 4. Possessive Suffixes (§§ 90-93)

### § 90. GENERAL REMARKS

The possessive suffixes appended to the noun embrace elements for the first and second persons singular and plural and for the third person; the form expressing the latter is capable of further amplification by the addition of an element indicating the identity of the possessor with the subject of the clause (corresponding to Latin suus as contrasted with ēius). This element may be further extended to express plurality. Altogether four distinct though genetically related series of possessive pronominal affixes are found, of which three are used to express simple ownership of the noun modified; the fourth is used only with nouns preceded by pre-positives and with local adverbial stems. The former set includes a special scheme for most terms of relationship, and two other schemes for the great mass of nouns, that seem to be fundamentally identical and to have become differentiated for phonetic reasons. None of these four pronominal schemes is identical with either the objective or any of the subjective series found in the verb, though the pronominal forms used with prepositives are very nearly coincident with the subjective forms found in the future of Class II intransitives:

ha-wilidē in my house, like s-a's-ant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall stand ha-wili'da in his house, like s-a's-ant' $\bar{a}$ <sup>a</sup> he will stand

The following table gives the four possessive schemes, together with the suffixes of Class II future intransitives, for comparison:

	Terms of relation- ship	Scheme II	Scheme III	With pre- positives	Future in- transitives I
Singular:					
First person	wi-	-d . k*	-'t'k'	-(1č	-dee
Second person	-'Et*	-de€	-'Et'	-da€	-da€
Third person	-xa, -a	-da	-', -'t*	-'da	-da
Plural:					
First person	-da'm	-da'm	-da'm	-da'm	-(p')igam
Second person	≠t'ban	-daba€n	-'Et*ban	$\begin{cases} -daba^{\epsilon}n \\ -'^{\epsilon}t'ban \end{cases}$	-daba*
Singular reflexive: Third person	-ragwa, -agwa	-dagwa	-'t' gwa	{-'dagwa }-'t'gwa	
Plural reflexive: Third person	-xagwan, -agwan	-dagwan	-'t'gwan	{-'dagwan {-'t'gwan	

A complete comparative table of all pronominal forms is given in Appendix A.

It will be observed that the main difference between the last two schemes lies in the first person plural; the first scheme is entirely peculiar in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural possessive suffix (-da'm) resembles the endings of the subjective future of the same person (-iga'm, -anaga'm) in the falling accent; evidently there is a primary element -a'm back of these various endings which has amalgamated with other suffixes. As seen from the table, reflexive suffixes exist only for the third person. The plural reflexive in -gwan has often reciprocal significance:

wu'lxdagwan their own enemies (=they are enemies)

The suffixes of the first and second person plural may also have reciprocal significance:

 $wulxda'm\ e^{\epsilon}bi'k'$  we are enemies (lit., our enemies we are) cf. 180.13

#### § 91. TERMS OF RELATIONSHIP

ham- (ma-) father, hin- (ni-) mother, k!as- maternal grandparent, and beyan- daughter may be taken as types of the nouns that form this group.<sup>1</sup>

Singular:				
First person	viha`m	wihi'n	wik!asi`	wibeya'n
Second person h	ami'€t`	hi'net'	k!asi' <sup>ε</sup> t'	beya'net'
Third person 7	na'ra	ni'ra	k!a'sa	bcya'n
Plural:				
First person h	amida'm	hinda'm	k!asida'm	beyanda'm
Second person h	ami′ <sup>ε</sup> t'ban	hi'net'ban	k!asi'€t'ban	beya'net'ban
Singular reflexive:				
Third person 7	na'xagwa	ni'xagwa	k!a'sagwa	beya'nt'gwa
Plural reflexive:				
Third person	na' <b>1</b> agwan	ni'ragwan	k!a'sagwan	beya'nt'gwar
Vocative	aam <b>ī</b>	[hinde] [s·na]	k!asã	{[hindē  8·nā

The first two of these are peculiar in that they each show a double stem; the first form (ham-, hin-) is used in the first and second persons, the second (ma-, ni-) in the third person. Despite the phonetically symmetrical proportion ham-: ma-=hin-: ni-, the two words are not quite parallel in form throughout, in that hin- does not show the characteristic -i- found in certain of the forms of ham-.

<sup>1</sup> Out of thirty-two terms of relationship (tabulated with first person singular, third person, and vocative in American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, pp. 268, 269) that were obtained, twenty-eight belong here.

BOAS]

Of the other words belonging to this group, only that for friend shows, or seems to show, a double stem:  $wik!\bar{u}^uya'm$  my friend and  $k!\bar{u}'yam$  o friend! 31.6, 8; 32.4, 6 but  $k!\bar{u}^uya'pxa$  his friend 190.2, 4 and  $k!\bar{u}yaba'^{\epsilon}t'$  (with inorganic rather than characteristic a) your friend 198.2. Irregular is also  $wi-k!\bar{o}^uxa'$  my son's wife's parents:  $k!\bar{o}^uxa'm-xa$  his son's wife's parents 178.9, in which we have either to reckon with a double stem, or else to consider the -m- of the latter form a noun-characteristic. Other terms of relationship which, like hin-, append all the personal endings without at the same time employing a characteristic are:

 $w\bar{a}^a$ - younger brother 42.1; 64.4 (also  $t'aw\bar{a}^a$ - younger sister 58.1, 5; 188.10)

 $k!e^eb$ - husband's parent

wayau- daughter-in-law ([?] formed according to verb-type 11 from way- sleep) 56.8, 9

 $s i y \bar{a}^{\epsilon} p$ '- woman's sister's husband or husband's brother hasd-1 man's sister's husband or wife's brother 152.22

 $k!\bar{u}ya \begin{Bmatrix} m-\\ b- \end{Bmatrix}$  friend 180.13; 196.19; 198.2

beyan- DAUGHTER 13.2; 70.1, 4; 118.1, 4 belongs, morphologically speaking, to the terms of relationship only because of its first personal singular form; all its other forms (the vocatives really belong to hin-) are built up according to Scheme III.

As far as known, only terms of relationship possess vocative forms, though their absence can not be positively asserted for other types of nouns. The great majority of these vocatives end in  $-\tilde{a}$ , which, as in  $w\tilde{a}$  o younger brother! may be the lengthened form with rising accent of the final vowel of the stem, or, as in  $k!as\tilde{a}$  o grandmother! 16.3, 5, 6; 17.2; 154.18 added to the stem, generally with loss of the characteristic -i-, wherever found. wayau- and  $siy\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}p'$ -, both of which lack a characteristic element, employ as vocative the stem with rising accent on the a- vowel:  $waya\tilde{u}$  o daughter-in-law! and  $siy\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}p'$  o brother-in-law! (said by woman). This method of forming the vocative is in form practically equivalent to the addition of  $-\tilde{a}$ .  $sn\tilde{a}^2$  mamma! and  $haik!\tilde{a}$  o wife! husband! are vocatives without corresponding noun-stems provided with pronominal suffixes. beyandaughter and k'aba- son, on the other hand, have no vocative

<sup>1</sup> wiha'st' MY WIFE'S BROTHER is the only Takelma word known that terminates in -st'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Inasmuch as there is hardly another occurrence of  $s \cdot n$ - in Takelma, it is perhaps not too far-fetched to analyze  $s \cdot n\tilde{a}$  into  $s \cdot -$  (cf. second footnote, p. 8)  $+ n\tilde{a}$  (vocative of  $ni \cdot in \ ni'za$  HIS MOTHER).

derived from the same stem, but employ the vocative form of mother and father respectively. Of other vocatives,  $k!\bar{u}'yam^{\,1}$  o friend! 31.6, 8; 32.4, 6 is the bare stem;  $ham\bar{\imath}$  70.5; 71.7, the stem with added characteristic-i-;  $hind\bar{e}$  o mother! daughter! 56.7; 76.10, 13; 186.14 is quite peculiar in that it makes use of the first personal singular ending  $(-d\bar{e})$  peculiar to nouns with possessive suffix and preceding pre-positive. Only two other instances of a nominal use of  $-d\bar{e}$  without pre-positive or local adverb have been found:  $mo't'e^{e}$  my son-in-law! (as vocative) 164.19; and  $k'wi'naxd\bar{e}$  my folks, relations, which otherwise follows Scheme II (e. g., third person  $k'wi'naxd\bar{a}^{a}$ ).

The normal pronominal suffix of the third person is -xa; -a is found in only four cases, k!a'sa his maternal grandparent, ha'sa his maternal uncle, t'a'da his paternal aunt, and ha'sda his brotherin-law. The first two of these can be readily explained as assimilated from \*k!a'sxa and \*ha'sxa (see § 20, 3): \*t'adxa and \*hasdxa, however, should have become \*t'a'sa and \*ha'sa respectively. The analogy of the first two, which were felt to be equivalent to stem + -a, on the one side, and that of the related forms in -d-(e. g.,  $t'ad\tilde{a}$  and  $hasd\tilde{a}$ ) on the other, made it possible for t'a'da and ha'sda to replace \*t'a'sa and \*ha'sa, the more so that a necessary distinction in form was thus preserved between ha'sa his maternal uncle and ha'sda (instead of \*ha'sa) his brother-in-law.

The difference in signification between the third personal forms in -xa and -xagwa (similarly for the other pronominal schemes) will be readily understood from what has already been said, and need not be enlarged upon:

 $ma'xa \ w\bar{a}^a$ -himi't' he spoke to his (some one else's) father  $ma'xagwa \ w\bar{a}^a$ -himi't' he spoke to his own father

There is small doubt that this -gwa is identical with the indirect reflexive -gwa of transitive verbs with incorporated object. Forms in -gwan seem to refer to the plurality of either possessor or object possessed:

k'aba'xagwan their own son or his (her) own sons  $e\bar{\imath}xdagwan$  their own canoe or his own canoes

The final -n of these forms is the indefinite plural -an discussed below (§ 99). Plural (?) -gwan is found also in verb forms (144.12; 150.24).

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>dagger}k!\bar{u}yam$ - is perhaps derived, by derivational suffix -(a)m, from verb-stem k! $\delta uy$ - oo together with one.

<sup>§ 91</sup> 

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

#### § 92. SCHEMES II AND III

As examples may be taken dagax- HEAD, which follows Scheme II, and wili- House, dana- Rock, t!ibagwan- LIVER, and  $x\bar{a}^a ham$ - BACK. which follow Scheme III.

Singular:					
Ist person	da'gardek'	wili't'k'	dana't'k'	t!ibagwa`nt'k'	xāaha`mt'k'
2d person	da′gaxde <sup>€</sup>	wili'st'	dana'Et'	t!ibagwa'nºt'	zāaha' m <sup>€</sup> t
3d person	da'garda	wilī'i	da nā'a	t!ibagwa'n	rāaha' m
Plural:					
1st person	da' gazdam	wilida'm	danada'm	t!ibagwa'ndam	zāaha'mdam
2d person	daga'rdaba∈n	wili' <sup>ɛ</sup> t' ban	dana'et'ban	t!ibagwa'net'ban	zāaha'met'ban
Singular reflex-					
ive:					
3d person	daga' rdagwa	wili't'gwa	dana't'gwa	t!ibagwa'nt'gwa	zāaha'mt'qwa
Plural reflex-					
ive:					
3d person	daga'rdagwan	wili't'gwan	dana't'qwan	t!ibagwa'nt'gwan	zāaha'mt'qwan

A third person plural -dan also occurs, as in dũmhak'wdan iiis SLAIN ONES OF THEIR SLAIN ONE 180.2.

Scheme II is followed by the large class of nouns that have a prepronominal -x-, besides a considerable number of nouns that add the endings directly to the stem. Noun-characteristics may not take the endings of Scheme II unless followed by a -x- (thus -a'nt'k' and -anxde'k'; -i't'k' and -ixde'k'). Examples of Scheme II nouns without preceding -x- are:

```
a-is·de'k' my property (though -s- may be secondarily derived
  from -s·x- or -tx-) 23.2, 3; 154.18, 19, 20; 158.4
mo't'ek' my son-in-law (152.9) (incorporated mot'-)
se'elt'ek' my writing, paint (absolute se'el)
he'elt'ek' my song (164.16; 182.6) (absolute he'el 106.7)
ts \cdot !i'ik'dek' my meat (44.3, 6; 170.6)
wila'ut'ek' my arrow (45.13; 154.18) (absolute wila'u 22.5; 28.1, 2;
ga'lt'ek' my bow (154.19; 190.22) (absolute ga'l^{\varepsilon})
la'psdek' my blanket (absolute la'ps 98.14, 15, 19, 21)
ts:!ixi-maha'it'ek' my horse (absolute ts:!i'xi-maha'i)
```

Scheme III is followed by all nouns that have a characteristic immediately preceding the personal suffix or, in nearly all cases, whose stem, or stem + derivative suffix, ends in -a- (e. g., t!ela't'k' MY SHINNY-STICK [from t!ela']), -i-, -ei- (e. g., ts:!eleīt'k' MY EYE [from ts:!elei-]), -n (e. g., sent'k' my skin), -m, or - $l^1$  (e. g.,  $di^{ie}a'lt'k'$ 

In most, if not all, eases the -n, -m, or -l is a non-radical element. It is not quite clear in how far stems ending in these vowels and consonants follow Scheme 11 or Scheme 111.

MY FOREHEAD [from  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}al$ -]). The third person is, at least super-

ficially, without ending in all nouns of this group whose pre-pronominal form is not monosyllabic. The third personal form is characterized by a falling accent on the final syllable, -a- and -ibeing lengthened to  $-\bar{a}'^a$  and  $-\bar{\imath}'^i$  respectively. Other forms are:

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

```
ts:!ele'i his eye 27.8; 86.7, 9; (cf. 54.6)
d\bar{o}^u ma'l his testicles 130.8: 136.5
x\bar{a}^a la'm his urine
qwit!i'n his wrist
```

There is no doubt, however, that these forms without ending originally had a final -t', as indicated by the analogy of third personal forms in -da in Scheme II, and as proved by the preservation of the -t'- before the reflexive suffix -qwa and in monosyllabic forms:

```
p!\bar{a}'^a nt his liver 120.2, 15
n\bar{\imath}'^{i}t' her teats 30.14; 32.7
t!\bar{\imath}'^i t' her husband (17.13)
s\bar{a}'^a t' his discharge of wind 166.8
```

Though the conditions for the loss of a final -t' are not fully understood, purely phonetic processes having been evidently largely intercrossed by analogic leveling, it is evident that the proportion wili's HIS HOUSE:  $n\bar{\imath}'^i t'$  HER TEATS =  $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\imath}$  HE STANDS:  $w\bar{\imath}t'$  HE TRAVELS ABOUT represents a by no means accidental phonetic and morphologic correspondence between noun and verb (Class II intransitives). The falling pitch is peculiar to the noun as contrasted with the verbform (cf. he'el song, but hel sing!). Monosyllabic stems of Scheme III seem to have a rising accent before -t'gwa as well as in the first person. Thus:

```
lãt'gwa his own excrement 77.1
t!\bar{\imath}t'gwa her own husband (despite t!\bar{\imath}'it') 45.14; (59.16; 60.2);
```

Nouns with characteristic -i- prefer the parallel form in -i'-x-dagwa to that in -i'-t'qwa. Thus:

 $b\bar{u}^ubini'xdagwa$  his own arm, rather than  $b\bar{u}^ubini't'gwa$ , despite būubini`t'k' my arm

The limitation of each of the two schemes to certain definite phonetically determined groups of nouns (though some probably merely apparent contradictions, such as  $ga'l^{-1}t'ek'$  my bow and  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\epsilon}a'l^{-t}k'$ 

<sup>1-</sup>l'k' always requires preceding rising or raised accent. As gal- bow seems to be inseparably connected with a falling accent (very likely because of the eatch in its absolute form), it is, after all, probably a phonetic reason that causes it to follow Scheme II rather than III.

BOAS]

MY FOREHEAD, occur), together with the evident if not entirely symmetrical parallelism between the suffixes of both, make it practically certain that they are differentiated, owing to phonetic causes, from a single scheme. The -a- of -da (-dagwa) and -daba<sup>e</sup>n (as contrasted with -t' and -et'ban) may be inorganic in origin, and intended to support phonetically difficult consonant combinations:

 $g\bar{u}xda$  his wife (from \* $g\bar{u}x$ -t') 13.2; 43.15; 49.6, like  $\bar{i}$ -lasga' touch it (from stem lasg-)

The -e-, however, of -dek 32.6 and  $-de^{\epsilon}$  31.1; 59.3 can not be thus explained. It is not improbable that part of the endings of Scheme III are due to a loss of an originally present vowel, so that the primary scheme of pronominal suffixes may have been something like:

Singular: First person,  $-d-e^k$ ; second person,  $-d-e^e$ ; third person, -t. Reflexive: Third person, -t'-gwa. Plural: First person, -d-a'm; second person, -t'- $ba^en$ .

It can hardly be entirely accidental that all the suffixes are characterized by a dental stop; perhaps an amalgamation has taken place between the original pronominal elements and an old, formerly significant nominal element -d-.

#### § 93. POSSESSIVES WITH PRE-POSITIVES

As examples of possessive affixes attached to nouns with prepositives and to local elements may be taken dak'- over,  $wa^{-1}$  to, haw-an- under, and ha- $^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ - in hand.

Singular:				
First person	$dak'd\tilde{e}$ over me	wade to me	hawandê under me	hatīūdē in my hand
Second person	$d\tilde{a}$ k' $da^{\varepsilon}$	$wada'^{\varepsilon}$	hawanda's	ha <sup>ε</sup> i′ūda <sup>ε</sup>
Third person	$da'k'd\bar{a}ada$	wã′ada	hawa'nda	ha <sup>e</sup> ī'ūda
Plural:				
First person	dak' $da'm$	wada'm	hawanda'm	ha <sup>e</sup> īūda′m
Second person	$da'k'daba^{\varepsilon}n$	wā'at'ban	hawa'net'ban ·	ha∈î′ū∈t*ban
Singular reflexive:		ļ		
Third person	da'k'dagwa	wa't'gwa	hawa'nt'gwa	ha <sup>ɛ</sup> ī'ūt'gwa
Plural reflexive:				
Third person	da'k'dagwan	wa't'gwan	hawa'nt'gwan	hati'üt'gwan

The apparently double ending  $-d\bar{a}^a da$  of the third person of dakis not entirely isolated (cf. ha- $ye^cwa'x$ - $d\bar{a}^a da$  in their time of returning;  $he'^{es}$ - $d\bar{a}^a da$  beyond him), but can not be explained. The use of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is possible that this wa- is etymologically identical with the verbal prefix wa- together. The forms of wa- given above are regularly used when reference is had to persons, the postposition  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{i}l$  being employed in connection with things:  $w\bar{a}'ada\ gini'\epsilon k'$  HE WENT TO HIM (56.11); 148.6; s-om  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{i}l\ gini'\epsilon k'$  HE WENT TO THE MOUNTAIN (43.6).

-dagwa and -daba<sup>e</sup>n on the one hand, and of -t'gwa and -et'ban on the other, is determined by the same phonetic conditions as differentiate Schemes II and III. A third personal plural in -t'an (apparently =-d+-han) is also found: de' et'an in front of them 190.13 (but de' eda before him 59.14);  $x\bar{a}^a$ -s·ogw $\bar{i}'$ it'an between them (see below, p. 240);  $w\bar{a}'$ a't'an to them 160.15. A form in -xa seems also to occur with third personal plural signification: wa'xa ts·!i $n\bar{i}'$ its·!anx he got angrey at them;  $diha\bar{u}xa$  after them, behind their backs 132.13.

The number of local elements that directly take on possessive suffixes seems fairly considerable, and includes both such as are body-part and local prefixes in the verb (e. g., dak'-) and such as are used in the verb only as local prefixes (e. g., wa-, dal-); a few seem not to be found as verbal prefixes. Not all adverbially used verbal prefixes, however, can be inflected in the manner of dak'  $d\bar{e}$  and  $wad\bar{e}$  (e. g., no \* $had\bar{e}$  can be formed from ha-). A number of body-part and local stems take on a noun-characteristic:

```
haw-an- under (from ha-u-) x\bar{a}^a-ham-d\bar{e}^1 about my waist (from x\bar{a}^a-)
```

The local elements that have been found capable of being followed by pronominal affixes are:

```
dak'd\tilde{e} over me (56.9; 110.18); 186.4, 5
wadē to me (56.15; 60.1; 63.14; 88.13; 150.18; 194.1)
xāahamdē about my waist
gwelda' under it 190.17
gwe'nda (in Gwenda\ yu's\bar{a}^a = being at its nape, i. e., east of it)
d\bar{\imath}'^i da close in back of him, at his anus 138.2
dind\bar{e} behind me (?=verb-prefix d\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}- anus, behind+noun-char-
   acteristic -n-) (86.9; 138.3; 170.1)
hawand\tilde{e} under me (71.1, 5, 12)
gelde in front of me, for (in behalf of) me
ded\bar{e} in front of me (59.14; 124.20)
h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}yad\tilde{e} around me
he^{ie}d\bar{a}^ada beyond him 148.9
ha'nda across, through it
da'lt'qwan among themselves 98.2
qwen-ha-udē at my nape; gwen-haūt'gwa in back of his own neck
di-ha-udē after I went away, behind my back (132.10; 186.8;
   192.4)
```

It is only the different schemes of personal endings that, at least in part, keep distinct the noun  $x\bar{a}aham$ -back and the local element  $x\bar{a}aham$ - on back, about wist:  $x\bar{a}aham$  his back, but  $x\bar{a}aham$  on his back, at his waist;  $x\bar{a}aham$  our backs and on our backs.

<sup>§ 93</sup> 

BOAS]

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}$ -a'lda over his eyes, on his forehead (172.3)  $n\bar{o}'ts!adam$  neighboring us (= stem  $n\bar{o}ts!$ - next door + nouncharacteristic -a-) (98.13)

When used as local pre-positives with nouns, these local stems drop their characteristic affixes, and thus appear in the same form in which they are found in the verb (e. g.,  $x\bar{a}^a$ -gweld $\bar{e}$  between My legs), except that ha-u- under as pre-positive adds an -a-: hawa- (e. g., hawa-sald $\bar{e}$  under My feet). The various pre-positives found prefixed to nouns with possessive suffixes are:

ha- in hawa- under dak'- over  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - above  $d\bar{a}^a$ - alongside al- to, at de-, da- in front of  $x\bar{a}^a$ - between, in middle of gwen- at nape, east of  $d\bar{\imath}^e$ - at rear end, west of dal- away from han- across (?) gel- facing qwel- under, down from

The noun itself, as has already been seen, appears with its characteristic.  $t'g\tilde{a}$  Earth, however, perhaps for some unknown phonetic reason, does not retain its characteristic -u- before the possessive suffixes (ha- $t'g\tilde{a}\tilde{u}$  in the country 33.7, but ha- $t'g\tilde{a}^ad\tilde{e}$  in My country 194.4) Examples of forms of the type  $ha^{\varepsilon}\tilde{\iota}\tilde{u}d\tilde{e}$  in My hand are:

ha-dī't'gwa in back of him, in his anus (incorporated dī^{\varepsilon}) 94.11 dā^{a}-yawadē^{1} aside from me (literally, alongside my ribs) dak'-s-aldē on top of my feet 198.6; (cf. 44.8) hawa-lüüldē under my throat dak'-s-inī'ida over his nose 144.11 al-guxwida'm wōk' we have enough of it (literally, to-our-hearts it-has-arrived) 128.1 ha-wilidē in my house (64.2; 88.18; 120.14) ha-ye-waxdē in my returning (= when I return) (124.15) dī-delga'nt'gwa behind himself, at his own anus (72.10) al-wā-di't'gwan at one another (literally, to each other's bodies; wā-d-i- body) (96.22; 146.2; 190.19)

<sup>1</sup> Also dat-yawade aside from me (with verb of throwing) (=literally, away from my ribs).

[BULL, 40

ha-sa'lda (thinking) of her (literally, in her footsteps) 142.13  $d\bar{\iota}^i$ - $dand\bar{e}$  over my ear  $d\bar{\iota}^i$ -ts:! $eleid\bar{e}$  over my eyes ha- $ded\bar{e}$  in my mouth (170.2; 182.17) gwen-bok:  $dand\bar{e}$  at my nape  $x\bar{a}^a$ -s: $inid\bar{e}$  resting on my nose (like spectacles) gwel- $ew\bar{a}^a$ did $\bar{e}$  down from my body 198.4

Several such forms with apparently simple local signification contain after the pre-positive a noun stem not otherwise found:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -s·ogwida'm between us ha- $\varepsilon$ winid $\varepsilon$  inside of me (73.1; 92.17) di- $b\bar{o}^u$ wid $\varepsilon$  at my side  $da^{\varepsilon}$ oldid $\varepsilon$  close to me (124.9) (cf. adverb  $da^{\varepsilon}$ o'l near by 102.6)

Such a non-independent noun is probably also ha-u- in gwen-ha-u- and di-ha-u-, both of which were listed above as simple local elements.

Instances also occur, though far less frequently, of pre-positives with two nouns or noun and adjective; the first noun generally stands in a genitive relation to the second (cf., § 88, the order in juxtaposed nouns), while the second noun is followed by the third personal possessive -da. Such are:

 $gwen-t'g\bar{a}^a-bo'k'dan-da$  at nape of earth's neck (= east) 79.6; 102.4

 $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t'g\bar{a}^a$ - $yu'k!um\bar{a}^a$ -da at rear of earth's tail (= west) 146.1; 198.9 ha- $t'g\bar{a}^a$ - $yaw\bar{a}'^a$ -da in earth's rib (= north) (cf. 194.9)

 $d\bar{u}^a$ -xi-ts·!ek'ts·!ig $\bar{v}'^i$ -da alongside water's backbone (= not far from shore)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -xi-ts·! $\epsilon k$ 'ts·! $ig\bar{\imath}'$ <sup>i</sup>da in middle of water's backbone (= equally distant from either shore) 112.4

 $Ha-y\bar{a}^al-b\bar{a}'ls-da^1$  in its long (i. e., tall) ( $b\tilde{a}ls$ ) pines ( $y\tilde{a}l$ ) (= placename) 114.9

 $D\bar{\imath}$ -p!ol-ts!i'l-da over  $(d\bar{\imath}^i)$  its red (ts!il) bed (p!ol ditch) (= Jump-off Joe creek)

Al-dan-k!olo'i-da<sup>1</sup> to its rock (da'n) basket (k!oloī) (= name of mountain)

Rather difficult of explanation is de-de-wilī'-da door, at door of house 63.11; 77.15; 176.6, which is perhaps to be literally rendered in front of (first de-) house (wili) its (-da) mouth (second de-) (i. e., in front of doorway). The difficulty with this explanation is that it necessitates the interpretation of the second noun as a genitive in relation to the first.

Observe falling accent despite rising accent (bàls, k/oloi) of independent noun. -da with pre-positives, whether with intervening noun or noun and adjective, consistently demands a falling accent before it.

BOAS]

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

# 5. Local Phrases (§§ 94-96)

#### § 94. GENERAL REMARKS

Local phrases without possessive pronouns (i. e., of the type in the house, across the river) may be constructed in three ways.

A local element with third personal possessive suffix may be used to define the position, the noun itself appearing in its absolute form as an appositive of the incorporated pronominal suffix:

 $da'n \ gwelda'$  rock under-it (i. e., under the rock)  $da'n \ handa$  through the rock  $dan \ h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}y\bar{a}^ada$  around the rock  $dan \ da^{\varepsilon}old\bar{\iota}'^ida$  near the rock  $dan \ ge'lda$  in front of the rock  $dan \ di'nda$  behind the rock

There is observable here, as also in the method nearly always employed to express the objective and genitive relations, the strong tendency characteristic of Takelma and other American languages to make the personal pronominal affixes serve a purely formal purpose as substitutes for syntactic and local cases.

The second and perhaps somewhat more common method used to build up a local phrase is to prefix to the noun a pre-positive, the noun itself appearing in the form it assumes before the addition of the normal pronominal suffixes (Schemes II and III). Thus some of the preceding local phrases might have been expressed as:

gwel-dana' under the rock han-dana' through the rock  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ya$ -dana' around the rock gel-dana' in front of the rock  $d\bar{v}^{\varepsilon}$ -dana' behind the rock

These forms have at first blush the appearance of prepositions followed by a local case of the noun, but we have already seen this explanation to be inadmissible.

A third and very frequent form of local phrase is the absolute noun followed by a postposition. The chief difference between this and the preceding method is the very considerable amount of individual freedom that the postposition possesses as contrasted with the rigidly incorporated pre-positive. The majority of the postpositions consist of a pre-positive preceded by the general demonstrative ga- that. da'n gada'k' over the rock is thus really to be analyzed as rock that-over, an appositional type of local

[BULL. 40

phrase closely akin in spirit to that first mentioned:  $dan \ da'k' d\bar{a}^a da$  ROCK OVER-IT. dak' - dana', according to the second method, is also possible.

§ 95. PRE-POSITIVES

The pre-positives employed before nouns without possessive suffixes are identical with those already enumerated (§ 94) as occurring with nouns with possessives, except that hawa-under seems to be replaced by gwel-. It is doubtful also if  $he^{e\epsilon}$ - beyond (also hanacross?) can occur with nouns followed by possessive affixes. Examples of pre-positives in local phrases are:

han-gela'm across the river han-waxga'n across the creek han-p!iya' across the fire 168.19 ha'-waxga'n in the creek ha-xiya' in the water 58.6; 60.3; 61.11; 63.16 ha-bini' in the middle 176.15 (cf. de-bi'n first, last 150.15) ha-p!ola' in the ditch ha- $qw\bar{a}^a la$ 'm in the road 62.6; 158.19 ha-s·ugwañ in the basket (cf. 124.18)  $xa'-s\cdot\bar{o}^u ma'l$  halfway up the mountain  $x\bar{a}^a$ -qulma'n among oaks  $x\bar{a}^a$ -xo  $(y\bar{a}'^a)$  (right) among firs (cf. 94.17) gwel-xi'ya under water 156.19 gwel-t'g $\bar{a}\bar{u}$  down to the ground 176.8  $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts! $\bar{a}^a$ wa'n by the ocean 59.16  $d\bar{a}^a$ -t'  $q\bar{a}\tilde{u}$  alongside the field  $qwen-t'q\bar{a}\bar{u}$  east of the field 55.4; 56.4 gwen-waxga'n east along the creek Gwen-p'u $\tilde{n}k$ ' place-name (= cast of rotten [p'u'n]) 114.14  $de\text{-}wil\bar{\imath}$  in front of the house (= out of doors) 70.4 dak'-s· $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  on top of the mountain 188.15 dak'-wilī over the house 59.2; 140.5 dak'-p!iya' over the fire 24.6, 7  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ -s· $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  beyond the mountain 124.2; 196.13  $al-s \cdot \bar{o}^u ma'l$  at, to the mountain 136.22; 152.8; 192.5, 7, 8  $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ya-p!iya'$  on both sides of the fire 176.12  $h\bar{a}^{\prime\varepsilon}\eta a$ - $s\cdot\bar{o}^{u}ma$ 'l on both sides of the mountain 152.2 di-t'  $q\bar{a}\bar{u}$  west of the field 55.3 dī-waxqa'n some distance west along the creek  $d\bar{\imath}$ -s· $\bar{o}^u ma$ 'l at foot ([?] = in rear) of the mountain  $D\bar{\imath}^{i_1}$ -dala'm place-name (= over the rock[?]) Gel-yālk' place-name (= abreast of pines) 112.13

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps really Dif-dala'm WEST OF THE ROCK (?).

BOAS]

A few cases of compound pre-positives occur:

ha-gwel-p!iya' under the ashes (literally, in-under-the-fire) 118.4

ha-gwel-xiya` at bottom of the water 60.12, 14 ha-gwel-t'ge'emt'gam down in dark places 196.7

An example of a pre-positive with a noun ending in pre-pronominal -x is afforded by  $ha-d\bar{a}^anx$  molhi't' in-ear red 14.4; 15.13; 88.2 (alongside of  $d\bar{a}^a molhi$ 't' red-eared 15.12; 86.6). It is somewhat doubtful, because of a paucity of illustrative material, whether local phrases with final pre-pronominal -x can be freely used.

#### § 96. POSTPOSITIONS

Not all pre-positives can be suffixed to the demonstrative ga- to form postpositions; e. g., no \*gaha', \*gaha'n, \*gagwe'l are found in Takelma. Very few other words (adverbs) are found in which what are normally pre-positives occupy the second place:  $me'^{\epsilon}al$  toward this direction 58.9; ye'k'dal in the brush 71.3. Instead of -ha in, -na'u is used, an element that seems restricted to the postposition gana'u in. The ga-postpositions that have been found are:

```
gada'k' on 48.15; 49.1

gid\bar{\imath}^i (= ga-d\bar{\imath}^i) on, over 49.12

gid\bar{\imath}^{i'} (= ga-d\bar{\imath}^{i'}) in back

gana'u in 47.2; 61.13; 64.4; 110.9

gada'l among 94.12

ga^{\epsilon}a'l to, for, at, from 43.6; 44.4; 55.6; 58.11

gad\bar{a}^a by, along 60.1

gax\bar{a}^a between

gede in front (?) 28.8, 9
```

and possibly:

gasal in adverb gasa'lhi quickly 28.10; 29.14; 160.1

Examples of their use are:

wi'li gada'k' on top of the house 14.9; 15.5
da'n gada'k' on the rock
t'gāa gidī upon the land 49.12
p!īi gada'l in between the fire 94.12
da'n gada'l among rocks
da'n gadā alongside the rocks (cf. 60.1)
wüülham-hoidigwia gadāa "gini'ēk' he went right by where there was round-dancing (literally, menstruation-dancing-with by he-went) 106.13
et gana'u in the canoe 96.24; 112.3

```
dola' gana'u in the old tree 24.1

wa-iwī'i'a' ga²a'l to the female 15.14

ga' ga²a'l for that reason 50.2; 124.6; 146.20, 21; 188.6; 194.11

bixal wi²in-wi'² ga²al ya'² he goes every month (literally, month different-every at he-goes)

da'n gaxāa between the rocks

dīū gede' right at the falls 33.13

Yūk' ya'k'wa gede¹ right by Yūk' ya'k' wa 188.17
```

Postpositions may be freely used with nouns provided with a possessive suffix; e. g., ela't'k' gada'k' on MY TONGUE;  $wil\bar{\iota}'^i$  gana'u IN HIS HOUSE, cf. 194.7. There is no ascertainable difference in signification between such phrases and the corresponding pre-positive forms, dak'- $elad\bar{e}$  and ha- $wil\bar{\iota}'^ida$ . Sometimes a postposition takes in a group of words, in which case it may be enclitically appended to the first:

 $k!iy\bar{i}'x\ gan'au\ ba-igina'xd\bar{a}^a$  smoke in its-going-out (=[hole] in which smoke is to go out) 176.7

Although local phrases involving a postposition are always pronounced as one phonetic unit, and the postpositions have become, psychologically speaking, so obscured in etymology as to allow of their being preceded by the demonstrative with which they are themselves compounded (cf.  $ga\ ga^{\epsilon}a'l$  above), they have enough individuality to render them capable of being used quasi-adverbially without a preceding noun:

```
gada'k' s·u<sup>ε</sup>wilīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I sat on him
gadak' ts!āak'ts!a'k'de<sup>ε</sup> I step on top of it (148.17)
gidīi gaīxgwa thereon eating (=table)
gidī'<sup>ε</sup>-hi closer and closer (literally, right in back)
gadāa yeweya'k'<sup>w</sup> he got even with him (literally, alongside hereturned-having-him) 17.5
māl yaxa aba'i dūl gede' salmon-spear-shaft only in-house, spearpoint thereby 28.7, 9
gīi gana'u I am inside
ga'nau naga'i<sup>ε</sup> wili't'k' he went through my house (literally, in he-did my-house [for naga'i<sup>ε</sup> see § 69]) cf. 78.5
Other postpositions than those compounded with ga- are:
da<sup>ε</sup>o'l near (cf. da<sup>ε</sup>ol- as pre-positive in da<sup>ε</sup>oldidē near me):
```

 $wili't'k' da^{\epsilon}o'l$  near my house

wa with (also as incorporated instrumental wa-, § 38) 25.5; 47.5

1 Yuk'ya'k'wa gada was said to be preferable, whence it seems possible that gede is not really equivalent to gt that + de-in front, but is palatalized as adverb (see below, § 104) from gadāa.

<sup>§ 96</sup> 

BOAS]

ha-bini' in the middle: wili ha'-bini' in the middle of the house; ha-be'-bini' noon (literally, in-sun [=day]-middle) 126.21; 186.8

-di's away: eme'édis away from here; dedewilī'idadi's (? outside of) the door 176.6

It is peculiar that mountain-names generally have a prefix al- and a suffix -dis:

```
al-dauyā'ak'wa-dis (cf. dauyā'ak'w supernatural helper) 172.1 al-wila'mxa-dis al-sawēnt'a-dis
```

That both al- and -dis are felt not to be integral parts of these mountain-names is shown by such forms as  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ -wila'mxa beyond Alwila'mxadis 196.14 and al-dauyā'ak'w. In all probability they are to be explained as local phrases, at, to (al-) . . . DISTANT (-dis), descriptive of some natural peculiarity or resident supernatural being.

Differing apparently from other postpositions in that it requires the preceding noun to appear in its pre-pronominal form (i. e., with final -x if it is provided with it in Scheme II forms) is  $wa'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  without, which would thus seem to occupy a position intermediate between the other postpositions and the pre-positives. Examples are:

```
ts:!elei wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without eyes 26.14; 27.6 dagax wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without head y\varepsilon k'alx wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without teeth 57.4 nixa wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> motherless
```

As shown by the last example, terms of relationship whose third personal possessive suffix is -xa (-a) use the third personal form as the equivalent of the pre-pronominal form of other nouns (cf. also § 108, 6), a fact that casts a doubt on the strictly personal character of the -xa suffix. No third personal idea is possible, e. g., in maxa  $wa'k';^{\varepsilon}$  eit'e<sup>{\varepsilon}</sup> I AM FATHERLESS.  $wak'i^{\varepsilon}$  is undoubtedly related to wa with; the  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  may be identical with the conditional particle (see § 71).

On the border-line between loosely used preposition and independent adverb are nogwa below, down river from  $(?=n\tilde{o}^u$  down river + demonstrative ga that) : nogwa wilt below the house 76.7; and hinwa above, up river from (cf. hina up river): hi'nwa wilt above the house 77.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Properly speaking, ha-bini' is a pre-positive phrase from noun-stem bin- (cf. de-bin first, last, and [?] bilgan-x- breast[?=middle part of body-front]) with characteristic -i-. bee-bin- sun's middle is compounded like, e. g., t'gda-bok'dan-earth's neck above (§ 93).

[BULL. 40

# 6. Post-nominal Elements (§§ 97-102)

#### § 97. GENERAL REMARKS

Under the head of post-nominal elements are included a small group of suffixes which, though altogether without the distinct individuality characteristic of local postpositions, are appended to the fully formed noun, pronoun, or adjective, in some cases also adverb, serving in one way or another to limit or extend the range of application of one of these denominating or qualifying terms. The line of demarcation between these post-nominal elements and the more freely movable modal particles discussed below (§ 114) is not very easy to draw; the most convenient criterion of classification is the inability of what we have termed Post-nominal elements to attach themselves to verb-forms.

#### § 98. EXCLUSIVE -t'a

The suffix -t'a is freely appended to nouns and adjectives, less frequently to pronouns, in order to specify which one out of a number is meant; the implication is always that the particular person, object, or quality mentioned is selected out of a number of alternative and mutually exclusive possibilities. When used with adjectives -t'a has sometimes the appearance of forming the comparative or superlative; e. g., aga (1)  $t!os \bar{o}'^ut'a$  (2) this (1) is smaller (2), but such an interpretation hardly hits the truth of the matter. The sentence just quoted really signifies this is small (not large like that). As a matter of fact, -t'a is rather idiomatic in its use, and not susceptible of adequate translation into English, the closest rendering being generally a dwelling of the voice on the corresponding English word. The following examples illustrate its range of usage:

hapxit!ī'it'a child male (not female) (i. e., boy) 14.1; 156.8

wa-iwī'it'a ga<sup>e</sup>al yewe'<sup>ie</sup> the-woman to he-turned (i. e., he now proceeded to look at the woman, after having examined her husband) 15.14

maha'it'a a'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī na<sup>ɛ</sup>naga'i<sup>ɛ</sup> the-big (brother) not in-any-way he-did (i. e., the older brother did nothing at all, while his younger brother got into trouble) 23.6; (58.3)

aga wãxat'a xebe'<sup>ɛ</sup>n this his-younger-brother did-it (not he him-self)

k!wa'lt'a younger one 24.1; 58.6

§§ 97-98

BOAS]

 $\bar{a}'k$ da  $d\bar{u}$ t'a gī i-s i  $\bar{\epsilon}$ ī i lts ! ak ' w e ī t' e  $\bar{\epsilon}$ he (ā k') (is) handsome (d ū) I-but ugly I-am

ü's i nãxdek' al-ts!i'lt'ā give-me my-pipe red-one (implying others of different color)

waga't'ā di which one?

aga t!os·ō'ut'a ī'daga yaxa maha'it'a this (is) small, that but large (cf 128.7)

 $\bar{\imath}'daga \ s \cdot \bar{o}^{u\varepsilon} \ maha'it$  a that-one (is) altogether-big (=that one is biggest)

It seems that, wherever possible, -t'a keeps its t' intact. To prevent its becoming -da (as in  $\bar{a}'k'da$  above) an inorganic a seems to be added in:

k!ulsa't'ā\* soft 57.9 (cf. k!u'ls worm; more probably directly from k!ulsa't' 130.22)

#### § 99. PLURAL (-t'an, -han, -k!an)

As a rule, it is not considered necessary in Takelma to specify the singularity or plurality of an object, the context generally serving to remove the resulting ambiguity. In this respect Takelma resembles many other American languages. The element -(a)n, however, is not infrequently employed to form a plural, but this plural is of rather indefinite application when the noun is supplied with a third personal possessive suffix (compare what was said above, § 91, in regard to -qwan). The fact that the plurality implied by the suffix may have reference to either the object possessed or to the possessor or to both (e.g., beya'nhan his daughters or their daughter, THEIR DAUGHTERS) makes it very probable that we are here dealing, not with the simple idea of plurality, but rather with that of reciprocity. It is probably not accidental that the plural -(a)n agrees phonetically with the reciprocal element -an- found in the verb. In no case is the plural suffix necessary in order to give a word its full syntactic form; it is always appended to the absolute noun or to the noun with its full complement of characteristic and pronominal affix.

The simple form -(a)n of the suffix appears only in the third personal reflexive possessive -gwa-n (see § 91) and, apparently, the third personal possessive  $-t^*an$  of pre-positive local phrases (see p. 238). Many absolute nouns ending in a vowel, or in l, m, or n, also nouns with personal affixes (including pre-positives with possessive suffixes) other than that of the third person, take the form -han of the plural

suffix; the -h- may be a phonetically conditioned rather than morphologically significant element. Examples are:

Noun	Plural
sīnsan decrepit old woman	$s  ilde{\imath} n s a n$ han
ts:!i'xi dog	ts:!ixi'han
<i>ya'p!a</i> person 176.1, 12	<i>yap!a</i> 'han 32.4
eī canoe 13.5; 112.3, 5	eīhan
$wik!\bar{u}^uya$ 'm my friend	$wik!ar{u}^uy\hat{u}'m$ han
wits:!aī my nephew 22.1	wits !aîhan 23.8, 10; 150.4
bõut bidit k' my orphan child	$b ilde{o}^u t'bidit'k'$ han
nō'ts!adē neighboring to me	$nar{o}'ts!ade^{\epsilon}$ han
hindē O mother! 186.14	hindčhan O mothers! 76.10, 13

A large number of chiefly personal words and all nouns provided with a possessive suffix of the third person take -t'an as the plural suffix; the -t'an of local adverbs or nouns with pre-positives has been explained as composed of the third personal suffix -t' and the pluralizing element -han:  $n\bar{o}'ts!\bar{a}^at'an$  his neighbors. In some cases, as in  $wa-w\bar{v}'it'an$  girls 55.16; 106.17, -t'an may be explained as composed of the exclusive -t'a discussed above and the plural -n. The fact, however, that -t'an may itself be appended both to this exclusive -t'a and to the full third personal form of nouns not provided with a pre-positive makes it evident that the -t'a- of the plural suffix -t'an is an element distinct from either the exclusive -t'a or third personal -t'.  $-t'\bar{a}^at'a-n$  is perhaps etymologically as well as phonetically parallel to the unexplained  $-d\bar{a}^ada$  of  $da'k'd\bar{a}^ada$  over mim (see §93). Examples of -t'an are:

Noun	Plural
$lomt!\tilde{i}^{\prime i}$ old man 112.3, 9; 114.10;	$lomt!ar{\imath}'^i$ t'an
126.19	
mologo'l old woman 168.11;	mologo'lt'an
170.10	
$wa$ - $iw\bar{\imath}'^{i}$ girl 124.5, 10	$wa-iw\bar{\imath}'^{i}$ t'an 55.16; 60.2;
	106.17
$\bar{a}'i$ - $hi$ ' just they (cf. 49.11; 138.11)	$\bar{a}'i$ t'an they
ts:!ixi-maha`i horse	ts:!ixi-maha'it`an
$l\bar{o}^u s \bar{i}'^i$ his plaything 110.6, 11	$lar{o}^u sar{\imath}'^i$ t'an
$m\bar{o}'^u t'\bar{a}^a$ his son-in-law	$m\bar{o}'^{u}t'\bar{a}^{a}t'$ an their sister's
	husband <sup>1</sup> 150.22; 152.4, 9
t!ela` louse (116.3, 6)	$t!elar{a}'^a$ t'an
$hapxi-t!\bar{i}'it'\bar{a}^a$ boy 14.6; 156.8, 10	<i>hapxi-t!ī'i</i> t'ā <sup>a</sup> t'an 160.14
$\int da  p! \bar{a}' la - u$ youth 132.13; 190.2	$dap!\bar{a}'la$ -ut'an 132.12
lbala'u young	<i>bala'u</i> t`an
$w\bar{o}^u n\bar{a}' k^{*w}$ old 57.1; 168.2	$war{o}^u nar{a}' k^{*u} \mathrm{dan}$

<sup>1</sup> mot's seems to indicate not only the daughter's husband, but also, in perhaps a looser sense, the relatives gained by marriage of the sister.

BOAS]

The plural form -k!an is appended to nouns in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  and to the third personal -xa(-a) of terms of relationship. As -k!-1 is appended to nouns in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  also before the characteristic -i- followed by a possessive suffix, it is clear that -k!an is a compound suffix consisting of an unexplained -k!- and the plural element -(a)n. Examples of -k!an are:

t!īdā'p'ak!an men 128.11; 130.1, 7, 25; 132.17 k'aiɛlā'p'ak!an women 184.13 mologolā'p'ak!an old women 57.14; 128.3, 10 (also mologo'lt'an) o'pxak!an her elder brothers 124.16, 20; 134.8; 138.7 k'aba'xak!an his, their sons 132.10; 156.14 ma'xak!an their father 130.19, 21; 132.12 t'awāxak!an their younger sister 148.5 k!a'sak!an their maternal grandmother 154.13; 156.8, 15, 18, 21

#### § 100. DUAL -dil

The suffix  $-d\bar{u}(-d\bar{v}')$  is appended to a noun or pronoun to indicate the duality of its occurrence, or to restrict its naturally indefinite or plural application to two. It is not a true dual in the ordinary sense of the word, but indicates rather that the person or object indicated by the noun to which it is suffixed is accompanied by another person or object of the same kind, or by a person or object mentioned before or after; in the latter case it is equivalent to AND connecting two denominating terms. Examples illustrating its use are:

gōumdī'l we two (restricted from gōum we)
gadīl gōum īhēmxinigam we two, that one and I, will wrestle
(literally, that-one-and-another [namely, I] we we-shallwrestle) 30.5
sgi'sidī'l two coyotes (literally, coyote-and-another [coyote])
wāxadī'l two brothers (lit.,[he] and his younger brother) 26.12
sgisi ni'xadī'l Coyote and his mother 54.2

The element  $-d\bar{\imath}l$  doubtless occurs as an adjective stem meaning ALL, EVERY, in  $ald\bar{\imath}l$  ALL 134.4 (often heard also as  $ald\bar{\imath}$  47.9; 110.16; 188.1);  $haded\bar{\imath}lt'a$  EVERYWHERE 43.6; 92.29; and  $hat'g\bar{a}^ad\bar{\imath}lt'a$  IN EVERY LAND 122.20.

§ 101.  $-wi^{\prime \varepsilon}$  every

This element is freely appended to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, but has no independent existence of its own. Examples are:

 $be^{\epsilon}$ wi' $\epsilon$  every day (literally, every sun) 42.1; 158.17  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}n$ wi $\epsilon$  every night ( $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}n\epsilon$ ) night, at night)

§§ 100-101

<sup>11</sup>t was found extremely difficult, despite repeated trials, for some reason or other, to decide as to whether -k!- or -g- was pronounced. -k!i- and -k!an may thus be really -gi- and -gan.

bixal wieinwi'e ba-i-wili'ue month comes after month (literally, moon different-each out-goes)

[BULL. 40

 $gwel^{-\epsilon}w\tilde{a}k'wiwi^{\epsilon}$  every morning  $(gwel^{-\epsilon}w\tilde{a}k'wi^{\epsilon}$  morning 44.1)  $da-h\bar{o}^{u}xawi'^{\epsilon}$  every evening

ha-bee-biniwi's every noon

k'aiwi' $\epsilon$  everything, something (k'a-, k'ai- what, thing) 180.5, 6 ada't'wi $\epsilon$  everywhere, to each 30.12; 74.2; 120.13

As illustrated by  $k'aiwi'^{\epsilon}$ , the primary meaning of  $-wi^{\epsilon}$  is not so much every as that it refers the preceding noun or adverb to a series. It thus conveys the idea of some in:

 $dal^{\varepsilon}$ wi' $^{\varepsilon}$  sometimes, in regard to some 57.12  $x\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}n\epsilon$ wi' $^{\varepsilon}$  sometimes 132.25

With pronouns it means too, as well as others:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i$ wi'<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> I too  $m\bar{a}^a$ wi'<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> you too 58.5

Like -dil,  $-wi^{\epsilon}$  may be explained as a stereotyped adjectival stem that has developed into a quasi-formal element. This seems to be indicated by the derivative  $wi^{\epsilon}i'n$  EVERY, DIFFERENT 49.1; 160.20; 188.12.

## § 102. **DEICTIC** $-\epsilon a^{\lambda}$

It is quite likely that the deictic --ca' is etymologically identical with the demonstrative stem a- this, though no other ease has been found in which this stem follows the main noun or other word it qualifies. It differs from the exclusive -t'a in being less distinctly a part of the whole word and in having a considerably stronger contrastive force. Unlike -t'a, it may be suffixed to adverbs as well as to words of a more strictly denominative character. Examples of its occurrence are extremely numerous, but only a very few of these need be given to illustrate its deictic character:

 $ma^{\epsilon}$ a' you ([I am ——,] but you ——) 26.3; 56.5; (cf. 49.8, 13)  $maha'i^{\epsilon}$ a' big indeed

 $ga^{\epsilon}a'$  ge wili'i that one's house is there (literally, that-one there his-house [that house yonder belongs to that fellow Coyote, not to Panther, whom we are seeking]) 55.4; cf. 196.19

 $b\bar{\sigma}^{u\varepsilon}$ a' but nowadays (so it was in former days, but now things have changed) 50.1; 194.5

ge'- $hi\ g^{7i\epsilon}$ a'  $yok!oya'^{\epsilon}n$  that-far I-for-my-part know-it (others may know more) 49.13; 154.7

 $p'i'm^{\varepsilon}a'$  gaya $\tilde{u}$  he at salmon (nothing else.

§ 102

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

### III. The Pronoun (§§ 103-105)

# § 103. Independent Personal Pronouns

The independent personal pronouns of Takelma, differing in this respect from what is found to be true of most American languages, show not the slightest etymological relationship to any of the various pronominal series found incorporated in noun and verb, except in so far as the second person plural is formed from the second person singular by the addition of the element -p' that we have found to be characteristic of every second person plural in the language. The forms, which may be used both as subjects and objects, are as follows:

Singular: First person,  $g\tilde{\imath}$  56.10; 122.8; second person, ma' ( $m\bar{a}^a$ ) 26.7; 98.8; third person,  $\tilde{a}k'$  27.5; 156.12. Plural: First person,  $g\tilde{o}^u m$  30.5; 150.16; second person,  $m\tilde{a}p'$ ; third person  $\bar{a}i$  49.11; xilamana' 27.10; 56.1

Of the two third personal plural pronouns,  $\bar{a}i$  is found most frequently used with post-positive elements; e. g.,  $\bar{a}y\bar{a}'^a$  Just they (=  $\bar{a}i\ y\bar{a}'^a$ ) 160.6;  $\bar{a}'^\epsilon ya'$  they (=  $\bar{a}i^-\epsilon a'$ ) 49.11. When unaccompanied by one of these, it is generally pluralized:  $\bar{a}'it'an$  (see § 99). The second, xilamana', despite its four syllables, has not in the slightest yielded to analysis. It seems to be but little used in normal speech or narrative.

All the pronouns may be emphasized by the addition of  $-wi^{\varepsilon}$  (see §101), the deictic  $-^{\varepsilon}a$ ' (see §102), or the post-positive particles  $y\bar{a}'^a$  and enclitic -hi and  $-s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  (see § 114, 1, 2, 4):

```
may\bar{a}'^a just you 196.2 ma'hi you yourself \bar{a}ihi they themselves 104.13 (cf. 152.20) g\bar{\imath}^i s \cdot i'^\epsilon I in my turn 47.14; 188.8; (cf. 61.9)
```

A series of pronouns denoting the isolation of the person is formed by the addition of  $-da^{\epsilon}x$  or  $-da'^{\epsilon}xi$  (=  $-da^{\epsilon}x + -hi$ ) to the forms given above:

```
g\bar{\imath}^i da'^{\epsilon}x(i) only I m\bar{a}^a da'^{\epsilon}x(i) you alone \tilde{a}k'da^{\epsilon}x(i) all by himself 61.7; 90.1; 142.20; 144.6 g\bar{o}^u m da'^{\epsilon}x(i) we alone m\tilde{a}p'da^{\epsilon}x(i) you people alone \bar{a}ida'^{\epsilon}x(i) they alone 138.11
```

The third personal pronouns are not infrequently used with preceding demonstratives:

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

 $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ga$  (or  $\bar{\imath}'daga$ )  $\bar{a}k'da^{\varepsilon}x$  that one by himself ( $\bar{a}k'$  used here apparently as a peg for the suffixed element  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  by one's self)  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  and  $\bar{\imath}da^{\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  those people

 $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, it should be noted, are demonstrative stems that occur only when compounded with other elements.

The independent possessive pronouns (IT IS) MINE, THINE, HIS, OURS, YOURS, are expressed by the possessive forms of the substantival stem ais:- HAVING, BELONGING, PROPERTY: a-is·de'k' IT IS MINE 23.2; 154.18, 19, 20; a-is·de'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> Yours; a'-is·da HIS 23.2, 3; (156.7) and so on. These forms, though strictly nominal in morphology, have really no greater concreteness of force than the English translations MINE, THINE, and so on.

# § 104. Demonstrative Pronouns and Adverbs

Four demonstrative stems, used both attributively and substantively, are found: a-, ga,  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, and  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ -. Of these only ga that occurs commonly as an independent word; the rest, as the first elements of composite forms. The demonstratives as actually found are:

Indefinite. ga that 60.5; 61.2; 110.4; 194.4, 5 Near first. a'ga this 44.9; 186.4;  $al\bar{\imath}$  this here 110.2; 188.20 Near second.  $\bar{\imath}'daga$  that 116.22;  $\bar{\imath}dal\bar{\imath}$  that there 55.16 Near third.  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ga$  that yonder 186.5;  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}l\bar{\imath}$  that over there

a- has been found also as correlative to ga- with the forms of na(g)DO, SAY:

ana  $e^n$  ne'x like this 176.13 (ga-na  $e^n$  ne'x that way, thus 114.17; 122.20)

 $ana^{\varepsilon}na'^{\varepsilon}t'$  it will be as it is now cf. 152.8  $(ga-na^{\varepsilon}na'^{\varepsilon}t')$  it will be that way)

# perhaps also in:

 $ada't'wi^{\varepsilon}$  everywhere  $\ell = ada't$  'this way, hither [see § 112, 1] +  $-wi'^{\varepsilon}$  every) 30.12; 74.2; 120.13

 $\bar{\imath}da$ - (independently 46.5; 47.5; 192.6) seems to be itself a compound element, its first syllable being perhaps identifiable with  $\bar{\imath}$ - HAND.  $\bar{\imath}da^{\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  and  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$ , referred to above, are in effect the substantive plurals of  $\bar{\imath}'daga$  and  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ga$ .  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ - as demonstrative pronoun is doubtless identical with the local  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ - YONDER, BEYOND, found as a prefix in the verb.

By far the most commonly used of the demonstratives is that of indefinite reference, ga. It is used as an anaphoric pronoun to refer to both things and persons of either number, also to summarize a preceding phrase or statement. Not infrequently the translation that or those is too definite; a word of weaker force, like it, better serves the purpose. The association of  $\bar{\iota}'daga$  and  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ga$  with spacial positions corresponding to the second and third persons respectively does not seem to be at all strong, and it is perhaps more accurate to render them as that right around there and that yonder. Differing fundamentally in this respect from adjectives, demonstrative pronouns regularly precede the noun or other substantive element they modify:

a'ga sgi'si this coyote 108.1  $\bar{\imath}'daga \ yap!a$  that person  $ga \ ^{\epsilon}ald\bar{\imath}l$  all that, all of those 47.12

A demonstrative pronoun may modify a noun that is part of a local phrase:

 $\bar{\imath}'daga\ he^{e\varepsilon}s\cdot\bar{o}^uma'l$  beyond that mountain 122.22; 124.1

Corresponding to the four demonstrative pronoun-stems are four demonstrative adverb-stems, derived from the former by a change of the vowel -a- to -e-: e-, ge,  $\bar{\imath}de$ -, and  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -. Just as ga that was found to be the only demonstrative freely used as an independent pronoun, so ge there, alone of the four adverbial stems, occurs outside of compounds. e-,  $\bar{\imath}de$ -, and  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -, however, are never compounded with ge, as are a-,  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, and  $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ - with its pronominal correspondent ga; a fifth adverbial stem of demonstrative force,  $me^{\epsilon}$  (hthere as verbal prefix), takes its place. The actual demonstrative adverbs thus are:

Indefinite. ge there 64.6; 77.9; 194.11 Near first. eme'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> here 112.12, 13; 194.4; me<sup>\varepsilon</sup>- hither Near second. \(\varepsilon' deme^\varepsilon\) right around there 46.15 Near third. \(he'^{\varepsilon} me^\varepsilon\) yonder 31.13

Of these,  $me^{\epsilon}$ , the correlative of  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ , can be used independently when followed by the local  $-al:me^{\epsilon}$  on this side, hitherwards 58.9; 160.4.  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$  away, besides frequently occurring as a verbal prefix, is found as a component of various adverbs:

 $he^{\epsilon}dada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $he^{\epsilon}da'^{\epsilon}$  over there, away from here, off 46.8; 194.10  $he'^{\epsilon}ne'$  then, at that time 120.2; 146.6; 162.3  $he'^{\epsilon}da't'$  on that side, toward yonder § 104

[BULL. 40

 $me^{\epsilon}$ - can be used also with the adverb ge of indefinite reference preceding; the compound, followed by di, is employed in an interrogative sense:  $geme'^{\epsilon}di$  where? when? 56.10; 100.16; 190.25. The idea of direction in the demonstrative adverbs seems less strong than that of position:  $he'^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}me^{\epsilon}$  baxa' $^{\epsilon}m$  he comes from over there, as well as  $he'^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}me^{\epsilon}$  gini' $^{\epsilon}k'$  he goes overthere.  $me^{\epsilon}$ - and  $he^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ -( $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ -), however, often necessarily convey the notions of toward and away from the speaker:  $me'^{\epsilon}$ -yewe $^{i\epsilon}$   $h\bar{a}'^{a\epsilon}$ -yewe $^{i\epsilon}$  he came and went back and forth.

Demonstrative adverbs may take the restrictive suffix  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  or  $-daba'^{\varepsilon}x$  (cf.  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  with personal pronouns, §103):

$$\frac{\epsilon m e^{\epsilon} da'^{\epsilon} x}{\epsilon m e^{\epsilon} da ba'^{\epsilon} x} \frac{114.4}{114.14}$$
 here alone

# § 105. Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns

As independent words, the interrogative and indefinite stems occur with adverbs or adverbial particles, being found in their bare form only when incorporated. The same stems are used for both interrogative and indefinite purposes, a distinction being made between persons and things:

nek' who? some one 86.2, 23; 108.11 k'ai what? something 86.5; 122.3; 128.8

As independent adverb also Perhaps:

 $k'ai~t!\ddot{u}m\ddot{\bar{u}}xi$  perhaps he'll strike me23.3

As interrogatives, these stems are always followed by the interrogative enclitic particle di, k'ai always appearing as k'a- when di immediately follows:

ne'k'-di who? 46.15; 86.4; 142.9 k'a'-di what? 47.9; 60.11; 86.8

k'a'i . . . di occurs with post-positive  $ga^{\epsilon}a'l$ :

 $k'a'i\ ga^{\varepsilon}al\ di'$  what for? why? 71.15; 86.14; 98.8

As indefinites, they are often followed by the composite particle  $-s \cdot i^{\epsilon} w a' k' di$ :

nek'-s'i'wa'k'di I don't know who, somebody 22.8 k'ai-s'i'wa'k'di I don't know what, something 96.10

As negative indefinites, nek' and k'ai are preceded by the negative adverb  $a'n\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  or wede, according to the tense-mode of the verb (see § 72):

§ 105

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  ne'k' nobody 63.4; 90.8, 25  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  k'a'i nothing 58.14; 61.6; 128.23  $we'de\ nek'\ \ddot{u}'s\cdot\dot{\imath}k'$  nobody will give it to me (cf. 98.10)  $we'de\ k'ai\ \ddot{u}'s\cdot dam$  do not give me anything

With the post-nominal  $-wi'^{\varepsilon}$  every, k'ai forms  $k'aiwi'^{\varepsilon}$  everything, something. No such form as  $*nek'wi'^{\varepsilon}$ , however, occurs, its place being taken by  $ald\bar{\imath}l$ ,  $ald\bar{\imath}$  all, everybody. In general, it may be said that k'ai has more of an independent substantival character than nek'; it corresponds to the English thing in its more indefinite sense, e. g., k'a'i gwala many things, everything 96.15; 102.11; 108.8

The adverbial correspondent of k'ai is gwi how? Where? 46.2; 78.5. In itself gwi is quite indefinite in signification and is as such often used with the forms of na(g)- do, act 47.11; 55.7:

gwi'di nagaīt' how are you doing? (e. g., where are you going?) 86.17; (138.25)

As interrogative, it is followed by di:

gwi'di how? where? 44.5; 70.6; 73.9; 190.10

as indefinite, by  $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} wa'k'di$  (cf. 190.4):

gwis'i wa'k'di in some way, somewhere 54.7; 96.8; 120.21 (also gwi'hap' somewhere)

as negative indefinite, it is preceded by  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  or wede:

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} gw\bar{\imath}^{i}$  in no way, nowhere 23.6; 62.11; 192.14  $we'de \ gwi \ na't'$  do not go anywhere!

As indefinite relative is used  $gw\bar{\imath}'ha$  wheresoever 140.9, 13, 15, 19.

# IV. The Adjective (§§ 106-109)

# § 106. General Remarks

Adjectives can not in Takelma without further ado be classed as nouns or verbs, as they have certain characteristics that mark them off more or less clearly from both; such are their distinctly adjectival suffixes and their peculiar method of forming the plural. In some respects they closely approach the verb, as in the fact that they are frequently preceded by body-part prefixes, also in the amplification of the stem in the plural in ways analogous to what we have found in the verb. They differ, however, from verbal forms in that they can not be predicatively used (except that the simple form of the adjective may be predicatively understood for an implied third person), nor provided with the pronominal suffixes peculiar to the verb;

a first or second personal relation is brought about by the use of appropriate forms of the copula ei-BE. They agree with the noun and pronoun in being frequently followed by the distinctly denominative exclusive suffix -t'a (see § 98) and in the fact that, when forming part of a descriptive noun, they may take the personal endings peculiar to the noun:

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

ts:!ixi-maha'it'ek' dog-big-my (=my horse)

As adjectives pure and simple, however, they are never found with the possessive suffixes peculiar to the noun; e. g., no such form as \*maha'it'ek' alone ever occurs. It thus appears that the adjective occupies a position midway between the noun and the verb, yet with characteristics peculiar to itself. The most marked syntactic feature of the adjective is that, unlike a qualifying noun, it always follows the modified noun, even when incorporated with it (see § 93). Examples are:

```
wa-iw\bar{\iota}'^i d\bar{u} girl pretty 55.7; 124.5 yap!a dald\bar{\iota}' person wild 22.14 sgi'si da-sga'xi\bar{\iota}' Coyote sharp-snouted 86.3, 20; 88.1, 11 p'im xu'm yele'x deb\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon} salmon dry burden-basket full (= burden-basket full of dry salmon) 75.10
```

Rarely does it happen that the adjective precedes, in which case it is to be predicatively understood:

```
gwa'la yap!a' many (were) the people 180.16 (but ya'p!a gwala' people many 194.10)
```

Even when predicatively used, however, the adjective regularly follows the noun it qualifies. Other denominating words or phrases than adjectives are now and then used to predicate a statement or command:

```
y\bar{u}'k!alx (1) wa'k'i^{\varepsilon} (2), ga (3) ga^{\varepsilon}al (4) deligia'lt'i (5) gw\bar{a}s (6) [as they were] without (2) teeth (1), for (4) that (3) [reason] they brought them as food (5) intestines (6) 130.22 masi'^{\varepsilon} (1) al-n\bar{a}^a na'^{\varepsilon}n (2) naga-ida'^{\varepsilon} (3) [do] you in your turn (1) [dive], since you said (3) "I can get close to him" (2) 61.9
```

# § 107. Adjectival Prefixes

Probably all the body-part prefixes and also a number of the purely local elements are found as prefixes in the adjective. The material at hand is not large enough to enable one to follow out the prefixes of the adjective as satisfactorily as those of the verb; but

BOAS]

there is no reason to believe that there is any tangible difference of usage between the two sets. Examples of prefixes in the adjective are:

#### 1. dak'-.

dak'-maha'i big on top dak'- $d\bar{u}'l^{\varepsilon}s$  big-headed

#### 2. dan-.

dā<sup>a</sup>-molhi't' red-eared 14.4; 15.12; 96.13 dā<sup>a</sup>-ho'k'wal with holes in ear 166.13, 19 dā<sup>a</sup>-maha'i big-cheeked

### 3. s·in-.

s'in-ho'k'wal with holes in nose 166.13, 18 s'in- $h\ddot{u}'s$ 'gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6 s'in- $p'i'l^ss$  flat-nosed

#### 4. de-.

de-ts'! $\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ 't', de-ts'! $\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ 'u sharp-pointed 74.13; 126.18 de-t' $\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}$ 'ep' dull de-ewini't' proceeding, reaching to 50.4

#### 5. da-.

da-sga'xi(t') long-mouthed 15.13; 86.3; 88.1, 11 da-sguli' short 33.17 da-ho'k'wal holed 176.7 da-maha'i big-holed 92.4 da-t!os:ō'u small-holed

#### 6. gwen-.

gwen-xdi'l<sup>e</sup>s slim-necked gwen-t'ge'm black-necked 196.6

#### 7. **ī-.**

ī-ts:!o'p'al sharp-clawed 14.4; 15.13; 86.3 ī-ge'wa'x crooked-handed ī-k!ok!o'k' ugly-handed

#### 8. xāa-.

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -maha'i big-waisted, wide  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $xdi'l^ss$  slim-waisted, notched 71.15; 75.6

### 9. dīi-.

dīi-k!ēlix conceited

# 10. dīε-.

dī<sup>c</sup>-maha'i big below, big behind 3045°—Bull. 40, pt 2—12——17

[BULL. 40

di<sup>ε</sup>-k!a`ls lean in rump

### 11. gwel-.

ha-gwel-bila'm empty underneath, like table (cf. ha-bila'm empty)
qwel-ho'k'wal holed underneath 43, 9.

# 12. ha-.

ha-bila'm empty (literally, having nothing inside, cf. bila'm having nothing 43.6, 8, 14)

#### 13. sal-.

sal-t!a'i narrow sal-ts:!una'px straight

### 14. al-. (Referring to colors and appearances)

al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162. 4

al-ts:!i'l red

al- $t'qu'^{i\epsilon}s$  white 55.2; 188.11

al-sgenhi't' black 92.19

al-gwa'si yellow

al-t'gisa'mt' green (participle of t'gisi'em it gets green)

al-k!iuī'x-nat' blue (literally, smoke-doing or being)

al-k!ok!o'k' ugly-faced 47.2; 60.5

al-t!e es: i't' little-eyed 94.3; (94.6, 14)

al-t'geya'px round

al-t'mila'px smooth

#### 15. han-.

han-hogwa'l with hole running through 56.9, 10

A few cases have been found of adjectives with preceding nouns in such form as they assume with pre-positive and possessive suffix:

da'k!oloi-ts:!il red-cheeked  $gwit!\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ -t!a'i slim-wristed

An example of an adjective preceded by two body-part prefixes has already been given (ha-gwel-bila'm). Here both prefixes are coordinate in function (cf. ha-gwel-p!iya', § 95). In:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -sal-gwa'si between-claws-yellow (myth name of Sparrow-Hawk) 166.2

the two body-part prefixes are equivalent to an incorporated local phrase (cf. § 35, 4)

# § 108. Adjectival Derivative Suffixes

A considerable number of adjectives are primitive in form, i. e., not capable of being derived from simpler nominal or verbal stems. Such are:

§ 108

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

```
ho's au getting older maha'i big 23.1; 74.15; 146.3 bus wiped out, destroyed, used up 42.2; 140.19 dū good, beautiful 55.7; 58.7; 124.4; 146.6 t'ū hot 57.15; 186.25 p'u'n rotten 140.21 yo't'i alive ([?] yo't' being + enclitic -hi) (128.16)
```

and many others. A very large number, however, are provided with derivative suffixes, some of which are characteristic of adjectives per se, while others serve to convert nouns and pre-positive phrases into adjectives. Some adjectival stems seem capable of being used either with or without a suffix (cf. da-sga'xi and de-ts:!ügü't' above, § 107):

maha'i and maha'it' big al-gwa'si and al-gwa'sit' yellow

1. -(i)t'. Probably the most characteristic of all adjectival suffixes is -(i)t', all -t' participles (see § 76) properly belonging here. Non-participal examples are:

```
al-gwa'sit' yellow
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-t!e's'i't' little-eyed 94.3
(?) ha'nt' half ([?] cf. han- through) 146.22; 154.9; 192.7
t!oīt' one-horned 46.7; 47.7; 49.3.
dāa-molhi't' red-eared 14.4; 15.12; 88.2; 96.13
de-ts:!ügü't' sharp-pointed 126.18
k!ulsa't' soft (food) (cf. k!u'ls worm) 130.22
p!ala'k'wa-goyō'ut' eīt'e' I am story-doctor (cf. goyo' shaman)
```

2. -al. Examples of adjectives with this suffix are:

```
    $\bar{i}$-ts*!o'p'al sharp-clawed 14.4; 86.3 (cf. de-ts*!\bar{u}g\bar{u}'t' sharp-pointed; for -p'-: -g- ef. \§ 42, 1, 6)
    $t\bar{i}'t'al thin
    $\delta\bar{e}$ d\bar{e}$hal five ([?] = being in front \gamma) 150.19, 20; 182.21
    $s*in-ho'k'wal with holes in nose 166.13, 18; (56.9; 166.19; 176.7)
    $s*in-h\bar{u}'s*gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
    $h\bar{v}'p'al flat
    $mixal how much, how many (used interrogatively and relatively)
    $100.8; 182.13
    $mixa'lha numerous, in great numbers 92.28; 94.1
```

I A few adjectives  $\ln -am$  (=-an) are distinctly nominal in appearance;  $bila^*m$  having nothing;  $rila^*m$  sick (but also as noun, dead person, ghost). It hardly seems possible to separate these from nouns like  $heda^*m$  board;  $ts:!cla^*m$  hall.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, p. 266.

-di. A few adjectives have been found with this suffixed element:
 hapsdi' little 192.6; hā'p'di 24.12; 60.15; 61.5 (cf. hāapxi' child 128.16)
 yap!a daldi' wild man (cf. dal- in the brush) 22.14

yap!a daldi' wild man (cf. dal- in the brush) 22.14
 gama'xdi raw 94.3, 6; 144.5; 182.4
 gweldi' finished (cf. gwel- leg) 34.1; 79.8; 94.18

4. -ts!- (- $^{s}s$ ). In a small number of adjectives this element is doubtless to be considered a suffix:

```
ī'lts!ak' w bad, ugly 182.1; 186.22; 198.4 (cf. pl. īlɛa'lsak' w)
s'in-p'i'lɛs flat-nosed
xā-a-xdi'lɛs slim-waisted 71.15; 75.6 (cf. inferential passive xā-ī-
xdi'lxdalk'am they have been notched in several places)
```

A few adjectives in -s, evidently morphologically connected with the scattering nouns in -s, also occur:

```
g\bar{u}ms blind 26.14 b\bar{a}ls long 14.5; 33.16; 158.1 s \cdot u \, \bar{n}s thick 90.3
```

5. -(a)x. This suffix disappears in the plural (see below, § 109), so that no room is left for doubt as to its non-radical character. Whether it is to be identified with the non-agentive -x of the verb is somewhat uncertain, but that such is the case is by no means improbable; in some cases, indeed, the adjective in -x is connected with a verb in -x. The -a'px of some of the examples is without doubt composed of the petrified -b- found in a number of verbs (see § 42, 1) and the adjectival (or non-agentive) -x.

```
al-t geya'px round (cf. al-t'geye'px it rolls) sal-ts:!una'px straight da-ts:!āmx sick 90.12, 13, 21; 92.5; 150.16 al-t'mila'px smooth da-p'o'a'x crooked (cf. p'owo'x it bends) ī-ge'wa'x crooked-handed
```

More transparently derivational in character than any of those listed above are the following adjectival suffixes:

6. -gwat naving. Adjectival forms in -gwa't are derived partly by the addition of the adjectival suffix -(a)t to third personal reflexive possessive forms in -'t'gwa (-xagwa), or to palatalized passive participial forms in -'k'w, themselves derived from nouns (see § 77), partly by the addition of -gwa't to nouns in

§ 108

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

their pre-pronominal form (-x). The fact that these various -gwa't' forms, despite their at least apparent diversity of origin, clearly form a unit as regards signification, suggests an ultimate identity of the noun reflexive -gwa (and therefore verbal indirect reflexive -gwa-) with the passive participial  $-k'^w$ . The -gwa- of forms in -x-gwat' is not quite clear, but is perhaps to be identified with the comitative -gwa- of the verb. An adjective like  $y\bar{u}'k!al$ -x-gwat' teeth-having presents a parallelism to a verbal participle like dak'-lim-x-gwat' with (tree) falling over one (from a orist dak'-lim-x-gwa- $de^e$  i am with it falling over me, see § 46) that is suggestive of morphologic identity. Examples of -gwa't' adjectives are:

waya'uxagwat' having daughter-in-law 56.10 (cf. waya'uxagwa her own daughter-in-law)

t'gwana't'gwat' slave-having (cf. t'gwana't'gwa his own slave)

Da-t ān-elā'at gwat' Squirrel-Tongued(literally, in-mouth squirrel his-tongue having [name of Coyote's daughter]) 70.6; 72.4; 75.11

ni'xagwat' mother-having (cf. ni'xak' w mothered)

me'xagwat' father-having (cf. me'xak'w fathered)

 $k'e^{i\varepsilon}l\hat{e}'p'igigwat'$  wife-having (cf.  $k'e^{i\varepsilon}l\hat{e}'p'igik'$  wived 142.6)

 $g\bar{u}^u x g wa't'$  wife-having 128.4 (cf.  $g\bar{u}^u - x - de'k'$  my wife 142.9)

dagaxgwa't' head-having (cf. da'g-ax-dek' my head 90.13)

ts·!u'lxgwat' having Indian money (cf. ts·!u'lx Indian money 14.13)

A form with -gwat and the copula ei- (for persons other than the third) takes the place in Takelma of the verb have:

ts:!u'lxgwat'  $e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I have money (literally money-having or moneyed I-am

ts:!ulx-gwa`t' he has money

Aside from the fact that it has greater individuality as a distinct phonetic unit, the post-positive  $wa'k'^{i\varepsilon}$  without is the morphologic correlative of -gwat' having:

dagax wa'k'i e eīt' head without you-are da'gaxgwat' cīt' head-having you-are

# Similarly:

nixa wa'k'i<sup>e</sup> eīt'e<sup>e</sup> mother without I-am ni'xagwat' eīt'e<sup>e</sup> mother-having I-am

i The fact that this form has a body-part prefix (da- Mouth) seems to imply its verbal (participial) character. -tgwat in it, and forms like it, may have to be analyzed, not as -tgwat his own+-t, but rather as -t his+-gwa- having+-t. In other words, from a noun-phrase tān tāl'a (older tāl'a) squirree his. Tongue may be theoretically formed a comitative intransitive with prefix: \*da-tān-tāl'a-gwade\* i am having squirree!'s tongue in my mouth, of which the text-form is the participle. This explanation has the advantage over the one given above of putting forms in -t'gwat an -tgwat' on one line; cf. also 73.15.

7. -imik!i. A few adjectives have been found ending in this suffix formed from temporal adverbs:

 $hop!\bar{e}^{\epsilon}nimik!i$  (men) of long ago 168.1 ( $hop!\bar{e}^{\epsilon}n$  long ago 58.4, 7, 11)  $b\bar{o}^{u\epsilon}i'mik!i$  (people) of nowadays ( $b\bar{o}^{u}$  now 188.8; 194.5)

8. -(i)k!i. This suffix, evidently closely related to the preceding one, forms adjectives (with the signification of Belonging to, Always Being) from local phrases. Examples are:

ha-wilī'yik!i belonging to good folks, not "common" (from ha-wilī in the house)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $b\tilde{e}m$ ik! $i^{\varepsilon}$  being between sticks

ha-bami'sik!i<sup>€</sup> dwelling in air

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -da'nik!i belonging between rocks (e. g., crawfish)

dak'-p!i'yak!i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> staying always over the fire

ha-p!i'yak!i<sup>ε</sup> belonging to fire

9. -  $^{\epsilon}xi$ . A few adjectival forms in -  $^{\epsilon}xi$ , formed from local phrases, seem to have a force entirely coincident with adjectives in - (i)k/i:

 $ha-p!i'ya^{\varepsilon}xi$  belonging to fire

ha-xi'ya'xi mink (literally, always staying in the water [from ha-xiya' in the water 33.4])

10.  $-{}^{\epsilon} \bar{\iota}'^{i} x i$ . This suffix seems to be used interchangeably with -(i)k!i and  $-{}^{\epsilon}xi$ . Examples are:

 $ha\text{-}bami'sa^{\varepsilon}\mathbf{i}'\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}^{\varepsilon}$  belonging to the air, sky

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $da'ni^{\epsilon_1'i}xi^{\epsilon}$  belonging between rocks ha- $wili^{\epsilon_1'i}xi$  belonging to the house

ha-with  $\dot{\gamma}$  belonging to the house ha-xi'ya $\dot{\epsilon}$ i'ixi belonging to the water

ha-p!iya<sup>e</sup>i'ixi belonging to fire

The following forms in  $-\epsilon i i x i$ , not derived from local phrases, doubtless belong with these:

 $ge^{\epsilon}$ i'ixi belonging there 160.24

goyo<sup>e</sup>i'xi belonging to shamans (used to mean: capable of wishing ill, supernaturally doing harm, to shamans) 170.11

# § 109. Plural Formations

A few adjectives form their plural or frequentative by reduplication:

§ 109

has

has

§ 109

Of these, the first two are clearly verbal in type. The probably nonagentive -x of  $de-b\ddot{u}^{\epsilon}ba'x$  (also singular  $de-b\ddot{u}'\ddot{u}^{\epsilon}x$  from  $*de-b\ddot{u}'\ddot{u}k!$ -x [cf. de-bü'ük!in I shall fill it]) and the apparently passive participial -ak'w of i'lts!ak'w strongly suggest that the first two of these adjectives are really adjectivally specialized verb-forms. mahmī is altogether irregular in type of reduplication.  $t!os \cdot \bar{o}'^u$  LITTLE 56.15; 74.16 forms its plural by the repetition of the second consonant after the repeated vowel of the singular: dak!oloi-t!os·ū's·qwat' he has small In regard to t'ūt' 170.18, the plural of t'ū not 57.15, it is not certain whether the -t' is the repeated initial consonant, or the -t' characteristic of other adjective plurals.

Most adjectives form their plural by repeating after the medial consonant the vowel of the stem, where possible, and adding to the amplified stem the element -it' (probably from -hit', as shown by its treatment with preceding fortis), or, after vowels, -t'it'; a final non-radical -(a)x disappears in the plural. ho's au getting bigger (with inorganic -a-) forms its plural by the repetition of the stemvowel alone,  $hos \cdot \tilde{o}^u$  156.11; 158.11; similar is  $du^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{\varepsilon}$  58.10 which seems to be the plural of  $d\bar{u}$  pretty 58.8. yo't'i ([?] yot'-hi) alive forms the plural yot'i'hi ([?] yot'i-hi) 128.16. Examples of the peculiarly adjectival plural in -(t')it' are:

Singular Plural al-t'geya'px round al-t'geye'p'it' al-t'mila'px smooth al-t'mili'p'it' sal-ts:!una`px straight sal-ts: !u'nup'it' sal-t!a'i narrow sal-t!a'yat'it' qwit'-p'o'o<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>k'it' c r o o k e d da-p' $o'a^{\epsilon}x$  crooked (= -ak!-x) armed ī-ge'we εεk'it' *ī-ge'wa<sup>ɛ</sup>x* crooked-handed (= -ak!-x; cf. a orist gewek!aw- carry [salmon] bowfashion) de-ts:!üqü't' sharp-pointed 126.18 de-ts:!üqühit' de-t' $\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'^{\varepsilon}p'$  dull de-t'ülü' p'it' al-ts: !i`l red da'k!oloi-ts:!i'lit'it' he has red cheeks da'k!oloi-t'quyues it'  $al-t'gu'^{i\varepsilon}s$  white 55.2; 188.11 white cheeks al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162.4 da'k!oloi-t'ge'met'it' he black cheeks bals long 14.5; 15.12, 15  $s in \bar{\imath} x d\bar{a}^a t' a n b\bar{a}^a l a' sit'$ noses are long

[BULL. 40

That these plurals are really frequentative or distributive in force is illustrated by such forms as da'k!oloi-ts'!i'lit'it' RED-CHEEKED, which has reference not necessarily to a plurality of persons affected, but to the frequency of occurrence of the quality predicated, i. e., to the redness of both cheeks.

### V. Numerals (§§ 110, 111)

#### § 110. Cardinals

Cardinals  $m\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}\varepsilon}xda$ 'n once 182.20; 188.13 1.  $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}sqa^{\epsilon}$  13.2; 192.8;  $m\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}s$ 188.9  $\int g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m \ 22.7; \ 110.11$  $q\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m\hat{u}n$  twice  $\{q\tilde{a}'p!ini^{1}55.7,12;\ 116.1\}$ 3. xi'bini` 150.8 xi`nt` 4. qamqa'm 148.5; 184.17 gamga'man 5. dēhal 150.19, 20; 182.21 dēhaldan 6.  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}m\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$  150.12 ha imi'ts!ada'n  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}q\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}mada'n$ 7.  $ha^{\epsilon}ig\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}xinda`n$ 8. ha ixi'n 9. ha<sup>ε</sup>īgo' 150.14  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}g\bar{o}^{u}gada'n$ 10.  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l$  13.1; 150.5; 182.22  $ixd\bar{\imath}lda$ 'n 11.  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l \ m\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}sqa^{\epsilon} \ qada'k'$ ten one on-top-of 12. i'xdīl gā'εm gada'k' 20. yap!ami'εs 182.23 30. xi'n ixdīl 40. qamqa'mûn ixdī'l 50. dēhaldan ixdī`l 60.  $ha^{\varepsilon_{\bar{i}}}mi'ts!adan\,ixd\bar{i}'l$ 70. ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īqā'<sup>ɛ</sup>madan ixdī`l 80. ha ixi'ndan ixdi'l 90.  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}gogada'n ixd\bar{\imath}'l$ 100.  $t!eimi'^{\epsilon}s$  23.2, 4, 9, 12, 13 200.  $q\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m\hat{u}n\ t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$ 300. xin t!eimi's 400. gamga'mûn t!eimi'es 1,000. i'xdīldan t!eimi'<sup>€</sup>s 2,000. yap!ami'ts!adan t!eimi'es

 $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}sga^{\varepsilon}$  is the usual uncompounded form of one. In compounds the simpler form  $m\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$  (stem  $m\bar{\imath}ts!$ -) occurs as the second element:

 $ha^{\epsilon_i}mi^{\epsilon_s}s$  six (= one [finger] in the hand)  $yap!ami^{\epsilon_s}s$  twenty (= one man)

<sup>1</sup> Often heard as ga'p'int\ 55.2, 5.

BOAS ]

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

 $t!eimi'^{\epsilon}s$  one hundred (probably = one male  $[t!\bar{i}^{i}]$ )  $me^{\epsilon}l\ t'g\bar{a}^{a}-mi'^{\epsilon}s$  crows earth-one (=land packed full of crows) 144.9, 11, 12, 13  $de^{\epsilon}m\bar{i}'^{\epsilon}s$  in-front-one (=marching in single file)  $almi'^{\epsilon}s$  all together 92.23, 24; 190.17

Of the two forms for two,  $g\bar{a}'p!ini$ ' seems to be the more frequently used, though no difference of signification or usage can be traced. gā'p!ini' Two and xi'bini' THREE are evident compounds of the simpler  $q\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  and xi'n (seen in  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}xi'n$  eight) and an element -bini' that is perhaps identical with -bini' of ha'-bini' in the middle. gamga'm four is evidently reduplicated from  $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  two, the falling accent of the second syllable being probably due to the former presence of the catch of the simplex. An attempt has been made1 to explain  $d\tilde{e}hal$  five as an adjectival form in -al derived from  $de^{e}$ -IN FRONT. The numerals SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, and NINE are best considered as morphologically verbs provided with the compound prefix  $ha^{\epsilon_{\overline{i}}}$ - in the hand (see § 35, 4), and thus strictly signifying one (FINGER) IS IN THE HAND; TWO, THREE, FOUR (FINGERS) ARE IN THE HAND. No explanation can be given of -go' in hatigo' NINE, except that it may be an older stem for FOUR, later replaced, for one reason or another, by the composite gamga'm Two+Two. i'xdīl Ten is best explained as compounded of  $\bar{\imath}$ -x- hand (but why not  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}x$ - as in  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}x$ -de'k' my hand?) and the dual -d $\bar{\imath}$ 'l, and as being thus equivalent to TWO HANDS.

It thus seems probable that there are only three simple numeral stems in Takelma,  $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\bar{\epsilon}}s$  one,  $g\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  two, and xi'n three. All the rest are either evident derivations from these, or else  $(d\bar{\epsilon}hal)$  probably and  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l$  certainly) descriptive of certain finger-positions. While the origin of the Takelma system may be tertiary or quinary (if -go') is the original stem for four and  $d\bar{\epsilon}hal$  is a primary element), the decimal feeling that runs through it is evidenced both by the break at ten and by the arrangement of the numerals beyond ten.

The teens are expressed by TEN ONE ABOVE (i. e., ten over one), TEN TWO ABOVE; and so on.  $ga^{\epsilon}a'l$  Thereto may be used instead of gada'k' OVER. Twenty is one man, i. e., both hands and feet. One hundred can be plausibly explained as equivalent to one male person.<sup>2</sup> The other tens, i. e., thirty to ninety inclusive, are expressed by

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mathrm{American}$  Anthropologist, loc.cit., where five is explained as being in front, on the basis of the method of fingering used by the Takelma in counting.

<sup>2</sup> Loc. cit.

 $5 \times 10 \times 100 = 5,000$ .

multiplication, the appropriate numeral adverb preceding the word for ten.  $xi'n\ ixd\bar{\imath}l\ \text{THERTY}$ , however, uses the original cardinal xin, instead of the numeral adverb xint'. The hundreds (including two hundred and one thousand) are similarly expressed as multiplications of one hundred ( $t!eimi'^es$ ), the numeral adverbs (xin instead of xi'nt' in three hundred) preceding  $t!eimi'^es$ . Numerals above one thousand (=10×100) can hardly have been in much use among the Takelma, but can be expressed, if desired, by prefixing the numeral adverbs derived from the tens to  $t!eimi'^es$ ; e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}haldan\ ixd\bar{\imath}ldan\ t!eimi'^es$ 

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

As far as the syntactic treatment of cardinal numerals is concerned, it should be noted that the plural of the noun modified is never employed with any of them:

wa- $iw\bar{\imath}'^i$   $g\bar{a}p!ini$  girl two (i. e., two girls) 55.2, 5, 7, 12 (wa- $iw\bar{\imath}'^i$ -t'an girls 56.11)

mologolā' p'a gā' p!ini old-woman two 26.14 (mologolā' p'ak!an old women 138.10)

 $h\bar{a}'p'da~g\bar{a}'p!ini$  his child two 154.17 ( $h\bar{a}'pxda$  his children)

Like adjectives, attributive numerals regularly follow the noun.

### § 111. Numeral Adverbs

The numeral adverbs denoting so and so many times are derived from the corresponding cardinals by suffixing -an (often weakened to  $-\hat{u}n$ ) to  $g\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  two and its derivative gamga'm four; -t, to xin times; -da'n, to other numerals (-ada'n), to those ending in  $-\epsilon m$  and  $-ts!-=-\epsilon s$ ).  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}g\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  seven and  $ha^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}xi'n$  fight, it will be observed, do not follow  $g\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  and xin in the formation of their numeral adverbs, but add -(a)da'n.

It is not impossible that  $m\ddot{u}^{i\bar{e}}x$ - in  $m\ddot{u}^{i\bar{e}}x$ da'n once is genetically related and perhaps dialectically equivalent to  $m\bar{v}^{i\bar{e}}s$ -, but no known grammatic or phonetic process of Takelma enables one to connect them.  $ha^{\epsilon\bar{\tau}}g\bar{o}^{u}gada$ 'n nine times seems to insert a -ga- between the cardinal and the adverbial suffix -dan. The most plausible explanation of the form is its interpretation as nine ( $ha^{\epsilon\bar{\tau}}go$ ') that (ga) number-of-times (-da'n), the demonstrative serving as a peg to hang the suffix on.

From the numeral adverbs are derived, by prefixing ha- in, a further series with the signification of in so and so many places:

ha- $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m\hat{u}n$  in two places ha-gamgama'n 176.2, 3 in four places ha- $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}g\bar{o}^{u}gada'n$  in nine places

BOAS]

Cardinals with prefixed ha- are also found, apparently with an approximative force, e. g., ha-dēhal about five 194.2.

No series of ordinal numerals could be obtained, and the probability is strong that such a series does not exist. debi'n occurs as first (e. g.,  $wili\ debi$ 'n-hi first house), but may also mean last 49.2; 150.15, a contradiction that, in view of the probable etymology of the word, is only apparent. debi'n is evidently related to ha-bini' in the middle, and therefore signifies something like in front of the middle; i. e., at either end of a series, a meaning that comports very well with the renderings of both first and last. It is thus evident that no true ordinal exists for even the first numeral.

# VI. Adverbs and Particles (§§ 112–114)

A very large number of adverbs and particles (some of them simple stems, others transparent derivatives, while a great many others still are quite impervious to analysis) are found in Takelma, and, particularly the particles, seem to be of considerable importance in an idiomatically constructed sentence. A few specifically adverbial suffixes are discernible, but a large number of unanalyzable though clearly non-primitive adverbs remain; it is probable that many of these are crystallized noun or verb forms now used in a specialized adverbial sense.

# § 112. Adverbial Suffixes

Perhaps the most transparent of all is:

1. -da't'. This element is freely added to personal and demonstrative pronouns, adverbs or verbal prefixes, and local phrases, to impart the idea of direction from or to, more frequently the former. Examples of its occurrence are:

gī'da't' in my direction (gī I)

wadē'dat' from my side (wadē to me)

ada't' on, to this side 112.17; 144.2

ī'dada't' in that direction, from that side (ūda- that)

hā'a\varepsilon da't' from yonder (hāa\varepsilon - that yonder)

gwi'dat' in which direction? 190.18 (gwi how? where?)

geda't' from there 144.8

eme'\varepsilon dat' from here

me'\varepsilon da't' hitherwards 32.10, 11; 55.3 (me\varepsilon - hither)

he'\varepsilon dat' thitherwards (he\varepsilon - away)

nō\varepsilon da't' from down river 23.9 (no\varepsilon \varepsilon down river)

```
handa't' (going) across (han-across) 30.4; 31.16
hāandada't' from across (the river) (ha'nda across it) 112.17; 114.17
habamda't' from above (ha-in + bam- up)
haxiya'dat' from water on to land (ha-xiya' in the water)
dak'-wilī'dat' from on top of the house (dak'-wilī over the house)
27.5; 62.5
gwen-t'gāa-bo'k'dandada't' from the east (gwen-t'gāa-bo'k'danda
east) 144.23; (cf. 146.1)
```

More special in use of -dat' are:

```
hon\tilde{o}xdat' last year (hon\tilde{o}x some time ago) dewe'nxada't' day after to-morrow (dewe'nxa to-morrow) de^{\epsilon}da't' first, before others 110.5
```

2. -xa. A fairly considerable number of adverbs, chiefly temporal in signification, are found to end in this element. Such are:

```
h\bar{o}^uxa' yesterday 76.9; 98.21
da-h\bar{o}^uxa' this evening 13.3; 16.15; 63.8; 78.4
dabalni'xa for a long time (cf. bal-s long and lep'ni'xa in winter)
   54.4; 108.16
ya'xa continually, only, indeed (cf. post-positive y\bar{a}'^a just) 54.5;
   63.3; 78.10
dewe'nxa to-morrow 77.14; 112.15; 130.17; 194.1
dap!a'xa toward daylight, dawn 45.4
de'exa henceforth (cf. de- in front of) 196.5
sama'xa in summer (cf. sa'ma summer 188.13; verb-stem sam-g-
  be summer 92.9) 162.16; 176.13, 15
lep'ni'xa in winter 162.20; 176.15
de-bixi'msa ([?]=-t-xa) in spring ([?] cf. bi'xal moon)
da-y\bar{o}^u ga' mxa in autum'n 186.3
ts:!i's:a ([?]=-t-xa) at night 182.20
xam\bar{\imath}'^ixa by the ocean (cf. xam- into water) 21.1; 55.1
(?) b\tilde{o}^u-n\tilde{e}xa-da^{\epsilon} soon, immediately (cf. b\tilde{o}^u now and ne^{\epsilon} well! or
   na-1 do) 90.10; 108.2
(?) da^{\varepsilon}ma' xau far away (for da^{\varepsilon}- cf. da^{\varepsilon}-o'l near) 14.3; 188.21; 190.6
```

In lep'ni'x 90.6, a doublet of lep'ni'xa, -xa appears shortened to -x; this -x may be found also in  $hon\tilde{o}x$  some time ago (cf.  $hono'^{\varepsilon}$  again). Here perhaps belongs also da-yawa'nt!i-xi (adjectival?) In Half, on one side (of two) 94.3.

It will be noticed that a number of these adverbs are provided with the prefix da- (de- before palatal vowels, cf. § 36, 2), the application of which, however, in their case, can not be explained.

3. -ne. A number of adverbs, chiefly those of demonstrative signification, assume a temporal meaning on the addition of -ne, a

BOAS]

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

catch intervening between the suffix and the stem. Etymologically -ne may be identical with the hortatory particle  $ne^e$  Well, let (us) ——.

Adverb  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$  - there yonder  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$  - there yonder  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$  - there yonder  $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$  - then, at that time 45.6;

49.14  $ge^{\epsilon}$  here 14.3; 15.5, 12  $ge^{\epsilon}$  ne' so long 92.10; 198.9  $me^{\epsilon}$  - hither  $me^{\epsilon}$  ne at this time 24.14 (cf. also  $ma^{\epsilon}$  nai around this time 178.4)  $e'me^{\epsilon}$  here 31.3; 192.9  $eme^{\epsilon}$  ne  $(y\bar{a}'^a - hi)$  (right) here ([?] = now) 190.23 gwi how? 46.2; 78.5  $gwi'^{\epsilon}$  ne some time (clapsed), how long? 44.2; 48.9; 148.7

To this set probably belong also:

 $x\bar{u}^{\epsilon}$ n,  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}$ ne' at night, night 45.3; 46.12; 48.10; 160.22  $b\bar{e}^{\epsilon}$ n by day 166.2 (cf.  $b\bar{e}$  sun, day)  $hop!\bar{e}^{\epsilon}$ n long ago 58.4; 86.7, 9; 192.15; 194.4  $x\bar{a}^{\epsilon}$ ne $wi'^{\epsilon}$  sometimes 132.25  $b\bar{o}^{u}$ nē now, yet 130.23 (cf.  $b\bar{o}^{u}$  now)

 $\bar{\imath}'de^{\varepsilon}ne$ , which the parallelism of the other forms in -ne with demonstrative stems leads one to expect, does not happen to occur, but probably exists. Curiously enough,  $he'^{\varepsilon}ne$  not infrequently may be translated as LIKE, particularly with preceding k'ai (§ 105):

*k'a'i he<sup>e</sup>ne bēm* something like wood 186.11 *k'ai gwala he'<sup>e</sup>ne* like various things 196.3

A number of other adverbial suffixes probably occur, but the examples are not numerous enough for their certain determination. Among them is -ada:

 $n\bar{o}^u gwada$ ' some distance down river 54.2 (cf.  $n\tilde{o}^u$  down river and  $n\bar{o}^u gwa$ ' down river from 75.14)

hinwada' some distance up river 56.4; 100.18; 102.4 (ef. hina'u up river and hinwa' up river from 77.1)

ha'nt'ada across the river 98.5; 192.3; (cf. ha'nt' across, in half) Several adverbs are found to end in  $-(da)da^{\varepsilon}$ , perhaps to be identified with the  $-da^{\varepsilon}$  of subordinate verb-forms:

 $b\bar{o}^u$ - $n\bar{e}xada^{\varepsilon}$  immediately 90.10, 12; 108.2  $he^{\epsilon}(da)da'^{\varepsilon}$  away from here 92.5; 172.5; 194.10; 196.11

 $gwel^{-\varepsilon}w\tilde{a}k'wi^{\varepsilon}$  early in the morning 44.1; 63.9; 77.14; 190.1 seems to be a specialized verb-form in  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  if, whenever. It is possible that there is an adverbial -t' suffix:

```
gwe'nt' in back, behind 94.15
ha'nt' across, in half 146.22; 154.9; 192.7 § 112
```

It may be that this -t has regularly dropped off when final in polysyllables:

 $da^{\epsilon}o'l$  near 100.15; but  $da^{\epsilon}o'lt'i$  (=  $da^{\epsilon}o'l[t'] + -hi$ ) 136.7

# § 113. Simple Adverbs

The simple adverbs that are closely associated with demonstrative stems have been already discussed (§ 104). A number of others, partly simple stems and partly unanalyzable derivatives, are listed here, such as have been already listed under adverbial suffixes not being repeated.

#### 1. Local adverbs:

```
n\tilde{o}^{u} down river 17.9; 63.1; 124.15 n\tilde{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s: next door ([?] related to n\tilde{o}^{u}) 17.4; 188.2 hina'u up river ([?] compounded with n\tilde{o}^{u}) 22.7; 23.1; 61.13; 192.14 da^{\varepsilon}-o'l near (cf. -t', § 112, and see § 93) 100.15; 102.6; 126.2 dihau(y\bar{a}'^{a}) last of all (see § 93) 120.18 g\bar{v}'^{i\varepsilon}wa far off 48.8; 192.1 aba'i in the house (cf. § 37, 14) 28.8; 43.13; 140.5 h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ya' on both sides, mutually (cf. § 37, 5) 172.10; 176.6
```

# 2. Temporal adverbs:

```
b\tilde{o}^{u} now, to-day 49.13; 50.1; 56.11; 61.11 ha'wi still, yet (cf. § 37, 9) 78.1; 126.21; 192.8; 198.11 b\tilde{o}^{u}n\tilde{e}\ hawi ha'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne' soon 128.18 ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne' soon 128.18 ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne' soon 128.18 ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne' soon 128.18 ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne' hormerly, up to now 43.11; 63.1; 71.15; 166.2 bamdi' when? 132.24; a'n\tilde{\iota}^{e}\ hem never m\tilde{\iota}^{i} now, already (often proclitic to following word) 22.4; 63.1; 190.9 ba' gan\tilde{\iota} then, and then (often used merely to introduce new state-
```

ment) 47.14; 63.1, 2, 16 A noteworthy idiomatic construction of adverbs or phrases of temporal signification is their use as quasi-substantives with forms of  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\iota}^i$ - BECOME. Compare such English substantivized temporal

phrases as afternoon. Examples are:

```
sama'xa l\tilde{a}p'k' in-summer it-has-become 92.11 hayeewa'xd\tilde{a}^ada l\tilde{a}^al\tilde{e} in-their-returning it-became (=it became time for them to return) 124.15 habēbini diha'-uda l\tilde{a}^al\tilde{u}'a^\varepsilon noon after-it when-it-became (=when it was afternoon) 186.8
```

# 3. Negative and affirmative adverbs:

hīt' no 134.19, 21

ha'-u yes 24.13; 64.1; 170.12

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  not (with a rist) 23.3, 6; 64.3; 78.1

a'ndi not? 56.10; 90.26 (e.g., a'ndi k'ai are there not any?) 56.8  $n\bar{\imath}^i$  not? (with following subordinate): s- $n\bar{\imath}'^i$  naga'sbinda $^\varepsilon$  didn't I tell you? 136.10

naga-di' do (you) not? 116.12

wede not (with inferential and potential) 25.13; 122.22, 23

#### 4. Modal adverbs:

hono' (rarely heard as hono 74.8; this is very likely its original form, cf. - n for - ne, § 112, 3) again, too, also 22.4; 58.5; 134.1

ganga only 54.4; 94.5; ganga'-hi anyhow 94.8; 142.13; ganga-s· $i'^{\varepsilon}$  just so, for fun

wana' even 47.10; 61.3; 71.8; 76.4; 186.2

 $yax\tilde{a}'^awa$  however (cf. yaxa, § 114, 9; for -wa cf.  $g\tilde{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}wa$ , § 113, 1) 72.11; 74.15

ha'ga explanatory particle used with inferential 28.10; 45.11 (e. g., ga haga  $wa'la^{\epsilon}yu'k'$  so that one was really he 170.8)

nak!a' in every way, of all sorts (e. g., k'adi' nak!a 'a'nī' īgī'inan what kind was not taken?, i. e., every kind was taken 60.11) yewē perhaps 136.23; 180.8; 196.18

 $s \cdot o^{\epsilon}$ ,  $s \cdot \bar{o}^{u\epsilon}$  perfectly, well 136.20; 166.1 (e. g.,  $s \cdot o'^{\epsilon}$   $de^{\epsilon}gwa'lt'gw\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}p'$  take good care of yourself! 128.24)

amadi'(s·i<sup>ε</sup>) would that! 142.10 (e. g., amadi's·i<sup>ε</sup> t!omoma'<sup>ε</sup>n I wish I could kill him; amadi loho'<sup>iε</sup> would that he died! 196.2) wi'sa<sup>ε</sup>m (cf. wis, § 114, 8) I wonder if 150.2, 3 (e. g., mī<sup>i</sup> wi'sa<sup>ε</sup>m ya'<sup>ε</sup> I wonder if he went already)

It is a characteristic trait of Takelma, as of many other American languages, that such purely modal ideas as the optative (WOULD THAT!) and dubitative (I WONDER IF) are expressed by independent adverbs without modification of the indicative verb-form (cf. further wifobiha'n ye wa'et wi'saem MY-ELDER-BROTHERS THEY-WILL-RETURN I-WONDER-IF 150.2, 3).

Several of the adverbs listed above can be used relatively with subordinates, in which use they may be looked upon as conjunctive adverbs:

 $b\bar{o}^u$ -gwan<sup>1</sup> (1)  $y\bar{a}^a nia'$ -uda<sup>e</sup> (2) bai-yeweya'k'<sup>w</sup> (3) as soon as (1) they went (2), she took him out again (3) 128.20

yewē (1) xebeeyagwanaga'm (2) yewē (3) wā'ada (4) hiwili'ue (5) perhaps (1) that we destroy him (2), perhaps (3) he runs (5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably compounded of  $b\bar{b}u$  now and gan(i) now, then, and then.

to her (4) (=should we destroy him, perhaps he would run to her)

waya' (1) heene' (2) de-k'iwi'k'auk'wanmae (3) ga (4) naenāk'ik' (5) just as (2) a knife (1) is brandished (3), that (4) he did with it (5) 172.12 (cf. heene' in its meaning of like, §112, 3)

# § 114. Particles

By particles are nere meant certain uninflected elements that have little or no meaning of their own, but that serve either to connect clauses or to color by some modal modification the word to which they are attached. They are never met with at the beginning of a clause or sentence, but occur only postpositively, generally as enclitics. Some of the elements listed above as modal adverbs (§ 113, 4) might also be considered as syntactic particles (e.g., wana, ha'ga, nak!a', which never stand at the beginning of a clause); these, however, show no tendency to be drawn into the verb-complex. Whenever particles qualify the clause as a whole, rather than any particular word in the clause, they tend to occupy the second place in the sentence, a tendency that, as we have seen (p. 65), causes them often to be inserted, but not organically incorporated, into the verb-The most frequently occurring particles are those listed complex. below:

1.  $y\bar{a}'''$  Just. This element is not dissimilar in meaning to the post-nominal emphasizing  $-\epsilon a$  (§ 102), but differs from it in that it may be embedded in the verb-form:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $y\bar{a}'^a$ - $sge^e t$ 'sga't' he just twisted it to one side 31.5

It only rarely follows a verb-form, however, showing a strong tendency to attach itself to denominating terms. Though serving generally to emphasize the preceding word, it does not seem to involve, like  $-\epsilon a$ , the idea of a contrast:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -xo  $y\bar{a}'^a$  right among firs (cf. 94.17)  $he^{\epsilon}ne~y\bar{a}'^a$  just then, then indeed 63.13; 128.22; 188.1, 18  $d\bar{o}^u mxbin~y\bar{a}'^a$  I shall just kill you 178.15

It has at times a comparative force:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i y\bar{a}'^a na^{\epsilon}nada'^{\epsilon}$  you will be, act, just like me (cf. 196.2)

2. hi. This constantly occurring enclitic is somewhat difficult to define. With personal pronouns it is used as an emphatic particle:

ma' hi you yourself (cf. 104.13; 152.20

§ 114

BOAS]

Similarly with demonstratives:

ga' hi just that, the same 64.6; 96.16; 144.3; 190.21

In such cases it is rather difficult to draw the line between it and  $y\bar{a}'^{a,1}$  to which it may be appended:

ga yā'a hi gwelda' just under that 190.17 han-yā'a-hi bā'a-t'e'ex just across the river she emerged 58.3

As emphasizing particle it may even be appended to subordinate verb forms and to local phrases:

 $y\tilde{a}nt'e^{e}da^{e}hi'$  just as I went (cf. 138.23; 152.5, 7)  $diha-ud\tilde{e}hi'$  right behind me, as soon as I had gone

It may be enclitically attached to other particles,  $y\bar{a}'^a-hi$  192.1 being a particularly frequent combination:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i$  yaxa'-hi I, however, indeed 71.8

Its signification is not always, however, so specific nor its force so strong. All that can be said of it in many cases is that it mildly calls attention to the preceding word without, however, specially emphasizing it; often its force is practically nil. This lack of definite signification is well illustrated in the following lullaby, in the second line of which it serves merely to preserve the rhythm -'~:

mo'xo wa'inhā buzzard, put him to sleep! s·ī'mhi wa'inhā (?) put him to sleep! p'e'lda wa'inhā slug, put him to sleep!

The most important syntactic function of hi is to make a verbal prefix an independent word, and thus take it out of its proper place in the verb:

de'-hi ahead (from de- in front) 33.15; 64.3; 196.1; 198.12 ha'n-hi ei- $s\tilde{a}k'$  across he-canoe-paddled but:

ei-han-sāk'w he-canoe-across-paddled 112.9, 18; 114.11 where han-, as an incorporated local prefix, takes its place after the object eī. A number of adverbs always appear with suffixed hi; e. g., gasa'lhi Quickly 16.10. Like -ɛa', from which it differs, however, in its far greater mobility, hi is never found appended to non-subordinate predicative forms. With hi must not be confused:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The various shades of emphasis contributed by -'a',  $y\bar{a}'a$ , hi, and-s'i', respectively, are well illustrated in  $ma^{i}a'$  YOU, BUT YOU (as contrasted with others);  $may\bar{a}'a$  JUST YOU, YOU INDEED (simple emphasis without necessary contrast); ma'hi YOU YOURSELF;  $mas^{i}i'^{i}$  AND YOU, YOU IN YOUR TURN (108.13)

<sup>3045°—</sup>Bull. 40, pt 2—12——18

3. - $hi^{\epsilon}$ . This particle is found appended most frequently to introductory words in the sentence, such as  $m\bar{\imath}^{i}$ ,  $gan\bar{e}$ , and other adverbs, and to verb-forms:

 $m\bar{\imath}^i$ - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  t'aga'<sup>i $\varepsilon$ </sup> then he returned 62.2; (cf. 188.15)  $gan\bar{\epsilon}$ - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  aba-i- $gini'^{\varepsilon}k'$  and then he went into the house 55.16 naga'-i- $hi^{\varepsilon} = naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he said + - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  (see § 22) 22.6; 57.1; 128.15; 192.9

As no definite meaning can be assigned to it, and as it is found only in myth narration, it is highly probable that it is to be interpreted as a quotative:

ga naga'sa $^{\varepsilon}n$ - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  that they said to each other, it is said 27.1, 3; 31.9 - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  is also found attached to a verbal prefix (22.1; 140.8, 22, 23).

4. -s·i<sup>c</sup> AND, BUT. This is one of the most frequently occurring particles in Takelma narration, its main function being to bind together two clauses or sentences, particularly when a contrast is involved. It is found appended to nouns or pronouns as deictic or connective suffix:

 $\tilde{a}ks\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  he in his turn 61.11; (cf. 47.14; 104.8, 13) hūlk' sgi'sidi'l mēxs· $i^{\varepsilon}$  Panther and Coyote, also Crane

An example of its use as sentence connector is:

- ga nagañhan ha-t'gāadē hop!ēɛn, bōu-s·i'ɛ eme'ɛ a'nīɛ ga naga'n that used-to-be-said in-my-country long-ago, now-but here not that is-said 194.4; (cf. 60.9; 118.3; 122.17)
- -s·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> is particularly frequently suffixed to the demonstratives ga that and aga this, gas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> and agas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> serving to connect two sentences, the second of which is the temporal or logical resultant or antithesis of the second. Both of the connected or contrasted sentences may be introduced by gas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>, agas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>, or by a word with enclitically attached -s·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>. In an antithesis agas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> seems to introduce the nearer, while gas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> is used to refer to the remoter act. Examples showing the usage of gas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> and agas·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> are:

gas·i' $\varepsilon$  de $\varepsilon$ l ha-de-dīlt'a dī-būmā'ak' (I smoked them out), and-then (or so-that) yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed 73.10

k'aiwi'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> t!omoma'nda<sup>\varepsilon</sup> gas'i'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> gayawa't'p' something I-having-killed-it, thereupon you-ate-it 90.8

gas·i'<sup>ε</sup> gūxda hülü<sup>ü</sup>n wa-iwī'<sup>i</sup> t!omxi'xas·i<sup>ε</sup> aba'i on-one-hand hiswife (was a) sea woman, her-mother-in-law-but (lived) in-thehouse 154.15

- agas·i<sup>ε</sup> yō<sup>u</sup>k!wat·k· yā'a xu'ma-s·i<sup>ε</sup> a'nī<sup>ε</sup> de<sup>ε</sup>ügü's·i now my-bones just (I was) (i. e., I was reduced to a skeleton), food-and not she-gave-me-to-eat 186.1
- agas iɛ a'nīɛ mī'ewa al-t!eye'xi naga'iɛ yulumɛa' aga's iɛ xamk' wa-iwī'i mīi al-t!ayāk'wa on-one-hand "Not probably she-has-discovered-me," he-said Eagle-for-his-part, but Grizzly-Bear girl now she-had-discovered him 124.9
- $gas \dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $agas \dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$  as syntactic elements are not to be confused with the demonstratives ga and aga to which a connective  $-s \dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$  happens to be attached. This is shown by:
  - $ga-s \cdot i'^{\epsilon} ga^{\epsilon}al$  that-so for (= so for that reason)

where  $ga^{\varepsilon}al$  is a postposition to ga. There is nothing to prevent post-nominal -s'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> from appearing in the same clause:

 $aga's i^{\epsilon} m\bar{\epsilon} ls i^{\epsilon}$  but Crow-in-her-turn 162.14

- When suffixed to the otherwise non-occurring demonstrative  $^{\varepsilon}i$ (perhaps contained in ida- that) it has a concessive force,
  DESPITE, ALTHOUGH, EVEN IF 60.1:
  - $^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}'s\cdot i^{\epsilon}-hi$  som ga $^{\epsilon}al$  ha-de-d $\bar{\imath}lt'a$  w $\bar{\imath}t'$  a'n $\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$  al-t!aya'k' p!iyi'n although-indeed mountain to everywhere he-went, not hefound deer 43.6
  - i's·i<sup>ε</sup> ts!aya'k' a'nī<sup>ε</sup> t!omõm gũxdagwa although he-shot-at-her, not he-killed-her his-own-wife 140.17
    - - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  (see no. 3) or connective - $s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  may be added to  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ , the resulting forms, with eatch dissimilation (see § 22), being  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot ihi^{\varepsilon}$  and  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot is \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  47.11; 148.12. When combined with the idea of unfulfilled action, the concessive  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  is supplemented by the conditional form in  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  of the verb:
  - <sup>ε</sup>ī's·i'ε k'a'i gwala nãxbiyauk'i'ε, wede ge lī'wa't' even-though things many they-should-say-to-you (i. e., even though they call you names), not there look! 60.3

Compounded with -s· $i^{\varepsilon}$  is the indefinite particle:

- 5. -s·i'wa'k'di 64.5. When appended to interrogatives, this particle brings about the corresponding indefinite meaning (see § 105), but it has also a more general syntactic usage, in which capacity it may be translated as PERCHANCE, IT SEEMS, PROBABLY:
  - ma's i wak'di henenagwa't' perhaps (or probably) you ate it all up 26.17

The uncompounded wak'di also occurs:

ulu'm wô'k'di k'ai nãk'am formerly I-guess something it-was said to him 166.1

ga wa'k'di hogwa' sdā that-one, it-seems, (was) their-runner 49.3

Similar in signification is:

- 6. mītieuu probably, perhaps 45.8; 63.15. This enclitic has a considerable tendency to apparently be incorporated in the verb:
  - $\bar{\imath}$ - $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\bar{\epsilon}}wa$ - $t!\bar{a}\bar{u}t!iwin$  maybe he was caught ( $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{a}\bar{u}t!iwin$  he was caught)
  - $xa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}wa$ - $sg\bar{\imath}'^{i}bi^{\varepsilon}n$   $m\ddot{u}^{u\varepsilon}xda'n$  hi I'll-probably-cut-him-in-two once just 31.13
- 7. his, hū's nearly, almost, trying 44.7; 56.14. This element implies that the action which was done or attempted failed of success:
  - mī<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>c</sup> t!omõk'wa-his mãl then also he-killed-him nearly spear-shaft (personified), i. e., spear-shaft almost managed to kill him, as he had killed others 28.11; (cf. 188.20)
    - A frequent Takelma idiom is the use of hi's with a form of the verb of saying na(g)- to imply a thought or intention on the part of the subject of the na(g)- form that fails to be realized:
  - "ha-xiya' m̄εwa sgā'at'ap'deε" naga'iε-hi's "in-the-water probably I-shall-jump," he thought (but he really fell among alderbushes and was killed) 94.17

Sometimes his seems to have a usitative signification; probably the main point implied is that an act once habitual has ceased to be so:

dak-his- $t'ek!e'^exade^e$  I used to smoke (but no longer do)

- 8. wis, wī's it seems, doubtless. This particle is used to indicate a likely inference. Examples are:
  - $m\bar{\imath}^i$ -wis  $dap^{\epsilon}\bar{a}'la$ -u moy $\bar{u}gwana'n$  now-it-seems youth he's-to-be-spoiled (seeing that he's to wrestle with a hitherto invincible one) 31.12
  - $m\bar{\imath}^i$   $w\bar{\imath}'^i$ s  $\tilde{a}k!a$  t!omoma'n now apparently he-for-his-part he-hasbeen-killed (seeing that he does not return) 88.9,(6)
- 9. yaxa continually, only. The translation given for yaxa is really somewhat too strong and definite, its force being often so weak as hardly to allow of an adequate rendering into English. It

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

often does not seem to imply more than simple existence or action unaccompanied and undisturbed. It is found often with the searcely translatable adverb ganga only, in which

case the idea of unvaried continuance comes out rather strongly, e. g.:

qa'-hi yaxa ganga naga'ie that-indeed continually only he-said (i. e., he always kept saying that) 24.15

From ganga it differs in the fact that it is often attracted into the verb-complex:

ganga qe'l-yaxa-hewe'hau only he-is-continually-thinking (i. e., he is always thinking) (cf. 128.18; 146.15)

- 10.  $wala'^{\epsilon}(sina^{\epsilon})$  really, come to find out 45.11; 170.8. As indicated in the translation,  $wala'^{\varepsilon}$  indicates the more or less unexpected resolution of a doubt or state of ignorance:
  - ga haga wala' $\varepsilon$  will wa $\varepsilon$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t!a'nik' that-one so really house he-keptit (i. e., it was Spear-shaft himself who kept house, no one else) 28.10

Certain usages of  $wala'^{\epsilon}si(na^{\epsilon})$ , evidently an amplification of  $wala'^{\epsilon}$ , have been already discussed (§ 70).

- 11. di interrogative. The interrogative enclitic is consistently used in all cases where an interrogative shade of meaning is present, whether as applying to a particular word, such as an interrogative pronoun or adverb, or to the whole sentence. Its use in indirect questions is frequent:
  - mãn t'ī'is mixal di' t!omomana' he-counted gophers how-many had-been-killed

The use of the interrogative is often merely rhetorical, implying an emphatic negative:

k'a-di' ma wili wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-\varepsilon-t!a'nida<sup>\varepsilon</sup> literally, what you house you-willkeep? (=you shall not keep house) 27.16; (cf. 33.1; 47.9)

Ordinarily di occupies the second place in the sentence, less frequently the third:

 $y\bar{u}'k!alxde^{\varepsilon}$   $m\bar{\imath}^i$  di'  $\varepsilon a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  k'a'i your-teeth now (inter.) not any (i. e., have you no teeth?) 128.23

Besides these syntactically and modally important enclitic particles, there are a few proclitics of lesser significance. Among these are to be included  $m\tilde{v}^i$  now and  $gan\tilde{e}$  then, and then, which, though they have been included among the temporal adverbs and may

indeed, at times, convey a definite temporal idea, are generally weak unaccented introducers of a clause, and have little determinable force:

 $gan\bar{e} \ ya'^{\epsilon}$  then he went 92.26; 118.19; 152.7  $m\bar{v}^{i} \ loho'^{i\epsilon}$  then he died 71.13; 98.19; 122.13

The proclitic  $ne^e$  Well! is used chiefly as introductory to a hortatory statement:

 $ne^{\epsilon} g\bar{o}^{u}m-s\cdot i'^{\epsilon} dak'-s\cdot in\bar{\imath}'^{i}da \ nab\bar{a}'^{a\epsilon}ha'n$  let us-in-our-turn overhis-nose let-us-do (i. e., let us pass over him!) 144.11  $ne^{\epsilon} t!omoma'^{\epsilon}n$  let me kill him! (cf. 96.4)

# § 115. VII. Interjections

Of interjections and other words of an emotional character there are quite a number in Takelma. Some of them, while in no sense of definite grammatical form, are based on noun or verb stems. Not a few involve sounds otherwise foreign to the language (e. g., nasalized vowels [expressed by  $^n$ ],  $\ddot{a}$  as in English BAT,  $\hat{a}$  as in saw, dj as in judge, voiceless palatal l [written l], final fortis consonant); prolongation of vowels and consonants (expressed by +) and repetition of elements are frequently used.

The material obtained may be classified as follows:

1. Particles of Address:

ama" come on! 96.24

hene' away from here! get away! 148.8, 10, 11, 13, 14

dit'gwālam O yes! (with idea of pity) 29.13; dit'gwā'aɛlam wiɛwã my poor younger brother! 64.4

ha-i' used by men in talking to each other

ha'ik!ā' used by women in talking to each other (cf. ha-ik!ã wife! husband!)

2. Simple Interjections (expressing fundamental emotions):

 $\bar{a}$  + surprise, generally joyful; weeping 28.5; 58.2; 150.2

ă; ă'; εă' sudden surprise at new turn; sudden resolve 28.6; 29.7; 55.7; 78.9

 $a^{\epsilon}$  sudden halt at perceiving something not noticed before 26.12 o' doubt, caution 136.23

 $\bar{o}$  + sudden recollection; admiration, wonderment; call 92.9; 138.19; 188.17, 19

 $\hat{a}$  + fear, wonder 17.3

<sup>e</sup>e; <sup>e</sup>e' displeasure 27.16; 32.9; 33.6; 122.12

\*è; hè + (both hoarsely whispered) used by mythological characters (crane, snake) on being roused to attention 122.10; 148.17, 18

```
h\bar{e}+; \bar{e}+ \text{ call } 59.2; 73.7; 75.10; 76.8
     \epsilon e^{n'}; \epsilon e^{n} disapproval, "what's up?", sarcasm 28.11; 32.10
     ^{\varepsilon}E^{n} protest 112.6, 11; 114.3, 6, 13; ^{\varepsilon}E'^{n}, ^{\varepsilon}E'^{n} decided displeasure
       198.2
     he^n scorn, threat 140.9; 152.14
     en' sniffing suspiciously 160.20
     E^{n'} E^{n'} E^{n'} E^{n'} smelling suspiciously 124.23
     dja' disapproval, warning 156.18
     m+m+ gentle warning, pity 29.8; 31.11, 14
    hm + hm + reviving hope (?) 32.3
     w\ddot{a} + w\ddot{a} + (loudly whispered) cry for help 29.12
     ha-i alas! 62.4, 7
     A^{n} + groan 182.11
     ho'€ (hoarsely whispered) on being wounded 190.24
     h\hat{a}' h\hat{a} h\hat{a} groans on being wounded 192.10
     he' he he he laughter 118.22; 120.6
  Those that follow have a prefixed s'- frequently used by Coyote.
       They are probably characteristic of this character (see also
       71.14; 90.12).
     s^{-\epsilon}e'hehehe derisive laughter 71.7; 72.11; 73.15; 74.15
     s'be'p' sharp anger 86.6, 22, 24
     s \cdot b \dot{e}' + u call for some one to come 92.1
     c^{\epsilon}a'i say there, you! 92.18, 21
     s \cdot g\bar{a} + \text{sorrow } 100.3
3. Set Calls (including eries in formulas and myths):
     p'ä+ (loudly whispered) war-whoop 190.15
     b\ddot{a} + b\ddot{a} + (loudly whispered and held out long) war-whoop
     136.26 bä wä' äu wä' äu : . . . . (loudly whispered) war-whoop
     110.19 gwä' lä lä lä lä (loudly whispered) war-whoop on slaying
       one of enemy
     wâ wâ wâ ery to urge on deer to corral
     b\bar{o} + yelling at appearance of new moon 196.5
     h\ddot{a}+; b\ddot{a}+ (both loudly whispered) urging on to run 46.5, 7; 47.6;
       48.1, 3, 9; 49.3
     h^{w}+ blowing before exercising supernatural power 96.19, 20, 22;
       198.7
     p'+ blowing in exercising supernatural power 77.9
     p'^w + blowing water on person to resuscitate him 170.3
     he blowing preparatory to medicine-formula addressed to wind
     do' do do do do do ery (of ghosts) on catching fire 98.4 (cf. Yana du'
       du \ du \ du' \ du \ du)
```

 $xim\bar{\imath}' + ximi$  cry of rolling skull 174.5, 6

 $\bar{o}'+da~da~da~da~da~cry$  of people running away from rolling skull 174.9, 10

do'lhi dolhi' taunt (of Pitch to Coyote) 86.2, 8, 10, 17, 21, 23; 88. 1, 2

da'ldalwaya da ldalwaya da'ldalwaya formula for catching crawfish (explained in myth as derived from dalda'l dragon-fly) 29.14, 16

wi'lik!isi "cut off!" (cf. wī'lī'i his stone knife 142.21) Chicken-Hawk's cry for revenge 144.1

sgilbibī' + 'x '' come warm yourself!'' 25.7 (cf. sgili' pxde<sup>e</sup> I warm myself 25.8)

gewe''k!ewee (cf. gewe'k!iwien I hold [salmon] bow-fashion) said by Pitch when Coyote is stuck to him 88.5, 9, 11, 12

p!idi-l-p'ā'''t' p'idit'k' "O my liver!'' (cf. p'ā''t p'id-i-salmon liver) cry of Grizzly Bear on finding she has eaten her children's livers 120.19, 20

The last three show very irregular types of reduplication, not otherwise found.

# 4. Animal Cries and Imitative Sounds:

wa'yanī cry of Jack-Rabbit 108.9, 14, 17

(s) ha'u, ha'u cry of Grizzly Bear 106.12, 19; 140.12

 $w\bar{a}' + u$  (hoarse) death-cry of Grizzly Bear woman 142.3

 $h\hat{a}^u$  Bear's cry 72.15

p!āk' p!āk' "bathe! bathe!" supposed cry of crow

bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' sound made by Woodpecker 90.11; 92.2 (cf. ba'k' bāa' red-headed woodpecker 92.2)

p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au sound made by Yellowhammer 90.19 bum+ bum+ noise made by rolling skull 174.4

tc!e'lelelele (whispered) sound of rattling dentalia 156.24 (cf. aorist stem tc!elem-rattle)

t'ul t'ul t'ul noise made by Rock Boy in walking over graveyard house 14.8

dEm + dEm + dEm + noise of men fighting 24.1

xa'-u (whispered) noise of crackling hair as it burns 24.8

t'gi'l imitating sound of something breaking 24.4 (cf. xa-dāan-t'gilt'ga'lhi he broke it in two with rock 24.4)

t'ut' t'ut' t'ut' noise of pounding acorns 26.12

bak! "pop!" stick stuck into eye 27.8

 $hu^n$  + confused noise of people talking far off 190.7

k!i'didididi sound of men wrestling 32.14

#### 5. Song Burdens:

wa'yawene lō'uwana medicine-man's dance 46.14 wainhā round dance; lullaby (cf. wainha put him to sleep!) 104.15; 106.4, 8; 105 note

§ 115

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

k!i'xinhi round dance (said by Frog) 102.18  $\epsilon o'cu \epsilon o'cu$  round dance (said by Frog.) 102.23 gwa'tca gwatca round dance (said by Bluejay) 104.7  $tc!a'itc!i\bar{a}$  round dance (play on  $tc!a'i^{\epsilon}c$  bluejay) 104.7 be'bebinibī'a round dance (said by Mouse; play on bebe'n rushes) 104.10  $beleld\bar{o}$  round dance (play on belp' swan) 104.15 bi'gi bi'gi bi'gī + Skunk's medicine-man's dance ([?] play on bīk'w skunk) 164.18, 22; 166.5 hâ' gwatci hâ' gwatci said by s'omloho'lxa s in doctoring

## § 116. CONCLUSION

The salient morphologic characteristics of Takelma may be summed up in the words inflective and incorporating, the chief stress being laid on either epithet according as one attaches greater importance to the general method employed in the formation of words and forms and their resulting inner coherence and unity, or to the particular grammatical treatment of a special, though for many American languages important, syntactic relation, the object. Outside of most prefixed elements and a small number of the post-nominal suffixes, neither of which enter organically into the inner structure of the word-form, the Takelma word is a firmly knit morphologic unit built up of a radical base or stem and one or more affixed (generally suffixed) elements of almost entirely formal, not material, signification.

It would be interesting to compare the structure of Takelma with that of the neighboring languages; but a lack, at the time of writing, of published material on the Kalapuya, Coos, Shasta, Achomawi, and Karok makes it necessary to dispense with such comparison. With the Athapascan dialects of southwest Oregon, the speakers of which were in close cultural contact with the Takelmas, practically no agreements of detail are traceable. Both Takelma and Athapascan make a very extended idiomatic use of a rather large number of verbal prefixes, but the resemblance is probably not a farreaching one. While the Athapascan prefixes are etymologically distinct from the main body of lexical material and have reference chiefly to position and modes of motion, a very considerable number of the Takelma prefixes are intimately associated, etymologically and functionally, with parts of the body. In the verb the two languages agree in the incorporation of the pronominal subject and

object, but here again the resemblance is only superficial. Athapascan the pronominal elements are phonetically closely combined with the verbal prefixes and stand apart from the following verb-stem, which never, or very rarely, loses its monosyllabic individuality. In Takelma the pronominal elements, together with the derivative affixes, enter into very close combination with the preceding verb-stem, but stand severely aloof from the verbal prefixes. The radical phonetic changes which the verb-stem undergoes for tense in both languages is perhaps the most striking resemblance between the two; but even in this regard they differ widely as to the methods employed. Neither the very extended use of reduplication in Takelma, nor the frequent use in Athapascan of distinct verb-stems for the singular and plural, is shared by the other. Add to this the fact that the phonetic systems of Athapascan and Takelma are more greatly divergent than would naturally be expected of neighboring languages, and it becomes clear that the opinion that has generally been held, though based on practically no evidence, in regard to the entirely distinct characteristics of the two linguistic stocks, is thoroughly justified.

The entire lack of nominal cases in Takelma and the lack of pronominal incorporation in Klamath indicate at the outset the fundamental morphologic difference between these stocks. In so far as nominal cases and lack of pronominal incorporation are made the chief morphologic criteria of the central Californian group of linguistic families, as represented, say, by Maidu and Yokuts, absolutely no resemblance is discernible between those languages and Takelma. As far, then, as available linguistic material gives opportunity for judgment, Takelma stands entirely isolated among its neighbors.

In some respects Takelma is typically American, in so far as it is possible at all to speak of typical American linguistic characteristics. Some of the more important of these typical or at any rate wide-spread American traits, that are found in Takelma, are: the incorporation of the pronominal (and nominal) object in the verb; the incorporation of the possessive pronouns in the noun; the closer association with the verb-form of the object than the subject; the inclusion of a considerable number of instrumental and local modifications in the verb-complex; the weak development of differences of tense in the verb and of number in the verb and noun; and the impossibility of drawing a sharp line between mode and tense.

Of the more special grammatical characteristics, some of which are nearly unparalleled in those languages of North America that have been adequately studied, are: a system of pitch-accent of fairly considerable, though probably etymologically secondary, formal significance; a strong tendency in the verb, noun, adjective, and adverb toward the formation of dissyllabic stems with repeated vowel (e.g., aorist stem yowo- BE; verb-stem loho- DIE; noun moxo' BUZZARD; adjective  $hos \cdot \tilde{o}^u$  [plural] GETTING BIG; adverb olo'm FORMERLY); a very considerable use of end reduplication, initial reduplication being entirely absent; the employment of consonant and vowel changes as a grammatical process; the use in verbs, nouns, and adjectives of prefixed elements, identical with body-part noun stems, that have reference now to parts of the body, now to purely local relations; the complicated and often irregular modifications of a verbal base for the formation of the most generalized tense, the aorist; the great differentiation of pronominal schemes according to syntactic relation, class of verb or noun, and tense-mode, despite the comparatively small number of persons (only five-two singular, two plural, and one indifferent); the entire lack in the noun and pronoun of cases (the subjective and objective are made unnecessary by the pronominal and nominal incorporation characteristic of the verb; the possessive, by the formal use of possessive pronoun affixes; and the local cases, by the extended use of pre-positives and postpositions); the existence in the noun of characteristic suffixes that appear only with prepositives and possessive affixes; the fair amount of distinctness that the adjective possesses as contrasted with both verb and noun; the use of a decimal system of numeration, tertiary or quinary in origin; and a rather efficient though simple syntactic apparatus of subordinating elements and well-modulated enclitic particles. Altogether Takelma has a great deal that is distinct and apparently even isolated about it. Though typical in its most fundamental features, it may, when more is known of American languages as a whole, have to be considered a very specialized type. § 116

[BULL. 40

APPENDIX A

1. Comparative Table of Pronominal Forms

		Singular			Plural
	First person	Second person	Third person	First person	Second person
Aor. subj. intr. I	-1, دو	-(a')t'	7	1.8.	-(a,)t,b,
	-1,66	-t'am	.,-·-	-(p'-)ik'	-t'ap
	-1.66	-(a)qa,e	-(a')et'	-(i)ga'm	-(a)t'bae
	-1.66	-t.a.	-t'āa	-(p'-)igam	-t'abat
Pr. imper.				-(a)bac	-(a')np', -'p'
Fut. imper. intr. I and trans.		$-(a')^{i}k$			
Fut. imper. intr. II		-(p-)guem			
	$u_{\epsilon}(a')^{\epsilon}n$	J(,v)-	1	-(a)nak'	-(a')t'p'
	u(a')n	-(a)da't	-(a')nk'	-(a)nagam	-(a)t'bat
	-k'-a <sup>e</sup>	-k' eall'	. 4.	-k'-anak'	-k' ecit'p'
	-ri	-bi	1	-am	· anp.
VPS	-dč	-dae	-da	-da'm	-dabaen, -'et'ban
	wi-	,1,7	-ra, -a	-da'm	-'et'ban
	-dek	-dee	-dāa	-da'm	$-daba^{\epsilon}n$
	-Y.k'	./3,-	7, -,	-da'm	-'etban
Independent pronouns	1.20	ma	āak' (pl. āi)	gōum	$m\bar{a}ap$ ,

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

<i>31</i> Si	Scheme of 7 Voices in 6 Tense-Modes (2d per. sing. of dink!- SPREAD)	oices in 6 Ten	se-Modes (2d	per. sing. of	iink!- SPREAD)	
	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Potential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Trans. (2d per. subj.)	di'nik!at'	dink!ada'e	di'nek' ecil'	di'nk!at'	di'nel:'	di'nk!aek'
Passive	di'nierbin	dinexbina'e	di'n <sup>e</sup> rbigam	di'n£rbin		
Act. intr.	di'nierat'	din <sup>e</sup> xada' <sup>e</sup>	di'nerak' seit'	di'nerat'	di'nera	di'nexaek'
Reflexive	di'niek'widam	$di'n^{\epsilon}k'wida^{\epsilon}$	di'nck'wip'k' eeit'	$di'n^{\epsilon}k`widam$	di'nek'wiip'	di'nek'wip'gaem
Recipr. (pl.)	di'nitrant'p	di'nerant'bae	di'nerank' teit'p'	di'nerant'p'		
Non-agentive	di'nierdam	di'nerdae	di'nerk' eelt'	di'n€rdam	$di'n^{\varepsilon}I$	di'nergaem
Positional	dink.it'am	dink!a'sda€	di'nk!ask' ecit'	dink!a'sdum		

#### BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

[BULL. 40

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

#### A. Intransitive

	Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per. Plural: 1st per. 2d per. Imper.	nagait' es nagait' naga' is nagayi'k' nagait'p' nesye's (sub-ney cedas or ne' idas)	na't' ee nada'e na'et' naga'm na't' bae neeyaük' ie (conditional)	na't' e <sup>¢</sup> na't' na'e  (?)nayi'k' na't' p'	na'k'as na'k!ett na'k' na'k'ana'k' na'k!ett'p'	na` nabā'at(ha`n) na`np'	na'ek*

## FREQUENTATIVE

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:					
1st per.	$naga^{\varepsilon}na'k'd\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$	nañt'ee	nañk*a€		
2d per	nagaenigi`t`	nanada'∈ 1	nañk!elt'	nañha	nañhaek'
3d per	nagaenā'aek'	nana'et' 1	nañk'2		
Plural:					
1st pe <b>r</b>	naga∈nigi`k'	nanaga'm 1	nañk'ana'k'	nanaba'€	
2d per	nagaenigi't'p'	nana't'bae 1	nañk!elt'p'	nañhanp'	
Imper.	neenia'ue				1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These forms are to be carefully distinguished from  $na^{\epsilon}$ - $nad^{\epsilon}$ ,  $na^{\epsilon}$ - $na^{\epsilon}$ , and so forth (see §69). It is of course possible to have also  $na^{\epsilon}$ - $nan^{\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $na^{\epsilon}$ - $nanada^{\epsilon}$ , and so forth.

# B. Transitive

#### Aorist

			Object		
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural
Singular:					
1st per.		naga'sbi÷n	naga'en		naga'sanba <sup>e</sup> n
2d per.	nege's dam		naga`t`	naga'simit'	
3d per.	nege's i	naga'sbi	naga`	naga'sam	naga'sanp'
Plural:					
1st per.		nagasbina`k`	nagana'k'		naga'sanbana <b>`k</b> `
2d per.	nege's dap		naga't'p'	naga'simit'p'	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also  $na\tilde{n}hak$  is found, so that it is probable that doublets exist for other non-aorist forms, e. g.,  $na\tilde{n}hada^{\epsilon}$ ,  $na\tilde{n}haba^{\epsilon}$ .

HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

# B. Transitive—Continued

#### Future

			Object		
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second perso plural
Singular:	,				
1st per.		nãibin	nāagi'n		nāranban
2d per.	n∉rda∈		nãk⁺ida <sup>ç</sup>	nãximida€	
3d per.	nēxink'	nārbink'	nāk'ink'	nāramank'	nāranbank'
Plural:					
1st per.		nāxbinagam	nāaginaga'm		nāranbanagam
2d per.	n∉rdaba€		nāagi't`ba€	nāximit'ba€	
Imper. condit.	nēxiauk'ie	nāxbiauk'i≤			
		Inj	ferential		
Singular:					
lst per.		nāxbiga€	nāk'igas		nã ran p`gat
2d per.	nērik!eīt'	naronya	nāk'ik!eīt'	nā ramk!eīt'	naturp ya-
_	nērik'	nārbik'	nāk'ik'	nāramk'	mā ramn'h'
3d per. Plural:	nelik	Maior	nak ik	naramk	nāranp'k'
			- 2h1/1h1		wā nammi nama Vi
1st per.		nārbigana`k`	nāk'igana'k'		nāranp'gana'k
2d per.	nēxik!eīt'p'		nāk'ik!eīt'p'	nāramk!eît' p'	
		P	otential		
Singular:		1			
1st per.	İ	nãxbi€n	nāagi'€n		nãranba∈n
2d per.	nērdam		nāk'it'	nārimit'	
3d per.	nēxi	nārbi	nāk'i	nāram	naxanp'
Plural:		1			
1st per.		nāzbinak'	nāk'inak'		nāranbana'k'
2d per.	nērdap'		nāk'it' p'	nāximit' p'	
	'	Presen	t Imperative	·	
a: 1					
Singular:			2. 1. 1	1 va va va	
2d per.	$n\bar{e}xi$		nãk'i	nāram	
Plural:			. 71971		
1st per.	,		nãk'iba€	-3	
2d per.	nēxip'		nāk'ip'	nāxamp'	
		Fature	· Imperative		
Singular:		Fature	· Imperative		

## BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY

[BULL. 40

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

## B. Transitive—Continued

## Passive

	 _		Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferentia
Singular:						
1st per.			nege's in	nĕxina€	nēxin	nēxigam
2d per.			naga'sbin	nãxbina€	nārbin	nãxbigam
3d per.			naga'n	nāagina'€	nāk'in	nāk'am
Plurai:						
1st per.			naga'simin	nãximina€	nāximin	nã xamk'am
2d per.			naga'sanban	nãxanbana€	nāxanban	nāranp'gam

#### FREQUENTATIVE

#### Aorist

			Object		
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plurat	Second person plural
Singular:					
1st per		nagañsbi⁵n	nagañhaen		nagañsanba∈n
2d per	negeñs danı		nagañhat'	nagañsimit'	
3d per	negeñs:i	nagañsbi	nagañha	nagañsam	nagañsanp'
Plural:					
lst per		nagañsbinak'	nagañhanak'		nagañsanbana`k`
2d per	negeñs·dap'		nagañhat'p'	nagañsimit'p'	

#### Future

Singular:					
lst per		nānsbin	nànhan		nānsanban
2d per	nēns∙da∈		nãnhada€	nãnsimida€	
3d per	nēns·ink'	nānsbink'	nã nha nk'	nānsamank'	nānsanbank'
Plural:	1				
1st per		nānsbinagam	nãnhanagam		nānsanbanagam
2d per	nēnsdabaε		nānhat' oa€	nãn simit ba e	
•					

#### Passive

									Aorist	Future
ingular:										
1st per.									negens in	nēns·inαε
2d per.			~						nagañsbin	nãnsbina€
3d per.									nagañhan	nãnhana€
Plural:										
1st per.									nagañsimin	nã nsimina <sup>e</sup>
2d per.									nagañsanban	nānsanbanae

# HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

## C. Causative in -n-1

#### Aorist

			Object		
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural
Singular:					
1st per		nagānzbi∈n	nagāana'en (nagāani'en)2		nagānzanba <sup>c</sup> n
2d per	negēnzdam		nagāana`t` (nagāani`t`)	nagānximit'	
3d per	negēnzi	nagãnzbi	nagãn (nagãnhi)	nagānzam	nagānzanp'
Plural:					
1st per		nagānzbinak'	nagāanana`k' (nagāanina`k')		nagānzanbana`k`
2d per	negēnīdap'		nagāana't'p' (nagāani't'p')	nagānzimit'p'	

#### Future

Singular: 1st per.					nānzbin	nāana'n (nāani'n)		nãnxanban
2d per.				nēn zda!		nāanada's 8	nãn ximida <sup>ç</sup>	
3d per.				něnzink'	nãnxbink*	(nāanida't) nāana'nk'	nãnzamank'	nānzanbank'
ou pan	Ĭ	•				(nāani'nk')		
Plural:								
1st per.					nãnxbinagam	nāananaga'm (nāaninaga'm)		nãnzanbanagam
2d per.				nĕnxdaba€		nāana't'bat (nāani't'bat)	nãnzimit'bat	
						(naani't ba*)		

#### Passive

																	Aorist	Future
Singular:																-		
1st per.																	negěnzin	nčnrina:
2d per.																	nagānzbin	nānzbinat
3d per.																	nagāana'n (nagāani'n)	naanana'e (naanina'e)
Plural:																		
1st per.																	nagānzimin	nănximina:
2d per.																	nagānzanban	nãnxanbana•
2d per.	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	nagānxanban	na

¹ Though these forms are simply derivatives of intransitive aorist naga(i)-, verb-stem na-, they have been listed here because of their great similarity to transitive frequentatives, with which they might be easily confused. In the aorist, the two sets of forms differ in the length of the second (repeated) vowel, in the connecting consonant, and to some extent in the place of the accent, though this is probably a minor consideration. In the future, they differ in the connecting consonant and partly again in the place of the accent.

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-19

Forms in parentheses are instrumental.

<sup>3</sup> Imperative (sing. subj. and third person object): nanha.

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

#### D. Reciprocal Forms

									A orist	Future
Plural: 1st per.									naga'sinik'	nāzinigam
-										nārant'bae
3d per.										nāzant'
									saen)	

#### E. Nominal Derivatives

#### INFINITIVES

Intransitive: ne'x

	Object									
	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural					
Transitive	nēziya	nārbiya	nāagia`	nāzimia	nāxanbia					

#### PARTICIPLE

Active: na't'

Other forms derived from verb-stem na(g)- than those given above are of course found, but are easily formed on evident analogies. Observe, however, intransitive aorist stem nagai- in transitive derivatives nagaik'wa he said to him (personal) and nagaik'wit' he said to himself. Comitatives in -(a)gw- are not listed because their formation offers no difficulty; e. g., second person singular present imperative  $n\bar{a}k$ ' do so and so having it! It is possible that  $b\bar{o}^u$ - $n\bar{e}xada^e$  immediately is nothing but adverb  $b\bar{o}^u$  now + subordinating form \* $n\bar{e}xada^e$  of -xa- derivative from  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - with regular palatal ablaut (see §31,5); literally it would then mean something like when it is becoming (doing) now.

#### APPENDIX B

#### THE ORIGIN OF DEATH

		nō'ts!at'gwan 6
--	--	-----------------

yu'k'. 7 ga-s'i<sup>\$8</sup> nāk'ik': 9 "laps 10 yimi'xi 11 hāp'dek' 12 loho'ida<sup>\$\xi\$</sup>, 13 they were. And that he said to "Blanket lend it to me my child since it died, him:

1 xi'lam. Used indifferently for SICK, DEAD (as noun), and GHOST. -am (= -an) is probably noun-forming suffix with inorganic -a- (cf. han-xilmī Abode of GHOSTS, literally, ACROSS-RIVER ARE GHOSTS as verb with positional-ī). As base is left xil- or xin- (-n- of radical syllable dissimilates to -l- before nasal suffix); xi'lam from \*xin-an or \*xil-an. This xin- is perhaps etymologically identical with xin mucus (verb-base xin-sniff).

2 sebe't'. Participle in -t' of verb seeba'en Type 51 roast it; aorist stem seeb-, verb-stem sebe-. Roast-Ing-dead-people is Takelma name for species of black long-legged bug. He is supposed to be so called because responsible for death, as told in this myth.

 $^{3}h\tilde{a}p'da$ . Base  $h\tilde{a}^{a}p'$ - SMALL, CHILD (cf. hap-sdi' SMALL). This is one of those comparatively few nouns that add possessive pronominal suffixes of Scheme II directly to stem. With suffixed ([?] pre-pronominal) -z- it becomes plural in signification:  $h\tilde{a}prda$  HIS CHILDREN. This sort of plural formation stands, as far as known, entirely isolated in Takelma. In its absolute form  $h\tilde{a}^{a}p'$ - takes on derivative suffix -ri,  $h\tilde{a}pri'$  CHILD.

\*\*loho'k'. Third personal inferential of verb lohoit's Type 4b 1 die; aorist stem lohoi-, verb-stem loho-. -k' inferential element. Inferential mode used because statement is here not made on personal authority, but only as tradition or hearsay. According to this, all myth narrative should employ inferential forms instead of aorist. This myth employs partly inferentials and partly aorists; but in most other myths aorists are regularly employed, probably because they are more familiar forms, and perhaps, also, because myths may be looked upon as well-authenticated fact.

\*\* \*sgi'sidi'\!. \*sgi'si COYOTE, formed by repetition of base-vowel according to Type 2. -di'\! is dual suffix \*\*sgi'sidi'\! by itself might mean two COYOTES, but-di'\! is never properly dual in signification, meaning rather HE (indicated by preceding noun) AND SOME ONE ELSE (indicated by context).

\* $n\bar{o}'ts/at'gwan$ . From local adverbial stem  $n\bar{o}ts/$ - NEXT DOOR, NEIGHBORING; it is formed by addition of characteristic -a- and third personal plural reflexive pronominal suffix -t'gwan (= -t'-[third person]+-gwa-[reflexive] + -n [plural]). First person singular  $n\bar{o}ts/ad\bar{e}'$ ; second person singular  $n\bar{o}ts/ad\bar{e}'$ .

<sup>1</sup> yu'k'. Third personal inferential of verb yowo't' ε Type 2 1 AM; aorist stem yowo-, verb-stem yo-(yu-). -k' inferential element as in loho'k'. Corresponding aorist, yowo'ε.

\* gas:i. ga is general demonstrative THAT, here serving to anticipate quotation: "laps (2) . . . yimi'ri-(3)." -s'i' as general connective indicates sequence of  $n\bar{n}k'ik'$  upon loho'k' (1).

\*\*nāk'ik'. Third personal inferential of verb naga'sn Type 2 I SAY TO HIM; aorist stem naga-, verb-stem nāag-. Corresponding aorist, naga'. Non-aoristic forms of this transitive verb show instrumental -i- (see §64).

10 laps. Noun of uncertain etymology, perhaps from base lab- CARRY ON ONE'S BACK. -s nominal derivative suffix of no known definite signification.

In yimi'ri. Present imperative second person singular subject, first person singular object (-ri) of verb yimiya''n Type 1 I LEND IT TO IIIM; acrist stem yimii-, verb-stem yimi-. Non-acristic forms show instrumental -i- as in  $n\tilde{a}k'ik'$ ; e. g., yimi'hin I SHALL LEND IT TO IIIM,

 $12h\tilde{a}p'dek'$ . See  $h\tilde{a}p'da$  (1). -de'k' first person singular possessive pronominal suffix according to Scheme II.  $12loho'ida^{\epsilon}$ . Subordinate form, with causal signification, of  $loho'i^{\epsilon}$  HE DED. A orist stem lohoi = verb-stem loho = intransitive element -i- characteristic of a orist of Type 4;  $^{\epsilon}$ , third personal a orist subject intransitive Class I, dissimilated because of eatch in subordinating suffix  $-da^{\epsilon}$ . Syntactically  $loho'ida^{\epsilon}$  is subordinated to  $nim^{\epsilon}Ti$ .

"" naga':hii.=naga'i" HE SAID+ quotative enclitic  $-hi^{\epsilon}$ .  $naga'i^{\epsilon}$  third person aorist of irregular verb  $nagai'\epsilon$  Type 4a I SAY; aorist stem nagai, verb-stem na. Both transitive and intransitive forms of na(g)-SAY incorporate object of thing said; ga in  $gas'i^{\epsilon}$  (2) is incorporated as direct object in nak'ik' (it would be theoretically more correct to write ga [- $s^{\epsilon}i^{\epsilon}$ ]- nak'ik'); while quotation "laps... yimi'ri" is syntactically direct object of  $naga'-ihi^{\epsilon}$  which, as such, it precedes. ga-nak'ik' anticipates "laps... yimi'ri"  $naga'-ihi^{\epsilon}$ . Observe use of aorist instead of inferential from  $naga'-ihi^{\epsilon}$  on.

15 a'nīt. Negative partiele with following agrist. True negative future would be wede yimi'hizbigat.

gwidi'-s·i<sup>ε 17</sup> vī¹mīsbi€n 16 Vο'εt' 18 -xila'm 1 vèūk'i<sup>€</sup>," 19 naga'-ihi€ 14 they will be dead people if they return?" he said, it is said, I lend it to you for where sgi′si.⁵ nō<sup>u</sup>s i<sup>ε 20</sup> vewe'ie 21 xilam 1 sebe't'.2 k!odo't' 22 hãp'dagwa<sup>23</sup> Coyote. And next door he returned Roasting-Dead-People. He buried it his own child loho′ida€.²⁴ ganēhi<sup>ε 25</sup> dabalni'xa 26 lāalē'.27 mīihi<sup>ε 28</sup> sgi'si<sup>5</sup> hãp'da³ And then, it Coyote who had died. long time it became. Now, it is his child is said. said. xi'lam 1 lāalē'.27 mī<sup>i28</sup> loho'<sup>iɛ</sup>.29 mī<sup>i28</sup> nō'ues.20 gini'ek' 30 xilam¹ sebet'2 it became. Now it died. Now next door he went Roasting-Dead-People sick hāap'de'k' 12 loho'ida<sup>ε</sup>."13—"k'adi'32 5 wā'ada. 31 "laps 10 vimi'xi 11 since it died."-" Blanket my child "What to him. lend it to me nagaīt'," 33  $sebe't'^2$ naga'i€.14 "hōuxaea"34  $ga^8$ xilam <sup>1</sup> ma<sup>e</sup>a 35 " Last time you said?" Roasting-Dead-People that he said.

16 yīimīsbi<sup>e</sup>n. First person singular subject (-in) second personal singular object (-bi-) of verb yīimiya'<sup>e</sup>n (see yimi'xi above). -s- indirect object used only in a rist of this verb, elsewhere -i-; e.g., future yimi'xbin I SHALL LEND IT TO YOU. A rist is used because idea of futurity is here immediate; i.e., time of action is not put definitely forward.

 $^{11}$  gwidi'-s- $^{i\xi}$ . gwi- general interrogative and indefinite adverb where? somewhere. di interrogative enclitic serving to give gwi-distinct interrogative signification. -s- $^{i\xi}$ has here slight causaltinge: for where would they all be, if they returned?

is yo'et'. Third personal future of verb  $yowo't'e^e$  1 am (see yu'k' above). Let third personal subject future intransitive Class I.

19  $y \partial u k' i^{\epsilon}$ . Third personal conditional (- $k' i^{\epsilon}$ ) of verb  $y e w e u' e^{\epsilon}$  Type 4a i return; agrist stem y e w e i-, verb-stem  $y \partial u$ - ( $y e^{\epsilon} w$ -).

 $^{20}$   $n\bar{o}'us'i^{\xi}$ .  $=n\bar{o}'u^{\xi}s'$  (stem  $n\bar{o}ts!$ - NEXT DOOR) + connective  $-s'i^{\xi}$ .  $n\bar{o}'u^{\xi}s'$  may best be considered as local adverbial prefix to  $yewe'i^{\xi}$ .

<sup>21</sup>  $yewe'i^{\epsilon}$ . Third person a orist of verb  $yewei't'e^{\epsilon}$  (see  $ye\bar{u}k'i^{\epsilon}$  above (-i and x as in  $loho'i^{\epsilon}$  and  $naga'i^{\epsilon}$  above) <sup>22</sup> k!odo't'. Third personal subject, third personal object a orist of verb  $k!ododa'^{\epsilon}n$  Type 8 i bury him a orist stem k!odod-, verb-stem  $g\bar{o}ud$ -.

<sup>23</sup> hāp'dagwa. See hāp'da (1). -gwa reflexive suffix. k!odo't' hāp'da would have meant не (Roasting-Dead-People) винер ніз (Coyote's) синд.

24 loho'idas. In this case subordinate form serves merely to explain hã p'dagwa, and may thus be rendered as relative, WHO HAD DIED.

28 gan $\bar{e}hi^{\epsilon}$ . = gan $\bar{e}$  AND THEN (compound of demonstrative ga), used to introduce new turn in narrative, + quotative  $-hi^{\epsilon}$ .

20 dabalni'ra. Temporal adverb long time. Like many other adverbs, it is difficult of satisfactory analysis. da- is local body-part prefix, as in several other temporal adverbs; but its application here is quite obscure. bal- radical element, cf. adjective bāl-s long. -ra adverbial (chiefly temporal) snffix-ni- = ? (cf. lep'ni'ra winter).

 $2i l\bar{a}a l\bar{c}$ . Third person agrist intransitive Class II of verb  $l\bar{a}a l\bar{a}t'e'$  Types 10a and 15a i become; agrist stem  $l\bar{a}a l\bar{c}$ , verb-stem  $l\bar{a}a l\bar{c}$  -, verb-stem  $l\bar{a}a l\bar{c}$  -,  $-\bar{c} - \bar{c}t$  of positional verbs. Corresponding inferential  $l\bar{a}p'k'$ .

28 mithit. =mit weak temporal adverb now, then, serving generally to introduce new statement, + quotative -hit.

29 toho'12. See loho'idae (2).

 $^{20}$  gini'ek'. Third person agrist of verb gini'k'de' Type 2 1 go (somewhere); agrist stem ginig-, verb-stem ging-, ginag- (present imperative gink'; future gina'k'de'). If third person agrist intransitive Class I. Inasmuch as forms occul derived from base gin- (e.g., reduplicated giniginia'u'), g- must be considered as either petrified suffix, or as trace of older reduplication with vanished vowel in second member: gin-i-g- from (?) gin-i-gn-. ginig- can be used only with expressed goal of motion (in this case  $n\bar{o}'u^{g}$ s and  $w\bar{a}'ada$ ). He went without expressed goal would have been  $ya'^{g}$ . Similarly: batam- come,  $me^{g}$ -ginig- Come Here;  $h\bar{o}gw$ - Run, hiwiliw- Run (somewhere);  $s\cdot ow\bar{o}'u^{g}k'ap'$ - Jump, biliw- Jump At.

ii  $w\tilde{a}'ada$ . Formed, like  $n\tilde{o}'tslat'gwan$  (1), by addition of third personal pronominal suffix 'da to local stem wa; first person  $wad\tilde{e}$ . These forms are regularly used when motion to some person or persons is meant: if goal of motion is non-personal, postposition  $ga^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}l$  to, at is employed.

<sup>12</sup> k'adi'. k'a (before di, otherwise k'ai) is substantival indefinite and interrogative stem (THING), WHAT, corresponding to adverbial gwi- (4). di serves also here to give k'a distinct interrogative force.

is nagait'. Second person singular agrist of verb nagait'  $e^{\epsilon}$  (see naga'-ihi $^{\epsilon}$  above). This is one of those few intransitives that take personal endings directly after stem ending in semi-vowel (nagay-), without connective -a- (see § 65 end).

H  $\hbar \delta^a I a^i a^i$ . =  $\hbar \delta^a I a^i$  Yesterday, (here more indefinitely as) Last time, formerly + deictic  $-a^i$ . - $a^i$  is adverbial (temporal) suffix (cf.  $dabalni^i I a$  above).  $-a^i$  serves to contrast Last time with now.

\*\*  $ma^{\epsilon}a$ . = ma second person singular independent personal pronoun + deictic - $\epsilon a$ ', which here contrasts **YOU** (as former object of supplication) with I (as present object of supplication).

#### HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

ga <sup>36</sup> that nege's dam 37 yimi'xi '11 lend it to me' 'yap!a 39 'People ' laps 10 naga'sbinda<sup>e</sup>:38 Blanket you said to me when I said to you: gwidī'i17 vo'et' 18 yèūk'i<sup>e, '19</sup> mī<sup>i28</sup> hawa'xi<sup>ue 40</sup> hā<sup>a</sup>p'de'k', ''<sup>12</sup> naga'-ihi<sup>e 14</sup> f they return?' Now it is rotting my child,'' naga'-ihi<sup>e 14</sup> where they will be if they return?' Now xilam¹ sebe't'.² nō'us i 620 sgisi5 "Sgā 41 + "  $\begin{array}{ccc} t'aga'^{i\epsilon} \cdot ^{42} & ga^{8} \\ \text{he cried.} & \text{That} \end{array}$ yewe'iɛ.21 And next Roasting-Dead-People. Coyote he returned. door

#### [Translation]

The child of Roasting-dead-people died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died."—"What did you say?" Roasting-dead-people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,' you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting," said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā+!" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

<sup>86</sup> ga. Anticipates quotation "yap!a (10) . . .  $y \in \tilde{u}k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (11)."

<sup>\*\*</sup>nege's dam. Second personal singular subject, first personal singular object (-dam) of verb naga'en (see nāk'ik' above). nege- shows palatal ablaut characteristic of forms with first person singular object.
-\*s'- indirect object in a orist only, elsewhere -x-; e. g., nēzdae you will say to me. Direct object is ga.

<sup>\*\*</sup> naga'sbinda\*. Subordinate form, with temporal force, of naga'sbi\*n 1 SAY TO YOU. naga'sbi\*n = aorist stem naga- + indirect object -s- + second personal singular object -bi- + first personal singular subject -n. naga'sbinda\* is subordinated to main verb nege's dam; its direct object is quotation ''laps yimi'ri'' (10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> yapla. Noun formed apparently by repetition of base vowel according to Type 2. It is employed for People in general without regard to sex.

<sup>\*\*</sup> hawa'ziu\*. Third person agrist intransitive Class I of verb hawaziüt'e\* Type 5 I AM ROTTING; agrist stem ziu-, verb-stem ziwi-. This verb is evidently compounded of hawa'z MATTER, PUS and verbal base ziu-, whose exact meaning can not be determined, as it has not been found alone.

a  $sg\bar{a}+$ . Words spoken by Coyote often begin with s-, which has in itself no grammatical significance.  $t^2t$   $aga'i^{\epsilon}$  Third person agrist intransitive Class I of verb  $t'agait'e^{\epsilon}$  Type 4a I CRY; agrist stem t'agai-, verb-stem  $t'\bar{a}ag$ -.  $-i^{\epsilon}$  as in  $yewe'i^{\epsilon}$ ,  $loho'i^{\epsilon}$ , and  $naga'i^{\epsilon}$  above.

<sup>43</sup>  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{\prime}l$ . Postposition to, at, on account of, used with preceding demonstrative ga; ga  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{\prime}l$ = therefore.  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{\prime}l$  is itself compounded of demonstrative ga and local element al at, to.

<sup>&</sup>quot; $b\bar{b}^u$ . Temporal adverb now, to-day. First  $^e$  of  $^ea'n\bar{\imath}^e$  not intended merely to keep up distinct hiatus between final  $-\bar{o}^u$  and initial a-.

310

#### HOW A TAKELMA HOUSE WAS BUILT

 $\begin{array}{ccc} p! a\text{-}id\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} l\bar{o}'^{u}k', & eme'^{\varepsilon}s^{*}i^{\varepsilon}\,^{\epsilon} & hono^{\varepsilon 7} \\ \text{they set it down,} & \text{and here} & \text{again} \end{array}$ yapla<sup>1</sup> wi'līi2 k!emèĭ.³ bēm 4 People house they make it. Post p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>lō'uk', he'<sup>e</sup>me <sup>e s</sup> hono'<sup>e</sup> p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>lō'uk', hagamgama'n <sup>9</sup> p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>lō'uk'.
they set it down, yonder again they set it down, in four places they set them down in four places they set them down. honoe hangili'p' 11 gada'k' 12 hagamgama'n, gada'k's ie 13 he′<sup>€</sup>ne <sup>10</sup> they place (beams) on top thereof Then also in four places, and on top thereof across

must once they place (beam) across. he ene ya'as is 15 wi'll s'idibi'i 16 k!emèi; house its wall they make it;

k'emèi. ganë  $^{21}$  dak'da't'  $^{22}$  dat!aba'k',  $^{23}$  hā' $^{\epsilon}$ ya  $^{24}$  dat!aba'k'. ganë they make them. And then from on top they finish it, on both sides they finish it. And then

dedewilī'idadi's 25 k!emèī dak'dat's i'e 26 daho'k'wal 27 k!emèī k!iyī'x 28 door they make it, and from on top holed they make it smoke

gana'u 29 ba-i-gina'xdā 30 ganēs i 631 ga'k!an 32 k!emèi, xā 5 isgip!i'therein its going out. gand then gadder k!emèi, they make it, they notch it in several

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  See note 39 of first text; § 86, 2. yap/a is to be understood as subject of all following finite verb forms.

<sup>2 § 86, 2;</sup> quantity of final vowel varies between -i and -ii. Directly precedes verb as object.

³ Third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb k'emē<sup>c</sup>n Type 3 i make it; §§ 63; 65.

<sup>4 § 86, 1;</sup> object of following verb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> p!a-i- DOWN § 37, 13;  $d\bar{z}^z$ - § 36, 10.  $l\bar{\delta}'uk'$  third personal subject, third personal object agric of verb  $l\bar{\delta}'ugwa^zn$  Type 6 i set it; §§ 63; 40, 6.

<sup>6</sup> eme's HERE § 104; -s'is enclitic particle § 114, 4.

<sup>7</sup> Modal adverb § 113, 4.

<sup>8 § 104</sup> 

<sup>9</sup> Numeral adverb from gamga'm FOUR § 111.

<sup>10</sup> Temporal adverb § 113, 3.

<sup>&</sup>quot;I han- across § 37, 1. -gili'p' third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb -giliba"n Type 3; §§ 63; 40, 3.

<sup>12</sup> Postposition with force of independent local adverb § 96.

<sup>13</sup> See note 12; -s'is § 114, 4.

<sup>14</sup> müuzda'n numeral adverb ONCE § 111; -hi enclitic particle § 114, 2.

<sup>15</sup>  $y\bar{a}'a$  post-positive particle JUST § 114, 1; -s·i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> § 114, 4.

<sup>16</sup> sidib- (HOUSE) WALL § 86, 3; -7'i third personal possessive form of noun-characteristic -i- §§ 89,3; 92 III. HOUSE ITS-WALL is regular periphrasis for HOUSE'S WALL.

<sup>17</sup> Third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb mats/aga"n Type 3 1 PUT IT; §§ 63; 40, 3.

<sup>18</sup> Noun stem hed- with nominal suffix -am dissimilated from -an §§ 87, 6; 21. willi heda'm is compound noun § 88.

<sup>19 § 86, 1.</sup> Predicate appositive to he da'm: They make those boards out of sugar-pine.

<sup>20</sup> Demonstrative pronoun of indifferent number modifying hecla'm § 104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Temporal or connective adverb compounded of demonstrative ga and element -ni (?=  $ne\epsilon$ ) of unknown meaning §§ 113, 2; 114 end.

<sup>22</sup> Adverb in -dat' from local element dak'- ABOVE § 112, 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> da-§ 36, 2 end; -t/aba'k' third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb -t/abaga'en Type 3 1 FINISH IT; §§ 63; 40,3.

<sup>24</sup> Local adverb § 113, 1.

 $<sup>\</sup>approx dcd. \ wili' da$  noon, local phrase with pre-positive de- in Front of and third personal possessive suffix -da § 93 end. -dv's postposition § 96 of unclear meaning here.

<sup>26</sup> See note 22; -s i<sup>E</sup> § 114, 4.

<sup>27</sup> da- §107, 5; -ho'k' wal adjective with suffix -al § 108, 2.

<sup>25 \$ 86, 3.</sup> 

<sup>29</sup> Postposition with k!iyī'z ba-igina'zdāa § 96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Third personal possessive form in  $-d\bar{a}a$  of infinitive ba-igina's. ba-i- out § 37, 12; gin- verb stem Type 2 or 11 60 TO § 40, 2, 11; -ax infinitive suffix of intransitive verbs of class 1 § 74, 1.

<sup>31</sup> See note 21; -8'i § 114, 4.

<sup>32 § 86, 2;</sup> suffix -n, §§ 21; 87, 6.

#### HANDBOOK OF INDIAN LANGUAGES-TAKELMA

sgap', 33 gwelt'gāŭ 34 gina'x 35 k!emèi; wili s'idibī'is'i<sup>c 36</sup> k!emèi. ganē hey make house its wall and it. ganē hen

gwa's • 58 yaxa 59 wit'ge'yeeck'i.60  $p! \tilde{\imath}^i$ wili gas i<sup>ε</sup> yogā'a k!emèī just they set it around, its place they make it Brush bouse so that fire habini'.61 ganaenex sama'xa alxalī, anīe lep'ni'xa nat' 62 wi'li gana'u. in the middle. In that way in summer they dwell, not in winter like house therein.

 $<sup>32\</sup>bar{xa}$ - § 36, 7b;  $-\bar{i}$ - instrumental §36, 6;  $z\bar{a}^{\epsilon\bar{i}}$ - with  $\epsilon$  to mark hiatus § 6. -sgip/isgap\* third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb  $-sgip/isgibi^{\epsilon}n$  Type 13a 1 CUT IT UP TO PIECES iterative of verb  $-sg\bar{i}'$ \* $bi^{\epsilon}n$  Type 6; §§ 63; 40,13; 43,1.

<sup>24</sup> Local phrase with pre-positive gwel DOWN TO § 95 and noun-characteristic -u § 89, 4; l'ga § 86, 1.

<sup>85</sup> See note 30; infinitive used as noun § 74 end.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See note 16;  $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  § 114, 4.  $s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  is appended to  $s \cdot idibi'^{\varepsilon}$  rather than wili, as  $wili s \cdot idibi'^{\varepsilon}$  is taken as unit. <sup>37</sup> ha- IN § 36, 11 b; -i- instrumental § 36, 6;  $ha^{\varepsilon i}$ - § 6.  $-t^{\varepsilon}b\tilde{u}x^{\varepsilon}biz^{\varepsilon}ik^{\varepsilon}w$  passive participle with instrumental -i- in  $-ik^{\varepsilon}w$  § 77 from verb  $-t^{\varepsilon}bozc^{\varepsilon}baz^{\varepsilon}$  Type 13a, verb stem  $-t^{\varepsilon}bozt^{\varepsilon}baz^{\varepsilon}$  -  $t^{\varepsilon}baz^{\varepsilon}$  ablauted to  $-t^{\varepsilon}b\tilde{u}x^{\varepsilon}$  § 31, 2;  $-t^{\varepsilon}baz^{\varepsilon}$  unilauted to  $-t^{\varepsilon}b\tilde{u}x^{\varepsilon}$  § 8, 3a.

<sup>38 § 86, 3.</sup> 

 $<sup>^{39}</sup>ha$ - IN § 36, 11b.  $-h\bar{u}w\bar{u}'u^ck'i = -h\bar{u}w\bar{u}uk!$ -hi § 19 end; third personal subject agrist of instrumental verb  $-huw\bar{u}'uk!i^cn$  Type 3 I SPREAD (MAT) OUT § 64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Compounded of demonstrative ga THAT and na't' participle in -t' § 76 of verb nagai- Type 4 a DO, BE, verb stem na-; see Appendix A.

<sup>41</sup> Postposition § 96; gi-umlauted from ga- § 8, 4.

<sup>\*\*</sup> al- § 36, 15b, here with uncertain force; -tali third personal subject, third personal object aorist Type 1 in form, though intransitive in meaning § 67 footnote.

<sup>43 § 86, 1.</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>quot;Third personal possessive of noun yog- (?) § 86, 1 with noun-characteristic -a § 92 III. FIRE ITS-PLACE is regular pariphrasis for FIRE'S PLACE.

<sup>45</sup> Local phrase with pre-positive ha- IN; -8· $\bar{o}u$  §86, 1 does not seem otherwise to occur

<sup>46</sup> Connective compounded of demonstrative ga that and enclitic particle -s ie § 114,1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Subordinate form of alxali, note 42; § 70 (see transitive paradigm).

<sup>48</sup> Local phrase with pre-positive  $h\bar{a}^tya$ - on both sides of and noun-characteristic -a §95; -p!iy-a\from p. fire.

<sup>49</sup> Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative ga that and nathe'r infinitive of verb nathagai-, verb stem natha- §§ 69; 74, 1; Appendix A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Temporal adverb in  $-n \ \S \ 112.3.$ 

is yap!a see note 1; -a deictic post-nominal element § 102 (people of long ago contrasted with those of to-day).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> wi'lli or will'i third personal pronominal form § 92 III of noun wi'll house see note 2. People theirhouse regular periphrasis for people's house. Observe that predicate verb (third personal agrist of to be) is not expressed in this sentence.

 $<sup>^{63}</sup>$  Temporal adverb in -xa  $\S$  112, 2.

<sup>54</sup> sama'xa ef. note 53; -8'ie § 114, 4.

<sup>65</sup> Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative stem a- This § 104 and natne'x see note 49.

<sup>56</sup> Negative adverb of aorist § 113, 3.

<sup>57</sup> Postposition with wi'li § 96.

<sup>58 § 86, 1.</sup> gwa's: wili brush house form compound noun § 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Particle in -xa §§ 112, 2; 114, 9.

<sup>\*\*</sup> wi- § 37, 8. - $t'ge'ye^{\epsilon}k'i = -t'geye^{\epsilon}k!$ -hi § 19 end; third personal subject, third personal object agrist of instrumental verb - $t'ge'ye^{\epsilon}k!$  if n Type 2.1 PUT IT AROUND § 64; -k!- petrified suffix § 42, 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Lecal adverb with pre-positive ha- in § 95, noun stem -bin- not freely occurring § 86, 1, and noun-characteristic -i § 89, 3.

<sup>62</sup> Participle in -t' § 76; see note 40.

[BULL. 40

[Translation]

The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.

Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now, not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.

<sup>1</sup> We were sitting out in the open when this text was dictated.

# Errata to The Takelma Language

Edward Sapir marked a number of corrigenda in his own copy of *The Takelma Language*. In the present edition, the erroneous forms have been corrected using a photographic process, following Sapir's manuscript corrigenda. The list below is a record of all changes that were made for this edition.

Original		Present	Original	Corrected
Page	Line	Page	Form	Form
37	fn.	53	This	<sup>1</sup> This
41	17	57	gwidík'dagwa	gwidík' <sup>w</sup> dagwa
60	36	76	founp	found
99	30	115	tūwū´∙k'	tūwu-′∙k'
101	29	117	dī€-ū´its∙!amt'	dī€-ū' its∙!amt'
101	29	117	dĩ¢üyü′ts∙!amda€v	dī€üyü′ts∙!amda€v
113	13	129	catch aspirated	catch + aspirated
115	24-5	131	(wayā <sup>a</sup> n-) p!eye <sup>e</sup> n	(wayāan-, p!eyeen-).
169	4	185	<i>mül´ü<sup>u∈</sup>k</i> 'wa	mül´ü´ <sup>u∈</sup> k'wa
269	20	285	de,	de-
269	21	285	occur-	occur,
285	7	301	<i>di ′n</i> •k'ank'•eītp'	di ´nexank'eeîtp'
286	13	302	neye´eda€	neye´eda€
286	fn.2	302	nañkak'	nañhak'



# Takelma Texts

# CONTENTS.

Ιn	TRODUCT	YION	page 5
Kı	ЕҮ ТО ТЕ	HE PHONETIC SYSTEM EMPLOYED	8
I.	Мутнѕ.		13
	Ι.	Coyote and his Rock Grandson:	Ü
	••	Text and Interlinear Translation	13
		Free Translation	18
	2.	Daldal as Transformer:	10
	2.	Text and Interlinear Translation	2 I
		Free Translation	
	3.	Panther and his Deer-Wife:	34
	3.	Text and Interlinear Translation	4.0
		Free Translation.	42
	4	Panther and Coyote:	50
	4.	Text and Interlinear Translation	
		Free Translation	54
	_		. 64
	5.	Coyote and Fox:	
		Text and Interlinear Translation	70
		Free Translation	79
	6.	Coyote and Pitch	87
	7 ·	Coyote in a Hollow Tree	91
	8.	Coyote Visits the Land of the Dead	97
	9.	Coyote and the Origin of Death	99
	IO.	Coyote Goes Courting	IOI
	11.	Jack Rabbit is Calumniated by Coyote	109
	12.	Beaver Ferries the Deer across Rogue River	113
	13.	Grizzly Bear and Black Bear	117
	14.	Eagle and the Grizzly Bears	123
	15.	Chicken-Hawk Revenges himself upon Medicine-Men	143

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

II.

4		CONTENTS.	
	16.	The Four Otter Brothers and Chicken-Hawk	PAGE I40
	17.	The Otter Brothers Recover their Father's Heart	155
	18.	Crow and Raven Go for Water	163
	10.	Skunk, the Disappointed Lover.	165
	20.	The Flood.	167
	21.	Acorn Woman Revenges herself Upon a Medicine-Man	169
	22.	Rock Woman and a Mountain are a Medicine-Man's Bane	171
	23.	The Rolling Skull	•
	23.	Eel the Singer	•
	24.	Det the binger	1/3
II.	Сиѕто	MS AND PERSONAL NARRATIVES	177
	Ι.	How a Takelma House was Built	177
	2.	Marriage	177
	3	How a Feud was Settled	179
	4.	How a Bad-hearted Medicine-Man has his Guardian	
		Spirits Driven out of him	183
	5.	Frances Johnson is Cured by a Medicine-Woman	185
	6.	A Raid of the Upper Takelma	189
III.	MEDI	CINE FORMULAS	195
	I.	When Screech-Owl Talks	195
	2.	When Hummingbird is Seen	195
	3.	When Hooting-Owl Talks	195
	4 ·	When Yellowhammer Talks	195
	5.	When the New Moon Appears	197

When there is a Heavy Fall of Snow.....

When it Storms in Winter.....

When a Whirlwind Comes.....

A Prayer to the Wind.....

VOCABULARY..... 201

# TAKELMA TEXTS.

#### INTRODUCTION.

The material presented in this volume was collected during the latter part of July and during August, 1906, in Siletz Reservation, western Oregon. The work was done under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology and by the recommendation of Prof. Franz Boas; thanks are due to the Chief of the Bureau for permission to publish the texts in this series. As holder of a Harrison Research Fellowship in Anthropology at the University of Pennsylvania in 1908–09 I was enabled to prepare the texts for publication in a manner that, it is hoped, will be found sufficiently critical. It is a pleasure to thank the authorities of this University for the facilities afforded in this work.

Though the Takelma language represents one of the distinct linguistic stocks of North America, the number of individuals that can be said to have anything like a fluent speaking knowledge of it is quite inconsiderable, barely more than a handful in fact. Under the circumstances it is therefore a source of congratulation that enough of the folk-lore of the Takelmas could be obtained to enable one to assign these Indians a definite place in American mythology. Of both the texts and complementary linguistic material the sole informant was Frances Johnson (Indian name Gwisgwashan), a full-blood Takelma woman past the prime of life. It is largely to her patience and intelligence that whatever merit this volume may be thought to have is due. The grammatical material obtained has been worked up into a somewhat detailed study now in press as part of the Handbook of American Indian Languages edited by Prof. Boas. The few items of an ethnological character that were obtained incidentally to the linguistics and mytho-

INTRODUCTION.

logy have been incorporated in two short articles, "Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon" (American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. 251-275) and "Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon" (Journal of American Folk-lore, Vol. XX, pp. 33-49).

A special effort has been made to give an adequate idea of the phonetic character of the language and, barring evident inaccuracies of perception, to render the sounds exactly as heard. Hence the rather frequent occurrence of phonetic variants from the forms considered normal. The orthography employed here is the same as that used in the grammatical study referred to, except that in the pseudo-diphthongs the mark of length has been omitted as unnecessary (thus aa is used for āa and correspondingly for the other pseudo-diphthongs); for typographical reasons 1 and m with circumflex accent have had to be replaced by 1', m' (these are meant to correspond to  $\tilde{n}$ ). translation is as literal as is consistent with intelligible English. It is hoped that this, together with the interlinear version of the first five myths and the vocabulary of stems at the end of the volume, will enable anyone that has read the grammar to analyze satisfactorily any of the texts.

Owing to the comparative dearth of published mythologic material from Oregon it is premature to discuss the relations of Takelma mythology. A few of the more important facts are clear, however. Despite the Californian character of Takelma culture the mythology differs strikingly from the typical mythology of central California in at least two important respects the absence of a creation myth and the presence of a welldefined culture-hero myth; in these respects it agrees with the mythology of northwestern California. On the other hand, the mythology differs from that of northern Oregon in its failure to identify the culture-hero with Coyote. Coyote occurs frequently enough in the myths, but never as culture-hero, though sometimes as transformer; as in California his primary rôle is that of trickster. Not a few of the myths and myth motives found distributed in northern California, Oregon, Washington,

and adjoining sections of the Plateau area are, naturally enough, also represented among the Takelmas. Such are the Bear and Deer story (Grizzly Bear and Black Bear in Takelma), the tale of two sisters sent to marry a chief but deceived by Coyote, the rolling skull, the asking of advice of one's own excrement, and the growing tree with the eagle's nest.1 On the whole, however, the myths differ rather more from what little comparative material is available (Coos, Klamath, Tillamook, Chinook, Kathlamet, Wasco, Hupa, Achomawi, Atsugewi) than might have been expected. Yet too much stress should not be laid on this, as the published Klamath material is inconsiderable in extent, while the mythologies of the Kalapuya, Shasta, and the various Athabascan tribes of Oregon are still unpublished. It seems clear, however, that not only linguistically but also in respect to mythology the region south of the Columbia and extending into northern California was greatly differentiated.

EDWARD SAPIR.

Philadelphia, June 23, 1909.

7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There are special relationships with northern California, as evidenced by the story of the contest of Fox and Coyote, the story of Coyote stuck to pitch or a stump, and that of Coyote locked up in a hollow tree.

## KEY TO THE PHONETIC SYSTEM EMPLOYED.

#### VOWELS.

#### r. Monophthongs.

- a as in German Mann.
- e open as in English men.
- i open as in English bit.
- o close as in German Sohn but short in quantity. Apt to be heard as u.
- u as in English put. Probably no true Takelma vowel, but heard variant of o or ü.
- ü approximately midway between u and German short ü in Mütze, probably high-mixed-rounded. Apt to be heard as u.
- ā long as in German Kahn.
- è long and open as in French fête, scène.
- i long and close as in German viel. Sometimes used as short and close variant of i.
- o long and close as in German Sohn.
- ū close as in English rule. Probably always heard variant of ü or ü.
- u long u; very nearly Swedish u in hus. Apt to be heard as u.
- ë close and short as in French été. Occurs only as heard variant of i.
- ô open as in German voll, though with less distinct lip-rounding. Arises from labialization of a.
- â long as in English law. Occurs very rarely, chiefly in interjections.
- ä as in English fat. Occurs only in interjections.
- A as in English but. Occurs rarely, either as variant of a or in interjections.
- E obscure vowel as in unaccented English the. Occurs very rarely, chiefly as glide between consonants.

#### 2. Pseudo-diphthongs.

- a<sup>a</sup> like ā but with rearticulated short a. Approximately like English far when pronounced with vocalic substitute of r (fā<sup>a</sup>), but with clear a-quality held throughout.
- e like è but with rearticulated short e. Approximately like English there (with qualifications analogous to those made under a<sup>a</sup>).

2. Pseudo-diphthongs, continued.

i like ī but with rearticulated short i.

Ou like ō but with final u-vanish. Sometimes, though less frequently, heard as variant of organic diphthongs ou or ōu.

u" like ū but with rearticulated short u. Heard variant of ü".

üü like ü but with rearticulated short ü.

Note: ã, ẽ, ĩ, ỗu, ũ, ũ are necessarily pseudo-diphthongs (see below for meaning of circumflex accent).

3. Diphthongs.

ai, ei, oi, ui (variant of oi or üi), üi i-diphthongs with short vowel as first element.

vowel as first element. Quality of vowels as described above, thus oi = short close o + i, not

oi in English boil.

au, eu, iu, ou .....

u-diphthongs with short vowel as first element.

āi, èi, ōi, ūi (variant of üi or üi), üi

i-diphthongs with distinctly long vowel as first element. Thus ai differs from āi as did ai in Greek 'aı from āi

in 'â.

āu, èu, īu, ōu .....

u-diphthongs with distinctly long vowel as first element. Thus au differs from āu as does au in Lithuanian ausis from āu in ráudmi.

 $a^{i\epsilon}$ ,  $e^{i\epsilon}$ ,  $o^{i\epsilon}$ ,  $u^{i\epsilon}$  (variant of  $o^{i\epsilon}$  or  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$ ),  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$  (variant of  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$  or  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$ ),  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$ ,  $\ddot{u}^{i\epsilon}$  shortened i-diphthongs followed by glottal catch (see below for explanation of  $^{\epsilon}$ ). i is extremely short in quantity, being swallowed up, as it were, in  $^{\epsilon}$ .

 $a^{u\epsilon}$ ,  $e^{u\epsilon}$ ,  $i^{u\epsilon}$ ,  $\bar{\imath}^{u\epsilon}$ ,  $o^{u\epsilon}$  shortened u-diphthongs followed by glottal catch. u analogous to i above.

#### Consonants.

b, d, g

voiceless mediae, acoustically intermediate between voiced
(sonant) and unvoiced (surd) stops. Probably identical
with Upper German b, d, g. Whispered b, d, g seem
difficult to distinguish from these intermediate stops.

10	KEY TO THE PHONETIC SYSTEM EMPLOYED.
dj	like English j in judge, but probably intermediate in regard to sonancy. Occurs only in interjections.
p', t', k'	aspirated voiceless stops. Approximately like English p, t, k in pin, tin, kin, though perhaps with slightly more marked aspiration.
k' <sup>v</sup>	aspirated labialized k; in other words, k followed by labialized breath or voiceless w.
p!, ti. ::!	unaspirated voiceless stops pronounced with glottal articulation; in other words, glottis is closed during making of contact and pause of consonants and is not opened until after release of consonant contact. Crackly effect with slight hiatus before following vowel results. Perhaps somewhat greater stress of articulation is involved, whence these consonants have been termed "fortes."
ts'!](varian	"fortis" of ts' (ts, tc), i. e., palatal affricative consisting of t+s' (s, c; see below for explanation of s' and c). ts' itself does not occur in Takelma.
S	as in English sit.
С	as in English ship. s and c are really heard variants of
s <sup>·</sup>	voiceless sibilant midway acoustically between s and c.  Perhaps best produced by pressing surface of tongue against alveolar ridge.
l, m, n	as in English. When final after (or before?) glottal catch they tend to become voiceless, e. g., nagá <sup>g</sup> n, baxá <sup>g</sup> m, helél <sup>g</sup> . With preceding tautosyllabic long or short vowels they form true diphthongs.
L	voiceless palatalized 1. Common in many Pacific Coast languages, but in Takelma it occurs only in interjections and as inorganic consonant in Grizzly Bear's speech.
X	voiceless spirant as in German Bach but pronounced further forward, particularly before palatal vowels.
h	as in English.
11.	as in English.
Ž.	as in English yes.
w	denotes labialization of preceding consonant (k'*, h*). When followed by vowel (as in gux*fi) it denotes very weakly articulated w, generally due to labial vowel of preceding syllable.
3	glottal catch. Glottis is momentarily closed.

[1]

11

denotes aspiration of preceding consonant or, less fre-

quently, vowel.

denotes nasalization of preceding vowel. Occurs only in interjections.

## ACCENTS AND OTHER DIACRITICAL MARKS.

falling accent. Denotes fall in pitch of stressed vowel. Vowel starts with higher pitch than that of preceding syllable but falls during its production. This pitch accent comes out most clearly in long vowels and diphthongs. When found on short vowels, fall of pitch strikes following syllable.

raised accent. Found on short vowels or unitonal long vowels and diphthongs (generally in last syllable of word). Denotes higher pitch than in preceding syllable but without immediately following fall as in case of '. It is best considered as abbreviated form of ~, i.e., vowel or diphthong reaches its higher tone immediately instead of sliding up to it. When è occurs in word that has no other accent mark, it denotes short e with raised accent, not long vowel è.


rising accent. Found only on long vowels and diphthongs. Denotes gradual rise in pitch. With ' first part of long vowel or diphthong is higher than second, with ' first part is lower than second. When I or m is second element of diphthong, following ' is substituted (thus aĩ, aũ, añ, but al', am').

denotes more than normal length of preceding vowel or consonant.

denotes marked separation between diphthong-forming vowels.

+

( ) enclose words in English translation not found in Indian original.



# I. MYTHS.

# 1. COYOTE AND HIS ROCK GRANDSON.

Bāxdi	s hūlk'	wili	íxdīl	sgísi	mēx	sgísi
Wolf,			ten;	Coyote,		Coyote
gũxda b	eyán mí	<sup>iɛ</sup> sga <sup>ɛ</sup>	di <sup>i</sup> hélēy	ra <sup>e</sup> w	á-iwī	sgísi
his wife, his d	aughter	one	sleeping board plat	on	girl,	Coyote
beyán.	Ganē'hi <sup>ɛ</sup>	hāī	alt'gém	baªdi	ní <sup>ε</sup> x	dahõxa
his daughter.	Now, it is said	l, clouds	black	they spre in long	ead out strips	at evening time
wa-iwī' p!a girl wher ba	she was thing.	Her	skirt	she took it	t off,	she bathed;
ei sîlnagá <sup>i</sup> canoe he arrived on river <sup>1</sup>	one				,	silíxgwa. e landed with it.
Mi <sup>i</sup> hoyōĩ	wa-iwī' er girl,	yãnk'™	y. Mi	ihiε dá	in ba	a <sup>ɛ</sup> ilelé <sup>ɛ</sup> k'
		he took h with hin	ner The n. is:	en, it sto said,	ne he P	took up and ut in her;
hawilít'gwa into his own house	with her.					
Wa-iw Girl						Ganī'hi <sup>ɛ</sup> Now, it is said,
sgísi wá-iw Coyote girl	hats!ólo he missed her;	l ō't'  he looked  for her,	dūgī' d her skirt	ya t!a just he f	yàk" ound it	haxiyà. in the water.
is said,	níx laªlē'.					kill them
sgísi mi <sup>i</sup> Coyte; now		dep	orived of,		ya just he	ogóigin was always given,
slave he was Coyote. Not he knew it where she had been made gone with						
sgísi béya Coyote his daugh	n. Mi <sup>i</sup> p nter. Then i	laiyuwć it was borr	5 <sup>e</sup> hapxi child,	k!ayá it grew i	$^{\mathrm{i}arepsilon}.$ $^{\mathrm{N}}$	li <sup>i</sup> mahái <sub>en big</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In these myths all river references are to Rogue River in southwestern Oregon.

hinaŭ. Ganē'hie ei wīk'wa. Hindē wík!asi waada up river." Now, it is canoe he traveled "Mother! my maternal to them said, around with it.

ginák'de. Da<sup>e</sup>máxau. Ge ginák'de. Yelnadá<sup>e</sup>. Yanát'e. I shall go." "You will become lost."

Gwinát'ĕdi? Daamolhē't' ītc!óp'al hadanxmolē't nagáhi<sup>c</sup>.

How in appearance?'' she said to him,
it is said.

K!así<sup>ɛ</sup>t' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'a bók'dan bãls. "Your maternal female' neck long. grandparent

Mahái lãlē hāpxit!ē't'a. Mihis dalyewéis ei baasãk'w.

Big he had boy. Then, it he went canoe he paddled it is said, off; up stream.

# Gun-gun<sup>5</sup> háp'-da yãn-t'e<sup>8</sup> "Otter his child I go,"

nagá-ihi<sup>c</sup>. Wíli gadak' nagá<sup>ic</sup> t'ul t'ul t'ul. Nék'di yãx he said, it is House on top of he made: t'ul t'ul t'ul. "Who graveyard said.

wili gadàk' nagá<sup>i</sup>. Ge yãx wili nagáit'ĕdi? Gwinát'ĕdi house on top of?" (some one) "There grave- house did you say?" How in appearsaid. yard

dexebenàt'? Māp<sup>ɛ</sup>a gwinát'ĕdi eĩt'p' ganát'si<sup>ɛ</sup> eĩt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>.

you spoke?'' "You (pl.) how in appear- you are? just so in appearance I am."

Ne abailíu. Abailiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup> alīt'bā'gin sinīt'gilé<sup>ɛ</sup>sgwa yõm "Well, look in side!" He looked he was hit; he scratched his blood nose,

yá\*hi la\*lē\. Abaiginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k' yáp<sup>ε</sup>a alīt'bágat'bak' mengē just full he became. He went inside; he hit them all, people he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k' yáp⁵a t!omõm aldìl. Te!olx 0-ós'1p'. Tc!olx do you (pl.) give me!" he did away people he killed all. " Indian Indian with them, them money6 money

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lit., "child-male."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So heard for yalnadá<sup>8</sup>.

i. e., having sharp claws.

<sup>4</sup> i. e., your maternal grandmother.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Mrs. Johnson was uncertain about the meaning of this word, but thought it must have been the myth name of otter (ordinarily bumxi).

<sup>•</sup> Dentalia were regularly used as money by many of the tribes of Oregon and northern California.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

o-ogoyín dák'dagwa k!owű. Ganē xi igína alp'oűp'auhi. he was given; over himself he put it. Then water he took it, he blew on it. Ganē bá<sup>ɛ</sup>iyewe<sup>e</sup>n aldìl tc!olx ogoyín.

Then he made them all; Indian he was given.

Ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup>. Then he went.

 $\frac{2}{4}$   $\frac{1}{3}$   $\frac{1}$ 

nagá<sup>ig</sup>. Ganē nék'da¹ yãx wili gadàk' nagá<sup>ig</sup>. Ge he said. Then "Who graveyard house on top of?" (some one) "There said.

nagáit'ĕdi? Gwinát'ĕdi dexebenàt'? Ne wili vãx Well, graveyard house did you say?" "How in appearance you spoke? Abailiwilô'k'w sin<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'gilé<sup>ɛ</sup>sgwa yõm abailíu. alīt'bā'gin look inside!" He looked inside, he was hit; he scratched his nose, blood alīt'bagát'bak' he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. mengī' Abaiginí<sup>8</sup>k' Te!olx ya. Indian he did away full just. He went inside, he hit them all, with them.

o-ós ipʻ t!ümüü'xdaba<sup>ɛ</sup>. Tc!olx o-ogoyín. Xi ba<sup>a</sup>yãnk'<sup>w</sup>
do you (pl.) as you have Indian he was given. Water he took
give me, hit me.'' it up,

xi igi<sup>i</sup>na ba<sup>a</sup>yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>.
water he took; they recovered.<sup>2</sup>

Ganē yá<sup>8</sup>. Xū'<sup>8</sup>n la<sup>a</sup>lē' ei ganau ba-isāk'<sup>w</sup>. Then he went. Night it became; canoe inside of he paddled to land.

Malák'i k'abáxa ge k!asī'<sup>ɛ</sup>t' bók'dan bãls dá<sup>a</sup>molhē't' She had her son, "There your maternal neck long, red-eared, told him grandparents

ītc!óp'al. Aba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Alxí<sup>i</sup>k' dasgáxi hadā'nxmolhē't' sharp-handed.'' He went inside. He saw him long-mouthed

ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> alxí'k' alxíik' Wa-iwíit'a īte!óp'al. he turned; he saw her he saw him sharp-handed. Female to nãk'ik' wihin<sup>e</sup>à bók'dan bãls gwēlxda bãls. Gadi my mother it is that she neck her legs long. "That long, said of them indeed

wik!ási. Bãnx t!omõk'wa. Mi xuma õ't' yana my maternal Hunger it was killing Then food he looked acorn mush for it,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps misheard for nék'di.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lit., "they returned up." Cf.  $b\acute{a}^{\epsilon}iyeween$  (l. 2) "he caused them to recover," lit., "he caused them with his hand to return up."

<sup>3</sup> Regular Takelma idiom for "he was hungry."

Alxí'k' k!ása. Wik!ási wihin meléxina<sup>ɛ</sup> t!avàk' k!eleũ. he found it, he supped He looked his maternal "My maternal my since she at them grandparents, grandfather, mother told me, it up. nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> bók'dan bāls nagá-ida<sup>8</sup>. Mí¹hi<sup>ε</sup> ītc!óp'al k!asa 'sharp-handed,' 'maternal she saying; neck long,' she saying." Now, it is grandmother said, Kʻwáax. Gii eĩt'e<sup>ε</sup> t!avàk'. k!asã. Bãxdis hápxda his children he had She woke up. "I I am,1 "Wolf maternal found them. grandmother!" mī′εwa nagáis. Bā<sup>ɛ</sup>ī-yuwuní<sup>ɛ</sup>n² ĩk'wáªgwi<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Sgísi probably," she had "I'll arouse him, I'll wake Coyote now thought. him up.' gii eīt'eε. k'wáªx. K!asã Ba<sup>a</sup>dep' k!asã. Bãnx " Maternal Get up, he awoke. I am. maternal Hunger grandfather! grandfather! Yana lō'p'. Alhū'iɛx t!ümüü'xi. k!asã vámxda S'ĨX it is killing me. Acorns pound Go out maternal deer its fat them! hunting, grandfather! meat gelgulugwá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. I desire it." p!íyin mahái t!omom wet'gin p!iyax ga Sgisi deer he killed he was dethat just Coyote large fawns them, prived of them; ogoīgin p!iyín mahái wet'gin. Lobóxa<sup>ε</sup> yana lobòp' he was deer large he was de-She pounded, acorns she pounded prived of them. always given, them. k!ā'want'. Ba-ihémk gasálhi  $bo^{\mathbf{u}}$ wedésina<sup>8</sup>. Bãxdis she put them into "Take it off quickly, soon it will be taken Wolf sifting basket-pan. from me.

wedésink'.  $Gi^i$ eme<sup>ε</sup> eīt'eε wede wedésbigam. gũxda "I you will be his wife she will take here I am, not deprived of it." it from me.

Xni(k') k!emei abaihiwiliue dan gadák' mats!àk'.  $Mi^ihie$  Acorn she made it; she ran into rock on top of she put it. Then, it dough house,

baxdis guxda mi wet'gi yana mi wet'gi. Géhi yewé Wolf his wife then she took it acorns then she took There he returned, from her,

alīt'bagát'bôk'. Gi<sup>i</sup> eme<sup>ɛ</sup> eīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> wik!ási īt'gwanyé'git'.³
he hit them all. "I here I am. My maternal grandmother you have enslaved her."

Aldi t!omom aldi k'a-ila'p'a t!omom. Dahoxa yewé<sup>ig</sup>
All he killed all women he killed In evening they returned them.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>i.\ e.,\ it$  is I. "I am" would generally be rendered by  $eit^{i}e^{i}$  alone, without independent pronoun gii. Non-incorporated pronouns are hardly ever used except for emphasis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lit., "I cause him with my hand to be up."

<sup>3</sup> Formed from t'gwan, "slave."

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

aldil sgísi yewé<sup>ig</sup> p!íyax yá<sup>a</sup>hi labàk' sgísi. P!iyin all, Coyote he returned; fawn merely he carried it on his Coyote. Deer back, it turned out,

mahái t!omomaná<sup>ɛ</sup> wēt'gin p!íyax ga ya ogoyín. K!asã large although he had it was taken fawn that just he was "Maternal killed it, from him, given. grandfather!

gwidí p!iyín mahái<sup>8</sup>à? Wēsin. Â4 sgisi wáada hapxit!ī't'a where deer big one?'' "I was de- "Oh! Coyote to him boy prived of it."

he did away with us, he killed us." Mi his t!ēlā/p'agan nous lemésx.

Mi his t!ēlā/p'agan nous lemésx.

Then, it their husbands next they came together.

T!omom hapxit!ī't'a alī'hit'bagát'bôk' gada yeweyàk'w.
They beat boy; but he struck them all, alongside of that with them.'

Aldi t!omom yáp<sup>e</sup>a hapxit!ī't'a xebé<sup>e</sup>n hapxit!ī't'a All he killed them people, boy, he did so; boy

t!omúxa<sup>ɛ</sup>. Dan hapxit!ī't'a gasi<sup>ɛ</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>àl ni<sup>i</sup>wa'n yap<sup>ɛ</sup>a he killed. Rock boy, so that because of he was people feared;

mahái t!omõnn dan hapxit!ī't'a.
big he killed rock boy.
them

He<sup>ɛ</sup>ne no<sup>u</sup> yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> nixa wá<sup>a</sup>da yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>. Alxí<sup>i</sup>gi<sup>ɛ</sup>n Then down he returned his to her he returned. "I have river mother seen them

wik!ási bãxdis īt'gwanyéek'ôk'² xúma áldi wedék'igam² my maternal Wolf he seems to have food all they seem to have grandparents; enslaved them, been deprived of,

p!i wedék'igam² nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup> nixa gwenhegwá<sup>a</sup>gwanhi.

firewood they seemed to have he said, his mother he related it to her.

been deprived of," it is said,

Sgisi beyán ganī yá<sup>ɛ</sup> maxa wá<sup>a</sup>da p'im ē'debü<sup>ɛ</sup><sup>ɛ</sup>
Coyote his daughter now she went her father to him; salmon full in canoe

t!i't'wi ya'. Mot'wok' bómxi p'im ē'debü' yank'w.
her husband he went. He visited his father-in-law Otter, salmon full in he took it canoe with him.

Búnxi gũxdagwadī'l p'im ē'debü<sup>ɛ</sup> yãnk'<sup>w</sup> maxa wá<sup>a</sup>da Otter together with his salmon full in canoe he took it her father to him own wife

di'hilīk'\* aba-iwõk'. Sgísi gűxdagwadī'l bean yewé-ida<sup>ε</sup>. they arrived Coyote together with his they were their when she in house. own wife glad daughter returned.

Ganī nõ<sup>u</sup> yewé<sup>i</sup>. Then down river they returned.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Takelma idiom for "he got even with them for that, revenged that upon them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Inferentials are used instead of aorists, because Rock Boy is quoting the authority of his maternal grandmother.

<sup>3</sup> So heard for ei-debü<sup>ɛ</sup>, "canoe-full."

## Translation.1

There were Wolf and Panther in ten houses;<sup>2</sup> there were Coyote, Crane, Coyote's wife, and one daughter of his, a girl sleeping on a board platform, Coyote's daughter. And then black clouds spread out in long strips as the girl was bathing in the evening time. Her skirt she took off, and bathed. One Otter youth arrived in the river with his canoe, with his canoe he landed. Then the girl he stole, he took her with him. Then, 'tis said, a stone he took up and put into her, and into his own house he came with her.

The girl was pregnant, gave birth to a child. And then Coyote did miss the girl; he looked for her, found only her skirt by the water. Then, 'tis said, he became a mourner. Before Coyote had been wont to kill big deer; now Coyote was deprived of the deer, only fawns were always given to him, a slave was Coyote made. Coyote did not know where his daughter had been taken to. Now the child was born, up it grew. Now big became the boy that she had given birth to. She told him, "Your maternal grandparents are living up the river." And then, 'tis said, he traveled about in his canoe. "Mother! to my maternal grandparents shall I go."—"Tis far away."—"There shall I go."—"You will be lost."—"I shall go. What is their appearance?"—"He is redeared, sharp-clawed, red in his ears," she said to him. "Your maternal grandmother has a long neck."

Big had the boy become. Then, 'tis said, he went off, a canoe he paddled up stream. "As Otter's child I wander about," he sang. Over a house he walked, "t'ul, t'ul, t'ul, "—"Who's on top of the graveyard house?" someone said. "Is that a graveyard house there, did you say?"—"How do you look, you who spoke?" "As you people, for your part,

¹ The supernatural birth and invincible prowess of Rock Boy would seem to make of him a sort of culture hero, yet the true culture hero of the Takelmas is Daldal, the dragon-fly, or rather he and his younger brother (see the following myth). According to Gatschet the culture hero of the Kalapuyas is Flint Boy (Contributions to North American Ethnology, Vol. II, Part I, p. lxxxi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is, there were ten houses occupied by the Wolf and Panther people.

look, just so am I in appearance."—"Well, look inside!" Inside he looked, and was hit; his nose he scratched, just full of blood it became. He went inside and hit them all, the people he did away with, all the people did he whip. "Dentalia do you give me!" Dentalia he was given, about himself he strung them. Then water he took and blew it upon them. Then he caused them all to recover, and dentalia was he given.

Then on he went. "As Otter's child I wander about," he sang. Then someone said, "Who's on top of the graveyard house?"—"Is that a graveyard house there, did you say?"—"How do you look, you who spoke? Well, look inside!" He looked inside, and was hit; he scratched his nose, just full of blood it was. Inside he went and hit them all, away with them he did. "Dentalia do you give me, as you have struck me." Dentalia he was given. He lifted up water, water he took (and blew it upon them). They recovered.

Then on he went. Night came on, and in his canoe he paddled to land. She had told her son, "There are your maternal grandparents, if long is her neck, and he is red-eared, sharp-clawed." He went inside. He saw that he was longmouthed, red in his ear, he saw that he was sharp-clawed. He turned to the woman, and saw that her neck was long and her legs were long. "So those are my maternal grandparents of whom my mother, indeed, did speak?" He was hungry. Then he looked for food, and acorn mush he found, he supped it up. He looked at his maternal grandparents. "It is my maternal grandfather, since my mother did tell me, 'He is sharp-clawed,' she said. 'A long neck has your maternal grandmother,' she said." Now, 'tis said, he had found them. She awoke. "It is I, maternal grandmother!"—"It must be Wolf's children," she had thought. "I'll arouse him, I'll wake him up." Now Coyote awoke. "Maternal grandfather, it is I. Get up, maternal grandfather! I'm hungry. Pound acorns!1 Go out to hunt, maternal grandfather! venison fat I desire."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This command is addressed to Rock Boy's maternal grandmother.

Coyote killed big deer, but was deprived of them; fawns only were wont to be given to him, big deer he was deprived of. She pounded, acorns she pounded, and put them into the sifting basket-pan. "Take it out quickly, soon it will be taken from me. Wolf's wife will take it from me."-"I am here, you shall not be deprived of it." Acorn dough she made; she ran into the house, and put it on the stone. Then, 'tis said, Wolf's wife now took it from her, acorns now she took from her. Right there he returned, and hit them all. "It is I that am here. My maternal grandmother you have enslaved." He killed them all, all the women did he kill. In the evening they all returned, Coyote returned; merely a fawn did Coyote carry home. Though a big deer he had killed, it was taken from him; just a fawn he was given. "Maternal grandfather! where is the big deer?"—"It has been taken from me."—"Oh! With Coyote is a boy that has done away with us, he has whipped us," said the women. Then, 'tis said, their husbands all went to the neighboring house. They beat the boy, but he just struck them all, revenged that upon them. All the people did he kill; thus the boy did, the boy did kill. Of rock was the boy, so because of that was he feared; big people did Rock Boy kill.

Then down river he went back, to his mother he returned. "I have seen my maternal grandparents. It seems that Wolf has enslaved them, of all their food they seem to have been deprived, of firewood they seem to have been deprived," he said, to his mother he recounted it. Then Coyote's daughter went to her father. Also her husband did go with his canoe full of salmon. Otter visited his father-in-law; salmon, filled in his canoe, he took with him. Otter, together with his wife, did take with him salmon, a canoeful; in her father's house they arrived. Coyote and his wife were glad when their daughter returned. Then they went back down river.

21

## 2. Daldal<sup>1</sup> as Transformer.

cu<sup>ɛ</sup>wilĩ. Daldál wilíi yowóε xamíixa Yap⁵a by the sea he was dwelling. People Dragon-fly his house it was, xa-isgíip'sgibik'w yáp<sup>ε</sup>a ba-ik'ulú<sup>uɛ</sup>k'a. xa-isgu"t'sgát'ak'" with bodies all cut with limbs all lopped off people they came floating through down river. Sgóusgwahi<sup>8</sup>. Gwidī' baxàm? Gwidī' na<sup>e</sup>nevé<sup>e</sup>? Gwidī' "Whence How there is doing?2 Whence He got tired of it, come they? xa-isgú"t'sgidik'"? Gwidī' baxam? Ganat' baxàm yap!a people with bodies cut through? Whence come they?" So in apcome they pearance ba-ik!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k' xa-isgú<sup>u</sup>t'sgidik'<sup>w</sup>. Gwidī' baxàm? yaxa with bodies all cut through. "Whence come they?" continually they came Dabalníxa la le' yap!a xa-isgú t'sgidik' w Long time it became people with bodies all cut Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgóusgwa. he became Then, it is tired of it. said, gwelxda xa-isgíbik'w ba-ik'ulú"k'wa eme<sup>ε</sup> ganát' aga here3 cut right through so in apthese their legs they came floating down river; pearance gwí<sup>ɛ</sup>ne Nee ba-ikʻulú<sup>u</sup>kʻwa. Ganē'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> laªlē\. vaxa "Well, they came floating Then, it is how long it became. continually down river. said. yãnt'e<sup>ε</sup>. xa-isgú<sup>u</sup>t'sgidik'<sup>w</sup> nee Gwidí baxàm yap!a with bodies all cut well, I'll go. Whence come they people through, giník'de<sup>8</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. I'll go," he said, it is said. giní<sup>ε</sup>k'. Ba<sup>a</sup>k lemenáms. Ganē yáε hinaũ A'nī<sup>ε</sup> hawi He made ready Then he Not yet up river he went. went, to go. ániε xa-isgú"t'sgidik'" yuk!wōĩ gwī¹ baxámda<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a ga people that he knew it where that they with bodies all cut not from came through, K'ái ga<sup>e</sup>al xa-isgú<sup>u</sup>t'sgidik'<sup>w</sup>? Gwidī' dì yap!a vok!wōĩ. "What for (inter.) people with bodies all cut Whence he knew it. through? yá<sup>ε</sup>. báawawilīk'w. nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gelam Ganē baxam come they?" he said, it Then he went. River he traveled up along it. is said.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Daldál was said to be the name of a blue insect flying about in the swamps, somewhat like a butterfly in appearance, and looking as if it had two heads joined together. Very likely the dragon-fly was meant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> i. e., What is the matter?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Accompanied by gesture.

Ganē'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> t'gwayám ts!ayàk' s'inyá<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup>dalagámt'. Wītc!aī
Then, it is lark he shot at it, just its nose, it is said, "My nephew," he pierced.

di<sup>i</sup>hiliugwá<sup>g</sup>n<sup>2</sup> sindelegámesdam nagá-ihi<sup>g</sup>. Gwidí ginigàt'?
I am glad of it you pierced my nose," it said, it is "Where are you going to?"

Agahi yap!a xa-isgi'p'sgibik'w gahi gwidi baxam.
"These very people all cut through, those same whence they come."

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>dé<sup>ɛ</sup>yeweyàk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> s'u<sup>u</sup>x ts!ayàk'.

Then, it is he continued traveling.<sup>3</sup> Now again bird he shot at it.

Gelbâ'nn sãk'<sup>w</sup> dak'awalák'i<sup>i</sup>da p!aiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> wilau gelbô'm Way up he shot it, on crown of his it returned arrow way up head down,

sãk'w. nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> Wi⁵wã nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi Sás wãxa. "My younger he shot it. Coming to he did, it his younger he said, it Now is said. a standstill is said, brother. brother,

Neks'iwô'k'di malãk'wa yáp!a henenagwán di<sup>ɛ</sup>lo<sup>u</sup>mē' yap!a I know not who he told him, "People they are annihi- at Di<sup>ɛ</sup>lo<sup>u</sup>mi' people lated.

 $\mathrm{Mi^{i}}$ ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ái gwalahi henenagwán xa-isgip!isgibin. they are annihithey are always cut Now then, it is things many lated through. said, indeed

Fihemèm golóm ihemèm xasiyasgip!ilhis waxadil ga he wrestled oaks with he wrestled he always just cut them he and his that with them, white acorns with them, in two, it is said; younger brother

na<sup>E</sup>nagá<sup>iE</sup>. Aga xo īhemèm yana īhemèm golom they did. These firs they wrestled oaks with they wrestled oaks with with them, black acorns with them, white acorns

tc!ā'sap'4 k'ái gwala **i**hemèm īhemèm īhemèm. they wrestled tc!ásap'-berry they wrestled things many they wrestled with them, bushes with them, with them.

Ganē tc!ámx lālē. Mi<sup>iɛ</sup>s wáªda wõk' mologulá\*p'a yap!a Then they One person to him old woman strong they became. arrived.

tc!Ṻs yap!a daldì K'uk'ũ níxa ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulì. A' wīt'adì.5 "A"! Bluejay person wild in K'uk'u his mother, she was my aunt!" woods sitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Witclai means properly "my brother's child" or "my sister's child," according to whether a woman or a man is speaking, in other words, "nephew" or "niece," provided the speaker and parent of the child are related as brother and sister.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So heard for diihiliigwain.

<sup>3</sup> Lit., "he up (and) went again having it in front."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Described as a tree growing in the mountains with smooth red bark and bunches of berries hanging like grapes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Properly, "my father's sister."

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

Gwidí ginigàt' ts!ayã? Hinàu. A' t'adã goc¹ mahai "Where are you nephew?" "Up river. A'! aunt, gos¹- big shell
ús'i. A'nī <sup>ε</sup> gi <sup>i</sup> a-icdèk' wik'aba á-icda. Bu <sup>u</sup> ban t!i <sup>i</sup> mí <sup>ε</sup> s give "Not I my property, my son his property." Strings of dentalia one hundred
ogúcbi <sup>8</sup> n. A'nī <sup>8</sup> gī <sup>i</sup> a-icdèk' wik'aba á-icda. K'ài I'll give you." "Not I my property, my son his property. Perhaps
I'll give you." "Not I my property, my son his property. Perhaps t!umūxi. K'ái ga <sup>ɛ</sup> ál di? Aga būbAn t!ē <sup>i</sup> mí <sup>ɛ</sup> s ogúcbi <sup>ɛ</sup> n.
he'll kill me." "What for (inter.)? These strings of one hundred I'll give dentalia you."
Tc!olx gangáhi guc mahài igí¹na tc!olx ogōīhi. Daldal Indian anyhow gos big he took it, dentalia he gave her. Daldal money shell
wãxa xebé <sup>ɛ</sup> n maháit'a ánī <sup>ɛ</sup> gwī na <sup>ɛ</sup> nagá <sup>iɛ</sup> . Sasánsasinīhi <sup>ɛ</sup> his younger he did elder one not in any he did. He kept standing, brother so, way it is said,
yaxa aga maháit'a aga wãxat'a xebén <sup>ɛ</sup> . Yá <sup>ɛ</sup> . continually this elder one, this his younger brother, he did They for his part, so. went.
Mī yewé <sup>ig</sup> K'ūk'ũ. Gwidí guc mahait'ék <sup>g</sup> à? Witc!aĩhan Now he returned K'uk'u. "Where gos- shell my big one, "My nephews indeed?"
nōdát' baxám <sup>ɛ</sup> īdága bu <sup>u</sup> ban t!ē <sup>i</sup> mi <sup>ɛ</sup> s ogús bi. Gus from down they came, those strings of one hundred they gave river you." "Gos'-shell"
mahái <sup>s</sup> a gwidí? Witc!aĩhan igí <sup>i</sup> na. Mi <sup>i</sup> t!omõm níxa. big indeed where?" "My nephews they took Now he killed his it." her mother.
Mi yáp!a wayãnk'w. Mi youmĩ. Gus mahai megyễk'w. Now people he followed Now he caught up "Gos-big fetch it them. with them. shell back hither!"
Bu <sup>u</sup> ban t!ē <sup>i</sup> mí <sup>ɛ</sup> s me <sup>ɛ</sup> yēk' <sup>w</sup> . Bo <sup>u</sup> wít'adi hé <sup>e</sup> wa <sup>ɛ</sup> i <sup>i</sup> wi <sup>ɛ</sup> n "Strings of one hundred fetch them dentalia back hither!  I left them with her
bu <sup>u</sup> ban t!ē <sup>i</sup> mí <sup>s</sup> s. Gus mahái me <sup>s</sup> yēk' <sup>w</sup> . T!ē <sup>i</sup> mí <sup>s</sup> s ditc!úk' <sup>3</sup> strings of one "Gos-big fetch it "One hundred Indian rope
n'tiudile'w megvale'w Gue mahái megvale'w Sancane'iniváug
fathoms fetch them "Gos"- big fetch it Let there be back hither!" shell back hither! fighting."  Duwúugk'cig canáxinibags'ig. Ganē'hig sansánsagn daldál "So it is good, so let us fight!" Then, it is said, they fought Daldál

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Described as a rainbow-colored shell of the size of two hands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ten strings reaching from wrist to shoulder, each containing ten dentalia, are meant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A rope made of the twisted fibres of a grass growing to a height of a foot and a half and with a broader blade than the ordinary variety. Probably Indian hemp (Apocynum cannabinum) is referred to.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A term used of a unit string of dentalia.

k!wált'adíl. Dem+ hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup> dem+ dem+! Dolà ganau the younger D<sub>E</sub>m + dem + dem +! Hollow inside of he ran. and he. tree trunk ts!ayãp'. Obēvá nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> al<sup>ɛ</sup>ōdan daldál "O elder he said, it is Then, it is said, he hid himself. he looked Daldál brother!" said. around for it ba\*yānk'\* maháit'a dan wā'da gwidik'\* gwélxda older one. rock he picked it up, to him he threw it, his leg T'gil! xada\*nt'gilt'gálhi. heméham gwélxdagwa he broke it in two with rock. "Break!" he echoed it his own leg xa\*k!wot'k!a'sda\* heméham t'gíl. Hemhe<sup>e</sup>hám gwélxdagwa. when it was broken he echoed it, "Break!" "He echoes it his own leg." in two. Hemhe<sup>e</sup>hám gwélxdagwa.1 Dakp!iyá k!wãlk'. Dakp!ivá "He echoes it his own leg." "On the fire throw him!" "On the fire Datc!anā't' k!wãlk'.¹ lãlē. Datc!anā't' lālē\.¹ Dakp!iyá throw him!" "About to die he has "About to die he has On the fire become." become." kʻu<sup>u</sup>bí<sup>i</sup> gwidik'w. Xá-u¹ hãxda<sup>ɛ</sup> heméhamhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k'u"bíit'gwa. "Xá-u," 1 his hair as it burned he threw he echoed it, his own hair. him. it is said, Ganē vá٤ ba\*dé<sup>e</sup>yeweyàk'\*. yáε. K'ai gwalà Ganē Then they they continued to Then they Things many went. travel. went. ihemèm yana ihemèm xo ihemèm t they wrestled oaks they wrestled firs they wrestled īhemèm tc!ā'cap' īhemèm tc!ácap'- they wrestled with them, with them, with them, berry bushes with them, mi<sup>iɛ</sup>s Mi<sup>iɛ</sup>s xa-iya\*k!odõlhi. Alhemèk' lomt!ē. baxá<sup>e</sup>m they always just broke They met old man. "One one he comes," them in two. him mi<sup>iɛ</sup>s Alsinlóuk' ópxa malaganánhi. lomt!ē hā'p'di. his elder he told him. They met him one old man brother Gwenhék'wa\*k'\* lomt!ē. Ba-idak'wilit!a\*+dí<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Há-u. Gwidi old man!" "I ran out of the house." "Yes! "Relate it. menee na\*Enàt' baidàk'wilit!ā+dìt'? Wũlx³ abaidi<sup>e</sup>yowó<sup>u</sup>da<sup>e</sup> in this Enemies since they have come you could do, you ran out of the into house to fight, way house? gasi<sup>ε</sup> Ba-idak'wilit!ā+díɛn. ba-ibiliwat'. Gahē yaxa you ran out." "I ran out of the house." so that Just that continually

Now he became angry

ts!iní¹ts!anx daldál.

Daldál.

lat'bá<sup>a</sup>x

he burst,

K'a-iná

"What

yu<sup>u</sup>m

blood

 $d\bar{\imath}'$ 

that (inter.)

just he became.

lālē'.

ga

yáª

He salt gu nt gàn

He kicked him over,

 $Mi^i$ 

nagá<sup>ig</sup>.

he said.

ganga

nagaĩt'?

you say?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These echoing words are pronounced by K'uk'u in a heavy whisper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This word is supposed to represent the crackling of the burning hair.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Used generally to refer to Shasta Indians.

25

Gana<sup>g</sup>nèx yap!a do<sup>u</sup>mdàmk'. Daldál sinhúsgal cdoicdagwána In that way people he used to kill "Daldál big-nosed! Putting on style them, it seemed."

lãp' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Wãxa mi gayaũ yũm. Mi lūli become!" he said, it is His younger now he ate it blood. Now his throat said.

da-idamák'. Obiyá. K'adī ánī<sup>c</sup> xa<sup>c</sup>álk!walagwìt' nagá-ihi<sup>c</sup> it choked it. "O elder "What not you had better let he said, it is brother!" said.

Witc!amak'w igi'na gwenlouk'i ba-iwak!alasi yum witc!amak' Flint flaker he took he stuck it into with it he took blood flint flaker it, his throat, it out

wa bem wà. Xagálsigi ánīg k!walàk'w. with stick with. "Not he let it alone."

Ganī bā'de<sup>g</sup>yeweyàk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>g</sup> wili t!ayàk'.

Then they continued traveling. Now again house they found it.

K'a-iláap'a sgilbibíi+x sgilbibíi+x sgilbibíi+x² nagáig. Daldál Woman "Warm your warm your warm your she said. "Daldál back!"

s'inhús'gal sdóis'dagwana lãp' sgilí'pxde<sup>ɛ</sup>. Abaiginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Mi<sup>iɛ</sup>s big-nosed, putting on style become! I'll warm my He went inside. One back."

exa<sup>3</sup> k'a-ilá<sup>a</sup>p'a sgilípx. Mī p!a-iwayá<sup>ɛ</sup>. Sgilbibí<sup>i</sup>x.
continually woman she was warm- Now he went to lie "Warm your hack!"

p!ii gelt!anáhagwa.4 Mii Gwelhí t'uwúk'de<sup>8</sup>. Hap!ēyá Now fire "Keep away! I feel hot.'' Into the fire she pushed him. xa\*lk!walak'w.5 Hé<sup>e</sup>salxādat'guyū''sgwa. Obẽyá. A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup>si<sup>ɛ</sup> "O elder "Not indeed He kicked he let things it had blistered his back. brother!" alone.'

t'gu'nt'gàn. Kxádi ma k'a-ilā'p'a yudá. Wá's' nānsbina! her off. "What you woman you will was you will always be called,

k!umoi ga<sup>e</sup>àl yodá<sup>e</sup>. Wede k'a-ilā'p'a yuk!eīt' xuma ma you will be, food swamps at you will be. Not you woman yudá<sup>ɛ</sup> nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. he said to her, you will

be," it is said.

<sup>1</sup> Xa8 ál-si8 seems to go with k!walak'w.

Pronounced very shrilly. The type of reduplication exhibited here is not normally employed for grammatical purposes. The normal form of the word is sgfilpx.

So heard for mii's yaxa.

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalent to gelt!anahi (lit., "she held him with her breast").

 $xaal = xaa^{\epsilon}al.$ 

<sup>•=</sup> K'ádi. K' is here so strongly aspirated as sometimes to be heard as kx.

Described as a bush of about three feet in height, with white leaves and crooked yellowish-red flowers of the length of a hand. The root was used for food.

ba\*de<sup>e</sup>yeweyàk'w. váε  $Me^{\varepsilon}m\bar{\imath}'+n\gamma il me^{\varepsilon}m\bar{\imath}'+n\gamma il$ Ganē Then they they continued "Come hither come hither went, traveling. and copulate!" and copulate!

A'! k'adí neyé<sup>ɛ</sup>? Daldal s'inhúsgal s'dois'dagwaná<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. she said, it "A"! what they say? Daldál big-nosed, putting on style it is said.

laªap' ma<sup>e</sup>á minyi<sup>1</sup>lá<sup>8</sup>n giní<sup>ε</sup>k'. nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> Ge ópxa. I'll copulate," become you, for he said to him, his elder There he went. brother. your part; it is said,

ha-iwesgáhak'w. Ganē'hi<sup>ɛ</sup>  $Mi^i$ Gwélxdagwa gelwayan. Her own legs she spread them apart. Then, it is he slept with Now said. her.

 $Mi^i$ wa<sup>ɛ</sup>itc!omóºk'wa. Wede ga na<sup>e</sup>nexdam. dahi'sdamá<sup>8</sup>x. she squeezed (her legs) "Not that do to me!" Now he was nearly together. breathless.

Gee Obiyá. giní<sup>e</sup>k' witc!amàk'w eĩhi gwēlxda xa<sup>e</sup>itc!iwít' "O elder There he went; flint flaker he used her legs he split them brother!" it, open.

Kxádi ma k'a-ilā'p'a yodá<sup>e</sup>? T!ãk' nãnsbina<sup>e</sup>. Haxiyà "What you woman you will Fresh water you shall always Into the be called." mussel water

gwidìk'w. Yap!a ga-iwawálsbink' yap!a gaīsbink' xuma he threw "People they shall always people they shall food them. eat you, eat you;

yudáε nagáhi<sup>e</sup>. you shall he said to her, be, it is said.

> $Mi^{i}$ bāyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>. váε ba\*de<sup>e</sup>yeweyàk'\*. Ganē Ganē Now Then they arose and they they continued Then went again. went, traveling.

ánī<sup>ε</sup> wili t!ayaganá<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai gwala īhemèm xa-ıyā'sgip!īlhi. not they having house things many they wrestled they always just cut found it, with them, them in two.

na<sup>e</sup>nagá<sup>ie</sup>. A'E!  $Mi^i$ dā'<sup>ɛ</sup>agàn Waxadıl ga kʻadi t'ut' A'8! He and his that they did. Now what they heard it, "t'ut' younger brother

t'ut'. A'! sinhúsgal. Dak'wilī giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Mii t'ut' Daldál t'ut'." "A"! Daldál big-nosed!" On top of he went. Now the house

p!a-i<sup>ɛ</sup>ályuwú<sup>ɛ</sup> wô′k'i<sup>ɛ</sup> gūms mologolā'p'a gā'p!ini ts!elei he looked down; without blind old women two eyes Mi¹si<sup>ε</sup> gel<sup>ε</sup>yowό<sup>ε</sup>. Mīhi<sup>ε</sup> k'óधx lobõp'. daldál wát'gwan tar-weed they pounded Now they were Now, it Daldál towards each tĥem. facing. is said, seeds indeed other

dak'wili'dat' mologolā'p'a hoyōĩ xumá hoyōĩ his younger he stole it, from on top of their old women he stole it; brother the house food

daldál Geméerdi? Macixebe<sup>ε</sup>n. henenagwát'ĕdi? Gwidí Daldál he did so. "How. did you eat it all up?" "Where?

## E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

wak'di henenagwàt' nagása <sup>ɛ</sup> nhi <sup>ɛ</sup> . Dakt'bá <sup>a</sup> gamt' ū'luk!i perhaps you ate it all up," they said to each other, it is said.
mologolā'p'agan bāls. Mi <sup>i</sup> dakt'bá'agamt'. Mi <sup>i</sup> la'amálsa <sup>g</sup> n. old women long. Now he tied them together above. Now they quarreled with each other.
Mi <sup>i</sup> dewiliwálsi <sup>1</sup> nagása <sup>ɛ</sup> nhi <sup>ɛ</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> lãmalsa <sup>ɛ</sup> n. Mi <sup>i</sup> "Now she is fighting me," they said to each other. Now they quarreled with each other.
úluk!it'gwan it!anáhi. Mī lamálsa <sup>ɛ</sup> n biliwálsa <sup>ɛ</sup> n. Mi <sup>i</sup> each other's hair they took hold of it. Now they quarreled they jumped at with each other, each other.
daldál dak'wili <sup>i</sup> dat' uyū' <sup>iɛ</sup> sgigwa. Daldál cinhúsgal āk' Daldál from on top of he laughed at "Daldál big-nosed he the house them.
di haga xẽp'k'? Dit'gwáalam wit'adì tc!elei wô'k'i² (inter.) that one so he did yonder it?" my aunts eyes without
di yūk'? Ganē aba-iginí <sup>ɛ</sup> k'. T'gwe <sup>e</sup> lámx wūlt' hap!ēya (inter.) they seem Then he went inside. Scouring rush he went into the to be?"
de gwidìk'w. Ganē to leléi ganau damats làk'. Bak! Mi he put it point Then their eyes in he placed it point Pop! "Now foremost.
tc!eléik' <sup>w</sup> k!emēnxbi <sup>ɛ</sup> n nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> . having eyes I have made you,'' he said, it is said.
Bá <sup>a</sup> de <sup>g</sup> yeweyàk' <sup>w</sup> xilamanà. Ihemem k'ai gwalá They continued to they. They wrestled things many travel with them
xā <sup>ɛ</sup> wìn xo īhemèm yaná īhemèm xa-isgip <sup>ɛ</sup> īlhi yūk' while firs they wrestled oaks they wrestled they always cut traveling, with them, with them, cut them in two;
k!emēnk'wit'. Mi <sup>i</sup> hono <sup>g</sup> wilī alt!ayak'. Å! Daldál they made Now again house they found it. "A! Daldál themselves.
sinhú <sup>u</sup> sgal cdoisdagwaná lãp'. Abaiginí <sup>ɛ</sup> k'. K!al <sup>ɛ</sup> s xa <sup>a</sup> t'bé <sup>e</sup> k'-big-nosed, putting on style become!" He went inside. Sinew it was
t'bagams wili debú <sup>uɛ</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> sẽp'. P!úl ba-idigwibí <sup>i</sup> k'ôp'.  all tied house full. Now he Ashes they popped out cooked it. all over.
Gana <sup>g</sup> nèx yap!a do <sup>u</sup> mdàmk'. Ä! Gwidi na <sup>g</sup> nagaīt' In that way people he evidently used "A! How are you doing?" to kill them.
nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> . Hãxank'wahī's. Obiyá. <sup>ɛ</sup> e <sup>e</sup> k'ádi ma wili he said, it He almost burned "O elder " <sup>ɛ</sup> e <sup>e</sup> ! What you house is said. him. brother!"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Lit., "she goes ahead at me."

wa-it!ánida<sup>ɛ</sup>? P!iyin k!álts!i¹ nánsbina<sup>ɛ</sup> wílaū da<sup>a</sup>wayou will keep it?¹ Deer its sinew you will always arrows along them be called; they

t'bā'gamdina<sup>8</sup> le<sup>e</sup>psì wílau k!emniyaũk'i<sup>8</sup> wat'bá'agamdina<sup>8</sup> shall be tied feathers, arrows whenever people therewith whenever people make them therewith,"

nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> k!emèī. he said to him, Now he made it. it is said.

Baade yeweyak'w. Ganēhi k'ái gwala ī'hemem.
They continued traveling. Then, it is things many they wrestled with them.

 $Mi^i$ ánī<sup>ε</sup> hono abaiwõk' kʻai yap!à. A + !p'im Now again they arrived not any person. "A +! inside.

baxnéet'ôk'. A!Daldál sinhúsgal cdóisdagwana lãp'. roasted by fire. "A! Daldál big-nosed, putting on style become! gayawá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. P'imát'(k') A'nīε k'ai yaxà yap!a māl My salmon I'll eat it.'' Not salmonperson; any just spear shaft

P'im gedè. báihemèk' Gwiná abai dũl gayaũ. ga "How at its Salmon he took it out, inside. spearhe ate it. that point point.

na<sup>ɛ</sup>nevè<sup>ɛ</sup> anī<sup>ε</sup> gede? k'ai yáp!a māl yaxa abai dūl they do, inside not any people, salmonjust spearat its point point?" spear shaft

 $Mi^i$ gasáalhi saªnsánk'wa. Ga walá<sup>ɛ</sup> wili māl haga quickly it fought with Now salmon-That that one indeed house spear shaft yonder him.

 $Mi^{i}$ Eğn! wa-it!ánik'.  $hono^{\epsilon}$ t!omõk'wahis māl. Obiyá. he evidently Now again he almost killed salmon-"O elder "Ee"! kept it. spear shaft. brother!" him

K'adí anīg xagalk!walhàk? Igiina mãl xa-ik!ot'k!àt'.
What not he left it alone?" He took it salmonspear shaft,

Kʻadí wa-it!ánida<sup>8</sup>? wili k!emánxbink' ma Yap!a "What you house you will keep it? they will make you, People māl p'im k!emnaná<sup>§</sup>. Yap!a k!emnank' they will be salmon salmonthey will make salmon-People spear shafts, spear shafts made. them

wasanáhink'. Wédesi<sup>8</sup> ma wili wa-it!anik<sup>8</sup>eīt' nagáhi<sup>8</sup>.
they will spear<sup>2</sup> So not you house you will keep it," he said to him, with them.
it is said.

Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>de<sup>ɛ</sup>yeweyàk'w. Mi<sup>i</sup> honō<sup>u</sup> k'ai gwala Now again they continued Now again things many traveling.

Lit., "you will hold it together."

<sup>2</sup> Lit., "fight."

### E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

īhemèm xa-iyāk!odōlhi. Mihiɛ wili alt!ayák' they wrestled they always just broke Now, it is houses with them, them in two. Said, they found them	
wili mí <sup>iɛ</sup> sga <sup>ɛ</sup> k!iyíx ganau wili mí <sup>iɛ</sup> sga <sup>ɛ</sup> house one smoke in it house one,	smoke
ba <sup>a</sup> wõk' wili mí <sup>ig</sup> sga <sup>g</sup> . Abailiwilá <sup>ug</sup> anī <sup>g</sup> k'ai it was coming house one. They looked not any up out of it	yā'p!a person,
doláx yaxa. Mi hono <sup>8</sup> abáiliwila <sup>u8</sup> ánī k'ai household just. Now again they looked not any implements	yā'p!a person,
doláx yaxa. Mi <sup>iɛ</sup> s hono <sup>ɛ</sup> abailiwilá <sup>uɛ</sup> yap!a ā'r household just. One again they looked person no implements inside,	ni <sup>ɛ</sup> k'a-i ot any,
doláx yaxa. Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> abaiwõk' mologolā'p'a household just. Then, it is they arrived old woman implements said, jinside	mi <sup>iɛ</sup> sga <sup>ɛ</sup> one
hāpxwi wa-iwī' míisgas. A'! Xi woò xíi t!ab little girl one. "A'! Water go and water I a	a <sup>a</sup> gwá <sup>ɛ</sup> n. <sup>m thirsty</sup> for it.
Xi woo nagá-ihi <sup>8</sup> . M+ m+! K'á-iwa Water go and he said, it is "M+ m+! Some evil get it," said.	haxwiya in the water.''
nagá-ihi <sup>8</sup> mologolā'p'a. Gasálhi xi woò xi t!ab she said, it old woman. "Quickly water go and water I as	a <sup>a</sup> gwá <sup>ɛ</sup> n. m thirsty for it.''
"Some evil in the she said, it old woman. "There I s being water," is said,	viláut'e <sup>e</sup> . <sup>Shall run.''</sup>
T'aagaek'i hene t'aagaek' hene naga-ihie. Hapxi "You shall then! you shall then!" she said, it Little cry cry is said.	wa-iwī' girl
xi wõlt' baahawáck' xi. Mi īt!á-ut!iwin. Wä- water she went she dipped water. Now she was caught. "Wa for it, it up	+ wä+² + wä+,''
t'agá <sup>iɛ</sup> . Dit'gwālam. Mi <sup>i</sup> xamhiwilí <sup>uɛ</sup> . Kxád she cried. "O yes!" Now to river he ran. "What (is	it)? A+!
basket- go and basket- go and quickly, quickly! Dá bucket get it, bucket get it	ldalwaya <sup>ldalwaya</sup> ,
da'ldalwaya da'ldalwaya ga nánha <sup>g</sup> k' héne dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya! that always say then!'' (fut.)	ākhi he himself
p!uwú <sup>u</sup> k'wit'. Ga nánha <sup>g</sup> k' dáldalwaya dáldalwaya he named "That always say dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, himself. (fut.);	dáldal- dáldal-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A good example of the use of the future imperative. The idea is, "(If you insist on going), then cry (later on, when you will have found out that I am right)."

<sup>2</sup>Pronounced in a loud whisper.

waya nánha <sup>ɛ</sup> k' nagáhi <sup>ɛ</sup> xap waya, always say he said to her, lit (fut.)!'' it is said,	xwi <sup>1</sup> wa-iwī'. Abaiyeweyak'w. tle girl. He returned into the house with her.
Ganē to!ümumt'a libīs gay Then he boiled it crawfish, they	a <b>ũ.</b> ate it.
traveling,	own river house they Then, it is from arrived. said,
talked younger brother. Here	gi <sup>i</sup> ginik'de <sup>e</sup> maháit'a ga <sup>e</sup> àl I I'll go big one to,
you, younger one to go! however,	. Gadì <sup>i</sup> l go <sup>u</sup> m ĩhemẽxinik' <sup>2</sup> "Those two we we are to wrestle with one another,"
nagá-ihi <sup>c</sup> . Géhi giní <sup>c</sup> k' n he said, it is There he went th said.	naháit'ā dak'wilī ba'giní'k' ne big one, on top of he went up, the house
suwili <sup>i</sup> maháit'a dak'wilī. he sat the big one on top of the house.	Abá-ihi giní <sup>g</sup> k'. Dáldal Inside he went. Dáldal
wāxa k!wált'a aba-iwõk.  his younger younger one brother his house.	
ci <sup>©</sup> wilī hāpxí hapsdi alxalī, she was children small they wer sitting, sitting.	Mi <sup>ig</sup> si <sup>3</sup> hapxit!i <sup>i</sup> t'a yap!a re Just one boy person
wicked his younger at the door brother	sitting. brother
gayawá <sup>8</sup> n p'im lēxi bãnx I'll eat it, salmon gi <u>ve</u> it me hunger to eat,	t!umūxi nagá-ihi <sup>8</sup> . P'im it is killing he said, it is Salmon me," said.
gayawaná <sup>8</sup> adát'wi <sup>8</sup> lagák'i when he had eaten it of these he gave i to eat	hapxwì hapsdi. He <sup>eg</sup> me <sup>g</sup> t children little. Yonder
mí <sup>iɛ</sup> sga <sup>ɛ</sup> cū <sup>u</sup> lì dedewilí <sup>i</sup> da.  one he was at the door.  sitting	Yap!a t!ilā'p'a gūxda ciulì Person male his wife she was sitting,
ī't!aut!au ní <sup>i</sup> t'. Xapxit!í <sup>i</sup> t'a he fiddled her Boy with them nipples.	ba-iginí <sup>g</sup> k' haxiya giní <sup>g</sup> k'.  he went out, to the he went.  water
O'pxa malaganánhi obiyà His elder he told him, "O elde brother brother	r one he has arrived person

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So heard for hapx(w)i.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aorist in tense, because referring to an act in the immediate future. One might also use the future *iheemxinigam*, "we shall wrestle."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Probably equivalent to mii<sup>8</sup>s-hi.

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalent to cu<sup>\$</sup>wilii, ci<sup>\$</sup>ulii.

31

p'ima <sup>ɛ</sup> t' gayaŭ gŭxde <sup>ɛ</sup> níit' tc!iníɛk' p'imáɛt' is ilís alhi your salmon he ate it, your wife salmon hapxwì nagáhiɛ. Lān ba-igwidìk'w aba-iginíɛk'. Daldal children," he said to him, fishit is said.
hāpxwì nagáhi <sup>8</sup> . Lān ba-igwidìk' <sup>w</sup> aba-iginí <sup>8</sup> k'. Daldal
it is said. net to shore, the house.
waxa geyewalx p'im gayau. Abaits!ak'ts!a'k' emethis younger he was eating, salmon he ate it. He stepped into the house.
bu <sup>u</sup> biní xāsalt'gwélt'gwili nagá <sup>i</sup> lhīs eme <sup>l</sup> bu <sup>u</sup> bini <sup>i</sup> ga <sup>l</sup> al
bu <sup>u</sup> biní xāsalt'gwélt'gwili nagá <sup>ig</sup> hĩs eme <sup>g</sup> bu <sup>u</sup> bini <sup>i</sup> ga <sup>g</sup> al his arm he broke it in two by he almost here his arm on stepping on it did,
ts!ā'k'ts!a <sup>8</sup> k' xāsalt'gwélt'gwili. Iyá <sup>a</sup> sge <sup>e</sup> t'sgàt' p'im yá <sup>a</sup> he stepped, he broke it in two by He just twisted his salmon just stepping on it. arm to one side,
+ 1 of (+ 1/01 ( TZ 1 + 1 + 1 / 2
ganau ts!a*k'ts!a*k'. K!u'yAm lo"ba*. Ani* me*ginik'de* in he stepped. "Friend, let us "Not hither I came p!ay!"
lõuc. P'ímhi gayawáen nagaīt'ee. Anīe lõux gaeal
me <sup>ɛ</sup> giník'de <sup>ɛ</sup> . K!ū'yam lōgwa's iniba <sup>ɛ</sup> . K!wāĩ igí <sup>i</sup> na hither I came." "Friend, let us play with Grass he took it.
cacii otilei:
Lōgwa's iniba <sup>g</sup> t!ü'lt!als iniba <sup>g</sup> . Nagásanhi <sup>g</sup> . Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> "Let us play with each other, be the us play grass game!"  ts!inīts!anx daldál. Duwú <sup>ug</sup> k' lōgwa's niba <sup>g</sup> sì <sup>g</sup> nagá-ihi <sup>g</sup> .  he became Daldál "It is well! let us play with each he said, it is angry other then"
tslinītslanx daldál Duwúwek lõgwa'sinibaesie nagá-ibie
to into and a dardar. David it log with our base of magni-int.
diffi, mon, said.
diffi, mon, said.
and, one, and and an analysis of the state o
Ba-iginí <sup>8</sup> k' dahēbá <sup>a</sup> ba <sup>8</sup> isgāk'sgàk' haxiya ginīk' <sup>w</sup> . M+ They went out, ? he picked him up, to the water he went "M+
Ba-iginí <sup>ɛ</sup> k' dahēbá <sup>a</sup> ba <sup>ɛ</sup> isgāk'sgàk' haxiya ginîk' <sup>w</sup> . M+ They went out, ? he picked him up, to the water he went with him.  m+! Mi <sup>i</sup> wis dap <sup>ɛ</sup> ā'la-u dū moyūgwanán¹ nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> m+! Now, it youth handsome he's to be spoiled,'' they said, it is said, he <sup>eɛ</sup> me <sup>ɛ</sup> yap!à gwalà wilí <sup>i</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> īhemēxa <sup>ɛ</sup> n. Xa-imí <sup>iɛ</sup> wasgí <sup>i</sup> bi <sup>ɛ</sup> n yonder people many their Now they wrestled "I'll probably cut him houses. with each other. through
Ba-iginí <sup>§</sup> k' dahēbá <sup>a</sup> ba <sup>§</sup> isgāk'sgàk' haxiya ginīk' <sup>w</sup> . M+ They went out, ? he picked him up, to the water he went with him.  m+! Mi¹wis dap <sup>§</sup> ā'la-u dū moyūgwanán¹ nagá-ihi <sup>§</sup> m+! Now, it youth handsome he's to be spoiled," they said, it is said, he <sup>®</sup> me <sup>§</sup> yap!à gwalà wilí¹. Mi¹ īhemēxa <sup>§</sup> n. Xa-imí¹ <sup>§</sup> wasgí¹bi <sup>§</sup> n yonder people many their Now they wrestled "I'll probably cut him houses. with each other. Through mü <sup>®</sup> x-dànhi¹ nagá¹§hìs sas nagá-ihi <sup>§</sup> . M+ m+! Hāwi once indeed," he nearly said; ground is said.
Ba-iginí <sup>§</sup> k' dahēbá <sup>a</sup> ba <sup>§</sup> isgāk'sgàk' haxiya ginīk' <sup>w</sup> . M+ They went out, ? he picked him up, to the water he went "M+ with him.  1n+! Mi <sup>i</sup> wis dap <sup>§</sup> ā'la-u dū moyūgwanán¹ nagá-ihi <sup>§</sup> m+! Now, it youth handsome he's to be spoiled," they said, seems, it is said, he <sup>§§</sup> me <sup>§</sup> vap!à gwalà wilí <sup>i</sup> Mi <sup>i</sup> īhemēxa <sup>§</sup> n Xa-imí <sup>i§</sup> wasgí <sup>i</sup> bi <sup>§</sup> n

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mbox{This}$  sentence is pronounced in a slow, subdued, pitying tone. M+ expresses fear and foreboding; cf. above, p. 29, l. 8.

346

p'im yunobál salmon he was holdin net for the	t'. Daldá ng his Daldál em.	l maháit'a the elder	dák'wi on top of t house	lī ciulī. he he was sitting.	
Agasi <sup>ɛ</sup> dap <sup>ɛ</sup> ālaū So these youths	younger ones	īhemēxa <sup>§</sup> n they wrestled with each other,	waadixda their bodies		
Hm+ hm+! "Hm+ hm+!	Yet	a-idísgadasga	h. Neve	er yet <sup>1</sup> that	
na <sup>g</sup> ne <sup>e</sup> niyô' <sup>ug</sup> nag they always do,'' they is	said, it peo said, toger	anan, K!u') pple "Frie	yAm p'im end, you salm	a <sup>e</sup> t'gaī. ir eat it!" on	
Anī gelgulugwa "Not I wish it,	eacii	other.			
gelgulugwá <sup>ɛ</sup> n ga I wanted it, no	nē lõ <sup>u</sup> x g	elgulugwá <sup>ɛ</sup> n. I wish it.''	K!ū'yAm "Friend,	gŭxdek' my wife	
níit' tc!ínºk'. A'nīº gelgulugwáºn ihēmxinibaº nagá-ihiº.  her pinch them!" "Not I wish it, let us wrestle with each other!" said.					
Há <sup>ag</sup> ga handàt' mahá-it'a yuk!wōī wãxa ánī dūk'.  That one across from the elder one he knew it his younger not being there brother strong.					
ee' nagá-ihie. Lān ba-igwidìk' hānhists!aak'ts!áek'.  He said, it is Fishing he threw it off said.  He was about to step across.					
εén' gwidi g	inigàt'? M o you go? T	é <sup>eg</sup> dat' gìnl his way come	k' nagá-il e!'' he said, i said.	ni <sup>e</sup> . Aga	
daldál maháit'ā Daldál the elder	dak'wili <sup>i</sup> on top of the house	cuwili ga he was that sitting,	dexebé <sup>e</sup> n he said,	mé <sup>eg</sup> dàt'. ''This way!''	
Gwendák alyewé ie. Pla-ie is is gaak sgàk yapla henenàk w. He turned back on top. He picked him up and set him down; people he destroyed them.					
Wát'gwan bilí <sup>u</sup> .  At one they jumped	Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> Then, it is said,	īhemēxa <sup>e</sup> n. they wrestled with one another	Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is said,	wādíxda their bodies	
de yú k!ídidid they sounded, k!ídididid	idi. Háno di." Across rive	lat' mi¹ the now	xā-isgó <sup>u</sup> t' he cut him through	K!Walt'a younger	
ma'mīt'a ihem the elder they ones with ea	nêxa <sup>e</sup> n. A wrestled N ach other.	nī <sup>e</sup> dabaln ot long	ixa laªlī wher becar	tʻa <sup>g</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> n it now me	
xa-isgó <sup>u</sup> t'. Mi <sup>i</sup>	t lomomán they were killed	yap <sup>e</sup> a ílts!ak <sub>people</sub> evil	two l	wãxadìl. ne and his inger brother.	

Lit., "almost not."

Kxádi ma yap!a yudá<sup>§</sup>? Nõ<sup>u</sup> gwidìk'\*. Swēnxgwa 'What you person you will Westwards he threw him.

nánsbina<sup>ɛ</sup> dahōxa ba-iwilwá<sup>ɛ</sup>s nánsbina<sup>ɛ</sup>. Hinō<sup>u</sup> you will always in the evening he that comes up you will always Eastwards be called.

gwel<sup>e</sup>wāk'wi<sup>e</sup> ba-iwilwá<sup>e</sup>s. when it is early he that comes up."

> Mi<sup>i</sup> sgísi lān ba-ixilìk'w. Haxiyà p'im it!ā'ut!iwi<sup>ɛ</sup>n Now Coyote fishing- he snatched it up. "In the salmon I'll catch them,"

Ts!amal nagá<sup>iε</sup>hīs sgísi. yáª ī't!aut!au lãn ganàu, fishinghe nearly Coyote. Mice just he caught said them net

Hono<sup>©</sup> xamdé<sup>e</sup>gwidìk'<sup>w</sup> t'í<sup>i</sup>s yá<sup>a</sup> īt!aut!àu. <sup>©</sup>ĕ'! Ma Again he threw it forth gophers just he caught "<sup>©</sup>e'! You into water, them.

wede p'im īt!auk!eīt' nagánhi². Hat'gāū ododá² t'íis not salmon you will catch them," he was told, it is said. "In the you will hunt gophers, earth for them

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> ma<sup>ɛ</sup>à it!aªwidá<sup>ɛ</sup> daldal. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ts!amāl\ ga that you, for he said, it is Daldal. Then, it is you will catch mice said. your part, them, said,

yā'p!a p'im sanànk' dadāiyá<sup>ug</sup>t' dadāls'iniya<sup>ug</sup>t'
"People salmon they will spear they will go to get food, from one another,

lãxiniya<sup>u</sup><sup>e</sup>t' wedesi<sup>e</sup> dõ<sup>u</sup>mxiniyauk'. Gana<sup>e</sup>nex t'ga<sup>a</sup> yó<sup>e</sup>t' they will feed so that not they will kill one In that way world it will one another, another.

t'ga<sup>a</sup> gwi<sup>ɛ</sup>ne déhi ginák'i<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. world how long forth that it goes," he said, it is said.

> Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>deyeweyàk'<sup>w</sup>. Aga di<sup>i</sup>lomī dexebé<sup>e</sup>n Then, it is they continued This Di<sup>i</sup>lomi<sup>i</sup> he said, said. traveling.

ganàu.  $Gan\bar{e}hi^{\epsilon}$  baadeyeweyàk'w yá $^{\epsilon}$ .  $Gan\bar{e}hi^{\epsilon}$  ge in. Then, it is they continued they went. Then, it is there said, traveling, said,

nagáie.  $mi^i$ Mi wõuk' k!woyõxa<sup>ɛ</sup>n ópxa déhi ópxa he did. they accompanied now his elder ahead Now his elder they brother each other, brother arrived;

 $Mi^i$ p!a-ihunú<sup>uɛ</sup>s xudumált'. k!wált'a yā báls ópxa he whistled Now his elder he shrunk. the younger just long brother to him.

la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Maháit'a dasgulì lālē' k!wált'a báls la<sup>a</sup>lē'. he became. The elder short he became, the younger long he became.

34 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

Bõu laªlē\. sum' Gweldì. Bābi<sup>ɛ</sup>t' aga ge sasinī Now there they stand, moun-Finished! Your baap'these they became.

lé<sup>e</sup>p'lap'.
collect and
eat them!

## Translation.1

Daldal's house there was, by the sea he was dwelling. There came floating down the river people with bodies all cut through, people with limbs all lopped off. He became tired of it, 'tis said. "Where do they come from? What is the matter? Whence come the people with bodies cut through? Where do they come from?" Such they came continually, with bodies all cut through. "Where do they come from?" Then, 'tis said, he became tired of it. A long time elapsed and people kept coming floating down the river; with their legs here cut right through, such continually came floating down the river. Then a long time did pass. "Well, I shall go. Whence come the people with bodies all cut through, well, there I shall go," he said.

He prepared himself to go. Then he went, up river he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Daldal, the dragon-fly, is a typical American culture hero and transformer. Traveling east up Rogue river, he overcomes and transforms the various wicked beings that threaten continual harm to mankind, sets precedents for the life of the Indians, and, after his work is accomplished, transforms himself into a mountain. Very noticeable is the consistent dignity and benevolence of Daldal. The trickster element often found in the American culture hero, as in those cases in which the rôle is played by Coyote, is here incorporated in Daldal's younger brother. The Daldal pair is quite analogous to such typical "Hero Brothers" as the Kathlamet Panther and Mink, the Wishram Eagle and Weasel, and the Klamath Old Marten and Weaslet; the latter, the younger brother, persists in getting into all sorts of trouble, from which his wiser elder brother has to extricate him. It seems plausible to consider the Takelma conception of the dual culture hero as an amalgamation of the conception of the typical single culture hero, who is at the same time transformer and trickster (e.g., Raven of the Northwest Pacific coast and Coyote of the Columbia valley), with that of the "Hero Brothers." The single culture hero Daldal becomes split in two. Under the circumstances the identification of the culture hero or heroes with the dragon-fly is not difficult to understand. The incidents of the myth are very similar in character to those told by the Hupa of Yīmantūwiñyai (see Goddard, Hupa Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. I, pp. 123-34).

proceeded. He did not yet know whence came the people with bodies all cut through, he did not know. "For what reason are there people with bodies cut through? Where do they come from?" he said. Then he went, up along the river he traveled. Then, 'tis said, he shot at a lark, just its nose he pierced. "My nephew, I am glad that you pierced my nose," it said. "Where are you going to?"—"To whence these very people come, all cut through."

Then he proceeded on his way. Now again he shot at a bird. Way up he shot the arrow, back on the crown of his head it came down. His younger brother, 'tis said, took his stand. "It is my younger brother," he said. Now they had become two, he and his younger brother. Then on they went, up river they proceeded. Someone or other told him, "People are being destroyed, at Digloumi people are destroyed, they are cut through." Now then, 'tis said, with all sorts of things they wrestled, they wrestled with oaks bearing white acorns; they always just cut them in two, he and his younger brother did that. With these firs they wrestled, with oaks bearing black acorns they wrestled, with oaks bearing white acorns they wrestled, with tc!ā'sap'-berry bushes¹ they wrestled, with all sorts of things they wrestled. Then they became strong. They came to a certain person, old woman Bluejay, mother of K'uk'ū, a wild man of the woods; there she sat. "Ah! my aunt!"— "Whither are you going, O nephews?"—"Up river. Ah! aunt, give me the big gos -shell."2-"It does not belong to me, it is my son's."—"I shall give you a hundred strings of dentalia."— "It does not belong to me, it is my son's. Perhaps he would kill me."-"For what reason? These hundred strings of dentalia I shall give you." Dentalia, to be sure, (he gave her and) the big gos'-shell he took, dentalia he gave her. Daldal's younger brother did so, the elder one did nothing. This elder one, 'tis said, just kept standing, but this younger brother of his was active. On they went. Now K'uk'ũ returned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See note 4, p. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See note 1, p. 23.

"Where is my big gos'-shell?"—"My nephews from down river did come, those hundred strings of dentalia they gave you."-"Where is my big gos'-shell?"—"My nephews have taken it." Now he killed his mother, and followed up the people. Now he caught up with them. "Come back with the big gos'-shell." —"Come back with the hundred strings of dentalia! Just now I left a hundred strings of dentalia with my aunt."—"Come back with the big gos'-shell."-"Come back with the hundred rope-lengths!"1-"Come back with the big gos'-shell! There'll be fighting."—"Then it's well, so let us fight!" Then, 'tis said, they fought, he and the younger Daldal. DEm+, dEm+, dEm+! Inside of a hollow tree trunk he ran, and hid himself. "O elder brother!" he said. Then Daldal the elder looked around and picked up a rock; he threw it at him, broke his leg in two with the rock. "Break!" he echoed his own leg as it broke in two, "Break!" he echoed it. "He's echoing his own leg."—"He's echoing his own leg" (K'uk'ū repeated in a whisper). "Throw him on the fire!"—"Throw him on the fire!" (K'uk'ũ repeated in a whisper). "He is about to die."— "He is about to die" (K'uk'ũ repeated in a whisper). On the fire he threw him. "Xa-u," he echoed his own hair as it burned.2

Then they went on, they proceeded on their way. On they went. They wrestled with all sorts of things, oaks they wrestled with, firs they wrestled with, tc!ā'cap'-berry bushes they wrestled with, they always just broke them in two.³ They met a certain old man. "Someone is coming," he told his elder brother. They met a certain small old man. "Tell it, old man!"—"I ran out on top of the house."—"Yes! why should you act in this way, that you run out of the house? Since enemies have come into the house to fight, that is why

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See notes 3 and 4, p. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is quite likely that a transformation of Bluejay's son into the Echo is here referred to.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the myth motive of wrestling with a tree, compare Curtin's Wasco myth of "Eagle has Tobacco-Man and Willow wrestle with Abumat" (Sapir, Wishram Texts, *Publications of the American Ethnological Society*, Vol. II, p. 290).

you ran out."—"I ran out on top of the house." Just that only he kept saying. Now Daldal became angry. "What sort of thing did you say?" He kicked him over; he burst, just blood he became. In that way, as it seemed, was he¹ wont to kill people. "Big-nosed Daldal! Put on style!" he said (to his elder brother). Now his younger brother ate up the blood, and it choked him. "O elder brother!"—"Why did you not better let it alone?" he said. He took a flint-flaker and stuck it into his throat; with the flint-flaker he took out the blood, with the stick. "So he did not let it alone."

Then they continued on their way. Now again they found a house. "Warrrm your back! warrrm your back!" a woman did say. "Big-nosed Daldal! put on style! I'll warm my back." He went inside. A certain woman was continually warming her back. Now he went to lie down. "Warm your back!" (she said). Into the fire she pushed him. "Keep away! I feel hot." Now the fire had blistered his back. "O elder brother!"—"So he doesn't let things alone." He kicked her off. "Do you think you will be a woman? People will always call you a wá\*s-bush, in the swamps you will be. You will not be a woman, food you will be," he said to her.

Then on they went, continued on their way. "Veni et copula+! veni et copula+!" inquit (quaedam). "Ah! what are they saying? Big-nosed Daldal! do you, for your part, put on style! ego copulabo," he said to his elder brother. There he went. Crura sua distendit. Tunc, aiunt, cum ea dormivit. Tunc (crura sua) compressit. "Noli mihi id facere!" (inquit Daldal). Nunc prope exanimatus fuit. "O frater senior!" Ibi iit (Daldal senior); 'flint-flaker' usus est, crura eius diffidit.

[2]

[3]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, the old man. He was accustomed to transform himself into blood, so that the people, on swallowing him, might choke to death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is the translation given by Frances Johnson. The meaning seems to be: "You, for your part, just stand there, too stuck up to move. I, however, am going to fall to."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Said, with vexed sarcasm, by the elder Daldal.

<sup>4</sup> See note 7, p. 25.

38

"Do you think you will be a woman? Fresh-water Mussel you will always be called." Into the water he threw her. "People shall be wont to eat you; people will eat you, food you shall be," he said to her.

Now they arose and went on again. Then on they went, continued on their way. Then, not finding a house, they wrestled with all sorts of things, always just cut them in two. He and his younger brother did that. Ah! Now they heard something, "t'ut', t'ut', t'ut'."—"Ah! Big-nosed Daldal!" (said the younger brother and) went on top of the house. Now down he looked; two old women without eyes, blind, were pounding tar-weed seeds, and were facing each other. Now, 'tis said, Daldal's younger brother stole it, the old woman's food he stole; from on top of the house Daldal did so. "How, did you eat it all up?" (said one old woman). "How so? Perhaps it was you that ate it up," they said to each other. The old women's long hair he tied together above them. Now he had tied it above them, and they quarreled with each other. "Now she is fighting me," they said to each other. Now they guarreled with each other, took hold of each other's hair; they quarreled and jumped at each other. And Daldal from on top of the house laughed at them. "Big-nosed Daldal! So it was he that did it?" (they said). "O yes! so my aunts are without eyes, are they?" Then inside he went. A scouringrush he went for, and into the fire he put its point. Then into their eyes he placed its point. Pop! "Now I have provided you with eyes," he said.

They continued on their way. With all sorts of things they wrestled as they traveled, firs they wrestled with, oaks they wrestled with, and always cut them in two. Strong they made themselves. Now again they found a house. "Å! Big-nosed Daldal! put on style!" He went inside; the house was full of sinew all tied together. Now he roasted it. Ashes popped all about. In that way, as it seemed, was he' wont to kill people. "Å! What are you doing?" he said. He almost burned him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is, the man that had taken the form of sinew.

"O elder brother!"—"EE'! do you think that you are going to keep house? Deer's sinew shall you always be called; feathers shall be tied onto arrows therewith, whenever people make arrows they shall be tied therewith," he said to him. Now he had made it.

They continued on their way. Then, 'tis said, with all sorts of things they wrestled. Now again they arrived at a house, but there was no person there. A+! there was salmon roasted by the fire. "A! Big-nosed Daldal! put on style! I'm going to eat my salmon." There was no person there; there was just a salmon-spear shaft in the house, with the spear-point at its point. Out he took the salmon and ate it. "How is it that they do that way, that there are no people, but just a salmon spear-shaft in the house with a spear-point at its point?" Now the salmon-spear shaft fought with him. So it was that one indeed that kept house. Now again the salmon-spear shaft had almost killed him. "O elder brother!" -"E"! Why didn't he leave it alone?" He took the salmonspear shaft and broke it in two. "Do you think that you are going to keep house? People shall make you, salmon-spear shafts shall be made. People will make salmon-spear shafts, and shall spear salmon with them. So you are not going to keep house," he said to him.

Now again they continued on their way. And again with all sorts of things they wrestled, they always just broke them in two. Now, 'tis said, ten houses they found. In one house there was smoke, one house—smoke was coming up out of one house. They looked inside, but there was no person, just household implements. Now they looked into another house, but there was no person, just household implements. Now they looked into another house, but there was no person, just household implements. Then, 'tis said, they arrived at a house where were one old woman and one little girl. "Ah! Go and get water, I am thirsty. Go and get water," he said. "M+, m+! There is some evil being in the water," said the old woman. "Go quickly and get water, I am thirsty."—"There is

some evil being in the water," said the old woman. "There I shall run," (said the little girl). "In that case you shall cry! In that case you shall cry!" she said. The little girl went for water, dipped up the water. Now she was seized. "Wä+, wä+," she cried. "O yes!" (said Daldal) and ran to the river. "What is it? A+! go and get a basket-bucket, go and get a basket-bucket quickly, quickly! Dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya! Like that shall you always say!" He himself did name himself. "That shall you always say. Always say dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya!" he said to the little girl. Back to the house he returned with her. Then they boiled the Crawfish and they ate it.

Then they proceeded on their way, and arrived down river from a house. Then, 'tis said, he and his younger brother talked. "Across from here I shall go to the elder one, but do you go to the younger one. With those two we are to wrestle," he said. There the elder one went, and went up on top of the house; on top of the house the elder one sat. Inside he went. Daldal's younger brother arrived at the house of the younger one. The wicked person's wife was sitting there, and there little children were sitting. Just one boy, younger brother of the wicked person, was sitting at the door. Daldal's younger brother said, "I'm going to eat salmon. Give me salmon to eat, I'm hungry." When he had eaten the salmon, he gave every one of the little children to eat. Yonder was one sitting by the door. The man's wife was sitting, and he fiddled with her nipples. The boy went out of the house, went to the water. He told his elder brother, "O elder brother, a certain person has arrived at the house and has eaten your salmon, your wife's nipples he has pinched, your salmon he has distributed to the children," he said to him. The fish-net he threw out to shore and went into the house. Daldal's younger brother was eating, salmon he ate. He stepped into the house and almost broke (Daldal's) arm in two; here on his arm he stepped and (nearly) broke it in two. (Daldal) just twisted his arm to one side and stepped right into the salmon. "O friend, let us play!" (said

the wicked man). "I did not come here to play. 'I shall just eat salmon,' I said to myself. Not for play did I come here." —"O friend, let us play with each other!" and he took grass. "Let us play with each other, let us play the grass game!"

Thus, 'tis said, they spoke to each other. And now then Daldal became angry. "It is well! let us, then, play with each other," he said. Out of the house they went; he picked him up and went to the water with him. "M+, m+! Now, it seems, the handsome youth is to be spoiled,"1 they said yonder were the houses of many people. Now they wrestled with each other. "I think I'll cut him through the first time," he thought to himself, but (Daldal) held his ground. "M+, m+! Still the person holds his ground, the handsome youth." Then, 'tis said, they wrestled with each other. From across the river his elder brother saw him. The elder people were on the other side of the river, and one was holding his net for salmon. Daldal the elder was sitting on top of the house. So these youths, the younger ones, did wrestle with each other, k!ídididi went their bodies. "Hm+, hm+! Still they have strength. Never before have they done that," said the people collected together. "O friend, eat your salmon!"—"I do not wish it, let us play with each other. Before I just wanted salmon, now I desire to play."—"O friend, pinch my wife's nipples!"-"I do not wish it, let us wrestle with each other," he said. That one yonder across the river, the elder one. knew that his younger brother was not strong. "Eh!" he said, and threw his fishing-net out to shore. He was about to step across the river. "E"! where are you going? Come this way!" (Daldal) said. This Daldal the elder was sitting on top of the house, he it was that said "This way!" He turned back, picked him up, and set him down; people he used to destroy. At one another they jumped, and then, 'tis said, they wrestled; then their bodies sounded k!ídididi. On the other side of the river he had already cut through the younger one, while the elder ones wrestled. It did not last long before he had cut him

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, killed. See note 1, p. 31.

through. Now the two wicked people, he and his younger brother, were slain. "Do you think that you will be a person?" and to the west he threw him. "The Evening Star you shall always be called, you shall always be called he that comes up in the evening." (To the younger one he said, "You will be) he that comes up in the east early in the morning."

Now Coyote snatched up the fishing-net. "In the water I shall catch salmon," Coyote thought to himself, but he caught only mice in the fishing-net. Again he threw it forth into the water, but caught only gophers. "Eh! you shall not catch salmon," he was told. "In the earth you shall hunt for gophers, mice shall you, for your part, catch," did Daldal say. Then he said, "People shall spear salmon, they will go to get food, to one another will they go to get food; one another they will feed, and they shall not kill one another. In that way shall the world be, as long as the world goes on."

Then, 'tis said, they continued on their way. These things he had said at Di<sup>g</sup>lo<sup>u</sup>mī, in front of the falls he had said so. Right there salmon are always caught in fishing-nets. Then they continued on their way, on they went. Then, 'tis said, they arrived there, they accompanied each other. Now his elder brother went on ahead. Now the elder brother whistled to him; now the elder brother shrunk, while the younger one grew tall. The elder one became short, the younger one became tall. Nowadays these are standing there, mountains they have become. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat ba<sup>a</sup>p'-seeds.'

## 3. Panther and his Deer-Wife.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the conventional method of winding up a Takelma myth. The command is addressed to the children who have gathered around to listen to its recital. They are to go off and gather seeds in order to become active. Too much sitting around listening to stories makes one lazy.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
p!iyin wa-iwī' ge <sup>ɛ</sup> īmíham hūlk' wáada. Mi <sup>i</sup> hūlk' deer girl there they sent her Panther to him. Now Panther
p!iyin wa-iwī' yowòk'. Ga p!íyin wa-iwī' yowogwaná <sup>ɛ</sup> deer girl he married That deer girl when he had her. girl when he had married her,
hen <sup>e</sup> e ánī <sup>e</sup> p!iyin alt!ayàk'. Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> hono <sup>e</sup> alhūyūx then not deer he found Then, it is again he went out them. said, hunting,
ánī <sup>c</sup> k'ai t!omõm. Honó <sup>c</sup> hi wé <sup>c</sup> gia-uda <sup>c</sup> alhūyūx dahõxa not any he killed Again when it was he went out in the them. indeed dawn hunting, evening
yewé <sup>ig</sup> bílam yewé <sup>ig</sup> . <sup>E</sup> ís'ihi s'om ga <sup>g</sup> al hadedīlt'a he returned, empty- he returned. Even mountains to everywhere handed though
wīt' ánī <sup>ɛ</sup> alt!ayak' p!iyìn. Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> hu <sup>u</sup> línt' ya hono <sup>ɛ</sup> he went not he found deer. Then, it is he became just, again about, them said, tired
dahōxa yewé <sup>ig</sup> bílam yewé <sup>ig</sup> . P!iyin yawá-ida <sup>g</sup> mi <sup>ig</sup> sga <sup>g</sup> in the he returned, empty-he returned. Deer they talking, one evening handed
wilì ganau dákt!emēx s'om gwelhók'wal ga ganau house in they assembled, mountain holed underneath that in
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ánī <sup>ε</sup> k'ai t!omòm. Olóm hen <sup>ε</sup> e p!iyin ganàt' t!omomaná <sup>ε</sup> not any he killed Formerly then deer so in when he had them.
wili debü'' cīxum'. Mi ánī k'ai henenák' wãxadìl house full dried Now not any they conhe and his venison. they conhe and his sumed it younger brother
abài cĩxum. Ganẽhi $^\epsilon$ alhūyũx hono $^\epsilon$ be $^\epsilon$ wí $^\epsilon$ alhūyũx inside dried tren, it is he went out hunting devery day he went out hunting,
bílam yewé <sup>i8</sup> . empty- he returned. handed
Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> aga <sup>e</sup> a gūxda p!i <sup>i</sup> wo <sup>e</sup> õ <sup>u</sup> ha. Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> aga Then, it is this, for his wife firewood she used to Then, it is this said, her part, go for it. said, one
p!i bīls mengi wagáwòk Ganēhi dewénxa firewood moss full of she used to bring it. Then, it is to-morrow

i i. e., so many—(that). i = ciix xum, "venison dry."

gwel<sup>e</sup>wāk'wi<sup>e</sup> lawálhida<sup>ɛ</sup> p!ii bīls ánī€ k'ai honó<sup>ε</sup>. whenever it early in the firewood moss not any again. morning became, vewé<sup>iε</sup>. bílam Gwin<sup>ɛ</sup>édi Alhūyūx wede bilam yèūk'. empty- he returned. When He went out not empty- he returned? handed hunting, handed kʻa-ilā'pʻa Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahõuxa laªlīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> ts!ī'k'dagwa when it became Then, it is said, evening woman her own flesh heegsgóugt'k'2 gwélxdagwa ga<sup>e</sup>al. vewé<sup>iɛ</sup> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahōxa she cut it off her own legs Then, it is at. in the he returned (it would seem) said. evening mengíi. p!iyín<sup>e</sup>a hũlk<sup>e</sup>a bãnx Gwidí lemé<sup>8</sup>x? K'a-ilā'p'a they have gone?" full of. "Where hunger deer, for Woman Panther, their part, on his part, mii viwiyá<sup>uɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> sebék' ts!í¹k'dagwa ánī€ CĨX. Then, it is not she spoke. now she her own flesh venison. said, roasted it hülk' vewé<sup>ig</sup> daho<sup>u</sup>xà. Bānx ánī hìs aba-iwōk'de<sup>8</sup> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is Panther he returned "Hunger not nearly in the I arrived evening. said, home," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xuma igíina k'a-ilā'p'a dasálda mats!àk' he said, it is Then, it is foodshe woman, on the she said, took it ground 3 placed it said. xigwàlt'4 Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yok!wōĩ CĨX aga gavaŭ cīx. Then, it is he ate it venison fresh; he knows it this venison venison. said, gasi<sup>e</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> ága yewéida<sup>8</sup> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> abài CĨX xigwàl. hené<sup>ɛ</sup>n in the but now this when he venison fresh. Then, it is it is all gone returns said, house. gelhewéhau hũlk'. báxamàk'\* nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> Gwidi gavaŭ "Whence does she get it?" 5 he was thinking Panther. he said, it is wé<sup>e</sup>gia-uda<sup>e</sup>. gelhewéhana<sup>g</sup> hũlk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honoε alhūyūx Then, it is he went out when it was Panther. again as he thought dawn. said. hunting yewé<sup>ig</sup>. hono<sup>ε</sup> Gwine<sup>e</sup>dí wede Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahõxa bílam empty- he returned. When not Then, it is again in the

i. e., he kept returning empty-handed.

evening

handed

said,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be analyzed as  $hee^{g}$ - $sg\acute{o}ut$ !-k'. This form is inferential, not aorist ( $hee^{g}sg\acute{o}ut$ '), in tense, because the act was done secretly, without direct knowledge on Panther's part. She "must have cut it off," because her own flesh was offered as food.  $Seb\grave{e}k$ ' (1. 6) is also an inferential form, for similar reasons; the aorist is seep'.

<sup>3</sup> Lit., "in front of his feet."

Probably derived from xi, "water." Its literal meaning would then be "having water, juicy."

<sup>5</sup> Lit., "she comes having it."

bílam yèūk'? Ganēhi<sup>g</sup> hen<sup>g</sup>é dahōxà né<sup>e</sup> gwidí baxamàk'<sup>w</sup> empty- he returned? Then, it is then in the "Well, whence handed said, evening get it?"

nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>8</sup>. he said, it is said, as he thought.

> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xū'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wayá<sup>ɛ</sup> gūxda hono<sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is night it became. Then, it is he slept, his wife also said,

wayá<sup>8</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>8</sup> dap!áxa la<sup>a</sup>lē' hūlk'<sup>8</sup>a ánī<sup>8</sup> wayá<sup>8</sup> she slept. Then, it is before day- it became; Panther, not he slept, said, break for his part,

gelhewéhau gwidí aga cĩx<sup>8</sup>a baxamàk'<sup>w</sup>? Ganēhi<sup>8</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>t!ebèt' he was "Whence this venison she gets it?" Then, it is she arose thinking, said,

k'a-ilā'p'a ulúm hen<sup>e</sup>e p!i wagaók'nana<sup>e</sup> bīls mengí.

woman before then firewood when she was wont moss full of.

to bring it

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k'a-ilā'p'a ba<sup>a</sup>t!ebèt' agasi<sup>ɛ</sup> hūlk' ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> wayà<sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is woman she arose and so Panther not he slept; said.

agasi<sup>ɛ</sup> gūxda hūlk' wayá<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>hìs k'a-ilā'p'a. but indeed his wife "Panther he is probably," she almost woman. sleeping said

Ba<sup>a</sup>t!ebét' bīls gayàu. Emé<sup>g</sup>hi alxíik' delgán he<sup>e</sup>sgú<sup>ug</sup>t'ôk'<sup>w</sup> She arose, moss she ate it. Right here he saw her her hams cut away,

Bīls gayaŭ ga haga walá<sup>ɛ</sup> ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nánhak' bīls p!i Moss she ate it, that that in truth that she always did, moss firewood it turned out,

ga<sup>ɛ</sup>àl ga<sup>e</sup>al ánīε k'ài. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bīls p!ĩ sasını. gayaũ not Then, it is moss she ate it firewood she was at any. standing. said,

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alxí<sup>i</sup>k' mi<sup>i</sup> wiláut'agwa īgí<sup>i</sup>na. Mi<sup>i</sup> ts!ayák' Then, it is he saw her, now his own arrow he took it. Now he shot said,

bayuwùn.1 Μi¹ t!īt'gwa wáada bilíue.  $Mi^i$ t!īt'gwa gũxda he missed her. Now his wife her own to him her own she Now husband jumped. husband

wáªda bilíuda<sup>e</sup> mii wet'gi.  $Mi^i$ bai<sup>ɛ</sup>ībilīk'<sup>w</sup> t!ibagwán to him as she his pancreas she took Now she ran out with now it in her hand. jumped, from him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> = ba-iyuwùn. This word is probably a causative formation from yowo-, "to be;" its literal meaning would then be "he caused it to be out."

t!i'lā'p'agit'gwa t!iba wet'gi.  $Mi^i$ bai<sup>ɛ</sup>ībilīk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is her own husband pancreas she took Now she ran out with from him. it in her hand. said,

gwi p!ivin dakt!emĕxda<sup>ɛ</sup> ge<sup>e</sup>yá<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>e</sup> wãk'. that one where that they were deer just there, she yonder assembled, it is said, fetched it.

Ganēhi<sup>8</sup> wi<sup>e</sup>in wik!ĕlhia-uda<sup>ɛ 1</sup> gas i<sup>ε</sup> ganē Then, it is said, different whenever it is daylight, then SO p!iyìn t!eut!áuɛ be<sup>e</sup>wí<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ε</sup> hũlk' t léut lawagwan ball was played with it every day. Then, it is said, they played ball deer, Panther <sup>ɛ</sup>īwat!éut!awak'**\***. Beewi<sup>8</sup> hä +2 ī'<sup>ɛ</sup>da t!ibagwán ga his pancreas that they played ball with it "Hä +! That Every day in their hands.

hūlk' t!ibagwán³ sgeléuda<sup>e</sup> mí<sup>ie</sup>s ts!awìt' ba-ibilí<sup>uɛ</sup>. Yomò "Catch up Panther his pancreas!" as thev one fast he ran out. shouted, with him, runner

t!oìt' hä +² vomói' yomò nagánsa<sup>ɛ</sup>nhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xū′<sup>ε</sup>ne Catch up catch up they used to say to with him, with him!" each other, it is said. one-horned Hä+! Then, it is night deer! said,

hoyó<sup>ε</sup>t' he°dadá<sup>ɛ</sup>si<sup>ɛ</sup> mii laªlīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē p!iyáx ga goyò when it then she danced that medicinebut off fawn now became. yonder woman,

hĩt' lãp'gulùk' hūlk' t!iba wēt'ginma<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> vãk'ʷ with spirit he was about Panther, panas he had been Then, it is Wildcat gone to become creas deprived of. said.

mii īgí¹na. Me<sup>ε</sup>ve<sup>e</sup>k'wànp' wī<sup>e</sup>obí¹ t!ibagwán yap!a now people he took them. "Return you (pl.) my elder his pancreas," hither with it brother

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> vãk'w. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mí¹EsgaE ginînk' vap!a ge he said, it is Wildcat. Then, it is one person there they went one said. after another said,

xū′⁵nè agas i<sup>ε</sup> hovó<sup>ε</sup>t' <sup>€</sup>aldī′ <sup>e</sup>alt!ayàk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> goyo medicine- she danced, at night, but this all she discovered Then, it is woman them. said,

helé<sup>e</sup>lda<sup>e</sup> as she sang:



- Wá ya- we-ne Ló<sup>u</sup>- wa-na, wá-ya we-ne Ló<sup>u</sup>- wa-na, wá-ya we-ne Ló<sup>u</sup>- wa-na.
- 2. Nék'-di ī-de-mes-a wīt', nék'-di ī-de-mes-a wīt', nék'-di ī-de-mes-a wīt'? 'Who right over he goes who right over he goes who right over he goes about?" there about. there there about,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Probably misheard for wek!eelhia-uda<sup>8</sup>, morphologically related as iterative to weegia-udaε, "when it is daylight, next day," as sgot!olh-, "to cut frequentatively," is related to sgoud-, "to cut."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A loud, prolonged whisper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Each word in this sentence is pronounced distinctly and pompously.

 $<sup>\</sup>bullet = yom\dot{o}$ ; -oi because of following y-.

### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> wé <sup>e</sup> gia <sup>ug</sup> hūlk' wá <sup>a</sup> da hiwilí <sup>ug</sup> yãk's'i <sup>g</sup> Then, it is said, it dawned, Panther to him she ran, but Wildcat  müülány ganàu Vãk' <sup>w</sup> salk lok lòk' obi <sup>ig</sup> t' yõgk'ay daldal
mü"lápx ganàu. Yãk' <sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> alk!ok!òk' obí <sup>is</sup> t' yõ <sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> k'au daldàl sweat-house in. "Wildcat ugly-faced, your elder 'Bones crack!" brother,
nagásbi obí <sup>ig</sup> t' naganá <sup>a</sup> k'i <sup>g</sup> .¹ Gwel <sup>g</sup> wãk'wi <sup>g</sup> ge hiwilí <sup>ug</sup> he says your elder she kept saying, Early in the there she ran to you brother,'' it is said. morning
hūlk' wáada. Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> hā <sup>8</sup> yewéok'. Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> t!éut!iwia <sup>u®</sup> Panther to him. Then, it is she always resaid, turned yonder. Said, ball
hūlk' t!ibagwán wa. <sup>8</sup> ī'da hūlk' t!ibagwán. Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> Panther his pancreas with. "That Panther his pancreas." Then, it is said,
mí <sup>ig</sup> sga <sup>g</sup> īgí <sup>i</sup> na hūlk' t!ibagwán bä+² yúmoi yomo one he took it Panther his pancreas. "Bä+! Catch up catch up with him, with him,
t!óit' nagánsa <sup>g</sup> nhi <sup>g</sup> . Gana <sup>g</sup> nex t!eut!á <sup>ug</sup> hūlk' t!ibagwán one-horned they always said to Thus they played Panther his pancreas deer!'' one another, it is said. ball
wà. Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> xū' <sup>g</sup> ne lawálhēt' ganē mi <sup>i</sup> hono <sup>g</sup> hoyó <sup>g</sup> t' with. Then, it is night it used to then now again she danced become,
p!iyàx. Yãk' <sup>w</sup> k'adí nak!à ánī <sup>g</sup> īgí <sup>i</sup> na yap!a aldī' fawn. Wildcat what of all kinds not he took them people? all
p!iyàx. Yãk' k'adí nak!à ánī īgí ina yap!a aldī' fawn. Wildcat what of all kinds not he took them people? all yap!a īgí ina tc!amãl ga waná īgí ina. Aldī' alt!ayàk' people he took mouse that even he took All she discovered them,
goyò <sup>\$\varepsilon \tilde{i}'s is i\varepsilon gwi\varepsilon woman,} gwi\varepsilon ney\varepsilon de da\varepsilon. K!iy\varepsilon'x ganau p!a-iw\varepsilon wilik'\warepsilon medicine- even if any- that they smoke in they came down along with it,</sup>
ga <sup>g</sup> aldī' <sup>g</sup> alt!ayàk'. Gwín <sup>g</sup> e la <sup>a</sup> lē yap!a hené <sup>g</sup> n ánī <sup>g</sup> those all she discovered Long time it became, people they were not them.
nek hūlk' t!ibagwán yeweyàk' <sup>w</sup> . any one Panther his pancreas he returned with it.
Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> yãk' <sup>w</sup> ganē' gi <sup>i</sup> s'i <sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> . Ganē yá <sup>ɛ</sup> . Then, it is Wildcat ''Then I in my he said, it is Then he went. said,
Ganē ge wõk' ge t!éut!iwia-uda <sup>8</sup> . Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> bīls Then there he arrived there (where) they were playing ball.  Then there he arrived there playing ball.  Then, it is moss said,
*algiligálk'wa īū'xdagwa *algiligálhi. Gwi hen*e k!iyí'k'da* he daubed it over his own hands he bedaubed Where then that it fell himself, them.
t!ibàk'w haaeya gwidík'wdanmae géhi īt'eeàl. Ganēhie right he held out his to side as it was thrown, there hand palm up.

 $<sup>=</sup> naganáa^{\epsilon}k'-hi^{\epsilon}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A loud, prolonged whisper.

bå+ ī'da hū'lk' t!ibagwán neyé'hiɛ sgeléudaɛ p!iyìn.
"Bä+! That Panther his pancreas," they said, it as they shouted deer.

Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> hā<sup>e</sup>ī'ūda yá<sup>a</sup> gwidík'<sup>w</sup>dan. Hé<sup>e</sup>ībilīk'<sup>w</sup> mi<sup>i</sup>
Then, it is into his hand just it was thrown. Off he scampered having now said, it in his hand,

t!ibagwán mi<sup>i</sup> īho<sup>u</sup>gwàk'<sup>w</sup> ópxaª īho<sup>u</sup>gwàk'<sup>w</sup> Bä+ vómoi he ran with it his elder "Bä+! his pancreas now he ran with it Čatch up in his hand, brother in his hand. with him,

yomo t!ôìt' yómoi yomð. Mi<sup>i</sup> hü<sup>ü</sup>línt'a<sup>g</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>nawā'<sup>g</sup>k'.
catch up one-horned catch up catch up Now as he was he climbed up with him, with him!" tired a tree.

Ganēhi<sup>©</sup> wī<sup>©</sup>īt'géyek!in. Ganēhi<sup>©</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> dīk!ololán t'gá<sup>a</sup>p'dagwan
Then, it is he was surrounded. Then, it is now he was dug their own horns
said, under

wà. Ganē hagwa<sup>a</sup>lámde<sup>e</sup> dek!i<sup>i</sup>gadá<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yãk'<sup>w</sup>.

with. "Now in my trail you shall fall he said, it is Wildcat.

ahead," said,

Bēm dī<sup>ɛ</sup>īsgū'yūk!in oubán ā'ks'i<sup>ɛ</sup> gelbám s'i<sup>ɛ</sup>ulī.

Tree it was made to fall it was he, however, up above he was by being uprooted, dug up;

Hagwa<sup>a</sup>lámda dák'alk!iyí<sup>g</sup>k' dī<sup>g</sup>īsgū'yūk!in gī<sup>g</sup>wayá<sup>a</sup> p'íwas In his road down it fell, it was made to fall just far off lightly by uprooting; bounding

nagá<sup>ig</sup>. Ganē hé<sup>e</sup>bili<sup>ug</sup>. Bä + yómoi yomo t!oìt'. Gwi<sup>g</sup>nedi he did. Then away he "Bä +! Catch up catch up one-horned When leaped. with him, with him, deer!"

wede īhogwāk'w? Ganē xū''n lāp'gulùk'w dahōxa laalē' not he ran with it Then night it was about evening it became; in his hand?

honó<sup>8</sup>hi ba<sup>a</sup>nawā'<sup>8</sup>k' hü<sup>"</sup>liñt'a<sup>8</sup> ligilagànt' hulū'hilint'a<sup>8</sup>.

again indeed he climbed up as he was he always whenever he was a tree, tired; rested tired.

Ganē ánī<sup>ε</sup> honó⁵ dī<sup>ɛ</sup>īsgūyú<sup>u</sup>k!in Ganēhi<sup>ε</sup> wayá<sup>ɛ</sup> bēm. aldī'. Then not again it was made to fall tree. Then, it is they all. by being uprooted said, slept

Ganē wi<sup>g</sup>īt'geyé<sup>e</sup>k!in yāk'<sup>w</sup>s'i<sup>g</sup> gelbàm. Mi<sup>i</sup> wé<sup>e</sup>giaugulugwán<sup>1</sup>.

Then he was surrounded, Wildcat, up above. Now it was about to be dawn.

Ganē bīls salgiligálk'wa. Ganēhis p!ayewé<sup>ig 2</sup> mí<sup>ig</sup>sgas he daubed it over himself. Then, it is said, he returned down;

t'gáap'da gadák' p!a-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k' wi<sup>ɛ</sup>in hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gadak' s'ówo<sup>ɛ</sup>k'ôp' his horns on top of he came down, another again on top of he jumped, one

¹This word is the periphrastic future of the impersonal and is passive in form. An approximately literal translation would be "it was intended to dawn." 
² = p!a-iyewéi<sup>©</sup>.

ba <sup>a</sup> déyeweyàk' <sup>w</sup> hono <sup>e</sup> wi <sup>e</sup> ín gadak' s'ówo <sup>e</sup> k'ôp'. Ganē he continued on again another on top of he jumped. Then his way,
debin laalīt'as yáa īgoyósk' ganē wahougwak'w. Ganē last one when he just he touched now he was running then became him, along with it.
aldi k'wáax. Bä+ yómoi yomo t!oìt' yomò gawák'di all they "Bä+! Catch up catch up one-horned catch up that one, with him, with him, deer! with him!" it seemed,
hogwá <sup>s</sup> sda <sup>a</sup> yùk'. their runner he evidently was.
Ganē ópxa ba <sup>a</sup> gél <sup>e</sup> p!eyé <sup>e</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> lohógulùk' <sup>w</sup> t!ibagwán Then his elder he lay belly up. Now he was about his pancreas to die
ánī <sup>c</sup> k'ai gūxda wẽt'gigwana <sup>c</sup> ga wat!éut!awagwan.  not any, his wife since she had taken that ball had been played  it from him; with it.
Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> mi <sup>1</sup> aba-iwōk' ópxa t!iba hayawá <sup>a</sup> da xda <sup>a</sup> xdàk' <sup>w</sup> .¹ Then, it is now he arrived his elder pancreas into his ribs he threw it. said, home; brother
Ganē ā'k!a mūlápx ganau hiwilí <sup>u</sup> . Mi¹ sgísi ge yùk' Then he, for sweat-house in he ran. Now Coyote there he turned his part, out to be
mülápx ganau. Ganēhi <sup>s</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> p!iyín <sup>s</sup> a wõk'. Ganē hūlk' sweat-house in. Then, it is now deer, for they Then Panther said, their part, arrived.
ba <sup>a</sup> yewé <sup>ig</sup> . Ganē ts!ayák' mahmí <sup>i</sup> t'a <sup>a</sup> . Ganē yāk!wa <sup>2</sup> p!iyax he revived. Then he shot at them the big ones. Then Wildcat, for his part,
ts!ayàk' sgísidil aªɛyà³ p!iyáx ts!ayàk' háªɛga hūlk' he shot at he and they, for fawns they shot at that one Panther them, Coyote their part, them, yonder
them, Coyote their part, them, yonder p!iyin maháit'a ts!ayàk. Mi p!iyin t'gaa gidī yewéig. deer big ones he shot at Now deer land upon they them.
Gehi yáxa gi <sup>ig</sup> a yok!woyá <sup>g</sup> n. Ganē aga bo <sup>u</sup> p!íyin Just only Í, for I know it. Now this today deer there my part,
t'ga debu la le he nè p!iyin a ánī k'ai lāp'k' gas'i land full they have then deer, for not any it turned out but become, their part, that they became,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This word is used of the throwing of a soft, nasty object. Cf. xdaan, "eel."

 $<sup>^{2} = \</sup>gamma a^{a}k^{\cdot w} \epsilon a.$ 

 $a = aai^{\epsilon} \dot{a}$ .

<sup>&#</sup>x27;ani', "not," does not go with laap'k', which, as an inferential form, would require wede, but merely with k'ai; ánis k'ai is equivalent to "none."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These forms are inferentials. Though the verbs briefly recapitulate some of the points of the preceding myth, they are not employed for the purpose of narrating a story, but rather of accounting for present-day conditions; hence the inferential, not the aorist, mode.

bo<sup>ug</sup>a p!iyìn gwalà laªlē'. He<sup>ɛ</sup>ne p!ivin aldī ts!āīp'k'' today they hid deer many they have Then deer all indeed themselves, gagal wa-iwii hūlk' he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>e</sup>k'wana<sup>ɛ</sup>¹ ók'igam' doumiá ga that Panther because he was for girl he was killing destroying them; given her him Bōu wede yāk'w ga<sup>g</sup>àl. woók'i<sup>ɛ</sup> hūlk'<sup>ɛ</sup>a ópxa t!ibagwán To-day, not Wildcat his elder if he had for. his pancreas Panther, for brother gone for it, his part, ħõ<sup>u</sup>  $Mi^i$ heedelélek!ign² lohó<sup>ε</sup>. dé<sup>ɛ</sup>winìt' p!alák'wa gehi today he would Now I have finished it just there going so far myth, be dead. yok!oyá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. I, for my part, I know it.

### Translation.

A house there was, Panther and his younger brother Wildcat. Every day he went out hunting, the deer he killed off. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; he had caused the deer to disappear. The deer were talking among themselves, "Panther has killed us off." A certain deer-girl they sent there to Panther. Panther married the deer-girl. When he had married that deergirl, then he found no more deer. Then he went out hunting again, but did not kill any. Again, when it was dawn, he went out hunting; in the evening he returned, returned emptyhanded. Even though he went about everywhere in the mountains, he found no deer. Then did he become tired, returned again in the evening, returned empty-handed. To talk among themselves did the deer assemble in a certain house; in a mountain cave, therein did they assemble. Then, 'tis said, he was dying of hunger; a long time had elapsed and he had not killed any. Formerly so many deer had he killed that the house was full of dried venison. Now he and his younger brother consumed no dried venison in the house. Then, 'tis said, he went out hunting again; every day he went out hunting, but returned empty-handed.

Now this wife of his, for her part, used to go for firewood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The -k'wa- implies that the deer were then conceived of as persons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lit., "I have put it off in front."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Inferentials.

And she was wont to bring firewood covered with moss. Then, whenever the morrow came early in the morning, the firewood no longer was covered with moss. He went out hunting, but empty-handed he returned. How long did he not keep returning empty-handed? Then, 'tis said, when the evening came, the woman cut off her own flesh from her legs. Then Panther, for his part, returned in the evening, full of hunger. "Where have the deer all gone?" (said Panther). The woman did not speak. Now then, 'tis said, she roasted her own flesh as venison. Then Panther returned in the evening. "Because of hunger I nearly did not arrive home," he said. Then the woman took the food and placed the venison down on the ground in front of Then he ate the fresh venison. He knew that this venison had all been consumed in the house, but now when he returns, there is fresh venison. Then he ate it; Panther kept thinking about it. "Where did she get it from?" said Panther, as he thought about it. Then, when it was dawn, he went out hunting again. Then again he returned empty-handed in the evening. How long did he not keep returning empty-handed? Then, 'tis said, that evening, as he thought about it, he said to himself, "Well, where did she get it from?"

Then night came on. And then he slept, also his wife did sleep. Then, as the morning twilight came, Panther, for his part, did not sleep, but kept thinking, "Whence, now, did she get this venison?" Then the woman arose at the time when she was wont to bring firewood, covered with moss. Now the woman arose, and Panther was not sleeping; but his wife, "Panther must be sleeping," said the woman. She arose, ate the moss. Right here he saw her hams cut away, from her own legs had she cut off venison; as food, it turned out, did she give him her own flesh. Moss she ate, and that indeed was why it always happened that there was no moss on the firewood. Then, 'tis said, she ate the moss as she stood by the firewood. Now he saw her and seized his arrow. Now he shot at her, but missed her. And his wife jumped at her husband, and as she jumped at her husband, she took away

from him his pancreas. Now she ran out with it in her hand, her own husband she had deprived of his pancreas. Now away did she run, having it in her hand. Then, 'tis said, yonder where the deer were assembled together, just there did she bring it.

Then, every time it dawned, then every day shinny-ball was played with it. Now the deer played ball; Panther's pancreas, therewith did they play shinny-ball. Every day, as they shouted, "Hä+! That is Panther's pancreas!" a certain fast runner rushed out. "Catch up with him, one-horned deer! Hä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him!" they used to say to each other. Then, as night came on, a fawn, a medicine-woman that one, danced, but off yonder Panther now was about to lose his spirit, for of his pancreas he had been deprived. Then Wildcat now did take various people. "Do you all come back with my elder brother's pancreas," said Wildcat. Then one person after another went there in the night, but this medicine-woman danced, discovered them all. She sang, tis said:

Wáyawene Ló<sup>u</sup>wana, wáyawene Ló<sup>u</sup>wana, wáyawene Ló<sup>u</sup>wana. Who goes about right over there, who goes about right over there, who goes about right over there?

Then it dawned and to Panther she ran, but Wildcat was in the sweat-house. "Ugly-faced Wildcat, your elder brother, 'Crack bones!' says to you your elder brother," she kept saying. Early in the morning there she ran to Panther. Then yonder she always returned. And then with Panther's pancreas shinny-ball they played. "That there is Panther's pancreas," (they shouted). Then a certain one took Panther's pancreas. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer!" they kept saying to one another. In that way they played shinny-ball with Panther's pancreas. Then night used to come on, and now again the fawn danced. What sort of people did not Wildcat take? All the people he took, even the mouse he took. All of them the medicine-woman discovered, no matter

what they did. Down in the smoke they came, but all of those she discovered. A long time elapsed, the people had all been tried, but no one returned with Panther's pancreas.

Then Wildcat said, "Now I in my turn!" Then off he went. Now there he arrived, there where they were playing shinny-ball. Then he daubed moss all over himself, his hands he bedaubed. Wherever the pancreas fell as it was thrown from side to side, right there he held out his hand palm up. Now the deer said, "Bä+! That there is Panther's pancreas," shouting. Then right into his hand was it thrown. Off he scampered with it, ran with it now in his hand, ran off with his elder brother's pancreas in his hand. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer! Catch up with him, catch up with him!" Now as he was tired he climbed up a tree, and then on all sides was he surrounded. Now then it was dug under with their own horns. "Now in my own trail shall you fall ahead," said Wildcat (to the tree). The tree was made to fall by being uprooted, it was dug up, but he was sitting up above. Down in his trail it fell, it had been made to fall by uprooting. Far off he just lightly bounded, and away he leaped. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer!" How long did he not run with it in his hand? Now night was about to come, evening it became, and again he climbed up a tree, for he was tired. Always he rested whenever he was tired. And not again was the tree made to fall by being uprooted. Then all did sleep; now he was surrounded on all sides, while Wildcat was up above. Now it was about to dawn, and moss he daubed all over himself. Then down he went back; down on the horns of one he came down, again on another one he jumped, continued on his way, again on another one he jumped. Then just as he came to the last one, he touched him, now as he was running along with (the pancreas). Then all awoke. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer! Catch up with him!" That one, it seemed, was their runner.

Now his elder brother lay belly up. Now he was about to

die, for he had no pancreas, his wife having taking it from him; therewith shinny-ball had been played. Now then (Wildcat) arrived at home; his elder brother's pancreas he threw within his ribs. Then he, for his part, did run into the sweathouse, and Coyote there turned out to be in the sweat-house. Then now, 'tis said, the deer, for their part, did arrive. Now Panther revived, then shot at the big ones. And Wildcat, for his part, shot at the fawns; he and Coyote, for their part, did shoot at the fawns, but that Panther yonder shot at the big deer. Now the deer had returned upon the land

Just so far do I, for my part, know. Now this day the land has become full of deer; at that time the deer ceased to be, but nowadays the deer have become many. Then the deer all hid themselves, for Panther was destroying them; for that reason was the girl given to him, in order to kill him. Had not Wildcat gone to get his elder brother's pancreas, Panther, for his part, would be dead today. Now I have finished this story; proceeding just so far do I, for my part, know.

## 4. Panther and Coyote.

Wíli <sup>i</sup> Their hous	yowò <sup>8</sup> se it was	hũlk' Panther y	wãxadìl he and his counger brothe	WÃXA his younger r, brother	yãk'™ Wildcat,
no <sup>u</sup> gadási <sup>g</sup> but down below from them	sgisi níx Coyote he a mo	kadil. All	nūyū'hix l	hũlk' p!iyì: anther, deer	n gwala
t!omóômt'. he used to kill them.	Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> Then, it is said,	be <sup>e</sup> wì <sup>g</sup> every day	cīx t!om@ leer he kill them	ÕM WÃXAS led but his n, younger bro	i <sup>e</sup> abài <sup>e</sup> in the ther house
xuma k!em	má <sup>ɛ</sup> s. Gan	iga ga n	a <sup>g</sup> nagá <sup>ig</sup> d		cīx wíli
debü <sup>üg</sup> wã: full; bu younge	xasi <sup>e</sup> yán t his fat r brother	nx yaxa merely	gayaũ he ate it,	ánī <sup>©</sup> CīX not deer	ts!í¹k'da its flesh
gayaîk'. N he used to Do eat it. fro	O <sup>u</sup> gada sg wn below Coy om them	ísi níxad zote he and h mothe:	il ho¹ k!	eléi Wíli <sup>i</sup> . bark their house.	
Ganē Then, it i	hi <sup>g</sup> daba s said, long	lníxa la time it b	a <sup>a</sup> lē'. Ga ecame. Then,	nēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> gw: it is said, so	icíwôk'di mewheres or other

<sup>1</sup> So heard for xo.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

daªleelagwán xamí¹xa dā<sup>e</sup>yaná<sup>a</sup> hũlk' s'ĩx he<sup>e</sup>īlemé<sup>e</sup>k'. by the sea he was heard about chief Panther, deer he destroyed them. alt'gúigs' Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wá-iwī gā'p<sup>ɛ</sup>ini vá٤. s'em t'awãxadìl Then, it is girls two ducks white she and her they said, younger sister Da<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>e</sup>aganín me<sup>ɛ</sup>dàt' wilíi sgísi dit'gāũ sgísi hũlksi his He was heard about, Coyote on this west of Coyote; but the land it is said. side house Panther wilii nevéehi<sup>§</sup> gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx daªgan. ga<sup>e</sup>a gwent'gāũ ge his they said, east of the that one, there thus they heard land for his part, house it is said; of them. wa-iwii wõk'iaugı Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yūt!ùn gā'p<sup>ɛ</sup>ini t'awãxadìl ge Then, it is white girls two she and her there they ducks younger sister said. arrived ga<sup>e</sup>àl. p!ebéxa<sup>8</sup>  $mi^i$ sgísi Ganē sgísi. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> liwáª he peeled bark Coyote. Then, it is said, now Then Coyote at. looking gā'p!ini baxá<sup>ɛ</sup>m. <sup>ɛ</sup>a! gwidi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagaīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>? two they come. "<sup>ɛ</sup>A! How am I going to do?" nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> dũ How am I going to do?" he did; girls pretty T'gwa he<sup>e</sup>lamá<sup>a</sup> nāk'i t'gwa heelamáa k!emán. "'Thunder its board,'2 say to it! thunder its board make it!" "My mother du<sup>u</sup>gwí<sup>i</sup> dīdu<sup>u</sup>gwànk' S'elēk'w ohóp' nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup> sgísi. ohòp'her skirt she shall wear it," he said, Coyote. "Acorn shells³ it is said. pestle T'gwa wihìn nagá<sup>i</sup>. he<sup>e</sup>lamá<sup>a</sup> īlū'pxagwankʻ wilii wihin having it in her hands mother," "Thunder its board house my mother cū'galt'a nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup>. ganàu she shall sit," he said, it is said. wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> s'ás' nagàis. gā'p!ini Gwidi Then girls two coming to they did. "Where Panther a stand wilíi.  $M_{i}$ sgísi wilíi. Gii séendiea eît'e. yamadán sendi he was asked Coyote Panther his Now his Panther, I am." house?" house. for my part, Μi¹ īgovó<sup>u</sup>xa<sup>e</sup>n wa-iwii k!wált'a īguyú<sup>8</sup>k' t'ópxa dalõ"lE Now they nudged girl younger one her elder she nudged "He lies." each other, sister her: ánī⁵ was'í<sup>ε</sup>. Maháit'ā séendi nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup>. sgísi sgís1 ga Coyote indeed." The elder "Not Coyote, that Panther," she said, it is said. one Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> Wa-iwí¹t'an īdáεlĩ wilít'k<sup>e</sup>à. Ba\*dé<sup>ɛ</sup>yeweyàk'\*. "Girls. right there my house." They continued on Then, it is their way. said.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Properly speaking, this form is impersonal. An expressed subject, as here t'awaaxadil, more correctly requires the form wouk'.

<sup>2&</sup>quot;Thunder's board" is the Takelma term for "lumber."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These shell ornaments are described as half black and bean-like in shape.

A myth name of Panther.

Lit., "mouth-plays."

aba-iginí <sup>ɛ</sup> k' xilamanà selēk'* īlobóxak'* sgísi níxa. they came to they, acorn she was pounding Coyote his mother the house pestle with it in her hand mother	
Ganēhi <sup>¢</sup> p!ayuwó <sup>¢</sup> xilamanà alxalī ánī <sup>¢</sup> dabalníxa. Gwid Then, it is they sat down they; they were not long. "When said, seated"	е
se <sup>e</sup> ndi wili mi <sup>i</sup> yamadán mologuláp'a sgísi níxa. Panther his house?'' now she was asked old woman, Coyote his mother	١.
Gwent'gāŭ hinwadà ge wili nagá-ihi mologolā'p'a "East side of towards up there his house," she said, it is said,	•
Ma <sup>¢</sup> a nagásbinda <sup>¢</sup> bo <sup>u</sup> sé <sup>e</sup> ndi nagaīt' sgísi nagásbi <sup>¢</sup> r ''You, for though I said just Panther you said, Coyote I said to your part, to you now,	1
naga t'ópxa. Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> ba-iyewé <sup>i8</sup> . Mi <sup>1</sup> yá <sup>8</sup> ba <sup>a</sup> déyeweyàk' <sup>w</sup> she said her elder Then, it is they went Now they they started again to her sister. said, out again. went on their journey.	
Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> dabalníxa la <sup>a</sup> lē' mi <sup>i</sup> yewé <sup>ig</sup> sgísi. Hindé Then, it is said, long time it became, now he returned Coyote. "Mother	ē
gwidi wayá <sup>ug</sup> t' k!wált'ā <sup>a</sup> andi k'ai dák'da <sup>a</sup> da wíli where your daughter- the younger Not any over her house in-law one? (inter.)	
hanhogwàl? K'ái nagaīt'? Wayá <sup>ug</sup> t' k!wált'a² dák'da²da holed through?" "What did you "Your daughter- the younger over her	
ándi <sup>8</sup> wili hánhogwàl? Gemé <sup>8</sup> di gi <sup>1</sup> wayáuxagwat' yúk'a <sup>8</sup> ; not house holed through?'' "How I having daughterdo in-law to be?"	? e
Boueà wa-iwiit'an aba-inagaie séendi waada ginigiyaue  Just now, girls they were in Panther to him they have	1
nagá-ihi <sup>g</sup> mologuláp'a ga nagá <sup>ig</sup> . Sk'á <sup>2</sup> nagaīt'? Mi she said, old woman that she said. "What did you Nov it is said, say?"	
abaiginí <sup>g</sup> k' mi <sup>i</sup> t!omōm níxa. Ganēhi <sup>g</sup> ba-iyewé <sup>ig</sup> mi he went into now he killed his Then, it is he went out now the house, her mother. said, again,	i <sup>i</sup> v
he bilius. Mi hósk' mi swadák'. Mī'+ihis aba-iwõk' he ran off. Now he ran, now he pursued Now very they arrived in them. nearly the house	n
se <sup>e</sup> ndi wá <sup>a</sup> da. Mi <sup>i</sup> t!os·ó <sup>u</sup> hā'pʻda alt!ayàkʻ mi Panther to him. Now slightly a little he discovered now them,	i

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  This form also is impersonal, though the logical reference is to wa-iwlitan, "girls."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Coyote is now greatly excited, hence uses the meaningless but characteristic "coyote prefix" s-.

wiyimàt' wa-iwi gā'p <sup>g</sup> inì. V he exercised his girls two. supernatural power upon them	Vounā'k'w nagá-ihi <sup>©</sup> wounā'k'w he said, it old is said;
la <sup>a</sup> lē'. Mi <sup>i</sup> sé <sup>e</sup> ndi wá <sup>a</sup> da they became. Now Panther to him	aba-iwōkʻia <sup>ug</sup> yākʻ <sup>w</sup> s'i <sup>g</sup> ulī as they arrived Wildcat he was in the house, sitting;
mologolā'p'a gā'p'ini aba-iwō old women two they arriv in the hou	ved Panther to him, their
desgwôgwènt' yeléxda desgwôgw worn out, their burden worn out baskets	vènt' mologolā'p'agan yū'k!alx t, old women teeth
wák'i <sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'a gā'p <sup>ɛ</sup> ini without, old women two	t'awaxadil bēm īk!wenéhi. she and her younger sister sticks they held them in their hands.
Hūlk' ánī <sup>®</sup> k'ài alhūyũxk'. Panther not any; he was out hunting.	
Mi <sup>i</sup> hi <sup>ɛ</sup> dahõ <sup>u</sup> xa la <sup>a</sup> lē^. Now, it evening it became. is said,	Mi <sup>i</sup> cīx ligìk' <sup>w</sup> hūlk'. Now venison he brought Panther. it home
Mi <sup>i</sup> yãk' <sup>w</sup> ganē wik!asíhan Now Wildcat, "Now my maternal grandmothers	mé <sup>¢</sup> wők' nagá-ihi <sup>¢</sup> yãk' <sup>w</sup> they have he said, Wildcat, arrived here,'' it is said,
ópxa gwenhegwé <sup>e</sup> hagwanhi. his elder brother he related it to him.	K!ulsát'a <sup>a</sup> ² ók'i p!ãn "Soft (food) give them, liver
ók'i nagá <sup>ig</sup> sé <sup>e</sup> nda. Ganēh: give he said Panther. Then, it is them,"	is liver he always Then, it is gave to them. said,
wéegia-udae alhūyū'hi <sup>i</sup> x honoe when it was he was wont to again dawn, go out hunting	Panther, every day he was wont to go out hunting;
dal <sup>e</sup> wi <sup>e</sup> p!iyáx ligìk' <sup>w</sup> . K!así <sup>ie</sup> t' sometimes fawn he brought it home. "Your maternal grandmother	them to him,
wãxa gasi <sup>e</sup> p!ān ogó <sup>e</sup> ak'i.  his younger and that liver he used to give to them	Then, it is long time it became.
Ganēhi <sup>8</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> yana lobolàp' mo Then, it is now acorns they kept said, pounding them	ologolā'p'ak!an. Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> xi old women. Then, it is water said,
t'ũ yānk' k!aªwánxa² yana hot they took with them, basket-pan,	k!a*wànt'. Ganē xi t'ũ they sifted them Then water hot in basket-pan.

¹This "wish" is preceded by a whiff of air blown by Coyote. ²Lit., "wormy." Cf. k/ûls, "worm."

di <sup>i</sup> çī'ūda p!a-it'gwilí <sup>i</sup> ɛx. Mi <sup>i</sup> (inspiratory breath) nagáiɛ. Mi <sup>i</sup> t'awã mí <sup>i</sup> on top of it dropped down. Now she did. Now "O younger Now sister!
alxī' <sup>ɛ</sup> k' ā+ īūxdék' alt'gú <sup>iɛ</sup> s' la <sup>a</sup> lē'. Ne <sup>e</sup> p!agaīt'e <sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> see! Oh, my hand white it has Well, I'll bathe,'' she said, it is said,
maháit'ā ga na <sup>g</sup> nagá <sup>ig</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> xambilí <sup>ug</sup> hanyá <sup>a</sup> hi ba <sup>a</sup> t'é <sup>e</sup> x.  the elder one that she did. Now she jumped just on the she into the water, other side emerged.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
han. Maawí <sup>ɛ</sup> p!ãk' nagáhi <sup>ɛ</sup> t'awãxa. Mi <sup>i</sup> hono <sup>ɛ</sup> p!agá <sup>iɛ</sup> on the "You too bathe!" she said to her younger Now also she other side. her, it is said, sister. bathed
haxiyà k!wált'a. Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> hánya almī <sup>e</sup> s ba <sup>a</sup> t'é <sup>e</sup> x.  in the the younger Then, it is now just together they water one. said, across emerged.
Mi <sup>i</sup> ganát'i <sup>1</sup> la <sup>a</sup> lē' hop!e <sup>ɛ</sup> n sé <sup>e</sup> nda wá <sup>a</sup> da dũ hen <sup>ɛ</sup> e Now being in the they long ago Panther to him pretty then same way became,
yáada <sup>8</sup> ganáthi laalē' wa-iwíit'an dũ t'awãxadìl. when they being in the they girls pretty she and her went same way became younger sister.
Ganáhan mé <sup>g</sup> al yewé <sup>ig</sup> . Ganē yana ba-ihemék' aba-iyewé <sup>ig</sup> Being as on this side they Then acorns they took they returned into the house
wa-iwī du <sup>g</sup> ū'. Ganē yene² s'omòt'. Mi <sup>i</sup> yãk!wa³ girls pretty. Then acorns they cooked Now "O Wildcat, them.
k!así <sup>ig</sup> t' lā'ula-usam hop!ē <sup>g</sup> nà obí <sup>ig</sup> t' yoguyà ga <sup>g</sup> al your maternal he's been calling long ago, your elder to marry for grandmothers us; however, brother him
me <sup>ɛ</sup> ginigìk' gas'i <sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi wiyimásam. Ganē ya <sup>a</sup> nìk' no <sup>u</sup> here we came, but that Coyote he 'poisoned' us. Now we are down going away, river
yeweyìk' nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí <sup>i</sup> t'an. we return,'' they said, girls. it is said,
Mi <sup>i</sup> ya <sup>a</sup> niyá <sup>uç</sup> hūlk's i <sup>ç</sup> ánī <sup>ç</sup> k'ai alhūyūx Now they are gone but Panther not any; he was out away hunting,

<sup>1 =</sup> ganát' hi; cf. gáhi, "the same."

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  So heard for yana. The first a is palatalized to e by the preceding y; the second a is made to correspond to it, owing to the feeling that Takelma has for repeated vowels in dissyllabic stems.

 $<sup>3 =</sup> yaak'w^{-1}a.$ 

<sup>·</sup>So heard for yogwid.

gwel <sup>e</sup> wãk'wihì alhūyū'hi <sup>i</sup> x. early in the morning, indeed, he used to go to hunt.	Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwi <sup>i</sup> t'an mi <sup>i</sup> yá <sup>ɛ</sup> Then, it is girls now they went,
ánī <sup>e</sup> k'ai mī. Ganē yã	k!wa² dak'wilī gini <sup>g</sup> k. Hē+
not any now. Then W	ildcat, on top of he went. "Hē+
for	his part, the house
obēyā'+ gūxde <sup>g</sup> ya <sup>g</sup> 1	mī+ obēvā'+. Mi¹ sgelēl <sup>g</sup>
elder your wives they have	now, elder Now he kept
brother! gone away	brother!" shouting,
sgelewált' ópxa obiya gűxde he shouted his elder "Elder your to him brother, brother, wives hä+1 obiya me <sup>8</sup> yàu gű/xd	yá <sup>§</sup> nagáhi <sup>§</sup> sgelé <sup>u§</sup> . ō+ they have he said to him, he gone," it is said, shouted.
bä+¹ obiya me <sup>8</sup> yèu gū'xd bä+! elder come Your brother, back! wives	they have he said, it Now he
hulk' ópxa gwenhegwéhag	wanhi gwenhegwéhôk'* wa-iwī'
Panther; his elder	him, he told him about "Girls
brother he related it to	them,
du <sup>g</sup> ū'. K!así <sup>ig</sup> t' le <sup>e</sup> wilá-usi	negés i. Gana <sup>ɛ</sup> nèx gwenhegwé-
pretty. 'Your maternal he has been	they said Thus he related
grandmother calling me,'	to me."
hagwanhi ópxa. Ganē it to him his elder "Now brother.	yant'e nagái hulk'. Gane I am he said Panther. Then going,"
tc!ulx īgíina baadinígk' strings of he took he strung dentalia them, them up,	
baadiik'dak'. Gane aga xaas	góusgi <sup>©</sup> ga lohót'e <sup>©</sup> nagáhi <sup>©</sup>
he stood it up. "Now this if it	breaks <sup>2</sup> (in) that I shall be he said to him,
(string) as	under, (case) dead," it is said,
wãxa. S'elēk'* dīsgü'sx	gi <sup>©</sup> xa <sup>a</sup> k!ósgi <sup>©</sup> ga <sup>a</sup> lohót'e <sup>e</sup>
his younger "Acorn if it falls do	wn, if it breaks, (in) that I shall be
pestle	(case) dead,"
nagáhi <sup>ç</sup> . he said to him, it is said.	
Then he went his own off, wives	them.
sméla <sup>ug</sup> x dé <sup>e</sup> da sãk' <sup>w</sup> wá-iw	i <sup>i</sup> t'an ánī <sup>ɛ</sup> gwénliwila <sup>uɛ</sup> sméla <sup>uɛ</sup> x
arrow in front he shot gir	ls not they looked arrow
shafts of them them,	behind; shafts
ba <sup>a</sup> yãnk' <sup>w</sup> yeléxdagwan g	anau mats!àk'. Ganē mi <sup>i</sup>
they picked their own burden	in they put Then now
them up, baskets	them.
daats!aawan wõk' henee ya	ta waahimit' t!i't'gwan. Ganē
by the ocean they then jus	they talked their own Then
arrived,	to him husband.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pronounced in a loud whisper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lit., "if it 'cuts' (intr.) apart, if it parts."

ei wáada saagwán. Ei gadã <sup>ɛ</sup>ís i<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ái gwala ne<sup>e</sup>yáuk'i<sup>ɛ</sup> canoe to him it was "Canoe along- even things many if they say, paddled. side of

wede ge li<sup>i</sup>wàt' nagá<sup>ig</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an t!í<sup>i</sup>t'gwan ga nagà.

not there look," they said girls, their husband that they said to him.

li wat' gwala Wede haxiyá ísi<sup>ε</sup> kʻai nãxbiyauk'i<sup>ɛ</sup> wede "Not in the look even things many if they should not water say to you, though

li¹wàt'. Ganē hansaªgwán. k'ái gwala ge Ganē nagàn there look." Then he was paddled things many he was Then across. said to

hũlk' alk!ok!òk' gwinát'na<sup>g</sup> ga <sup>g</sup>áldi k'ái gwala nagánhi<sup>g</sup>.

Panther, ugly-faced; in what way that all things many he was said to, it is said.

Oloms i nagaik'wa<sup>8</sup> haxiyá li<sup>i</sup>wàt' gũxda ga wede "Not look!" Though his wives that they had said in the before to him. water

nagaīk'wa<sup>g</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> ts !ini<sup>i</sup>ts !anx haxiyà liwilá<sup>ug</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> ei they had said now he became angry, in the he looked. Now canoe to him,

p!a-ihá-u<sup>ɛ</sup>t'gú<sup>u</sup>px.¹ Mi¹ mülú<sup>u</sup>k!an hülũn mülü'<sup>ūɛ</sup>k'wa it upset. Now he was sea monster he swallowed swallowed. him,

gūxdas i ba-iwõk'.
but his wives they arrived to shore.

Mi<sup>i</sup> yap!a aldí īgí<sup>i</sup>nan ya<sup>a</sup>lgá<sup>g</sup>s. Yalgámt' nagán. Now people all they were divers. "Dive for they were taken him!" said to.

gwelginí<sup>g</sup>k' ánī⁵ K'ai είς·iε nek gwala hagwelxiyà. not anyone he reached at the bottom Beings many although of the water. bottom

īginan ánī nek gwelgini he reached they were not anyone he reached they always just floated up; whenever taken,

ánī€ yalá\*k'da\* wõk' ba<sup>a</sup>yá<sup>a</sup>t'ek!élhix. yap!a hagwelxiá that they they at the bottom people not they always just dived. of the water arrived. floated up.

Mi'si<sup>8</sup> k'a-ilā'p'a s'ink'wôk!wá<sup>a</sup> k!oloi hā'p'di lãl. Gi<sup>8</sup>
But now woman Mud-cat basket small she was "I twining it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lit., "(scooped-out object) set (itself) down under." Cf. dakt'gúvba<sup>e</sup>n, "I put on a hat," lit., "I set (scooped-out object) on top."

yaxā' wa <sup>g</sup> alna <sup>a</sup> ná <sup>g</sup> n¹ nagá-ihi <sup>g</sup> . Ganē sgísi s' <sup>g</sup> ā'k'² yaxa indeed I can get close she said, Then Coyote, "She indeed to him," it is said.
*alnan nagá <sup>ig</sup> k'a-ila'p'a ga nagà. Aga ganát' yap!a she can get he said, woman that he said "These so many people to her.
yeláak'dat' ánīt wanā emet néidat nagát yap!a ganat' although not even here that they he said, "people so many they dived, did,"
yelá <sup>a</sup> k'da <sup>e</sup> sgísi ga nagá <sup>ie</sup> k'ailā'p'a la <sup>a</sup> màl. Gi <sup>i</sup> yaxá although Coyote that he said, woman he quarreled "I indeed they dived," with her.
he <sup>c</sup> alna <sup>a</sup> ná <sup>c</sup> n <sup>1</sup> k!oloī hā'p'di wala <sup>a</sup> láuhi. Cma yaxa I can go off and basket small she kept twining "You indeed get close to him," it while (talking).
*alna*nàt'. A'nī* k'ai nagái ánī* dak'da*hāl k!oloi hā'p'di you can get Not any- she said, not she answered basket small close to him!"
lãl. Yap!a hené <sup>ɛ</sup> n ā'k'da <sup>ɛ</sup> xi heyé <sup>ɛ</sup> x. Mi <sup>i</sup> yap!a aldī'l she People they were she alone she was Now people all twined it.
yalá <sup>g</sup> k' gasi <sup>g</sup> ā'k'da <sup>g</sup> xi heyé <sup>g</sup> x. Mi <sup>i</sup> hi <sup>g</sup> dat!abák' k!oloī they had but that she alone she was left over. Now, she finished it basket, dived, one
dakt'gúubamt'. Neesie masíe alnaanáen naga-idáe nagánhie. she covered it over. "But you indeed, close to it," said," she was said to, it is said.
Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> xamginí <sup>e</sup> k' dexiyá xamwilí <sup>ue</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> xamginí <sup>e</sup> k' Then, it is she went into in front of she proceeded Now she went into the water. water
haxiyà ā'ksi <sup>ɛ</sup> yalá <sup>ɛ</sup> k' yap!a bús la <sup>a</sup> lē' āks i <sup>ɛ</sup> bo <sup>u</sup> gan <sup>e</sup> in the she too she dived, people gone they had she too now then water; become;
yalá <sup>e</sup> k'. she dived.
Mi hinau tc!olx sgóus hūlk wili ganàu ulúm Now up river (string of) it parted Panther his in, formerly

¹ Potential causative of nagai: na- with prefixes  $wa^{\epsilon}$ , "together," or  $he^{\epsilon}$ , "away," and al-.

dentalia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Coyote speaks with contemptuous irony, hence the "coyote prefix" s-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lit., "this being or acting." The verb stem na-, of rather indefinite meaning, is often used to signify "to be many."

So heard for yaldak'das.

<sup>\*</sup>Subordinate form of  $ne^{\epsilon}y\ell^{\epsilon}$ , instead of the regularly formed  $ney\ell^{\epsilon}da^{\epsilon}$ ;  $ne^{\epsilon}y\ell^{\epsilon}$  is the agrist impersonal of the verb nagai:na.

Probably for gani.

<sup>7</sup> It is worthy of note that the verb sgoud: sgout!- is a second class intransitive with -x suffix when a single spontaneous cut or break is referred to, but a first class intransitive when the activity is repeated. Hence 3rd per. aorist sgous (= \*sgoud-x) but  $sgotlosga^{\epsilon}t'$  (with the  $^{\epsilon}$  characteristic of first class intransitives), not \*sgotlosgas, as might perhaps have been expected.

brother!

hen<sup>g</sup>è aba-iba<sup>a</sup>diník!ana<sup>g</sup>. Mi¹ sgot!ósga<sup>g</sup>t'¹ t'élma disguyū'<sup>g</sup>x then he having stretched it aloft in the house. Now it parted in acorn it dropped down,

yãk'\* Mi luhú<sup>ig</sup>. t'agáie xaªk!ot'k!às. ópxa Mi¹hi<sup>ε</sup> it broke to pieces. Now Wildcat his elder he had Now, it he cried. died. brother is said,

dák'wili giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'.
on top of he went.
the house

brother!

Ha-i o-bē-yā' ha-i o-bē-yā' ha-i o-bē-yā' ó-bē-ya ó-bē-ya ó-bē-ya''Alas, O elder Alas, O elder O elder O elder

p!a-ik!iyíºk' dak'wilí¹dàt'. Ganē honohiº baayew鹺 dák'wilî he fell down from on top of the house. Then again, it he went up on top of the house.

brother!

brother!

brother!

brother!"

hono<sup>ε</sup> hagwa<sup>a</sup>lám <sup>ε</sup>al<sup>ε</sup>yowó<sup>ε</sup>.

again in the road he looked.

Ha-i o-bē-yā' ha-i o-bē-yā' ha-i o-bē-yā' ó-bē-ya ó-bē-ya ó-bē-ya.²

"Alas, O elder Alas, O elder Alas, O elder O elder O elder brother! brother! brother! brother! brother!"

p!a-ik!iyíigk'. winít' Tʻgél<sup>ɛ</sup> naga<sup>ɛ</sup>náªkʻi<sup>ɛ</sup> Ganē laªlē\ hu<sup>u</sup>lint Then he fell down. exhausted he Dropping he always did, he was down it is said. became, tired out

t'agá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> aba-iyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>. Ganē p!i<sup>i</sup> yogwá<sup>a</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īholóhal as he cried. Then, it is he returned in said, the house. Then fire its place he dug into it, putting ashes aside;

p!ĩ ánī⁵ honoε dat!agāī. Ganē de<sup>g</sup>īgenép'gwa³ ganau again he built a Then he lay curled up not fire therein dog-fashion, fire.

ánī hono gwi giník ánī hono t'agák.

not again anywhere he went, not again he cried.

<sup>1</sup> See note 7, p. 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The last syllable of each *obiyáa* starts at the high pitch of the preceding syllables but falls during its duration gradually to a low pitch. The pitch of each *obiya* is higher than of the following, so that a low pitch is reached at the end of the lament. These falls of pitch are evidently intended to produce a dolorous effect.

<sup>\*</sup>de igeneuk wa was said to be a preferable form.

 $no^{\mathbf{u}}$ ye°bá§hi.  $Mi^i$ xamginī'k'da<sup>g</sup> yap!a Ganē olom let us, pray, Now Now down before as she went into people the water, river return. k'a-ilā'p'a hā'p'di xamginík'. s'alxog<sup>w</sup>ĩ alxí¹gin Gane ánī she was woman small she went into Then not they were seen the water. standing; Olom cgi<sup>i</sup> yaxa yewé<sup>i</sup> te!iníite!anx. sgísi <sup>g</sup>alna•ná§n ĭ Now Coyote he was angry. "Before I can get close to him, indeed she returned. nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> xamhí la<sup>a</sup>lē' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi. A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> nek' alxí'k'wa when she right into she he said, it Coyote. Not anyone he saw her the water, became," is said, k'a-ilā'p'a hā'p'di. Ganē hulūn dedewili't'a'da s'ink'wôk!wá' Then at his door woman small. seamonster yõk!aª wõk' hũlk' ba\*k!olòl k!oloi sbedésbat'i. Ganē she Panther his bones she gathered basket she filled it tight with them. arrived; them up, yáε debū′<sup>ε</sup> ánī⁵ k!oloi k!emèĩ. Ganē nek' alxí¹k'wa he saw her basket full she made it. Then she went, not anyone yewéida<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganē dahõxa laªlīt'a<sup>g</sup> müülápx ganàu ginik'w Then when it as she evening sweat-house in she went returned. became, with them. gwel<sup>e</sup>wãk'wi<sup>e</sup> mü<sup>ü</sup>lápx ganau mats!àk'. Dewénxa t'adã sweat-house in she put them. "Next day early in the 'Paternal morning desiséexi nexgasm¹ nagá-ihis ganasnex hulk' yok!a³ wa³himìt'. open the door say to for me!' me," she said, thus Panther his she talked it is said; ·bones to them. ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulĩ. gwel<sup>8</sup>wãk'wi<sup>8</sup> laªlē\ dedewilída T'adã Dewénxa "Paternal Next day early in the it became at the door she was sitting. morning ganat' iáa2 Baªbilíug de<sup>ɛ</sup>isé<sup>eɛ</sup>xi. de<sup>ɛ</sup>īsé•k' hop!esn nát'na<sup>ɛ</sup> open the door She she opened long as being so being just, for me!" jumped up, the door; before yáª ganē hen<sup>e</sup>e alt!ayagín. just now then he was found. mi<sup>i</sup> gũxdagwa laªlē\ gwel<sup>e</sup>wāk'wi<sup>e</sup> Dewénxa wáªda now his own wives Next day it became early in the to them morning, yanába<sup>ɛ</sup>hàn · naga gũxdagwa. let us all go off!" he said his own wives. vewé<sup>i</sup>.  $Mi^i$ mí¹٤wa Ganē he "Now "Now perhaps returned. to them gwidisgwit' wī<sup>ɛ</sup>wã nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> hūlk'. Ganē haxiya gūxda he has thrown my younger he said, it himself brother," is said, in the Panther. Then his wives

water

Future imperative with 1st per. sing. object of naga-: naag-i-, "to say to."

 $<sup>^{2} = \</sup>gamma \dot{a}^{a}$ .

há-u '' Yes,''	nagá <sup>ig</sup> they said;	"let	iba <sup>g</sup> hán us all go way!''	na the			they	mánk'wa prepared mselves
kʻa-ilā' <sub>]</sub> womer	pʻa gā <b>'</b> p two			they		in l	ilít'gwa his own nouse	they
	<sup>E</sup> alyowó <sup>E</sup> he looked,				e. They	aiginí <sup>ɛ</sup> ] v went in e house;	k' p!i <sup>i</sup> to fire	yogwá <sup>a</sup> its place
in	dégenàu. curled up log-fashion.	Dīt'g	gwá <sup>ag</sup> lar O poor	my y	ī <sup>©</sup> wã n ounger he ther!''	agá <sup>ig</sup> . e said.	Ganē gu Then hi	ũxdagwa s own wives
"Do you	c'ànp' nag (pl.) he sa m!'' to th	aid :	xdagwa his own wives;	a alt	s!ayagá was washe	n. Ga		ciwôk'di it may be
hono <sup>g</sup> again	alhūyū'h he used to out hunti	go	I, for my	just	i yáx inde	ta yo ed	k!woyá I know it	en ge , there
winíthi just so fai	yaxa r indeed	yok!v	voyá <sup>e</sup> n. 10w it.					

### Translation.1

There was the house of Panther and his younger brother, his younger brother Wildcat, while down below from them were Coyote and his mother. Panther used to go out hunting, many deer he used to kill. Now every day he killed deer, while his younger brother was in the house, a maker of food. Only that he did. For a long time the house was full of venison; but the younger brother ate nothing but fat, he was not wont to eat the flesh of deer. Down below from them Coyote and his mother had a house of fir bark.<sup>2</sup>

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Then somewheres or other by the sea Panther the chief was heard about, how he destroyed deer. Then two girls, the White Duck sisters, went off. Coyote was heard about, that Coyote's house was on this side, the west side of the land; but as for Panther, that one's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 129-41; St. Clair, Traditions of the Coos Indians, *Journal of American Folk-Lore*, Vol. 22, pp. 35, 36; Dixon, Achomawi and Atsugewi Tales, *ibid.*, Vol. 21, pp. 163-65. The Yana have a version closely similar to that of the Achomawi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The house of bark instead of lumber marks the poor man.

house was said to be on the east side of the land. Thus they heard about them. Then the two White Duck girls, the two sisters, arrived there to Coyote. Now Coyote was beating bark from a tree. Now then, 'tis said, Coyote looked up--two pretty girls were coming. (Coyote did not know what to do. He defecated, and asked his excrements,) "EA! What am

I going to do?"—" 'Thunder's board," say to it! Make lumber out of it!"-" My mother shall wear the ohop'-shells2 on her skirt," said Coyote. "My mother shall have in her hands an acorn pestle wherewith to pound," he said. "In a house

of lumber shall my mother be sitting," he said.

Then the two girls came to a standstill. "Where is Panther's house?" Now was Coyote asked for Panther's house. "It is I, indeed, that am Panther." Now they nudged each other; the younger girl nudged her elder sister, (saying), "He lies, it is Coyote indeed." The elder one said, "It is not Coyote, that one is Panther."—"Girls, right there, indeed, is my house." They continued on their way. Then, 'tis said, they came to the house; Coyote's mother was pounding with an acorn pestle. Then they sat down, but not for a long time were they seated. "Where is Panther's house?" the old woman, Coyote's mother, now was asked. "Up stream on the east side of the land, there is his house," said the old woman. "Though I told you so just now, you said it was Panther, but I told you it was Coyote," she said to her elder sister. Then they went out again; now they went off, started again on their journey.

Then a long time elapsed and Coyote returned. "Mother! Where is your younger daughter-in-law? Has not perchance the roof above her head a hole?"—"What did you say?"— "Has not the roof above your younger daughter-in-law's head a hole?"-"How do I come to have a daughter-in-law? Just now there were girls in the house; to Panther have they gone,"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, lumber.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See note 3, p. 55.

she said, the old woman said that. "S-what¹ did you say?" Now he went into the house and killed his mother. Then he returned out of the house, ran off now. Now he ran and pursued them. Now they had nearly arrived at Panther's house. Now (Coyote) just barely caught sight of them and exercised his supernatural power upon the two girls. "Old!" he said, and old they became. Now they came to Panther in his house. Wildcat was sitting there; two old women came to Panther in his house. Their basket-caps were worn out, their burden baskets were worn out, they were old women without teeth, the sisters, two old women (now), held staffs in their hands. Panther was not there, he was out hunting.

Now evening came on and Panther brought home venison. Then Wildcat said, "Now my maternal grandmothers have arrived here," recounted Wildcat to his elder brother. "Give them soft food, give them liver," said Panther. Then, 'tis said, he always gave them liver. Then, when it was dawn, Panther would go out hunting again, every day he was wont to go out hunting. Sometimes he brought home a fawn. "Give your maternal grandmothers soft food," he used to say to his younger brother, and that one would give them liver. Then a long time elapsed. Now the old women were always pounding acorns. Then, 'tis said, they took hot water with them; they sifted in the basket-pan, the acorns they sifted in the basket-pan. Now the hot water dripped down on the back of her hand. Now she caught her breath and said, "O younger sister! now see! Oh, my hand has become white. Well, I'm going to bathe," the elder one did that. Now she jumped into the water and emerged right on the other side of the river. Then, 'tis said, oh! as she had been long before, being just so she emerged on the other side. "Do you too bathe!" she said to her younger sister. Now also the younger one bathed in the water, and together they emerged just across the river. And of the same appearance they became as when long ago, being

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The s-, here as often, is quite meaningless. It is characteristic of the speech of Coyote.

pretty, they had gone to Panther; of the same appearance the sisters became, pretty girls. Then they returned to this side of the river. Then they took out the acorns and into the house they returned, pretty girls. Now the acorns they cooked. And the girls said, "O Wildcat, your maternal grandmothers he's been calling us; long ago, however, we came here in order to marry your elder brother, but Coyote did exercise his supernatural power upon us. Now we are going away, down river we go back."

Now they went off, but Panther was not there; he was out hunting, early in the morning he was wont to go out to hunt. Now, 'tis said, off went the girls, no longer were they there. Then Wildcat, for his part, did go on top of the house. "Hē+, elder brother! Your wives now have gone, O elder brother!" Now he kept shouting, shouted to his elder brother. "Elder brother, your wives have gone," he said to him, shouted. Bä+! elder brother, come back! Your wives have gone," he said. Now Panther returned and (Wildcat) recounted it to his elder brother, told him about them. "They are pretty girls. 'Your maternal grandmother he's been calling me,' they said to me." Thus he recounted it to his elder brother. "Now I am going," said Panther. Then strings of dentalia he took, and strung them up, strung them out in the house; an acorn pestle he stood up. "Now should this (string) part, in that case I shall be dead," he said to his younger brother. "Should the acorn pestle fall down, should it break, in that case I shall be dead." he said to him.

Then off he went, followed his wives. And now he caught up with them. Arrow shafts he shot in front of them, but the girls did not look back; the arrow shafts they picked up and put them into their burden baskets. And now by the ocean they arrived; just then they talked with their husband. Then a canoe was paddled to them. "Even though they should say all sorts of things alongside the canoe, do not look there," said the girls, to their husband that they said. "Do not look into the water,

even though they should say all sorts of things to you. Do not look there." Then he was paddled across. Now all sorts of things was Panther called, ugly-faced; in whatever way he looked, all that was he called. Though his wives had told him that before, had told him, "Do not look into the water!" now he became angry and looked into the water. Now the canoe upset and he was swallowed, the sea-monster swallowed him; but his wives arrived to shore.

Now all the people were taken as divers. "Dive for him!" they were told. What sort of (person) was not taken? Whenever they dived for him they always just floated up, no one reached to the bottom of the water. Even though many beings were taken, no one reached to the bottom, they always just floated up; whenever the people dived, they did not reach to the bottom of the water, but always just floated up. But now the Mudcat woman was twining a small basket. "It is I indeed who can get close to him," she said. Then Coyote said, "S-she indeed can get close to him!" To the woman did he say that. "Though these so many people did dive, they did not even get close thereto," he said, "though so many people dived." Coyote said that, with the woman he quarreled. "I indeed can go off and get close to him," she kept twining the small basket while talking. "S-she indeed can get close to him!" She said nothing, answered him not, but twined the small basket. The people had all been tried, she alone was left. All the people had dived, but that one still was left, she alone. Now, 'tis said, she finished the basket, covered it over. "Well, now, you in your turn! since you did say, 'I can get close to him,'" she was told. Then, 'tis said, she went to the water, ahead to the water she proceeded. Now into the water she went, she too did dive; the people had all been tried, so she too now did dive.

Now up river the string of dentalia parted in Panther's house, where formerly he had stretched it aloft in the house. Now it parted in several places, and the acorn pestle dropped down,

broke to pieces. Now Wildcat's elder brother had died. Then, 'tis said, he wept, on top of the house he went.

"Alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother!

O elder brother! O elder brother!"

Down he rolled from on top of the house. Then again, 'tis said, he went up on top of the house. Again he looked along the trail.

"Alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother!

O elder brother! O elder brother!"

He always dropped down, down he rolled. Then exhausted he became, he was tired out as he wept. Then he went back into the house. Then he dug into the fire-place and put the ashes aside; not again he built the fire. And therein dog-fashion he lay curled up. No more did he go anywhere, no longer he wept.

Now, pray, let us return down river. Now, when formerly she had gone into the water, the people there were standing; the little woman was seen as she went into the water. But she did not return. Now Coyote was angry. "S-when formerly she said, 'I indeed can get close to him,' right into the water she proceeded," said Coyote. No one did see the little woman. Then Mudcat did arrive at the sea-monster's door; Panther's bones she gathered up, the basket tight she filled with them. Then full she made the basket. And off she went, and no one saw her as she returned. Then as evening came on, into the sweat-house she went with them, in the sweat-house she put them. "Next day, early in the morning, say to me, 'Paternal aunt, open the door for me!' "she said, thus to Panther's bones she talked. Next day came on early in the morning, and at the door she was seated. "Paternal aunt, open the door for me!" Up she jumped and opened the door. As long before he had been, just so indeed was he then found.

Next day came on early in the morning, and to his wives he

returned. "Now let us all go off!" he said to his wives. "Now perhaps my younger brother has thrown himself into the water," said Panther. Then his wives said, "Yes, let us all go off!" they said. Then the two women prepared themselves, and away they went, returned to Panther's house. Ahead he looked, but there was no smoke. They went into the house; (Wildcat) lay in the fire-place curled up dog-fashion. "O my poor younger brother!" he said. Then to his wives "Do you wash him!" he said, and he was washed. As was his wont, it may be, he always went out hunting again. I, for my part, know just that, indeed; proceeding just so far I know.

# 5. COYOTE AND FOX.

	Wilí <sup>i</sup> Their houses	yuwd they we	sgísi e Coyote	yolà Fox	he and	xadìl b 1 his in,1 da	his one
sgísi. Coyote.	He w	uyũx y ent out unt	volá s'ul Fox; qua	ils the	domó <sup>g</sup> s y flew up nd lit	gūi ·ga woods a	ts!ayā'k'i t; he shot at them,
gwala <sub>many</sub>	he l	cilled	Dahõ <sup>u</sup> x In the evening			ails he l	
béan his daughte	dev in fro r the h	wilī lô ont of shouse pla	o <sup>u</sup> l <sup>e</sup> . Ga e was Th ying. is	anēhi <sup>g</sup> nen, it said,	yola Fox he	yewé <sup>i§</sup> ( returned,	cuhū' gwala quails many
he evide	bàk'. ntly cari n his ba	ried "O.	hamī' father!	yola Fox	cuhū' quails	gwal:	a ligìk'w.  he has brought them home.''
Datʻān "Squ	n-eláªt irrel-ton	ʻgwàtʻ <sub>gued,</sub>	yàmt' ask him,	well, in	widì na what th way	at he did t	to he said, it
sgísi. Coyote.	Nó <sup>u</sup> Next d	c hiw oor she	ilí <sup>uɛ</sup> . W ran. "M	Jihám y father	'In what	na <sup>g</sup> nag did you to them	do he says to
nagá-i she said is said	l, it	Gwidi "In wha way	did I	igá <sup>e</sup> n? do to em?		ga <sup>ę</sup> àl to	ba*k!owū' <sup>©</sup> they flew up together;

<sup>1</sup> More exactly, "his mother's brother's son."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Subordinate form of na<sup>e</sup>nagà.

hawap!i<sup>i</sup>tc!úluk!i<sup>g</sup>n gũĩ. Ganē ba<sup>a</sup>gèlyuwút'e<sup>g</sup> hawánda. underneath I set fire to woods. Then I lay down belly up under them.

Ganē p!a-ik'ulú"k'al deguxhidē. Gana<sup>g</sup>nex t!omomá<sup>g</sup>n Then they dropped down dead in front of one after another my heart.' Thus I killed them,"

yewé<sup>i</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yolà. Nous. hapxwi waiwī'. Yék'dal Fox. Next door she little he said, it girl. "'In the is said, returned brush

s'alt lus 'ót lis 'i<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Gas 'i<sup>ɛ</sup> s'uhú<sup>u</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>dumú<sup>ɛ</sup>s gas 'i<sup>ɛ</sup> hawa<sup>a</sup>p li-I was walking about Then quails they flew up thereat random. I set fire to

tc!úluk!wiɛn nagá-ihiɛ. Gasie baagélp!eyent'eɛ hawánda (woods) underneath,''' she said, it "'Then I lay down belly up under them,'''

nagá-ihi<sup>g</sup>. Gasi<sup>g</sup> deguxhidē p!a-ik'ulú<sup>ug</sup>k'al. Gana<sup>g</sup>nèx she said, it "'Then in front of they dropped down dead Thus is said. my heart one after another.

t!omomá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> hamī yola<sup>ɛ</sup>à. S'éhehehe ūyū'<sup>iɛ</sup>sgwa I killed them.' That he said, father, Fox, for his part.'' "S'éhehehe!" he laughed at him;

ā'k' guxíi wanà t!osó<sup>u</sup> yaxáhi guxít'k' mahài "he his heart little, Ī however, indeed, my heart even big," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. he said, it is said.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx sgísi Then, it is next day it became. Then, it is said, he went to Coyote; said,

gáhīhi<sup>¢</sup> na<sup>¢</sup>nagá<sup>i¢</sup>. Cuhu<sup>u</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>k lowó<sup>¢</sup> hawap li<sup>i</sup>tc lúlu<sup>u¢</sup>k'i ganī the same, he did. Quails they flew up together; he set fire to (woods) then together; underneath;

baagélp!eyè<sup>ɛ</sup> hawánda p!i<sup>i</sup> p!a-ik'ulú<sup>uɛ</sup>k'al deguxhí<sup>i</sup>da. Ganī he lay down under them, (pieces they dropped down in front of his belly up of) fire one after another heart.

mî<sup>ig</sup>sga<sup>g</sup> p!a-ik!iyî<sup>ig</sup>k' deguxhî<sup>i</sup>da. Sgisi mî<sup>i</sup> lohô<sup>ig</sup>. Ganī one it dropped down in front of his Coyote now he died. Then heart.

mii mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> dak!wocouk'wa. C<sup>e</sup>á t!ibicīhi t!ayãk'wa t!ibicĩ ants. now they found now, they bit him. "C°á! ants indeed, him; it is said.

xa<sup>a</sup>xdíl<sup>e</sup>s olom waīk'anda<sup>e</sup> k'ái ga<sup>e</sup>al di īk'wé<sup>e</sup>xi slim-waisted! short when I, as it seems, what for (inter.) did they wake while ago was sleeping me up?"

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> bayewé<sup>iɛ</sup> dahõxa yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> mí<sup>iɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup> ligìk'w.

he said, it Now he came to in the he returned, one he brought
is said. again; evening it home.

i. e., on my breast.

dewénxa  $mi^i$ Ganĩ hono<sup>8</sup> yolà. alhūyūx Ganī again he went to hunt Fox. next day Then now Then yewé<sup>i</sup> hono€ ligìk'w. mi<sup>i</sup>hi dahōxa Hamî mena yola again he returned in the brown he brought "Father, Fox now. evening, bear it home. indeed, ligìk'w nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> hapxwi wa-iwī sgísi bean. mena brown he has brought she said, it little girl, Coyote his it home, is said, daughter. bear Dat'ānéla\*t'gwàt' yamdàmt' gwi na⁵nex di t!omõm. go and ask "Squirrel-tongued, how doing (inter.) he has him killed it." Nóus. hiwilíue. Wihàm gwi na<sup>ɛ</sup>néx di t!omomàt' "My father 'How (inter.) did you kill it?' she ran. Next door doing nagásbi. na<sup>ɛ</sup>nex dit!omomáin? K!ā<sup>ε</sup>t' Gwi dalsal-"How doing (inter.) did I kill it? ' K!ā<sup>\$</sup>t'' I was he says to you.' bushes walking Mü′εlxi t!os óut!is ien. Ganī  $\tilde{o}+$ negési. yexa<sup>1</sup> nagá⁵n he said about at random Then 'ō+' 'Swallow merely,' I said in the bush. to me. to him, p!a-it'gwilExnat' mü'Elxi wede youmat'k' yexa<sup>1</sup> wanā 'swallow merely! Not at all my blood do you cause it to drop down!' me mülú<sup>uɛ</sup>xi ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. hawi<sup>g</sup>ní<sup>i</sup>da. Gas i<sup>e</sup> yaxà. Ganī I said to There- he swallowed merely. Then I was sitting inside of him. upon him. Ganī guxí smilísmalx guxí he sgó da n. Ganī didelgándadat, I cut it off. his it was swinging; his Then out from his anus heart Se<sup>§</sup>hehehehe hín<sup>§</sup>x-niwá<sup>§</sup>s gi<sup>i</sup> yaxá<sup>§</sup>wa ba-iyeweīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Se<sup>g</sup>hehehehe! he is cowardly; I, he said, it is I went out said. again,' guxít'k' eĩt'e<sup>ε</sup> t!iˈlā/pʻa sgísihi ts!àmx ga mañ I am," Coyote that he said. my heart brave. indeed Dewénxa laªlē' mi alhūyūx āksí. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx Next day it became, now he went out he in Then, it is he went out to hunt his turn. to hunt said, gana<sup>ɛ</sup>nex malãk'wana<sup>ɛ</sup>. na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> yola Ganë sgísi gahī'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> Fox as he had told Then Coyote; the same, he did in that way him. it is said. mi'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-idák'wili<sup>i</sup>t!ãt' hâu Emü'lExwi yaxa <sup>e</sup>mü'l<sup>e</sup>xwı "Hâ<sup>u</sup>!"

"Swallow me

p!a-it'gwíl<sup>e</sup>xnat'.

do you let it drop!"

swallow me

mülú<sup>ug</sup>k'wa

he swallowed

him:

merely,

Mii

Now

wede

Not

now, it

is said,

vaxa

merely!

he jumped out of his

house,

youmat'k'

my blood

<sup>1</sup> So heard for yaxa.

sgisi mülú<sup>u</sup>k!an xam'k' xebé<sup>8</sup>n. Ha<sup>g</sup>winí<sup>i</sup>da ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulĩ.  $Mi^i$ Grizzly he did so. Coyote he was Inside of him he was Now swallowed, Bear sitting. heesgóut' guxíi alxí<sup>i</sup>k' mii guxíi mi¹hi<sup>ɛ</sup> ména smilismalx he saw it, it was dangling; now Brown his he cut it his now, it Bear heart off heart, is said, mi¹hi<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omõm sgísi. Ganē hanwayaswilswálhi mena he killed Brown Coyote. Then now, it he tore through them is said, him Bear with his knife yáwaª.  $Mi^i$ t!omõm mii aba-iyewé<sup>ig</sup> mii mena Sgís1 his ribs. Now he killed Brown he returned now now Coyote him Bear: home. ligìk'w mena dahõxà. Brown he brought in the Bear him home evening. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wé<sup>e</sup>gia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>ī</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yola alhūyūx dáhōxa when it was now again Foxhe went to is said, daybreak hunt, evening Hē+ hamī yola dēl gwala ligìk'w. "Hē+! father, Fox yellow- many he brought yewé<sup>iε</sup>. Dat'ān-elá\*t'gwat' he "Squirrel-tongued, them home." returned. jackets gwidi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagàt' Nous. hiwilí<sup>ug</sup>. nãnha. Gwidi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagàt' ask him." 1 'How did you do Next she ran. "'How did you do to them?' door to them?' T'gaª wihàm. Gwidi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n? hap!ītc!úluk!i<sup>ɛ</sup>n. nagásbi "How he says to my father." did I do to 'Earth I set them on fire them? in it. you Gas i hadedīlt'a dībūmá\*k' ba-ik!ololá<sup>8</sup>n. deel Ganē Thereyelloweverywhere they swarmed I dug them out. Then jackets upon yewé<sup>iε</sup>. nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Nóus: dik'alp'ilíp'ili<sup>e</sup>n Hamĩ t'gaª I squashed them all he said, it is she Next door "Father, 'Earth with my penis,' said. returned. hap!ītc!úluuk!wifn nagáis Dat'ānelá\*t'gwat' gwenhegwéhak'wi I set them on fire in it,' he said," Squirrel-tongued she related it to him t'gaª hap!ītc!úlu<sup>u</sup>k!<sup>w</sup>i<sup>ɛ</sup>n nagá<sup>18</sup>. máxa. Hamĩ Gasi<sup>e</sup> 'Thereher "Father, 'Earth I set them on fire in it,' he said. yellowfather. upon jackets gasi<sup>e</sup> ba-ik!ululá<sup>e</sup>n gasi<sup>e</sup> dik'alp'ilíp'ili<sup>e</sup>n nagá-ihi<sup>e</sup>. dībūmáªk' then I dug them out, then I squashed them all she said, it they with my penis," swarmed up, is said.  $\mathop{gi^i}_I$ C⁵éhehehe ãk!a² dik'alt!ucu"t'gwat maháit'a yaxa "Créhehehe! he, for his small-penised, however part.

I hold it with me," he said, it is said.

nagá-ihi<sup>g</sup>.

wa<sup>ɛ</sup>it!anáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>n

Literally, "cause him to do or say."

 $a = aak' - \epsilon \dot{a}$ .

yáª ganī hono⁵ aªksˈiˠ ganī Dewénxa lãlē sgísi then Next day it Coyote again he in his then just became, turn

hap!i¹tc!úloºk'i. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>e</sup>l ádat'wi<sup>ɛ</sup> dībūmá\*k' t'gaª yellowfrom every earth he set them on fire Then, it is thev in it. said, jackets side swarmed up;

p!owõuk'wa. ba-ik!olõl deel aldil dik'alp'ilip'alhi mi yellowall he squashed them now they stung him. he dug them out, jackets with his penis;

Mí<sup>ig</sup>sga<sup>g</sup> wíli<sup>i</sup> ba-ikolòl.  $Mi^i$ dets!iní<sup>e</sup>x mii hono<sup>8</sup> t!ibis'í house he dug it out. Now he died; now again ants One

S'éá c'īk'wé'xi ulum waīk'anda' nagá-ihi. mi dak!os õ k'wa. now 'they bit him. "S. £á! they have before when I was evidently sleeping," it is said. wakéd me up

Míigsgag ligìk'w. mí¹EsgaE t!omóamt' he<sup>e</sup>ne Gana<sup>e</sup>néxhi he brought Thus indeed he always then One one killed it. it home.

dets!inī'anx. he always died.

honõ<sup>e</sup>n Mi dewénxa alhūyūx yolà. Ganī p'ímhi Now next day again he went out Fox. Then salmon to hunt indeed ligìk'w Mii hono<sup>8</sup> p'im gwala daho<sup>u</sup>xà.  $\bar{a}$  + hamĩ

he brought in the Now again "ā+! father, salmon many them home evening.

ba-iligìk'w Dat'āneláat'gwàt' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. gwidi vamdámt' go and ask he has brought she said, it How "Squirrel-tongued, home out of is said. him. the water,

na<sup>g</sup>nagàt' Mi¹ nóuc hiwilíuε. nãnha. Wiham gwidí na<sup>g</sup>nagàt' ask him.'' she ran. "My father 'How did you do Now next did you do to them?' door to them?'

na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n? gasig nagásbi. Gwidí Yílwa<sup>ɛ</sup>s īk!anák!ini<sup>ɛ</sup>n "How did I do to Hazel I twisted it, therehe says to yoú." them? switch upon

Ganī p!é°s gwenha-udē mats!agá<sup>ɛ</sup>n ganī dets lügú<sup>u</sup> klemē<sup>ɛ</sup>n. sharp at one I made it. Then rock acorn- in back of I put it, then end mortar my neck

ganàu p'im xambiliūt'e ts!āũ gwenxoxog\*á<sup>ɛ</sup>n nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup>. deep he said, it is into the water salmon I strung them," I jumped water said.

gūx\*ít'k' guxwíi S<sup>-</sup>éhehehe yaxáwa mahái āks i<sup>g</sup> "S'éhehehe! truly my heart big he, however, his heart

t!os'ó¤ nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. little," he said, it is said.

<sup>1</sup> i. e., nest.

plates;

 $\mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' yílwa<sup>ɛ</sup>s heesgóut' Dewénxa lãlē haxiyà Next day it now in the he went; hazel he cut it off. became. water switch ganēhi⁵ īk!anák!an. Ganī p!é°s īgíina gwenhaūt'gwa he twisted it. Then in back of his then, it is rock he took said, acorn-mortar it, own neck mí¹EsgaE mats!àk' xambilí<sup>ug</sup> p'im wayãnk'\* swadãt'ga he pursued he put it; into the water salmon he followed one he jumped, them, them,  $Mi^i$ lohóig  $mi^{i}$ t'iyíig  $mi^i$ ī't!aut!àu. sgisi dets!iní<sup>ɛ</sup>x now he caught it. Now he died, he floated, now Coyote he was dead, bayaªlehé<sup>iç</sup>ı ga<sup>ɛ</sup>àl.  $Mi^i$ t!ibis'í dak!wos õuk'wa. t!uxū'i he just drifted drift-wood to. Now ants they bit him. dead to shore S<sup>.e</sup>á t!ibis<sup>.</sup>í¹ xa<sup>e</sup>xdíl<sup>e</sup>s · olom waĭk'anda<sup>ɛ</sup> c<sup>ɛ</sup>ik'wé<sup>e</sup>xi nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> when I was eviants slim-waisted! Just they woke he said, it dently sleeping me up!" before is said. aba-iyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>  $\mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ Mi dahõxà ligik'w p'im mí¹ºsgaº. Now he returned into in the now he brought salmon the house evening, it home Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>8</sup> wé<sup>e</sup>gia<sup>u</sup> dewénxa laªlīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>  $mi^i$ hono again it was dawn; Then, it is when it next day now again said. became dat!aiyái. yа<sup>ε</sup> volà. Mi Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahõxa Mii lãlē'. he went Fox. Now he went to people evening it became. Now Then, it to get food. is said, vewé<sup>ig</sup> p'im debū⁵ labàk'. yola xum velèx ē+ hamī Fox he salmon burden full "ē+! father, dry it turned out returned. basket that he carried it on his back. yola p'im yeléx debū<sup>ɛ</sup> labàk' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Dat'ānéla\*t'gwàt' Fox salmon burden full he evidently she said, it "Squirrel-tongued, basket is said. carries it on his back," gwidí na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagàt' nānha. Nó<sup>u</sup>c hiwilí<sup>ug</sup> hapxwi wá-iwī ask him." How did you do Next she ran girl little to them? door béan. Wiham sgísi gwidí na<sup>e</sup>nagàt' nagásbi. Gwidí "My father 'How Coyote his did you do he says to "How you." daughter. to them?' na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n? Nó¤gwa k!ol<sup>e</sup>xì wilī hapxw<sub>1</sub> īxledénhôk' did I do to Down the house children salmonthey carried them to them? stream heads about in basket-

from

<sup>1 =</sup> ba-iyaalehéi<sup>§</sup>.

īwēt'gi<sup>ɛ</sup>n di<sup>i</sup>bēmp'ilip'ili<sup>ɛ</sup>n nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Aba-iginík'de<sup>e</sup>da<sup>ɛ</sup> k'a-i<sup>ɛ</sup>lā'p'a
I deprived
I whipped them
he said.
"When I had gone women
them of them, with stick,"
into the house

di<sup>i</sup>be<sup>e</sup>mp'ilíp'ili<sup>e</sup>n nagá-ihi<sup>e</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> Dat'ānéla<sup>a</sup>t'gwàt' hamī I whipped them he said, it Then, it Squirrel-tongued "Father, with stick," is said.

hapxwi aldì k!ol<sup>8</sup>xì īxledénhôk'<sup>w</sup> di<sup>i</sup>be<sup>e</sup>mp'ilíp'ili<sup>8</sup>n nagá<sup>i8</sup>
'Children all salmon- they were carrying I whipped them he said," heads them on basket-plates, with stick,'

malák'i máxa Dat'ānéla\*t'gwàt'. S'éhehehe āk' wanà she told her father Squirrel-tongued. "S'éhehehe! he even

hin<sup>8</sup>x-ni<sup>i</sup>wá<sup>8</sup>s gi<sup>i</sup> yaxáwa t!i<sup>i</sup>lā'p'a eīt'e<sup>8</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup>.

cowardly, I however man I am," he said, it is said.

Ganēhi⁵ ganē āks i<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa laªlĩt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> váε. Ganehi Then, it is when it then he in his he Then, it next day went. is said, said. became turn

no<sup>u</sup>go<sup>1</sup> wilī ha<sup>a</sup>pxwì k!ol<sup>e</sup>xì ixledénhôk'<sup>w</sup> di<sup>i</sup>bēmp'ilíp'alhi down stream the children salmon- they carried them he whipped them heads in basket-plates, with stick,

aba-iwayewēnhi k'a-ilā'p'a ga<sup>\$</sup>al. Hē+ ma<sup>\$</sup>a gwidí na<sup>\$</sup>nagaīt' he made them return women to. "Hē+! you, for how are you into the house with it your part, doing?

hõuxa<sup>g</sup>à yolà p'im<sup>g</sup>a dat!ayãlt' imíhiminak'. S'k'ái nagaĩt'p' yesterday Fox salmon he came to we sent him indeed beg for it, away with it." "What do you (pl.)

Kʻái gwala<sup>e</sup>a k'ái nagaīt'p'? volá<sup>e</sup>a hindéhan wilau do you (pl.) say?" "Things O mothers? what Fox, for many arrows indeed his part,

ts!ayák'i mena<sup>g</sup>a t!omõm. He<sup>e</sup>wili<sup>i</sup>gwásbi. De<sup>e</sup>l p'úyamt' he shot them brown bear he killed it. He wishes you yellowwith them, indeed to die.<sup>2</sup> yellowto die.<sup>2</sup> jackets them out,

p'ims i ts!ayak' nagánhi mi malaginín. Sga na na nagaīt e he salmon he speared he was said to, now he was told. "That I did," them," it is said,

negési hindéhan nagá-ihi<sup>8</sup>. Ganéhi<sup>8</sup> mi<sup>1</sup> p'im ba-ik!emenámdan he said O mothers!'' he said, it to me, is said. Then, it now salmon he was equipped ir said, with them,

yeléx debú<sup>ug</sup> īmi'himin. Mi<sup>i</sup> yá<sup>g</sup>. burden full he was sent Now he went. basket away.

<sup>1 ==</sup> nougwa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "he moves off with vou."

Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> hínwa wilī la <sup>a</sup> lē'. Mi <sup>i</sup> hi <sup>ɛ</sup> lãt'gwa yamàt' Then, it is up stream the he became. Now, it his own he asked said, from house is said, excrement it,
s'gwidí na <sup>ɛ</sup> nagaīt'e <sup>ɛ</sup> ? Mi <sup>i</sup> nagá <sup>iɛ</sup> . Mi <sup>i</sup> hi <sup>ɛ</sup> yulùm wilî <sup>i</sup> hā'pxda "How I'll do?" Now it said. Now it eagle his its young house ones
k!emèĭ ba-iºalxanaŭ ganë yulùm p!uulhì hápxda. Ganēhiº he made they looked out then eagle eyrie its young Then, it is said,
yeléx p!á-imats!àk' nóus giník'. S'wôk'dā alī p!ūlhì burden he put it down, next door he went. "O cousin! right eyrie here
hā'pxda ma <sup>ɛ</sup> a wilàu k!eméamgada <sup>ɛ</sup> nagá-ihi <sup>ɛ</sup> nagása <sup>ɛ</sup> n its young you, for ones, your part, since you are always he said, it is they said to each other
wôk'díxadìl. Gemégdi? Alī hinwadá p!u"lhi hápxda he ànd his cousin. "Where?" "Right up stream eyrie its young here ones
bayalxanaŭ. Ge giní <sup>ɛ</sup> k' yolà sgísi hono <sup>ɛ</sup> ge giní <sup>ɛ</sup> k' they are looking There he went Fox, Coyote also there he went, out."
alyebép'i. Mi'hi <sup>©</sup> hiliwá <sup>®</sup> lt' yolà yulum hā'p'da. Ganē he showed Now, it he climbed Fox eagle his young Then it to him. is said, for them ones.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Mi gelyalá xalt gwit yolà bámìs hadák ts!ó t. Ganēhi Now he forgot himself Fox, sky it struck above against it. Then, it is said,
ho² p'owό <sup>ε</sup> x mi¹ p!a-i <sup>ε</sup> wayewēnhi di¹-mi¹-xamí¹xa p!a-idék!iyi <sup>ε</sup> k' fir it bent, now he returned down to on, now, ocean he fell down in tree earth with it,
ga ganáu yõ <sup>u</sup> k!a <sup>a</sup> ts <sup>.</sup> !èl <sup>ɛ</sup> gáhi na <sup>ɛ</sup> nagá <sup>iɛ</sup> yolà. that in his bones they just he did Fox. rattled, that
Yolà yõuk!aa baak!olòl sink'wôk!wáa. Ganēhi <sup>e</sup> müülàpx Fox his bones she picked Mud-cat. Then, it sweat- them up is said, house
ganau mats!àk'. Dewénxa gwel <sup>©</sup> wãk'wi <sup>©</sup> de <sup>©</sup> īsé <sup>e©</sup> xi t'adã in she put them. "To-morrow early in the 'Open the door paternal morning for me, aunt!"
ga nēxga <sup>ɛ</sup> m. Ganēhi <sup>ɛ</sup> dedewili <sup>i</sup> da s'ink'wôk!wá <sup>a</sup> s'i <sup>ɛ</sup> ulī that do you (fut.) say to me." Then, it at the door Mud-cat she was sitting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "he breast-lost himself."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So heard for xo.

gwel<sup>8</sup>wãk'wihi ánī⁵ t'gaª di<sup>i</sup>má<sup>a</sup>sda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> t'adã hawi Then, it early in the mornyet not earth when it was ing indeed lit up. is said, aunt.

de<sup>©</sup>īsé<sup>e©</sup>xi nagáhi<sup>©</sup> yolà. Mi<sup>I</sup> de<sup>©</sup>īsé<sup>e</sup>k' ba-iginí<sup>©</sup>k' honó<sup>©</sup> yap!a open the door he said to Fox. Now she opened he went out; again person for me!'' her, it is said,

la le hop le hon hen e nátina. Mi ba yewé yolà. he became long before then as being. Now he was resuscitated

Sgísi he dedá aba-i aba-i aba-i tí daxi tí lok! olha. Dahōxa Coyote off yonder at home he by gophers he used to set Evening traps for them.

lawálhit' ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ganau naganá<sup>ɛ</sup>k gwi ló<sup>u</sup>gwana<sup>g</sup> dahōxa where that he had set it used to then, it is in them he used to evening become. said,  $do^1$ traps for them,

lawálhēda<sup>8</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>8</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Ganēhi<sup>8</sup> gwi<sup>8</sup>ne whenever it then, it is long time it became. Then, it is how long said,

mi¹hi8 laªlē\ Mi'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahõxa ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulĩ mãn sgísi mãn. he counted Now, it it became, he was he counted now, it Coyote evening is said. them; is said. sitting them.

tc!ucumáldan yola xebé<sup>§</sup>n. <sup>§</sup>a' k'ádi dexebé<sup>§</sup>n nagánhi<sup>§</sup>. he was chirped<sup>2</sup> to, Fox he did so. "<sup>§</sup>a'! what it said it?" he was said to, it is said.

Hono<sup>©</sup> to!ucumáldan liwá<sup>a</sup> nagá<sup>i©</sup> k'ai yaxa dets!idák'<sup>w</sup>
Again he was chirped to; looking he did, somearound thing

p!ii dugúm na<sup>g</sup>nèx. Mii t'is heek!owōū mii héebiliue, fire blaze like. Now gophers he threw them now he rushed all away, off.

Mi hó<sup>ε</sup>k' ligīnt' xāhegéhôk'. Honóhi<sup>ε</sup> tc!ucumáldan Now he ran, he rested, he took breath. Again, it is he was chirped to, said,

naga<sup>ig</sup> p!ii liwáª honóhi<sup>ɛ</sup> na<sup>e</sup>nagá<sup>ie</sup>.  $Mi^i$ hono<sup>8</sup> hé<sup>e</sup>bili<sup>ug</sup> looking he did; again, it is fire it did. Now again he rushed around said. off,

hóεk' gwiné⁵di  $Mi^{i}$ wede hòk'. hono<sup>8</sup> ligīnt' ganēhi how long not he ran? Now again he rested; then, it he ran; is said.

[5]

i. e., he used to follow about, make the rounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The sound referred to in the verb stem tc/ucum- is produced by drawing in the breath between pressed lips. It is similar to a familiar animal call. When heard at night, it was generally ascribed to ghosts.

<sup>3</sup> Literally, "doing."

hono⊱ xāhegéhak'. Honóhi<sup>ɛ</sup> tc!ucumáldan hono<sup>§</sup> hé<sup>e</sup>bili<sup>ug</sup> he took breath. Again, it again he was chirped to, again he rushed is said. off, hóεk'. Gwinédi wede hòk'.  $Mi^i$ hono<sup>g</sup> ligint' xa<sup>a</sup>hegéhak'. How long not he ran? Now he ran. again he rested, he took breath.  $Mi^i$ hono⁵ tc!ucumáldan gwiné<sup>8</sup>di wede tc!úcmalt'gam. Now again he was chirped to; how long was he chirped to? not heebilí<sup>ug</sup> Μi hóεk'. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> ligīnt' xāhegéehak'. hono<sup>ε</sup> Then, it is Now he rushed he rested, he ran. again he took breath. off, said. Μi hono⊱ nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> ganagnéx te!ucumáldan liwáª hono<sup>§</sup>hi<sup>§</sup> Now again he was chirped to; looking he did, again, it is thus said. around p!ī digúm na<sup>ɛ</sup>naga<sup>iɛ</sup>.  $Mi^i$ he<sup>e</sup>bilí<sup>uɛ</sup> hóεk'. Gwent'gãbók'danda it did. Now he rushed he ran. In back of the earth's off, neckı t'gaª s'igíit'a<sup>g</sup> p!a-idiyowó<sup>u</sup>da<sup>g</sup> bamís bamis aldak'sa\*msàm; earth where it sky where it is set down, sky he bumped his head is set, against it; yáª nagá<sup>ig</sup>. ganàu võuk!aª ga ts!él Hinwadà gwéldi. that his bones just rattling they did. Up river finished. in Baªbi<sup>ɛ</sup>t' lé<sup>e</sup>p'lap'. Your gather them. baap'-seeds

### Translation.<sup>2</sup>

Houses there were, Coyote and his cousin Fox, and one daughter of Coyote. Fox went out to hunt; quails flew up and lit in the woods, he shot at them, and many he killed. In the evening he returned, brought the quails home. Coyote's

i. e., in the east. See Sapir, "Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians" (Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. xx, No. 76), p. 36, footnote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The first part of this myth, the story of the unsuccessful imitation of Fox by Coyote, is probably Californian in origin. In the cognate Hat Creek myth the incidents are brought into loose connection with the conflict between the creator Silver-Fox and Coyote at the time of the creation. Compare Dixon, Achomawi and Atsugewi Tales, Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. 21, pp. 171-74. The incidents in connection with the quails (or grouse) and yellow-jackets occur also in a Yana myth obtained by Dr. Dixon. The second part of the Takelma myth, the revenge of Coyote in causing his rival Fox to grow up with a fir while climbing for an eyrie, is found, e. g., in Klamath (see Gatschet in Contributions to North American Ethnology, Vol. II, Part I, pp. 94-5, 100) and Wasco (see Curtin in Sapir, Wishram Texts, pp. 264-66).

daughter was playing in front of the house. Now, 'tis said, Fox returned, carried many quails on his back. "O father, Fox has brought many quails home."—"Squirrel-tongued, ask him, well, in what way he did get them," said Coyote. Next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'In what way did you get them?" she said. "In what way did I get them? They flew up together into the woods, and underneath them I set fire to the woods. Then I lay down under them belly up, and on my breast they dropped down dead one after another. In that way I killed them," Fox said. Next door returned the little girl. "'In the brush I was walking about at random, and quails flew up and lit, and thereupon I set fire to the woods underneath,'" she said. "Then I lay down under them belly up," she said. "And on my breast they dropped down dead one after another. In that way I killed them.' That, father, did Fox, for his part, say."-"S'éhehehe!" he laughed at him. "He even has a little heart, but as for me, my heart is big," he said.

Then the next day came. Then, 'tis said, Coyote went out to hunt, and just the same he did. The quails all flew up together; to the woods he set fire underneath, then under them he lay down belly up, and fragments of fire dropped down on his breast one after another. And one (quail) dropped down on his breast. Coyote now was dead. Then the ants indeed did find him now, and bit him. "C'á! slim-waisted ants! When I, as it seems, was sleeping a short while ago, why did they wake me up?" he said. Now he was restored to life. In the evening he returned, one (quail) he brought home.

Then the next day now Fox went out to hunt again. And then in the evening he came back again, brought home a bear. "Father, Fox has brought home a bear," said the little girl, Coyote's daughter. "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask him by doing what he killed him." Next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'By doing what did you kill him?""—"By

doing what did I kill him? In the k!ā<sup>\$t</sup>'-bushes I was walking about at random. Then 'O+,' he said to me. 'Go ahead and swallow me!' I said to him, 'go ahead and swallow me! Do not spill even a drop of my blood!' I said to him. Thereupon he just swallowed me. Then I was sitting inside of him; now his heart was swinging, off I cut his heart. Then out through his anus I went out again," he said. "Se<sup>\$thehehehe!</sup> He's a coward, but as for me, my heart is brave, I am a man," Coyote indeed said that.

The next day came and now he, in his turn, went off to hunt. Then Coyote, 'tis said, was out hunting, and just that did he do, in what way Fox had told him. Then, 'tis said, (the bear) jumped out of his house, "Hâu!"—"Go ahead and swallow me! go ahead and swallow me! Do not spill my blood!" Now he swallowed him; Coyote was swallowed, Grizzly Bear did so. Inside of him he was sitting. Now the bear's heart he saw, dangling; now Coyote cut off his heart and killed the bear, 'tis said. And then he tore through his ribs with his knife. Now he had killed the bear, and home he returned, and in the evening Coyote brought the bear home.

And when it dawned, then again Fox went out to hunt, and in the evening he returned. "Hē+! father, Fox has brought home many yellow-jackets."—"Squirrel-tongued, ask of him, 'How did you get them?" Next door she ran. "'How did you get them?' says my father to you."—"How did I get them? I set fire to them in the earth. Thereupon the yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed up, I dug them out. Then with my penis I squashed them all," he said. Next door she went back. "Father, 'I set fire to them in the earth,' he said," Squirrel-tongued related to her father. "Father, 'I set them on fire in the earth,' he said. 'Thereupon the yellow-jackets swarmed up, then I dug them out, and then I squashed them all with my penis," she said. "Céhehehe! He, for his part, has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The round plate-like masses of larvae are referred to. They were considered a particularly great delicacy.

a small penis, but as for me, I have a big one with me," he said.

The next day came, and just then Coyote again in his turn set fire to them in the earth. Then, 'tis said, the yellow-jackets swarmed up from every side; he dug them out, and all the yellow-jackets he squashed with his penis; now they stung him. One nest he dug out. And he died, and again now the ants bit him. "S'á! they have waked me up, when, as it seems, I was sleeping a little while ago," he said. One (nest) he brought home. Just in this way he always killed one, then always died.

Now next day again Fox went out to hunt. Then salmon indeed he brought home in the evening. And again "Ah! father, many salmon has he brought home out of the water," said (Coyote's daughter). "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask him, 'How did you get them?' find out from him." And next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'How did you get them?'"—"How did I get them? I twisted a hazel switch, and then made it sharp at one end. Then a rock acorn-mortar I placed in back of my neck. Into the deep water I jumped, and salmon I strung," he said. "S'éhehehe! Truly my heart is big, but his heart is little," he said.

The next day came and to the water he went. A hazel switch he cut off, then twisted it. Then a rock acorn-mortar he took, and in back of his own neck he placed it. Into the water he jumped, followed the salmon, pursued them, caught one. Now he died and floated; now Coyote was dead, and just drifted dead to shore among the driftwood. Now the ants bit him. "S-\(\frac{1}{2}\)4! slim-waisted ants! When I was sleeping, as it seems, just a little while ago, s-they woke me up!" he said. Now he returned home in the evening, and brought home a single salmon.

Then again it dawned; when the next day came, then again Fox went off, went now to people to get food. Then, 'tis said, the evening came, and Fox returned, a burden basket

full of dried salmon he carried on his back. "ē+! father, Fox is carring on his back a burden basket full of salmon," said (Coyote's daughter). "Squirrel-tongued, 'How did you get them?' ask of him." Next door ran the little girl, Coyote's daughter. "My father says to you, 'How did you get them?"—"How did I get them? Down stream from the house children were carring about salmon-heads in basket-plates. I took them away from them, whipped them with a stick," he said. "When I had gone into the house, I whipped the women with the stick," he said. Then Squirrel-tongued, "Father, he said, 'All the children were carring about salmon-heads on basket-plates, and I whipped them with a stick," did Squirrel-tongued tell her father. "S'éhehehe! he is even a coward, but as for me, I am a man," he said.

Then, when the next day came, then he did go in his turn. And down stream from the house children were carring about salmon-heads in basket-plates; he whipped them with a stick, and entered the house with them to the women. "Hē+! you there, what are you doing? Only yesterday Fox came to beg for salmon indeed, and we sent him away with some," (said the women). "S-what are you saying, O mothers? What are you saying?"—"Many things indeed did Fox, for his part, shoot with arrows, and the bear he killed. He wishes you to die. The yellow-jackets he smoked out, and the salmon he speared," they said to him, now he was told. "'S-that's what I did,' he said to me, O mothers!" he said. And then salmon he was provided with, with a full burden basket he was sent away. Now off he went.

Then up stream from the house he proceeded. Now, 'tis said, his own excrements he asked, "S-what shall I do?" and they told him. Now, 'tis said, an eagle's nest with its young ones he made, and the eagle's young ones looked out from the eyrie. Then down he put the burden basket and went next door. "O s-cousin! right near by here is an eyrie with young ones, as you, for your part, are always making arrows,"

he said; cousin they called each other. "Where?"—"Right around here up stream is an eyrie, and its young ones are looking out." There Fox went, and also Coyote went there, showed it to him. Now, 'tis said, Fox climbed for the eagle's young ones. Now then Coyote was standing there, (and said to the tree,) "P'+! grow up with him!" and up the fir tree grew. Now Fox forgot himself and it struck against the sky. Then, 'tis said, the fir tree bent, and down to earth he returned with it, and in the ocean down he fell. Therein his bones did rattle, just that became of Fox.

Mudcat picked up the bones of Fox. Then, 'tis said, she placed them in the sweat-house. "Tomorrow early in the morning 'Open the door for me, paternal aunt!' that shall you say to me." Then at the door Mudcat was sitting early in the morning, when not yet was the earth lit up. Then Fox did say to her, "Paternal aunt, open the door for me!" Now she opened the door, and out he went; again a person he became, as long before he had been. Now Fox was restored to life.

Off yonder at home Coyote used to set traps for gophers, all by himself. The evening always came, then he used to make the rounds of them where he had set his traps, whenever the evening came. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Then, when some time had passed, again indeed he counted the gophers, counted how many he had killed. Now, 'tis said, the evening came, and he was sitting, was counting them. Then Coyote heard a chirping noise, it was Fox that did so. "Ah! what said that?" he said. Again he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, there was something just reddish like a glow of fire. Now all the gophers he threw away, and off he rushed.

Now he ran, rested, took breath. Again, 'tis said, he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, again there was something like a fire. And again he rushed off, he ran. How long did he not run? And again he rested, then again he took breath.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The glow was caused by the glare of Fox's reddish eyes.

Again, 'tis said, he heard a chirping noise; again he rushed off, he ran. How long did he not run? Now again he rested, he took breath. And again he heard a chirping noise. How often did he not hear a chirping noise? Now he rushed off, he ran. Then, 'tis said, he rested again, he took breath. And again he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, again there was something like a glow of fire. Now he rushed off, he ran. Way off to the east where the earth is set, where the sky comes down to meet it, there against the sky he bumped his head. In that place his bones just rattled. Up river 'tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba<sup>a</sup>p'-seeds.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

#### 6. COYOTE AND PITCH.1

Mi¹ alºit'báak', mi¹ īt!anáhin sgísi. "S'gwídi s'naºnaga-ìt'? īs:t!enéhisdam."6—"Nek' ºalºit'béevxdaº dayawánt!ixi ºīū'x, s'níxayìlt'?" nagánhiº. Laamalán. "S'k'ái gaºal di īs:t!enéhisdam?"6—"S'yan¹ laalīt'am. S'nek' als'alt'béevxdaº? S'dólhi dolhì, s'níxayìlt'," nagánhiº. Mi¹ honoº alsalt'báak'. "Dolhi dolhì."—"S'gwidí naºnagaīt'?" Als'alt'báak'. "Dólhi dolhì, nek gwelx dayawánt!ixi als'alwat'béevxink'?" Honoº als'alt'báak'.

"Dólhi dolhì, sgísi dasgáxit' da³molhìt' ītc!óp'al s'níxayìlt'," nagánhi². "Dólhi dolhì, nek' aláks'ixdagwa wa xa³sgú¤sink'?"—"S'bèp'! s'k'ádi naga-ìt'? S'mi¹ di lohógulugwàt', gas'í² ga²al ga naga-ìt'?" nagánhi². "Dólhi dolhì, mi¹ nek' aláks'ixdagwa wà xa³sgú¤sink'?"—"S'bèp'! s'k'adí s'nagulugwàt',

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This version of the "tar-baby" story is strangely like an African tale given by Ellis (The E'we-speaking Peoples of the Slave Coast of West Africa, p. 277), but the decidedly idiomatic and allusive character of the Indian text proves it beyond doubt to be entirely aboriginal. A rather close parallel is found in the Yana tale of Coyote and the Stump obtained by Dr Dixon. The "tar-baby" story is also found widely distributed in the Southeast of the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This word seems to have no particular significance. It is used in mocking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The literal translation would be "who you-will-hit-me?"

#### 6. COYOTE AND PITCH.1

There was a house; Coyote and his younger brother, 'tis said, for a long time were wont to hunt. Then once when a certain day came, then, 'tis said, some one said, "Dólhi² dolhi, who's going to hit me? Sharp-mouthed Coyote, red-eared, sharp-clawed!" he was called. He listened. "Who's saying that?" said Coyote. "Sharp-mouthed, red-eared, s-cum' matre copulans!"—"S'bèp'! s-what are you saying? Long ago, indeed, when I was hitting people by the ocean, his eye landed right behind him." Dolhi dolhì, who's going to hit me?"—"S-what s-do you say? Long ago when I was hitting people by the ocean, his eye landed behind him." Thus they spoke to each other, quarreled with each other. "Dolhi dolhì, who's going to hit me?"—"S-what are you saying?"

Now he hit (Pitch), and Coyote's hand was held fast. "S-what are you doing? S-you have held my hand fast."— "Who's going to hit me with his left hand, s-cum matre copulans?" (Coyote) was told, was quarreled with. "S-what are you s-holding my hand fast for?"—"S-you're stuck!" S-who's going to kick me? S'dólhi dolhì, s-cum matre copulans!" he was told. And this time (Coyote) kicked him. "Dolhi dolhì."—"S-what are you doing?" He kicked him. "Dólhi dolhì, somebody is going to kick me with his left leg!" Again he kicked him.

"Dólhi dolhì, sharp-mouthed Coyote, red-eared, sharp-clawed, s-cum matre copulans!" he was called. "Dólhi dolhì, somebody's going to cut me with his tail."—"S'bèp'! s-what are you saying? S-do you expect to die now, so that for that reason you say that?" (Pitch) was told. "Dólhi dolhì, now somebody's going to cut me with his tail!"—"S'bèp'! s-what

լսյ

[7]

<sup>\*</sup>The s- is not an integral part of the word, but is the familiar "Coyote prefix."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In other words, "I gave him such a blow in the face that I pushed his eye clear through his head." Coyote boasts of his prowess.

<sup>\*</sup>Observe that the meaningless "Coyote prefix" s:- is here prefixed directly to the verb stem, not to the instrumental prefix i-. There seems to be no definite rule in the matter. Contrast s:\*ik'wéexi\* (p. 74, l. 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Uncertain. (s') yan does not otherwise occur; perhaps it is a mishearing.

s·lohók'diguluwàt'?'' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. ''Dólhi dolhì, sgísi dasgáxit' hadānxmolhìt','' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. ''Dólhi dolhì, nek' yēxda<sup>ɛ</sup> déxdagwa wà?''—''S·k'adí s·naga-ìt'? Hop!è'<sup>ɛ</sup>n xamí¹xa yap!a yegwegwánda<sup>ɛ</sup> lohó¹<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi¹ yegwèk'<sup>w</sup>, mi¹ t!omomán sgísi.

"Gewé+ek!ewee!" wãxas iễ abài. Mi ópxa ễanī yewéi. "Gwidí na nagá-ida ánī yewéi? Tlomomán wi; k'ái ga al di ánī yewéi," nagá-ihi wãxa. Wi in wégia-uda mi yá. Mi sal o'dán, mi ō't ópxa. Gi wa hi baxámda mi da agan, "Gewék!ewe!"—"Ga di haga nãk'wôk? Mi wí ãk!a tlomomán," nagá-ihi sgísi k!wált'a.

"Gewé'k!ewe! sgísi dasgáxit'."—"Ga dí haga nãk'wôk'?"
—"Gewé'k!ewe'!" Ganēhi mi p!i gelèk', mi p!i dat!agāī sgísi k!wált'a. Mi p!i wáada k!wal' ginīk'; mi ópxa īgíina.
"Wôk'díà!"—"Wô'k'dixa yúk'na ga al dí haga dō mk'?"—
"Wôk'díà!" Mi ópxa há gwidìk', mi alp!i te!úlo k' k!wal'.
Mi t!omō'm.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ópxa<sup>ɛ</sup>a k'o<sup>ɛ</sup>px k'alák'alhi. Mi<sup>i</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>yewēn; mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> hawilít'gwan. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a la<sup>a</sup>lē' sgísi, mi<sup>i</sup> ba<sup>a</sup>yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>; úlums i<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omomán. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>nex yap!a do<sup>u</sup>mdamk' k!wal'.

¹This is no normal verb form, but an exclamatory formation on the aorist stem gewek/aw-, "to tie (a salmon) in bowstring fashion" (see Sapir, "Notes on the Takelma Indians," American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, p. 272, footnote 2). The idea implied by Pitch is that Coyote is stuck to him as is a salmon to the string by which it is carried. For another exclamatory verb form showing abnormal reduplication, see p. 25, l. 7 (sgilbibit+ix).

s-do you intend to do, s-do you intend to die?" he was told. (Covote lashed Pitch with his tail; it stuck.) "Dólhi dolhì. sharp-mouthed Coyote, red in his ear!" (Coyote) was called. "Dólhi dolhì, who's going to bite me with his mouth?"— "S-what s-are you saying? Long ago by the ocean when I bit a person, he died," (Pitch) was told. Now he bit him; now Coyote was killed.

"Gewé+ek!ewee!" (exclaimed Pitch), while (Coyote's) younger brother was in the house. Now his elder brother did not return. "What's happening to him, that he does not return? He must have been killed. For what reason does he not return?" said his younger brother. Now when the next dawn came, off he went. Now he went to look for him, hunted now for his elder brother. When he came to yet some distance off, then he heard him, "Gewéek!ewee!"—"So then it is that one that did so to him? Now indeed he has been killed, I guess," said Coyote the younger.

"Gewéek!ewe! sharp-mouthed Coyote!"—"So then it is that one that did so to him?"—"Gewéek!ewee!" Now then he drilled for fire, Coyote the younger now did build a fire. And the fire he took with him to Pitch, and his elder brother he took hold of. "O cousin!" said (Pitch). "Being his cousin, it seems, therefore you killed him?"--"O cousin!" Now his elder brother he threw to one side, and he set fire to Pitch. Now he killed him.

Then, 'tis said, ashes over his elder brother he rolled. Now he restored him to life, and again they returned home into their house. Now again had Coyote become a person, now he had revived, but before he had been killed. It is in that way that Pitch was wont to kill people.

90 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

## 7. COYOTE IN A HOLLOW TREE.1

Wíli yowò<sup>ɛ</sup>, sgísihi wīt' ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>x; lop!odiá<sup>uɛ</sup>, nõx lop!òt'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p!ā'shi lop!òt'; ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> déhi wõk'. Mi<sup>i</sup> suñs la<sup>a</sup>lē p!á<sup>a</sup>s. Ganē t'gunūk'i<sup>ɛ</sup>²; ganē yāl hohók'wal ganau giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. "Des īp'gwi<sup>i</sup>p'," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganē hono<sup>ɛ</sup> "Decīp'gwi<sup>i</sup>p'," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>, déhi<sup>ɛ</sup>tc!ibíp'gwit'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> lep'níx ga ganàu yowó<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bānx lohó<sup>iɛ</sup>;³ ganē anī<sup>ɛ</sup> yok!wōī gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>nè. Ganē bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē mi<sup>i</sup> yap!a yilìm, mi<sup>i</sup> sgelé<sup>uɛ</sup>, "De<sup>ɛ</sup>īsé<sup>eɛ</sup>xip'! ándi nek' ge wīt'? K'á-iwi<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omománda<sup>ɛ</sup> gas í<sup>ɛ</sup> gayawàt'p'. De<sup>ɛ</sup>īs'é<sup>eɛ</sup>xit'p'!'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē mí<sup>iɛ</sup>s ge giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' k!elé<sup>es</sup>. "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!'' Ganē p!abàp' sgó<sup>u</sup>t'hi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganē bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> dágaxda<sup>a</sup> dats !ā'mx, ganē "C<sup>ɛ</sup>á! s dágaxdek' dats !àmx." Mi<sup>i</sup> he<sup>eɛ</sup>ī′wan.

Mi da sgek!ī. Dabalníxa la lē' mi hono sgeléu, "ō+gwidi lemé xdap'? Bou wi k'a-iwī' dōmk'i eīt'e ga-iwát'ba. Me bēp'xip'! de sīs'é xip'!" nagá-ihi sgísi. A'nī nek' baxá m. Ganē "Gwidī'+ lemé xdap'? K'a-iwī' t!omománda gas'í gayawàt'p'," nagá-ihi. T!é k'w hono ge giník'; ganē mi sgóut'. "P!au p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au!" nagá-ihi. Ganēhi dabalníxa la līt'a guxwít'gwa ts'!ámx k!emèī. Ganē "Céá! da stelè'mxde, dagáxdek' date!amx." Mi hono ts'!iníts'!anx, mi ha yewéi.

Daªsgek!ī. Ganē gwī'ɛne laªlīt'aɛ mi¹ honoɛ sgeléuɛ, "Sˈgwidī leméɛxdap'? Kʻa-iwī'ɛ t!omomándaɛ gasˈíɛ gayawàt'p'," nagá-ihiɛ. Anīɛ nék' dakʻdahālk'wa. "Sˈgwidī' sˈlémk!iauk'?" Ganē "Sˈgwidī leméeɛxdap'? Cɛándi mí¹ɛs ge eīt'p'?" nagá-ihiɛ. Ganē ánīɛ kʻai yap!à. "Sˈgwidī' lemk!iauk'?" ākhi wa²himít'-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare Dixon, Maidu Myths, Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, Vol. XVII, pp. 90, 91.  $^2=t'gunuuk'-hi^{\circ}$ .

# 7. COYOTE IN A HOLLOW TREE.1

A house there was; Coyote, indeed, was traveling about all by himself. It was storming, rain was falling; and then also snow, indeed, was falling. Then no further he got, now the snow had become deep. Then he became cold, and into a hollow pine he went. "Close up!" he said to it. Then again "Close up!" he said to it, and, 'tis said, it closed up.

Then, 'tis said, all winter he was therein. Then, 'tis said, he was hungry; now he did not know how long (he had been there). Now after some little time then he called upon the people, now he shouted, "Do you open up for me! Is not someone going about over there? Whenever I killed anything, then you did eat of it. Do you open up for me!" he said. Then, 'tis said, after some little time had elapsed, then a certain Woodpecker came there. "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!" Now he chopped, cut out (a hole). Now after some little time then (Coyote's) head ached, and "C<sup>§</sup>á! s-my head is aching," (he said). Now he was left there.

Now he listened. A long time elapsed and again he shouted, "Oh, whither have you all gone? If perchance I should kill anything after a little while, you shall eat of it. Come here and chop for me! Open up for me!" said Coyote. No one came. Then "Whither have you all gone? Whenever I kill anything, then you eat of it," he said. This time Yellowhammer went there, and now cut out (a hole). "Plau plau plau plau plau plau!" he said. Then for a long time he made his heart strong. Then "Ciá! s-my ears are deafened, my head is aching!" (said Coyote). Now he also was angry and flew off again.

He listened. Then, when a long time had elapsed, then again he shouted, "S-whither have you all gone? Whenever I kill anything, then you eat of it," he said. No one answered him. "S-whither s-can they all have gone?" Then "S-whither have you all gone? S-is not one of you there?" he said. And

<sup>\*</sup>Literally, "he hunger-died." Cf. baanx tlomouk'wa, "hunger killed him," i. e., "he was hungry."

gwit'. ''S'bé+"! gwidī lemék!ia"?'' Mi¹ mí¹ɛ́s ba-ik!iyíɛ̃k', mi¹ bák'ba¹ ba-ik!iyíɛ̃k'. Ganēhiɛ́ mi¹ sgut!ū′xaɛ̃, ''Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!'' Héetīk'ap!ák'ap' mahmī. Guxwít'gwa ts!ámx k!emèī; mi¹ damahái la²lē', hefnéhi ts'!iní¹ts'!anx. ''S'ɛ́á! cdágaxdek' dats'!àmx,'' nagá-ihiɛ́. Mi¹ heedáɛ́ yewéiɛ́, ts'!iní¹ts'!anx bák'ba sgísi gaɛ́àl.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> hawi ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulī bēm ganàu. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> sgelé<sup>uɛ</sup>, "S'gwidī lemé<sup>ɛ</sup>xdap'? s'de<sup>ɛ</sup>īs'é<sup>eɛ</sup>xīp'!" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> nek' ba-ik!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Mi<sup>i</sup> bai<sup>ɛ</sup>ályowó<sup>ɛ</sup>. "ō+ mi<sup>i</sup> dí s'amgiàuk'?" guxwí<sup>i</sup> dats!ā'mx. "Ge<sup>ɛ</sup>nedí eme<sup>ɛ</sup> yúk'a<sup>ɛ</sup>?" mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Mi<sup>i</sup> di samáxa lāp'k'?" Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> sgelé<sup>uɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> nek' ba-ik!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'.

"Mi xaasgout'gwide, sgut!úsgat'gwide," nagá-ihi. Mi buubiníxdagwa heesgout', mi ba-igwidik'. Mi hono heesgout' dayawánt!ixi, mi hono ba-igwidik'. Mi hono gwélxdagwa heesgout', ba-igwidik'; hono dayawánt!ixi heesgout', ba-igwidik', hono dayawánt!ixi heesgout', ba-igwidik'. Mi hono gane gwasī'xdagwa ba-it!ixíxi haewinít'gwa, mi ba-ik!ūwū. Mi hono gane gwasī'xdagwa ba-it!ixíxi haewinít'gwa, "Ceai meeşek' gwascixdek', cealsgenhít'! gwascixdek' meeşek'." Dágaxdagwa ba-igwidik'; mi hono ts'elei wēt'gigwa mel'. "Ceai ts'eleit'k' meeşek'," nagá-ihi sgísi; ts'elei wēt'gin, mēl xebén. Gane mi ts'e'ns īgína, ts'eleit'gwa klemeī. Heenesie almíes ts'eleit'gwa ganau yeweyak' ts'esns. Heene ganī "Almíes yèū!" wasdíxdagwa ga naga. Wasdíxdagwa ga naenaga.

Ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, bānx tlomōk'wa. Mi¹ t'gaª haxaniya mi¹ alt!ayàk'; melélx ganau gini²k'. Ganēhi² bīu ²aloudàn.² Ganēhi² bīu mixálha p!eyé². A'nī² lēp'; gayaū, gayaū, gayaū, gayaū, bīū gayaū; hadedīlt'a wīt'. Ganēhi² t'gaª haxát' melèlx bīu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Another species of woodpecker is referred to.

there was no person at all. "S-whither can they all have gone?" He himself did speak to himself. "S'bé+"! Whither have they all gone?" Now one did come, now big Woodpecker<sup>1</sup> came. Now then, 'tis said, he cut out (a hole). "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!" Big pieces he chipped off. His heart (Coyote) made strong. Now the hole became large, just then he became angry. "S'éa! s-my head is aching!" he said. Now way off he flew back, big Woodpecker was angry with Coyote.

And again now he was still sitting in the tree, now again he shouted, "S-whither have you all gone? s-open up for me!" he said. No one came. Now he looked out. "Oh, has summer come already?" and his heart was sick. "Can I have been here so long?" said he now, thinking. "Can it have become summer already?" Again now he shouted, and again no one came.

"Now I am going to cut myself up, I'll cut myself to pieces," he said. Now he cut off his arm and threw it out. And again he cut off the left (arm) and threw it out again. Now again his leg he cut off and threw it out; again the left (leg) he cut off and threw it out. And now also his intestines he pulled out from inside of himself and threw them out. Now, 'tis said, Crow took away from Coyote his intestines. "Cai! come back with my intestines, s-black thing! Come back with my intestines!" He threw out his own head; now also his eyes Crow took away from him. "Cfai! come back with my eyes!" said Coyote. Of his eyes he was deprived, 'twas Crow that did it. And now wild-rose berries he took and made them his eyes. And then he caused the wild-rose berries to come together in his eyes. And then "Come back together!" that to his own body he said. His body did that.

Then on he went and was hungry. Now he discovered a field that had been burnt down, into a burnt-down field he went. Then, 'tis said, he looked for grasshoppers', and nume-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fields were sometimes burnt down in order to get the grasshoppers, a favorite food.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

mixálha p!eyé<sup>§</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>§</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> sgelewā'ldan, "Sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s!<sup>¹</sup> sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s!" nagánhi<sup>§</sup>, t'ān ga nāk'wôk'. "S't'ān <sup>§</sup>alt!e<sup>®</sup>s'ít', lámx gamaxdi dayawánt!ixi ga-iwá<sup>§</sup>s!"—"Sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s! sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s!" nagánhi<sup>§</sup>, ga nagaīk'wa t'ān. Sgisi yá<sup>§</sup>, bīu lēp'. "Sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s! sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s!" gánga ga yaxa nagaīk'wa. "S't'an <sup>§</sup>alt!u<sup>i</sup><sup>§</sup>cít'! ma<sup>§</sup>a lámx gamaxdi dayawánt!ixi ga-iwá<sup>§</sup>s!" nagáhi<sup>§</sup> ha<sup>§</sup>wìt'. "Sgisi dixó+<sup>u</sup>s!" gangáhi ga yaxa nagá<sup>i</sup><sup>§</sup> t'ān.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> ''Sk'ádi naga?'' gwénliwila<sup>uɛ</sup>. ''ā+gū'hôk'<sup>w²</sup> na<sup>ɛ</sup>nèx sgá di nāk'ik'?'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> k!wal ō't', mi<sup>i</sup> k!wal t!ayàk'. Mi<sup>i</sup> al<sup>ɛ</sup>īxlep!éxlap', mi<sup>i</sup> hadī't'gwa mats!àk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne hono<sup>ɛ</sup> lēp' bīū; ganē lēp' p!ī gadal wīt'. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ''Sgisi dīhā+x! sgisi dīhā+x!''—''T'ān <sup>ɛ</sup>alt!u<sup>iɛ</sup>s·ít'! s·k'adí nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>?'' ts·liní<sup>i</sup>ts·!anxhi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> dī<sup>ɛ</sup>t'ūwū'<sup>ɛ</sup>k' gwént' liwilá<sup>uɛ</sup> dīdelgánt'gwa. Mi<sup>i</sup> yaxa delgán haxàk'. ''Sgadí nāk'ik'?'' Mi<sup>i</sup> xamhiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>. ''Haxiyà sga²-t'áp'de<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-i<sup>ɛ</sup>hìs. Xa²bobin yá² sgá²t'ap'. ''Haxiyá mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa sgá²t'ep'de<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-i<sup>ɛ</sup>hìs. Mi<sup>i</sup> hāx, lohó<sup>iɛ</sup>. Gwéldi; ba²bí<sup>ɛ</sup>t' lé<sup>e</sup>p'lap.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Coyote's intestines had been taken from him, hence the grasshoppers went right through him. The word used in the text might also refer to the spilling of acorns out of a hopper.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

rous grasshoppers were lying about. He did nothing but pick them up and eat, eat, eat, eat grasshoppers; everywhere he went about. Then, 'tis said, there was a burnt-down field and numerous grasshoppers were lying about. Then after a little while someone shouted to him, "Coyote's anus is spilling!" Coyote's anus is spilling!" he was told, Squirrel it was that said that to him. "S-little-eyed Squirrel! half-eater of raw sunflower seeds!"—"Coyote's anus is spilling! Coyote's anus is spilling!" he was told, Squirrel it was that said that to him. Coyote went on, gathered and ate grasshoppers. "Coyote's anus is spilling! Coyote's anus is spilling!" only that he kept saying to him. "S-tiny-eyed Squirrel! half-eater, you for your part, of raw sunflower seeds!" he said to him by way of rejoinder. "Coyote's anus is spilling!" just only that Squirrel kept saying.

Then, 'tis said, after a little while "S-what's he saying about it?" (said Coyote and) looked behind him. "Ah! just like something planted,2 s-is that what he means?" he said. Now then, 'tis said, he hunted for pitch, and pitch he found. Now he kneaded it up into a cake and put it into his anus. And then again, 'tis said, he gathered and ate grasshoppers, gathered them and walked about among the fragments of fire. again "Coyote's anus is burning! Coyote's anus is burning!"-"Tiny-eyed Squirrel! s-what's he saying?" Coyote was angry. Now then he felt hot in his anus, back he looked behind his buttocks. Now indeed his buttocks were burning. "S-is that what he meant?" Now he ran to the water. "Into the water I shall jump," he thought. Right among alder bushes he jumped. "I intend to jump into the water," he had thought. Now he burned up, he died. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your baap'-seeds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A row of tobacco plants is meant. Tobacco was the only plant cultivated by the Indians of Oregon.

410

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

### 8. COYOTE VISITS THE LAND OF THE DEAD.

Wíli yowò<sup>ɛ</sup>; sgisi ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>xì wiyiwī't'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Xilám yap!a yānk'w," neyéehi<sup>ɛ</sup>; gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx yaxa da<sup>a</sup>leelàk'w. Gangáhi xílam yap!a yānk'w. Dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "K'adí nagàn, 'Xilam yap!a yānk'w,' neyéeda<sup>ɛ</sup>? Nee ge giník'de<sup>ɛ</sup>. Yap!a lohóida<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yewe<sup>iɛ</sup>, gasí<sup>ɛ</sup> bou 'Xílam yap!a yānk'w,' neyée gí<sup>iɛ</sup>à 'Lohó<sup>iɛ</sup>,' nagaīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>; ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> mi honó<sup>ɛ</sup> me<sup>ɛ</sup>wīt' lohóida<sup>ɛ</sup>,' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi.

Mi yát, xilam gwa lám hat lü lùk'; yát gwís it wô'k' di xilam gwa lám hat lü lùk'. Mi te lucumáldan; anīt ge dā to lucumáldanmat, ganga yát. Xilam te lucumált gwa, k'ais it wô'k' di, yãl k legelá-us ixda k'wedéi, wá da gwidílha. Ganga xílam gwa lám ganau yát; te lucumáldan yaxa. Ganēhit xilam hat gá da wõk'. 'Mi baxát sgísi da molhē't'. Gasálhi, tei ók'il sgísi mi ba-ik liyítk', nagá-ihit xilam. Wü lhám hoyodàk' xilàm; agá het k'ái gwala wak lododínmat, gáhi dūk' dīt lūgūī wak lododínmat hop lè't lohóidat. Mi plī dat lagāī sgísi. 'Gasálhi tei ók'i sgísi damolhē't', nagá-ihit xilàm. Mi wa-iwí ei togoīk'wa.

"Hw+, mi ba-igingadá al wa didē," nagá-ihi sgísi wiyimát mī. "Gasálhi, gasálhi, sgisi! eī ganau gìnk!"—"Hw+, ba-igingadá al wa didē," nagá-ihi sgísi. "Ganau gìnk gasálhi eī!"—"Hw+, ba-igingadá al wa didē," nagá-ihi sgísi. "Ganau gìnk gasálhi eī!"—"Hw+, ba-igingadá al wa didē," nagá-ihi sgísi. Mi ba-iginík wa-iwí. Dak tek! exa sgísi, eme yá hi sás naga dībo wí da. "Gasálhi, amá! gasálhi, eī ganau gìnk," nagánhi sgísi, wa-iwí dexebén. Ganē mi hi p!ī ba yānk w; mi du gi salp! itc! úlu k' wa-iwí a xilàm, ánī yap!à. Mi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The sound characteristic of ghosts. See p. 78, note 2.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

# 8. COYOTE VISITS THE LAND OF THE DEAD.

A house there was; Coyote kept going about all by himself. Then, 'tis said, "Ghosts are taking away people," they said, thus he always heard. Just ghosts kept taking away people. A long time elapsed. "What is meant when people say, 'Ghosts are taking away people?' Well, I will go there. When people die they are not again to return here, yet now people are saying, 'Ghosts are taking away people.' I, however, say, 'They are dead.' Not again now are they to come and travel about when they have died," said Coyote.

Now off he went, the trail of the ghosts he followed; he went I don't know where, followed in the trail of the ghosts. Now someone made a chirping sound; he did not give ear to that when the chirping noise was made to him, but just went on. The ghosts made a chirping noise to him, but something or other he kept throwing at them, the fungus (?) of pine is its name. In the trail of the ghosts he just went along, and a chirping noise they kept making to him. Then, 'tis said, he arrived in the land of the ghosts. "Now red-eared Coyote has come. Quick, give him a canoe! Coyote now has come," said the ghosts. The ghosts were dancing the menstrual dance. These, with whatever things they had then been buried, just those garments they wore, wherewith, when long ago they had died, they had been buried. Now Coyote built a fire. "Quickly, give red-eared Coyote a canoe," said the ghosts. Now a girl did give to him a canoe.

"H\*+, you shall come to shore to where I am," said Coyote, he now exercised his supernatural power upon her. "Quick, quick, Coyote! come into the canoe!"—"H\*+, you shall come to shore to where I am," said Coyote. "Into the canoe quickly come!"—"H\*+, you shall come to shore to where I am," said Coyote. Now the girl came to shore. Coyote was smoking; right here she took her stand alongside of him. "Quick, come on! quick, come into the canoe," Coyote was told, 'twas the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "to my body."

98 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

du gí hāx. Mi xámhiwilí eī ganàu hansā k' ; mi saga hawi wu ham hoyodák v xílam à dált gwan wôbilīk plī. Mi saldate lulú k' xilam du gí, adát wi wa bilīk v, mi honó aldate lulú k'. Ganēhi xilam hāx saldīl. ''Dó do do do do l'' nagá-ihi xilàm; sgísis i hánt ada ci ulī, álxi k' xilam hāxda.

Gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>né la<sup>a</sup>lē', p!a-idī'hana<sup>ɛ</sup>s p!ī. Xilam búc la<sup>a</sup>lē'; sgísi ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagà, hãxna. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ''Smá di k'ái ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al yap!a yanagwadá<sup>ɛ</sup>? Mí<sup>i</sup> lohoyàt'. Wede gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx yúk' yap!a lohok'i<sup>ɛ</sup>, wede yanāk'<sup>w</sup>; lohó<sup>ɛ</sup>t' gangà. Wede hono<sup>ɛ</sup> nèk' alxí<sup>iɛ</sup>k'wôk' yap!a lohók'i<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi. Mi<sup>i</sup> hínau yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>; xílam he<sup>e</sup>p!i<sup>i</sup>lemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k'i.

# 9. COYOTE AND THE ORIGIN OF DEATH.

Xílam sebèt'² hā'p'da lohòk'. Sgísidī'l nō'ts!at'gwan yùk'. Gas iễ nāk'ik', "Laps yimíxi hā'p'dek' lohóidaễ, laps yimíxi," nagá-ihiễ xilam sebèt'. "A'nīĒ laps yimīsbiễn; gwidís iễ yốĒt' xilàm yèūk'iĒ?" nagá-ihiĒ sgísi. Nóūs iĒ yewéĒ xilam sebèt', k!odòt' hā'p'dagwa lohóidaĒ.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'; mi<sup>i</sup> sgísi hā'p'da xílam la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> lohó<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> nó<sup>u</sup>s' giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' xilam sebét' wá<sup>a</sup>da. "Laps yimíxi ha<sup>a</sup>p'dèk' lohóida<sup>ɛ</sup>."—"K'adí naga-ìt'?" xilam sebét' ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. "Ho<sup>u</sup>xa<sup>ɛ</sup>à ma<sup>ɛ</sup>a ga negés'dam 'Laps yimíxi'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In a Yana theft of fire myth collected by the writer the practically identical dû du du dû du du occurs to indicate pain from contact with fire (see Sapir, Yana Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, note 50). Compare also the evidently identical Klamath tû tu tu (see Gatschet, op. cit., p. 112), though here it indicates on the contrary pain from tingling cold.

girl that said so. Now then, 'tis said, he picked up a fragment of fire; now he set fire to the skirt of the ghost girl, no person she. Now her skirt burned. Then to the water she ran into the canoe and paddled it across. Now these ghosts were still dancing the menstrual dance, and among them she rushed with the fire. Now she set fire to the garments of the ghosts; to every one she rushed with (the fire), and again set fire to them. Then, 'tis said, all the ghosts were burning. "Do do do do do!" said the ghosts, while Coyote was sitting on the other side of the water, was looking at the ghosts as they burned.

Some time elapsed and the fire ceased. The ghosts were exterminated; Coyote did that, burned them. Then, 'tis said, "S-for what reason are you going to take away people? Now you have died. Not thus will it be when people die, they will not take others with them; they will die for good. Not again will any one see them, when people die," said Coyote. Now up river he returned. The ghosts he had annihilated with fire.

# 9. COYOTE AND THE ORIGIN OF DEATH.

The child of Roasting-dead-people<sup>2</sup> died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died."—"What did you say?" Roasting-dead-people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is the name of a bug that could not be further identified. It was described as all black, long-legged, and of about half an inch in length. The name is due, or supposed to be due, to the fact that this insect was held responsible for the origin of death.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nagásbinda $^{\epsilon}$ , 'Yap!a gwidí' yó $^{\epsilon}$ t' yèūk'i $^{\epsilon}$ ?' Mi' hawáxi $^{u\epsilon}$  ha $^{a}$ p'dèk','' nagá-ihi $^{\epsilon}$  xilam sebèt'. Nó $^{u}$ s'i $^{\epsilon}$  sgísi yewé $^{i\epsilon}$ . ''Sgā'+'' t'agá $^{i\epsilon}$ . Ga ga $^{\epsilon}$ al bõ $^{u}$   $^{\epsilon}$ ánī $^{\epsilon}$  yap!a yewé $^{i\epsilon}$  lohóida $^{\epsilon}$ .

#### 10. COYOTE GOES COURTING.

Wili yowò, sgisi ā'k'da'x t'is lok!ólha be'wi. Dewénxa la'līt'a' honó' t'is ló''k'; ánī' k'ái yap!a, ā'k'da'xì; dahōxa liwilhak'w. Ganēhi honó' wi'in wé'gia-uda' t'is lok!ólha; gwī'né di wede t'is ló''k' be'wi'. Dewénxa la'līt'a' honó' t'is ló''k'. Ganēhi dahōxa la'lē', t'is mān mixal haloho''naná'.

Mi¹ k'ai dā'gagàn wü'ülham hoyodagwàn; mi¹ dāsgék!i. Ganēhig ''S'gá! gwídi wü'ülham hoyodagwàn?'' nagá-ihig sgísi. Mi¹ da'st!ayák' wüülham hoyodagwánmag. ''C'gá! ge giník'deg.'' Mi¹hig yág, t'ſis heek!ūwū. Mi¹ hógk', huulìnt'; s'as inī dá'sgek!ī'. Ganēhig mi¹ honog heebilíug, hógk'. Ganēhig mi¹ honog ligīnt', háwi wüülham hoyodagwán dagol. Ganēhig ''A'! emég mī'gwa wüülham hoyodagwán.'' Ge wōk', ánīg k'ai yáp!a. ''Sgemégdi agagá hoidiàuk'?'' nagá-ihig, ā'k'i wahimit'gwit'. 'galī dagol wüülham hoidiáuk'ig nagnagáig. ''Emég mī'gwa hínwadà.'' Mi² honog hógk', gwīgné di wede hòk'; dagol hoidiáuk'ig nagnagáig. Ganēhig honog heebilíug, hógk'.

T'gaª k'wedéi p!ūwū'a-uk', "Ge mī'wa hoyodiáu," nagá-ihi sgísi. Ganēhi honó hebilíu, gwīné di wede hòk;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "that he had caused them to die-in."

you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting,' said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā+!" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

## 10. COYOTE GOES COURTING.

A house there was; every day Coyote used to set traps for gophers all by himself. When the next day came, again he set traps for gophers. There were no people there, he was all alone; in the evening he always brought home (the gophers). Then again, when the next dawn came, he always set his traps for gophers. How long did he not set his traps for gophers every day? When the next day came, again he set his traps for gophers. Then the evening came, and how many gophers he had trapped¹ he counted.

Now something he heard, the menstrual dance was being danced. Now he listened. Then, 'tis said, "S'\(\frac{2}{2}\)! where is the menstrual dance being danced?" said Coyote. Now he heard the menstrual dance being danced. "C\(\frac{2}{4}\)! there I'll go." Now off he went, threw away the gophers. Now he ran, was tired, stood still, listened. Now then again he rushed off, he ran. Now then, 'tis said, again he rested, still the menstrual dance was danced (as though) near at hand. Then, 'tis said, "A'! probably here the menstrual dance is being danced." There he arrived, but there were no people. "S-where can these be dancing?" he said, he himself did speak to himself. Right here near by it was as though they were dancing the menstrual dance. "Here up river it probably is." Now again he ran. How long did he not run? As though they were dancing near by it was. Then off again he rushed, he ran.

The name of the land he always named, "There they must be dancing," said Coyote. Then off again he rushed. How long did he not run? He was tired, and always rested. Whenever they sang, it was as though right at hand. Then again he

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

hu''línt', lígilagànt'. Ganga heleliá-uda<sup>ɛ</sup> ali<sup>i</sup> ná<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, he'bilí<sup>uɛ</sup>, gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>ne dí wede yanàk'. "Sgemé<sup>ɛ</sup>di aga<sup>ɛ</sup>a wü''lham hoyodagwán?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Da<sup>a</sup>sgek!eīha. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "S<sup>.ɛ</sup>á! emé<sup>ɛ</sup> hinwadá mī'<sup>e</sup>wa," agás i<sup>ɛ</sup> gwent'ga<sup>a</sup>bók'danda wü''lham hoyodagwán. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ge hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>, gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>ne dí wede hòk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> hü'lìnt'; ge<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>a</sup>hi da<sup>ɛ</sup>ól la<sup>a</sup>lē' wü''lham hoyodagwánma<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> hó<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> s as inī, hu'línt', da<sup>a</sup>sgék!ī. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> gé wõk'.

A+ wa-iwíi neyéedaɛı wüülham hoyodàkíw, kíái gwala wa-iwíi—bèlpí, háɛkía², tsː!áiɛsː, tsː!amāʾl, lapíām; kíái nák!a di ɛánīɛ wüülham hoyodàkíw? Kíái gwalà sːasːinī. Sgísi mii wōkí; alxik!íxaɛ wüülham hoyodagwánmaɛ. Ganēhiɛ mī/ɛsgaɛ wa-iwíi daɛā'na-u kíái gwala düügwíi dīt!ūgūī, tc!eleɛm. "Sɛá! sːgá ge ɛīgī/ɛnan," nagá-ihiɛ. Ganēhiɛ ganau giníɛkí, ga yáahi ɛī/t!aut!au īū/xda daɛā'na-u wa-iwíi. "Ganē ba-imásga héel, ba-imásga!" daɛána-u wa-iwíi ga nagán.

Ganēhi<sup>ε</sup> bá-imats!àk',

 $\hbox{``K!i-xin-hi'} \ \ gel^\epsilon\hbox{-wi-liu-t'e+"}, \ \ k!i\hbox{-xin-hi} \ \ gel^\epsilon\hbox{-wi-liu-t'e+,"}$ 

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>

"Di-t'bo"-k!álx-de al-t'wa-p!á-t'wap'-nain,"

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> lap'ām helélda<sup>ɛ</sup>.



"Da-bo-klop'-na<sup>g</sup>n dī-kla-las-na<sup>g</sup>n gwel-sal-tlees-na<sup>g</sup>n'

went, rushed off. How long did he not go? "S-where is this menstrual dance being danced?" he said. He kept listening. Then, 'tis said, "S-\(\frac{6}{4}\)! probably here up river it is, " (he thought), and indeed the menstrual dance was being danced in the east. Now again he ran there. How long did he not run? Then some time elapsed, and he was tired. Right close to that place he got where the dance was being danced. Now again he ran. Then, 'tis said, he stood still, was tired, listened. Now then there he arrived.

Ah, girls in great number were dancing the menstrual dance, many kinds of girls—Swan, Goose, Bluejay, Mouse, Frog. What kind did not dance the menstrual dance? Many kinds were standing there. Now Coyote did arrive; he looked on while the menstrual dance was being danced. Then, 'tis said, one girl, a chieftainess, did wear many sorts of garments, (her shells) did rattle. "Séá! s-that one there I'll take," he said. Then among them he went, the hand of just that one he seized, the chieftainess girl. "Now begin the song, begin it!" That the chieftainess girl was told.

Then, 'tis said, she began it,

"K!íxinhi, I walk about strutting out my breast!

K!íxinhi, I walk about strutting out my breast!"
she said.

"Many warts I have on my back, with my eyes I blink," said Frog as she sang.

"I bubble under the water, in my rump I am lean, no fat have I in my legs and feet, Frog indeed, \*ocu \*ocu,'"

¹neyéeda<sup>8</sup> is morphologically the subordinate form of neeyé<sup>8</sup>, the impersonal aorist of nagai-: na- "to say, do." It is frequently idiomatically used to mean "in great number, many."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No definite meaning could be assigned to this word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The normal form of this word is gel<sup>g</sup>wiliut'e<sup>g</sup>, but by a song license the grammatically important glottal catch of the last syllable is here eliminated.

<sup>\*</sup>So heard for gwelsalt!eyésna<sup>e</sup>n.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> lap'ām; āk'i ga nagaīk'wit'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'k<sup>ɛ</sup>a gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx helél<sup>ɛ</sup>,

"ʿ¢úsʾi ʿ¢úsʾi,¹ ʿ¢úsʾi ʿ¢úsʾi, ʿ¢úsʾi ʿ¢úsʾi,"

dayawánt!ixihì yonon.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ái gwala helél<sup>ɛ</sup>. '' Más i<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-imásga!'' ts !á<sup>iɛ</sup>s ga nagàn. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> helél<sup>ɛ</sup> ts !á<sup>iɛ</sup>s ;

# 

"Telai-telī-ā gwa-tea gwa-tea, telai-telī-ā gwa-tea gwa-tea."

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> "Más i<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-imásga," nagàn mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> ts !amāl. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> bá-imats!ak',

# 

"Be-be-bi-ni-bī-a" be-be-bi-ni-bī-a."

Gana<sup>e</sup>néx helél<sup>e</sup> ts!amãl; sgísi ā'k!a dayawánt!ixi helél<sup>e</sup>,

# # 7. 2112. [112. [112. [4]

"S'be-be-bi-ni s'be-be-bi-ni s'be-be-bi-ni."

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ''Más'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-imásga!'' ga nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n ā'ihì. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-imats!àk' bel'p',



"Be-lel - dō wain-ha, be-lel - dō wain-ha, be-lel - dō wain-ha, be-lel - dō wain-ha,"

A play upon Bluejay's own name,  $tc!di^{\varepsilon}c$  (= tc!ditc!-).

<sup>5</sup> This word is a play upon the word for "swan," bel'p'.

¹The accented vowel of the second  ${}^{\varepsilon}us$ ' in each pair is always held out a trifle longer than that of the first. There is perhaps a play upon words involved. Coyote evidently means to repeat the  ${}^{\varepsilon}ocu$  of Frog, but perverts her burden into the verb form us', "give it to me."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By "half" is meant "only a part" or "incorrectly." Indians commonly speak of people that have but an imperfect command of a language as talking half of it.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The implied reference in the mind of an Indian is here to the word beben, "rushes." The mouse is often found among rushes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Swan's round-dance song, as here given, was in ordinary use as such among the Takelma. wainha literally means "put him to sleep." It seems very probable that

said Frog; she herself did call herself that. Then, 'tis said, he, for his part, did sing thus,

"ʿ¢úsʾi ¢úsʾi, ¢úsʾi ¢úsʾi, ¢úsʾi ¢úsʾi,"

only half of it he sang.

Then, 'tis said, many kinds did sing. "Do you in your turn begin singing!" Bluejay that was told. Then Bluejay sang,

"Teláitelīā gwátca gwatca, teláitelīā gwátca gwatca!"

Now then, 'tis said, again, "Do you in your turn begin singing," one girl again was told, Mouse. Now then she started in to sing,

"Bebébinibīa, bebébinibīa."


Thus did sing Mouse, but Coyote, for his part, did sing only half of it,

"S'bébebini, s'bébebini, s'bébebini, s'bébebini."

Then, 'tis said, "Do you in your turn begin singing!" that did they themselves say to one another. Then Swan started in to sing,

"Béleldō wainha, beleldō wainha," Béleldō wainha, beleldō wainha,"

the word was originally used in its literal sense in lullabys, then transfered to other songs as a mere burden. Cf. the following lullaby:



<sup>&</sup>quot;Buzzard, put him to sleep! S'īm [meaning unknown], indeed, put him to sleep! Snail, put him to sleep!"

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nagá-ihi<sup>g</sup> bel'p', helél<sup>g</sup> gana<sup>g</sup>nèx ā'k<sup>g</sup>a. Ganēhi<sup>g</sup> '' Mas'í<sup>g</sup> baimásga,'' nagása<sup>g</sup>n wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>t'an, há<sup>g</sup>k'a ga nagàn. Ganēhi<sup>g</sup> bá-imats!ak',




"Wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, 'wain-hā ī-dol-k'i, 'wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, wain-hā ī-dol-k'i," há<sup>g</sup>k'a<sup>a</sup> gana<sup>g</sup>nex helél<sup>g</sup>.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "S'<sup>ɛ</sup>á! gwidi dólk'init'k' yawayagwán?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> menà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup>hi gahi neyé<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga hé<sup>e</sup>l yononán,



"Wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, 'wain-hā ī-dol-k'i, 'wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, wain-hā ī-dol-k'i." Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> da<sup>aɛ</sup>agàn. "Gwidí dólk'init'k' yawayagwán?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>ɛ</sup> menà; mi<sup>i</sup> da<sup>a</sup>yehèī wü<sup>ū</sup>lham hoyodagwánma<sup>ɛ</sup> gada<sup>a</sup> giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Mi<sup>i</sup>



wüülham hóidigwia gadaa giník menà.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> da<sup>aɛ</sup>agán wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an dal<sup>ɛ</sup>wí<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> xàmk' baxámda<sup>ɛ</sup>. "ī's' i<sup>ɛ</sup> wede he<sup>e</sup>làt'," nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n; dá<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup>aganín xámk' baxámda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gangáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hoyodiá<sup>uɛ</sup>, dal<sup>ɛ</sup>wí<sup>i</sup>s' i<sup>ɛ</sup> "Wede he<sup>e</sup>lát', k'ái<sup>ɛ</sup>wa baxá<sup>ɛ</sup>m," nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>nhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an. Gangáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wü<sup>ū</sup>lham hoyodagwán. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Háu, háu, háu, hau." Ba<sup>a</sup>s'alxóxigin; mi<sup>i</sup> yaxa <sup>ɛ</sup>alī la<sup>a</sup>lē xàmk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Háu, háu, háu, háu, háu," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> dálxabilí<sup>uɛ</sup>; ba<sup>a</sup>yá<sup>a</sup>domó<sup>ɛ</sup>s'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> nèk' t!omõm. Sgísis'i<sup>ɛ</sup> aga da<sup>ɛ</sup>ā'na-u wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>īho<sup>u</sup>gwàk'<sup>w</sup>; gáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ganga mi<sup>i</sup> k!ūwū'<sup>ɛ</sup>, xàmk' yap!a daxoyóxi.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Though these three words are here probably felt to be mere burdens, each of them can be translated as a regular Takelma word: "Put-him-to-sleep, brown-bear his-anus," though the normal form for "his anus" would be dólk'inii or dólk'amaa. i- in idólk'i must be explained either as a mere change in burden, pairing off with

said Swan, thus did she, for her part, sing. Then, 'tis said, "Do you in your turn begin singing!" said the girls to one another, Goose was told that. Then she started in to sing,

"Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā ī'dólk'i, Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā ī'dólk'i,"

thus did Goose sing.

Then, 'tis said, "S''á! where are they talking about my anus?" said Bear. Then again, 'tis said, just that they said, that song was sung,

"Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā ī'dólk'i, Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā ī'dólk'i."

Now then, 'tis said, he heard it. "Where are they talking about my anus?" he said. Now Bear did go; now he went to where he heard the menstrual dance being danced, right by them he went. Now

"S'hau, hau, hau, hau,"

(thus saying) Bear did go alongside of where the menstrual dance was being danced.

Then, 'tis said, some of the girls heard how Grizzly Bear now was coming. "Sing no more," they said to one another. Grizzly Bear, 'tis said, was heard coming, yet they went on dancing; but some of the girls "Do not dance, a monster comes," did say to one another. Still the menstrual dance kept being danced. Then, 'tis said, "Hau, hau, hau, hau," (said Grizzly Bear). They suddenly stopped dancing, now Grizzly Bear had got to be right there. Then "Hau, hau, hau, hau," he said. Now he jumped among them; they flew right up, no one he killed. But Coyote did run away with this chieftainess girl.

mena, or else as a demonstrative stem not ordinarily used in its bare form (cf. ida-"that there" and idemesa "right there"); idólk'i would then be an archaic song-form of idaga dólk'inii, "that-one his-anus."

Mi<sup>i</sup> aga sgísi ā'k!à da<sup>g</sup>ána-u wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> dálhiwilīk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>g</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>g</sup> ''Wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> di eīt'? Wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> mī'<sup>g</sup>wa,'' nagá-ihìs; sgísi<sup>g</sup>a mi<sup>i</sup> gelwaīnia gelgulùk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>g</sup> ánī<sup>g</sup> t'ayàk' gwī<sup>g</sup>néi hawúxda<sup>g</sup>. ''K'ádi gi<sup>g</sup>à? K'a-ilā'p'a mī<sup>g</sup>wa nagásbi<sup>g</sup>n,'' nagáhi<sup>g</sup>. Sgísi lap'ām xamgwidìk'<sup>w</sup>. ''Ma dí k'ai<sup>g</sup>lā'p'a yuda<sup>g</sup>? lap'a<sup>g</sup>m nánsbina<sup>g</sup>,'' nagáhi<sup>g</sup> lap'ām. Gé de<sup>g</sup>winít'hì. Gweldi; ba<sup>g</sup>bi<sup>g</sup>t' lé<sup>g</sup>p'lap'.

# 11. JACK RABBIT IS CALUMNIATED BY COYOTE.

Wíli yowò<sup>ɛ</sup>, hōū ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>xì ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulī. K'ái gwala disgot!ōlha bēm, bēm k!emèī t'bàl. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī!² gwidã³ lemék!ia<sup>uɛ</sup>, k'ái gwala p!ahánda<sup>ɛ</sup>?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> hōū. Mí¹hi<sup>ɛ</sup> disgut!úxa<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi¹ limimán, hé<sup>e</sup>bili<sup>uɛ</sup>. "Nek' yók'i<sup>ɛ</sup> dak'līmxgwa<sup>ɛ</sup>. K'adí yawayagwá<sup>ɛ</sup>n?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> disgout', hé<sup>e</sup>bili<sup>uɛ</sup>. Gahíhi<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. "Nek' yók'i<sup>ɛ</sup> dak'-līmxgwa<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gahíhi<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! gwidā lemék!ia<sup>uɛ</sup>, k'ái gwala p!ahánda<sup>ɛ</sup>?"

Mi¹ dabalníxa laªlē'. Mi¹ sgísi daªgan ga nèx, hōū ga nagá-idag. "S'gá! s'k'adí negyég?" Mi¹ dáªsgek!ī sgísi. "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! gwidā lemék!iaug, dīp' p!ahándag?" nagá-ihighōū. "K'adí yawayagwágn? dīsgut!úxadeg." Ganēhig mi³ sgísi daægagan. Mi³ hadedīlt'a libin wāk'. "'S'galī hegīlémek!indag,'⁵ nagásanp'," nagá-ihig sgísi; "'haxiyá waggwidi-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 72-78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pronounced in a high pitch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A rhetorical form of gwidi, "where?" A mock-heroic effect is intended.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;As much as to say, ''I have more important things to do than to talk. I must cut down trees!"

Now those just scattered off, Grizzly Bear did chase the people around.

Now this Coyote, for his part, did run off with the chieftainess girl. Then, 'tis said, after a little while, "Are you a female? It must be a female," he thought; Coyote now, for his part, did wish to sleep with her. Tunc nihil vulvae repperit. "What did I, for my part, (take)? That you were a woman I thought," he said to her. Coyote threw Frog into the water. "Do you think you will be a woman? Frog you will always be called," he said to Frog. Proceeding just up to there (it goes). 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba\*p'-seeds.

# 11. Jack-Rabbit is Calumniated by Coyote.1

A house there was, Jack-Rabbit was dwelling all by himself. All sorts of trees he used to cut down; t'bal-bushes he regarded as trees. Then, 'tis said, "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that everything is ripe?" said Jack-Rabbit. Now he was a-cutting. Now then, 'tis said, he felled them, and off he rushed. "Had it been anyone else, he would have had it falling on top of him. But what am I talking about?" he said. Now again he cut one down, and off he rushed. That same thing he said. "Had it been anyone else, he would have had it falling on top of him," he said. That same thing he said, "Wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that everything is ripe?"

Now a long time elapsed. And Coyote did hear that speech, that which Jack-Rabbit was saying. "S'\(\frac{\psi}{a}\)! s-what are they saying?" Now Coyote was listening. "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that the camass is ripe?" said Jack-Rabbit. "But what am I talking about? I'll be a-cutting." Now then, 'tis said, Coyote

[8]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Coyote is guilty of a malicious pun. Jack Rabbit's lemék!iau<sup>8</sup>, " (people) have moved away," and Coyote's he<sup>8</sup>ilémek!inda<sup>8</sup>, "that I have done away with, annihilated, them," are forms of the same verb stem lemek!-.

110 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

gwidínda<sup>ɛ</sup>,' nagásanp'.'' Mi<sup>i</sup> yap!a gux<sup>w</sup>í<sup>i</sup> xilam la<sup>a</sup>lē\. '''Gi<sup>i</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemék!inda<sup>ɛ</sup>,' nagásanp', alī dexebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgísi.

Mi<sup>i</sup> wa<sup>§</sup>īt!emém wùlx. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> wulx p'elēk'wa; sgísi libin wa<sup>a</sup>ganá<sup>§</sup>, ga ga<sup>§</sup>al hōū p'elegán. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> "Géme<sup>§</sup>di dexebé<sup>§</sup>n?"—" Emé<sup>§</sup>, emé<sup>§</sup> dexebé<sup>§</sup>n." Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> de<sup>e</sup>dát'hì yap!a mí<sup>§</sup>sga<sup>§</sup> t!ayākwa. "Ha<sup>a</sup>p'dék' lo<sup>u</sup>s'î'," nagá-ihi<sup>§</sup> yap!a mí<sup>§</sup>sga<sup>§</sup> t!ayāk'wana<sup>§</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> "Sgá! sgá!" nagá<sup>§</sup> sgísi. "A'nī<sup>§</sup> gà," nagá-ihi<sup>§</sup> yap!à mí<sup>§</sup>sga<sup>§</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> t!ayāk'wana<sup>§</sup>. "Ga dexebé<sup>§</sup>n," sgísi ga nagá<sup>§</sup>. Bí<sup>§</sup>l<sup>§</sup> ganau mats!àk'; ganēhi<sup>§</sup> bī'l<sup>§</sup> ganàu dályewé<sup>§</sup> hōū. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> o<sup>u</sup>dán. Ganēhi<sup>§</sup> nī<sup>§</sup>s honó<sup>§</sup> t!ayāk'wa; mi<sup>§</sup> yap!a gā'<sup>§</sup>m t!ayāk'wa hōū. "Ha<sup>a</sup>p'dèk' lo<sup>u</sup>s'i<sup>§</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>§</sup> yap!à. Sgísi "Ga ga ga!" nagá<sup>§</sup>; "ga dexebé<sup>§</sup>n," nagá-ihi<sup>§</sup> sgísi. Gas'i<sup>§</sup> yapa<sup>§</sup>à "Anī<sup>§</sup> ga dexebé<sup>§</sup>n;" sgísis'i<sup>§</sup> "Ga dexebé<sup>§</sup>n," nagá<sup>§</sup>sgísi<sup>§</sup>à. A'nī<sup>§</sup> da<sup>a</sup>hó<sup>u</sup>xgwan sgísi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pronounced in a hoarse, loud whisper. Another such loudly whispered whoop is gwa'lalalala, yelled by the slayer of a man.

heard him. Now everywhere he carried the news. "S-he says about you, 'It is right around here that I've been killing people,''' said Coyote; "he says about you, 'In the water it is that I always throw them.''' Now the hearts of the people became sick. "He says about you, 'It is I that have been killing people,' right around here he says so,'' said Coyote.

[9]

Now the warriors assembled together. Then, 'tis said, the warriors went out to wage war against him; since Coyote had brought the news, for that reason was Jack-Rabbit warred against. Then (they said), "Where did he say that?"-"Here, here he said that." Then, 'tis said, one man found him first. "'Tis a plaything for my child," said the one man that had found him. Then, 'tis said, "S-that one it is! s-that one it is!" said Coyote. "It is not that one," said the one man that had just found him. "It is that one that said so," that did Coyote say. In his quiver (the man) put him. Then, 'tis said, Jack-Rabbit ran off out of the quiver into the woods. Then he was hunted for. Then, 'tis said, one found him again; now two persons had found Jack-Rabbit. "'Tis a plaything for my child," said the person. Coyote "That one, that one, that one!" did say; "it's that one that said so," said Coyote. But the person, for his part, "It is not that one that said so," (did say); but Coyote "It's that one that said so," said Coyote, for his part. Covote was not believed.

How often was he not found? That number of people that went to war against him, all of those did find him. Coyote said, "S-that one it is that said so," but he was not believed. Then, 'tis said, the people finished; when all the people had found him, they finished. Just when they finished, then did Jack-Rabbit put war feathers upon his head, and afar off "Bä wä' äu wä' äu wä' (etc.)" he whooped. Now then, 'tis said, they were fought with. Coyote did Jack-Rabbit kill first of all; the people he annihilated. Thus it was that he did that, arrows they started 's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Observe the inferentials. These verb forms do not primarily narrate, but explain or infer the origin of war.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> That is, they started the first war, set the precedent for warfare.

### 12. BEAVER FERRIES THE DEER ACROSS ROGUE RIVER.

Wíli yowó<sup>ɛ</sup>, sgísi sbīn wôk'díxadī'l. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> almī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s cū<sup>ɛ</sup>álha<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la²lē', p!iyin handàt' wogowá<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. ''<sup>ɛ</sup>ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s'agwà, lomt!ē'<sup>i</sup>!'' Sbīn ei <sup>ɛ</sup>oyōn, p!iyin eī ganau s'ówo<sup>ɛ</sup>s'a<sup>uɛ</sup> p!iyin gwalá. Xa²xīts'!ék'ts'!igi<sup>i</sup>da² la²līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> ei s'alk!omók!ô<sup>ɛ</sup>m. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!íyin<sup>ɛ</sup>à bais'ówo<sup>ɛ</sup>s'a-uda<sup>ɛ</sup> ei k!ómok!a<sup>ɛ</sup>m; mi<sup>i</sup> wa<sup>ɛ</sup>īt!oxóxi. ''<sup>ɛ</sup>E<sup>n ɛ</sup>E<sup>n</sup> (etc.),'' sbīn eiát'gwa ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>né la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa wõk'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>. "'<sup>ɛ</sup>eī me<sup>ɛ</sup>s'agwā', lomt!ē'<sup>i</sup>!'' Mi<sup>i</sup> sbīn ei hansāk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ganau ginigiáu<sup>ɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> hansāk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-is'ówo<sup>ɛ</sup>s'iwia<sup>uɛ</sup>; mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p!íyin ei s'alk!omók!a<sup>ɛ</sup>m. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wa<sup>ɛ</sup>īt!oxóxi. "<sup>ɛ</sup>e<sup>n ɛ</sup>e<sup>n</sup> (etc.)," mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> eī la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "Hat'īl<sup>ɛ</sup>a' <sup>ɛ</sup>eīhi, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> emé<sup>ɛ</sup> yaxa ei<sup>ɛ</sup>à. Gelyālk' eī, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> emé<sup>ɛ</sup> yaxa eī," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> sbīn ts'!iní<sup>i</sup>ts'!anx.

Mi hono dewénxa la le '' e me sagwā'!' Mi hono hansāk', gánau ginigiáu. Ganēhi pliyinhi xebé nagà, ga ei ogó ak'i; ha ndadát baxá m, adát gini k' pliyìn. Mi hono '' Lomt e', éme ei sagwā'!' nagánhi. Ganēhi eī

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hence the warlike character of the people of this place, the Upper Takelma.

 $<sup>^2</sup>Xa^a$ -xi-ts'!ék'ts'!igiida = "in-middle-of water its-backbone," in other words, equally distant from either shore. Cf.  $da^a$ -xi-ts'!ék'ts'!igiida = "alongside-of water its-backbone," i. e., not far from one of the banks.

at Lat'gāū.¹ So that the people he annihilated, Jack-Rabbit it was that did so. Coyote indeed got the people into trouble, he lied; but Jack-Rabbit did not really do that (which Coyote said he did).

## 12. BEAVER FERRIES THE DEER ACROSS ROGUE RIVER.

A house there was, Coyote, and his cousin Beaver. Then, 'tis said, they always lived together. Then a long time elapsed; deer kept arriving at the other side of the river. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Beaver gave them a canoe; the deer all jumped into the canoe, many deer. When it got to be in the middle of the river, then, 'tis said, the canoe was rent to pieces because of their kicking about in it. Then, 'tis said, when the deer, for their part, did all jump out of it, the canoe was rent to pieces. Now (Beaver) gathered up the pieces. "E" (etc.)," that did Beaver's own canoe do.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; now again the next day arrived. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Now Beaver paddled the canoe over the river. Then, 'tis said, they all went therein, and he paddled them across the river. Now again they all jumped out, and again the deer kicked the canoe to pieces. Now again he gathered the pieces together. "En, 'En' (etc.)," the canoe again now groaned. "Right at Hat'il is there a canoe indeed, not only here is there a canoe. At Gelyālk' is there a canoe, not only here is there a canoe," he said. Now Beaver was angry.

Now again the next day came. "Paddle a canoe over here!" Now again he paddled it across, and therein they all went. The deer indeed did do this, and that canoe he always gave to them. From across the river they came, over to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Hat'il was a Takelma village situated on Rogue river some distance above (east of) Table Rock.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Gelya'lk' was another Takelma village. It was situated on Rogue river below Table Rock. The name means "facing pine trees;" cf. yaal, "pine."

114 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

hansāk'w honoɛ ganē honoɛ ganau ginigiauɛ eī, ganē hánsāk'w honoɛ. Ganēhiɛ mii honoɛ gahí naɛneyeɛ, ba-is owós iwiauɛ. Ganēhiɛ mii honoɛ klomók!aɛm eī. Mii honoɛ ''ɛɛn ɛɛn (etc.);'' waɛīt!oxóxi. ''Emeɛdáɛx di ɛei yùk'? Dīɛloumī¹ yáa eī, ánīɛ emeɛdáɛx eīɛà,'' nagá-ihiɛ sbīn; eiyáa k!omók!aɛm, salk!umú-k!imim p!iyin xebeɛn. Ganēhiɛ ''ɛɛn ɛɛn (etc.),'' nagá-ihiɛ; mii honoɛ waɛīt!oxóxi, mii honoɛ eiyát'gwa ɛīk!uumàn. ''E'meɛda-báɛx di eīɛa yùk'? Gelyālk!a² eīhi, ánīɛ emeɛ yaxa eīɛà. Hayaalbā'lsda³ gés iɛ honoɛ eī,'' nagá-ihiɛ sbīn, ts !iniits !anx.

Hono<sup>©</sup> dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "<sup>©</sup>eī me<sup>©</sup>s agwa, lomt!<sup>§†</sup>!" nagánhi<sup>©</sup> sbīn. He<sup>©</sup>ne ei hansāk'<sup>w</sup>, mi<sup>I</sup> hono<sup>©</sup> ganau ginigiá<sup>u©</sup>; hánsāk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>I</sup> hono<sup>©</sup> gáhi na<sup>©</sup>neyé<sup>©</sup>, ba-is owó<sup>©</sup>s iwia<sup>u©</sup>; mi<sup>I</sup> hono<sup>©</sup> salk!um-úk!imin. Mi<sup>I</sup> hono<sup>©</sup> "<sup>©</sup>E<sup>n</sup> <sup>©</sup>E<sup>n</sup> (etc.)," eyát'gwa wa<sup>©</sup>īt!oxóxi. Ganēhi<sup>©</sup> "Emé<sup>©</sup>dába<sup>©</sup>x di <sup>©</sup>eī<sup>©</sup>a yùk'?" nagá-ihi<sup>©</sup> sbīn. "Gwen-p'uñk' eīhi, Lat'gāū' eī ge honó<sup>©</sup>, ánī<sup>©</sup> emé<sup>©</sup> yaxà ei," nagá-ihi<sup>©</sup>. P!iyin haandadat' baxá<sup>©</sup>m; adát's'i<sup>©</sup> p!iyin ánī<sup>©</sup> k'ai yúk' he<sup>©</sup>nè, haandadát' yaxa p!íyin<sup>©</sup>a yùk'. Gana<sup>©</sup>nèx géhi yaxa yok!oyá<sup>©</sup>n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Di<sup>v</sup>lo<sup>n</sup>mi<sup>l</sup> was one of the largest villages of the Takelma; it was situated at the falls (diu) of Rogue river. The name means "west (of which) are cedars;" cf. loum, "cedar."

<sup>2 ==</sup> Gelya\*lk'-8a.

<sup>\*</sup>Another Takelma village. The name means "in its high pines;" cf. baals, "long."

this side did come the deer. Now again "Old man, paddle a canoe over here!" he was told. Then again he paddled the canoe across the river. Then again they all went into the canoe, and again he paddled it across. Now then, 'tis said. they did that same thing, they all jumped out. And then again the canoe was rent to pieces. Now again "EEn, EEn (etc.)," (it groaned). He gathered the pieces together. "Is it only here that there is a canoe? Right at Di<sup>g</sup>lo<sup>u</sup>mī is there a canoe. not only here is there a canoe indeed," said Beaver. His canoe was rent to pieces; it was rent by being kicked to pieces, 'twas the deer that did so. Then "E", E" (etc.)," it said. Now again he gathered the pieces together, and again he fixed his canoe. "Is it only here that there is a canoe indeed? Right at Gelyalk' there is a canoe indeed, not only here is there a canoe. At Hayaalba'lsda, there also is there a canoe," said Beaver, he was angry.

Again the next day came. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Beaver was told. Then the canoe he paddled across. Now again they all went therein, and he paddled them across. Now again that same thing they did, they all jumped out, and again it was kicked to pieces. Now again "En, En (etc.)," (it groaned). The pieces of his canoe he gathered together. Then "Is it only here that there is a canoe?" said Beaver. "At Gwenp'uñk' there is a canoe indeed, at Lat'gāū, also there is there a canoe, not only here is there a canoe," he said. The deer came from across the river. Now at that time there were no deer on this side of the river, only on the other side were there deer. Just that far thus I know.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A Takelma village on Rogue river. The name seems to mean "east of rotten (trees);" cf.  $p'u\tilde{n}$ , "rotten."

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The Takelma village farthest to the east. A divergent dialect was there spoken. See Sapir's "Notes on the Takelma Indians" (American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9), pp. 252, 253, 255.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> That is, the northern side.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

# 13. GRIZZLY BEAR AND BLACK BEAR.1

Wíli yowó xàmk, nihwìk' hā'p'da gā'p!inì xàmk, nihwìk' hā'p'da gā'p!ini. T'gwīl k!adák!at' be wí, yewè'uk'; t'awāxadī'l la láusa n. Ganēhi gwī' ne la lē'. "T!elát odobá," nagá-ihi xàmk', nihwík' nagà. Dahōxa lawálhida t'gwīl yeléx debü' liwílhôk', be wí ga na nagá also màl. "T!elát' odobá," nagá-ihi xàmk' wa-iwí, t!eláhi odosat'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "T!éla<sup>ɛ</sup>t' odobá<sup>ɛ</sup>." Mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> dak!ocòk' dágaxda nihwìk'<sup>w</sup>, t!elá<sup>a</sup> o<sup>u</sup>dán. "Yegwēxdam."— "A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> yok!oyá<sup>ɛ</sup>n yēxbiaxdèk'" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xàmk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahōxa la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>, nō'ts!at'gwanwí<sup>ɛ</sup> yowó<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> t'gwi<sup>i</sup>l k!adák!at'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> ''T!éla<sup>ɛ</sup>t' odobá<sup>ɛ</sup>." Mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> dak!ocòk'. "Yegwēxdam nagadì," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> nihwìk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> debalníxa gá na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>. T'gwīl liwilhôk'<sup>w</sup> yelex debū'<sup>ɛ</sup>. "A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> yok!oyá<sup>ɛ</sup>n yegwēxbinda<sup>ɛ</sup>, t'awã." Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yok!oī dōmk'wia gél<sup>ɛ</sup>wagulōk'wa xàmk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewéida<sup>ɛ</sup> daho<sup>u</sup>xà, "Ganē dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> gánī<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> t'gwi<sup>i</sup>l k!a<sup>a</sup>dabá<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> t'awāxa la<sup>a</sup>láuhi.

Ganē míihis t'élma p!a-idīslóuk'; yok!oī dōmk'wôgulùk'. Ganēhis beyánt'gwa ''sagà t'élma dīsgū'isxgis hesnè dūmxink','' nagá-ihis nihwìk'w, beyánt'gwa ga nagà. ''Ga degwáldask'; dīsgū'sxgis hesne dūmxink','' nagá-ihis nihwìk'w. ''Hesne ī'daga nóus hapxwi xamk' '''P!aagabás!' naagísk', hesnesís

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 118-28; Gatschet, op. cit., pp. 118-23; and the Yana myth of Grizzly Bear and Deer obtained by Dr. Dixon (see Sapir, Yana Texts, note 319).

### 13. GRIZZLY BEAR AND BLACK BEAR.1

A house there was, Grizzly Bear, Black Bear, Grizzly Bear's two children, and Black Bear's two children. Every day they used to pick hazel nuts, and were wont to return; sisters they called each other. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "Let us hunt for your lice," said Grizzly Bear, to Black Bear she said it. Whenever the evening came, they always brought home burden baskets full of hazel nuts, every day they did that in the mountains. "For your lice let us hunt," said the Grizzly Bear female, and for her lice indeed she always hunted.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "Let us hunt for your lice," (said Grizzly Bear). Now, 'tis said, she bit Black Bear's head a little (while) her lice were hunted for. "You've bit me." -"I did not know that I was biting you," said Grizzly Bear. Then, 'tis said, when the evening came, they returned home, each other's neighbors they were. Now they used to pick hazel nuts. Then again, 'tis said, "Let us hunt for your lice," (said Grizzly Bear). Now again she bit her a little. "You've bit me, have you not?" said Black Bear. Now for a long time she did that to her. Then again they returned home, and burden baskets full of hazel nuts they brought home. "I did not know that I was biting you, sister." Then, 'tis said, she knew that Grizzly Bear was intending to kill her. Then, 'tis said, when they returned home in the evening, "Now when the next day comes, then let us again pick hazel nuts," (Grizzly Bear) said to her, sister she called her.

Now then, 'tis said, an acorn pestle she stood up, she knew that (Grizzly Bear) was intending to kill her. Then to her daughters, "Should this acorn pestle fall, then she will have killed me," said Black Bear, to her daughters that she said. "You shall watch that. Should it fall, then she will have killed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>So heard for  $disg\bar{u}'i^gxgi^g$ . It is very difficult sometimes to hear the second element of the  $\bar{u}i$  diphthong of this and related forms, partly because of the palatal character of the first element and partly because the glottal catch succeeding the diphthong makes it of less than normal duration.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

xàmk' hápxda de linú tlick','' nagáhi beyánt'gwa nihwìk' Ganēhi aga t'élma tlegwegwált'. "He ne dīsgū' go' Xamlo bá' na gíck' he ne' ne','' nagá-ihi; "he ne síc go' dát' ba hagwelpliyà,'' nagáhi nihwìk' beyánt'gwa. "Plahánk' ba-ihemgát' ba la līt' bák! it' ba','' nagáhi nihwìk'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> habēbini la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> t'elma dīsgūyū'<sup>ɛ</sup>x. Nó<sup>u</sup>c giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' xamk' hap'da wá<sup>a</sup>da. "P!āgaba<sup>ɛ</sup>hàn, xamlō<sup>u</sup>ba<sup>ɛ</sup>hàn," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> nihwìk'<sup>w</sup> beyán. "Há-u," nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. "Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xam-p!agá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamde<sup>ɛ</sup>īnú<sup>u</sup>t', mi<sup>i</sup> lohó<sup>iɛ</sup> xàmk' beyán gā'p!inì. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' hawilí<sup>i</sup>da ginīk'<sup>w</sup> hápxda<sup>a</sup>hì; mi<sup>i</sup> sēp' p!úl ganàu, gwelt'gāū k'ap!ák'ap' hap!iyà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!ahá<sup>ɛ</sup>n, mi<sup>i</sup> ba-ihemèk'; ulúm he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne níxa ga nagaīk'wana<sup>ɛ</sup> ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagà p!ahànt'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> la<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'bagát'bak'; no<sup>u</sup> be<sup>e</sup> k!íyi<sup>i</sup>k'da<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>néhi xebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n, he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne sēp aga<sup>ɛ</sup>a xàmk' hápxda. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> úlum<sup>ɛ</sup>à níxa ga nagaīk'wa, "P!è's ba<sup>aɛ</sup>īsgé<sup>e</sup>t!it'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>, ge nát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> nihwík'<sup>wɛ</sup>à, ga nagà beyánt'gwa. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hawilít'gwan yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> nihwik'<sup>w</sup> hápxda<sup>a</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!é<sup>e</sup>s ba<sup>aɛ</sup>īsgé<sup>e</sup>t'; ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, ganau nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, yá<sup>ɛ</sup>; mi<sup>i</sup> k!ūwū'<sup>ɛ</sup> hā'pxda<sup>a</sup> nihwìk'<sup>w</sup>, xamk' hápxda<sup>a</sup> t!omōm. Ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup>.

Dahouxa laalīt'as yeweis xamk'. Ganēhis ánīs k'ai hápxdaa; daasgek!ī. "Gwidi Leīt'p'?" Haxiya ūyúus iaus hapxwi wa-iwiit'an, "Hé he he he! hé he he!" T'gwīl yeléx debūs labak', hawis ánīs abaiginisk'. Bou nēxadas abaiginisk';

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is, they escaped by an underground passage through the ground.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> L- is a characteristic, intrinsically meaningless "grizzly-bear prefix" in the same

me," said Black Bear. "In that case to those children next door of Grizzly Bear shall you say 'Let us bathe!' and then you shall drown Grizzly Bear's children," said Black Bear to her daughters. Then, 'tis said, they watched this acorn pestle. "If it should fall, in that case you shall say to them, 'Let us play in the water!'" she said; "and then you shall bury them down in the fire-place," said Black Bear to her daughters. "When they are done, you will take them out, and you will slit them open," said Black Bear to them.

Now then, 'tis said, noon came, and the acorn pestle fell, Next door they went to Grizzly Bear's children. "Let us all bathe, let us all play in the water," said the daughters of Black "Yes," they said. Then, 'tis said, they bathed in the water. Now they drowned them in the water, and the two daughters of Grizzly Bear died. Then into the house of Grizzly Bear they took her children indeed; now they roasted them in the ashes, down under the ground they threw them in the fire. Then, 'tis said, they were done, and they took them out; as before their mother had told them, that they did to them (till they were) done. Then they ripped them open. In the afternoon, just then they did so, then they roasted just these children of Grizzly Bear. Now formerly, indeed, their mother had told them that, "You will lift up the rock acorn-mortar, there you will go," said Black Bear, for her part, that she had said to her daughters. Then into their own house returned the children of Black Bear. Then the rock acorn-mortar they lifted up, and went off; therein they passed, off they went.1 Now Black Bear's children ran away, Grizzly Bear's children they had killed. Then off they went.

When evening came Grizzly Bear returned. Now her children were not there; she listened. "Where L-are you?" In the water there was laughter (as of) little girls, "Hé he he! hé he he!" A burden basket full of hazel nuts she carried

sense in which s:- is a "coyote prefix." L- does not occur as a normal Takelma sound, though its use as such in the neighboring Athabascan dialects is very frequent.

ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> yaxa p!ahànt' de<sup>ɛ</sup>īwí<sup>i</sup>k!ik'<sup>w</sup> la<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'ba<sup>a</sup>k't'bák'na<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganē mí<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> gayaū p!ā'nt'. Ganē he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> "S'mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp', me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp','' ba-ibilíuda<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī <sup>ɛ</sup>ūyú<sup>uɛ</sup>s'da<sup>ɛ</sup> hapxwi wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an; mi<sup>i</sup> gé giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. "S'mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp', s'me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp'.'' Mi<sup>i</sup> haxiyá giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī <sup>ɛ</sup>ūyú<sup>uɛ</sup>s'da<sup>ɛ</sup> ge giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; ge wõk', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai. Nõ<sup>u</sup> ya<sup>a</sup> "Hé he he!" Mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> ge hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>. "S'me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp', s'me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp'.'' Ge wõk', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> hínaū uyū'<sup>ɛ</sup>s'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>, hapxwi wá-iwi gáp!inì. Mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> hinaū hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>. "S'mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp', s'me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp'.'' Mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> ge wõk', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai. Mi<sup>i</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne no<sup>u</sup> ya<sup>a</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> ūyú<sup>uɛ</sup>s'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>; honó<sup>ɛ</sup> gé hiwili<sup>uɛ</sup>. "Me<sup>ɛ</sup>yēp'," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xàmk'. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> hínau yá<sup>a</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ū'yū<sup>ɛ</sup>s'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>; hu'lū'nk'wa gwidigwàs. Ge<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>a</sup>hi ganē t!ayàk', s'as'inī. "Gwidí Lna<sup>ɛ</sup>-naga<sup>iɛ</sup>?" ī's'ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> sgelé<sup>uɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> hu'lìnt', adát'wi<sup>ɛ</sup> hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>.

Mi abaiginí k' hawilít'gwa. "Lhāp'dék' di Lyùk'? ga dí p!ā'nt' gaīk'a k'?" nagá-ihi Nous giní k'. Ganēhi k'ái gwala bā k'ap!ak'ap'; t'ga yamàt', k'ái gwala yamàt', "Gwidí gini k' hāpxdèk'?" Gwī' ne la lē'; ganēhi mi p!è's bā isga k'-sgàk', dīhauyá ge yá hi s'álxda da lalt!ayàk'. Ganēhi mi swadàk'. "P!idilp'ā t'p'idit'k', p!idilp'ā gana nènèx; swadàk', "P!idilp'ā t'p'idit'k', p!idilp'ā t'p'idit'k'!" nagá gana nèx; swadàk', "P!idilp'ā k' t'p'idit'k', p!idilp'ā k' t'p'idit'k'!" nagá hanxiyà; gas f hangwidìk' mēx, gwélxda ei k!emèī, hapxwi wa-iwî t'an gadák' nagá .

Là' mologolā'p'a wáada aba-iwōk' xàmk', abaiginígk'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The children of Black Bear had left behind an image of their own laughter in order to delay the pursuer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> baa<sup>8</sup> isgéet', "he lifted and turned it over," was said to be more correct.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The word in its normal form is p'áa<sup>2</sup>t'p'idit'k', "my liver," the reference being

on her back. Not yet had she entered the house. After a little while she went inside. Now then (they lay there) all done, spread out, ripped open. Now then, 'tis said, she ate their livers. Now just then "S-come back, come back!" (she said), as she rushed out to where there was laughter (as of) little children; now there she came. "S-come back, s-come back!" Now into the water she went. Then, 'tis said, where there was laughter, there she went; there she arrived, but they were not there. Just down river "Hé he he!" (it sounded). Now again there she ran. "S-come back, s-come back!" There she arrived, but they were not there. Now again up river there was laughter (as of) two little children. Now again up river she ran. "S-come back, s-come back!" Now again there she arrived, but they were not there. Now then just down river there was laughter again; again there she ran. "Come back!" said Grizzly Bear. Now again just up river there was laughter once more; she was plumb tired out.1 Right there she then found it out, she stood still. "What L-is the matter?" she kept shouting. Now she was tired, to every place had she run.

Now she went home into her own house. "L-so it is L-my children? So that was their livers that I ate?" she said. Next door she went. Then everything she turned over; the earth she asked, everything she asked, "Where did my children go?" Some time elapsed, and then she lifted up the rock acorn-mortar, last of all she discovered their footprints right there. Now then, 'tis said, she pursued them. "O L-my liver! O L-my liver!" now thus she cried. She pursued them, and "O L-my liver! O L-my liver! O L-my liver! O L-my liver! on the said. Somewheres or other they had arrived, and now Black Bear's children were on the other side of the water. Indeed Crane had thrown his leg across the river and made a canoe of it, and the little girls passed over on it.

Grizzly Bear arrived at the house of old woman Excrement,

generally to a salmon-liver. The form in the text is exclamatory; it shows a very unusual type of reduplication and is further augmented by the L-characteristic of the grizzly-bear. It is doubtful whether the word is in any way related to  $p/a^a n$ , the ordinary word for "liver."

"Gwidí Lbō"t'ba"lā'p'ak!an?" nagá-ihiĒ xàmk'. "Da"-t'mu"gàl-lewéĒliwiĒn, īlayá"k'naĒn," nagá-ihiĒ mī'Ēs là' mologolā'p'a, ĒánīĒ yok!oyáĒn k'ai mologolā'p'axda". "Da"-t'mu"gal-leweĒliwiĒn," nagá-ihiĒ mologolā'p'a, ánīĒ dak'dahāl xàmk'. "Gwidí Lbo"t'ba"lā'p'ak!an? ándīĒ dāĒaganìt' k'ai nagásbindaĒ?" nagá-ihiĒ xàmk'. Bo" nēxadaĒ ts !inīİts !anx mologolā'p'a yamàt' gwelgélyowo"daĒ, hap!iyà gelk!iyíĒk', ye xít'gwa īgiīna. "GeĒmēdi gī yemési?" nagá-ihiĒ. Miī xamk'Ēa ba-ibilí¸ ganēhiĒ háxiyá hiwilí¸ Mii ei yilìm, "Ei méĒs agwà!" nagá-ihiĒ. Mii mēx yá"hi "Ē';" gwélxdagwa hanlo"k', gwélxdagwa ogoīhi. Mii gadák' nagáïĒ. Mii s alĒīk!alák!al, xa"axiyá la"lē'. "Ē'e'!" Mii īsgeēt'sgàt' gwélxdagwa mēx; mii lohoʿīĒ xàmk', xamgwidík' dagwa mēx. Agás iĒ ulum k!ūwū'Ē yaxa gadàk' nihwik' hā'pxda meex gwélxda.

## 14. Eagle and the Grizzly Bears.

Mēx yulùm k'abáxa'; yulum be'wí alhū'ihi'xk', gwála cīx do'mdàmk' p!iyìn. Gas'í dabalníxa lãp'k'; aldī s'om ga'al alhūyūxk', cīx wili debū'bàx, yàmxs'i xlépxda' k!em'àmk' mēx. Ganga gana'néx alhū'ihi'xk', hadedīlt'a s'úm ga'àl alhū'ihi'xk', máxas'i yàmx k!oloī dülū't!alhi. Gana'néxhi ci'ulī máxadī'l, níxas'i ánī k'ài. Hat'ga'dīlt'a s'om ga'al cīx t!omō'm; be'wí yàmx wili debü'ök'i.

Gwī''ɛne laalē', mi mēx k'abáxa ''Wede ī'daga he soumàl wede ge wīt'am,'' nagáhi Alhuyūx hadedīlt'a. Ganēhi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whispered.

and went inside. "Where are the L-orphans?" said Grizzly Bear. "I swing about the shells in my ears, I coil my basket tight," said a certain Excrement woman, I know not what sort of woman. "I swing about the shells in my ears," said the old woman, she answered not Grizzly Bear. "Where are the L-orphans? Did you not hear what I said to you?" said Grizzly Bear. After a little while the old woman became angry, (whom) she had asked as she had her back towards her; towards the fire-place she turned around, her awl she seized. "Wherefore do you ask me?" Now Grizzly Bear, for her part, jumped out of the house, then ran to the water. Now she called for a canoe, "Paddle a canoe over here!" she said. Now Crane, indeed, (said), "Eè!" and he stretched his own leg across, his own leg he gave her. Now she walked on top of it. And she scratched his leg with her claws, got to be in the middle of the "ee'!" (exclaimed Crane). Now Crane turned his leg to one side, and Grizzly Bear died, Crane threw her into the water. But formerly Black Bear's children had escaped by just passing over Crane's leg.

## 14. EAGLE AND THE GRIZZLY BEARS.

There were Crane and his son Eagle. Every day Eagle was wont to go out hunting, much venison (he brought home), deer he used to kill. Now a long time elapsed; in all the mountains he went out hunting, and the house was brimful of venison, and pan-like cakes of fat Crane used to make. Thus he was ever wont to hunt. Everywhere in the mountains he used to hunt, while his father stuffed the baskets with fat. Thus indeed he and his father dwelt, but mother there was none. In every land among the mountains he procured venison, every day he filled the house with fat.

Some time elapsed, and Crane said to his son, "Do not (go) beyond yonder mountain, do not go there." Everywhere he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All the verb forms up to this point have been inferentials; from here on the narrative makes use of aorists.

dabalníxa laalē'. "K'adí naga, k'ái gagal di 'Wede ī'daga hees oumāl wīt'am' negés i?" nagá-ihig yulùm, máxa nagā. Mi gelhewéhau cigulī; bou nēxadag baatlebèt'. Mi yág, géhi ginígk'. Dák's oumál ba-iwōk', xamgalyowòg ō+ t'gaa dū; mī'gs yaxa wai-iwí dīp' ōup' cugwan yeléxdaa labàk'; wa-iwí dū, yuubí dū, ganát'hi alxík'. "Ga dí nāk'ik' wíhamga? ga dí gagal 'Wede ge gingàt' nēxik'?" nagá-ihig yulùm. Dabalníxahi gé s'as inī, alxík' wa-iwí Ganēhig bou nēxadag laalīt'ag ge ginígk', dagoldída laalē'. Agas ig "A'nīg mī'gwa alt!eyéxi," nagá yulumga, agás ig xamk' wa-iwí mi alt!ayāk'wa. Ganēhig smélaug des inída sāk'w. Báahigyānk'w, cugunít'gwa ganau gwidìk'w smélaugx; ánīs ig alxík', ganga dīp' ōup'. Gidī'ghiwilíug wa-iwi wáada yulùm; bou nēxadag wáada wōk'. Ganēhig k'ái nagnagáig, loulagwásagn, waahimísagn.

Mii nōu bee dī'ek!iyíek'; ganēhie mii hayeewáxdaada laalē'a xàmk' wa-iwíi ópxak!an. Agasie p'eléxae wili eixdī'l. Ganēhie "Gwidí mats!agaen?" nagáie xámk' wa-iwíi, mī'esgaehì wa-iwíi. "Ganē has ugwindē di mats!agáen? A'lhidaagináe. Gwidí mats!agáen?" nagá-ihie gelhewéhanae. Agásie p'elxáes hawi k'ebilī; déedahì abaiyeegwià gelgulùk' ópxak!an. Ganēhie nōu bee k!iyíek' dahouxà. Ganēhie ū'lük!it'gwa gadal mats!ak'; ganēhie aba-iyewée. Mii ligíe, dīp' ligìk'w. Ganēhie gwelyáahimats!ak', ts!ayàm. Mii máxaeà "En', En', En', En', En', '' s'int!ayàk'; ánīe k'ai nagáie wa-iwíi.

Literally, "in front of her nose."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "something they-did."

hunted. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "What did he mean by it, for what reason 'Do not go beyond yonder mountain' did he say to me?" said Eagle, of his father he said it. Now he thought about it, was seated; after a little while he arose. Now he went, right there he proceeded. On top of the mountain he arrived, looked down into the plain. Oh, 'twas a pretty land, and just one girl was digging camass and a burden basket of roots she carried on her back. Pretty was the girl. pretty was her basket-cap, just that kind of (girl) he saw. "So is that what my father meant, for his part? Is it for that reason that he said to me, 'Do not go there'?'' said Eagle. For a long time indeed he stood there, looked at the girl. Then when a little while had passed, he went there, close to her he came. Now Eagle for his part, said, "She has not discovered me probably," but the Grizzly Bear girl had already discovered him. Then, 'tis said, arrow shafts he shot before her. just picked them up, threw the arrow shafts into her basket; but she did not look at him, went ahead digging camass. Closer and closer hastened Eagle to the girl, after a little while he came up to her. Then, 'tis said, they enjoyed themselves, played with each other, talked to each other.

Now the sun was falling down river,<sup>3</sup> and now time it became for the elder brothers of the Grizzly Bear girl to return; indeed they went out to war, (lived in) ten houses. Then, 'tis said, "Where am I going to put him?" said the Grizzly Bear girl, just one girl. "Now shall I put him in my basket? He might be discovered. Where am I going to put him?" she said, thinking. Now those that had gone out to war were still absent; before her elder brothers, indeed, she desired to return home. Then the sun was falling down river in the evening. Then, 'tis said, in her own hair she put him, then returned home. Now she came home with her burden, camass she brought home. Then, 'tis said, she put him away in the back of the house, she

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rogue river flows west. Hence "up river" (hinau) is often used in Takelma as synonymous with east, "down river"  $(no^u)$  as synonymous with west.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "in-their-returning it-became."

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahō<sup>u</sup>xa la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> be<sup>e</sup> hawiyá<sup>ɛ</sup>;<sup>1</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> baxá<sup>ɛ</sup>m, dayawīx baxamàk'<sup>w</sup>,<sup>2</sup> da<sup>ɛ</sup>ol dí<sup>ɛ</sup>hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup> yawá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>, ''Gí<sup>iɛ</sup>a yulum sbéxalt'a mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa nagaīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>, wè'k!alk', wè'k!alk'. Yómò, yómò, k'ü'<sup>ū</sup>nàx'!''<sup>5</sup> nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yawá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> wili ixdīl xàmk', mi<sup>i</sup> p'elxá<sup>ɛ</sup>s yewéida<sup>ɛ</sup>; dugums'<sup>ɛ</sup> lãp', t'agá<sup>iɛ</sup> ha<sup>a</sup>pxi labák'na<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> abaigini<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. ''ī'da dahauxt'gít' <sup>ɛ</sup>i't'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' ga máxa<sup>a</sup> nagà, haūx ogoīhi; níxa<sup>a</sup>s'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ''ī'da dak'alt'gít' <sup>ɛ</sup>i't'e<sup>ɛ</sup>; ī'da dado<sup>u</sup>nt'gít' <sup>ɛ</sup>i't'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagà. ''ī'da dagwast'gít' <sup>ɛ</sup>i't'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> máxa, ha<sup>a</sup>pxi dugùm deligiált' máxa. Mi<sup>i</sup> (noise of greedy swallowing) gayaū, ha-ugwenyut!uyàt' yap!a gwa<sup>a</sup>s'i. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> yiwin <sup>ɛ</sup>wô'k'i<sup>ɛ</sup> t'ópxa wá<sup>a</sup>da gé yaxa nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, ü'lük!i<sup>i</sup> gadal yegwèk'<sup>w</sup>; al<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'bá<sup>a</sup>k'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>lē', hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p'eléxa<sup>ɛ</sup> wé<sup>e</sup>gia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> lemék!ia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>nehi baiyeweyàk'<sup>w</sup> t!īt'gwa xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!agá<sup>iɛ</sup> yulùm dap!ā'la-u dū. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xuma <sup>ɛ</sup>ogoīhi xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>; ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gayaū, ā'k'<sup>ɛ</sup>a dīp' gayaū luxùm, ga <sup>ɛ</sup>ā'k'<sup>ɛ</sup>a gayaū. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ''A'ndi Lyúk!alxde<sup>ɛ</sup> detc!ugùt'? dadák'da<sup>a</sup>k','' nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>nhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' lomt!í<sup>i</sup> gūxdagwadī'l. Mi<sup>i</sup> beyán ''K'ai nagaīt'p'? s'o<sup>uɛ</sup> de<sup>e</sup>gwált'gwi<sup>i</sup>p'anp','' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>, máxa<sup>a</sup> níxa<sup>a</sup> nagà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> alhūyūx yulùm, hawi <sup>ɛ</sup>ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> habe<sup>e</sup>bini la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Mi<sup>i</sup> yeweyak'<sup>w</sup> cīx; wili <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdīl, cīxs'i<sup>ɛ</sup> dō<sup>u</sup>mk' ixdīl. Mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup> ogoīhi xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>, nó<sup>u</sup>s' mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ogoīhi; wili <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdīl, gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>sgawì<sup>ɛ</sup> ogoīhi. ''Wede hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a ga-iwàt'p',

¹ Probably for ha-uyá<sup>ε</sup>, "under-went."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "mouth-talking they-came-with-it."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is not at all clear what is meant by this word. It is evidently some epithet of Eagle, as indicated by the "exclusive" suffix -t'a. The Grizzly Bears mean that they saw some one shine afar off and took him for Eagle, but then discovered their mistake.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;This is a "story-form," the normal form being k'winax-. Compare with the form given in the text the Upper Takelma k'ū'unàks't', "his kin."

hid him. Now her father, for his part, "E", E", E", E", " did smell him, but nothing said the girl.

Then it became evening and the sun went under. Now they came, talking to one another they came, close they came talking to one another. "I, for my part, did think it was Eagle sbéxalt'a,3 shining, shining. 'Catch up with him, catch up with him, Kinsman!' " said the Grizzly Bears of ten houses talking with each other as now, having gone out to war, they returned. And babies they carried, and the children cried as they carried them. Now they went into the houses. "Ecce [10] tibi vulvam," id patri suo dixerunt, vulvam ei dederunt. At matri suae "Ecce tibi penem, ecce tibi testes," dixerunt. "Ecce tibi intestina," patri suo dixerunt; infantes patri suo ut ederet dederunt. Now they ate them swallowing them down greedily. the intestines of people they gobbled down. Now then, 'tis said, he who was without speech to his elder sister, right there did proceed, and in her hair he bit, but she struck him.

Then, 'tis said, the next day came, and again, when it dawned. they went out to war. Now then, when they had all departed, just then the Grizzly Bear girl took out her husband. Then Eagle, the handsome youth, did bathe. Then food the Grizzly Bear girl gave to him; she, for her part, did not eat people—camass she ate and manzanita, that did she, for her part, eat. Then, 'tis said, "Are not L-your teeth sharp? Sharpen them!" said old man Grizzly Bear and his wife to each other. Now their daughter, "What did you say? Take care of yourselves!" said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her father and mother she said it. Now then, 'tis said, Eagle went out to hunt. Not yet had it become noon, and he returned with venison; there were ten houses, so ten deer he had killed. One he gave to the Grizzly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Each syllable in this sentence is pronounced heavily and by itself. It is evidently desired to convey an idea of the lumbering ungainliness of the grizzly

It was not found possible to ascertain just what -t'git' iit'e' means. The da- [1] in dahaux- (, -k'al-, -doum-, -gwas-)t'git' means probably "in mouth, for eating." These sentences are pronounced with the clumsiness noted above.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

ī'lts!ak'w. A'ga yaxa gàip' cīx. 'Mi<sup>i</sup> alguxwidám wõk','¹ nát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>. Wede honó<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gwaªsí<sup>i</sup> ga-iwàt'p','' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>, níxa ga nagà; nó<sup>u</sup>s'wi<sup>ɛ</sup> aldīl ga nagà mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!í<sup>i</sup> wíli <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdī'l aldī gu<sup>u</sup>xgwàt'.

Gas'í aga k'abáxak!an ga p'eléxa be wí; agas'í wa-iwí yowó de deyéhal wili mī' sga ganàu, lomt!í gũxdagwadī'l, gasi dap!ála-u gā'p!inì, ga mī' sga n' yiwin wô'k'i t!os'ó t'a. Ganēhi k'ái na nagá , cīx gayawaná bē. Ganēhi mi be ha-uyaná gulugwana ts!ayàm t!īt'gwa xamk' wa-iwí, mi p'élxa yèūguluk'; mi daho xa la lē. Ganēhi mologolā'-p'ak!an lomt!i lā'p'ak!an xumú k' p!iyin yámxda gayawaná be wa dí', habe bini ligik' cīx yòlòm.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> p'elxá<sup>ɛ</sup>s; yawá<sup>iɛ</sup>, ''Gi<sup>iɛ</sup>a ga mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa nagái-t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>hìs, wek!àlk', wek!àlk','' nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. ''Gas'f<sup>ɛ</sup> 'K'ú<sup>u</sup>nax yomo' nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n, wi<sup>ɛ</sup>in yaxa la<sup>a</sup>lē','' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yawá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. Abai-giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k', ha<sup>a</sup>pxis'f<sup>ɛ</sup> yot'ī'hi ligìk'<sup>w</sup>. ''ī'da dadō<sup>u</sup>mt'gít' <sup>ɛ</sup>i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' níxa ga nagà. ''ī'da hahaux<sup>5</sup> denit'gít'<sup>e</sup> i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ī'da dahapxī-t'gít' i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>.''—''Háwi bo<sup>u</sup> ne ga-iwán dewénxa.'' Gwél-yaxa-mats!àk', agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> be<sup>eɛ</sup>wa<sup>a</sup>dí<sup>i</sup> yàmx gayawaná<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>lē, hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p'eléxa<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a hé<sup>ɛ</sup>īleme<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; bo<sup>u</sup>gwan' ya<sup>a</sup>niáuda<sup>ɛ</sup>hì dihaūxa t!īt'gwa baiyeweyàk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!agá<sup>iɛ</sup> yulùm dap!ālá-u. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup>hi xuma ogoīhi t!īt'gwa. ''Yū'k'alxde<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> dì <sup>ɛ</sup>ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ài? dadák'da<sup>a</sup>k','' nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>nhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologol t!īt'gwadī'l. ''K'ái naga-ìt'p'? có<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>e</sup>gwált'gwi<sup>i</sup>p',''<sup>a</sup> nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> níxa máxa xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>. ''Haxiyá gūp' gwãs,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "now to-our-heart it-has-arrived."

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  That is, when given the disgusting food as customarily.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So heard, perhaps incorrectly, for mi<sup>18</sup> sga<sup>8</sup>.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally translated, this word seems to mean "day its-body, i. e., whole extent."

Bear girl, one also he gave next door; there were ten houses, so that one to each he gave. "Do not again eat people, it is bad. Just eat this venison. 'Now we are satiated,' shall you say.' Do not again eat the intestines of people," said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her mother that she said; in every neighboring house to all the old women that she said, the old men in all the ten houses being wived.

Now these sons of theirs, for their part, those did go out to war every day; and where the girl was there were five,—the old man and his wife, then two youths, of those one being without speech, the smallest one. Then, 'tis said, they enjoyed themselves, eating venison all day. Now then, when the sun was about to go under, the Grizzly Bear girl hid her husband, and those that had gone out to war, for their part, were about to return. Now it became evening. Then, 'tis said, the old women and the old men were full, having eaten the fat of deer the livelong day, (for) at noon Eagle had brought home venison indeed.

Then returned those that had gone out to war. They talked to one another, saying, "I, for my part, did think it must be that one, shining, shining. Thereupon 'Kinsman, catch up with him!' I said to him, but it turned out to be a different one," said they, talking to one another. They went into the houses, and live children they brought home. "Ecce tibi testes," id matri suae dixerunt. "Ecce tibi vulvam, mammas. Ecce tibi infantes," (id patri suo dixerunt). "Well, in yet a little while I'll eat it tomorrow." They just put them down in the back of the house, as they had been eating fat the livelong day. Then, 'tis said, the next day came, and again they went out to war. Then people they destroyed. Just as soon as they had gone away, after that she took out her husband.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Why ha- is here used instead of da- it is not quite easy to say; ha-, "in," and haux may well be etymologically connected. -t'git' seems to be understood with hahaux.

<sup>•</sup> de-, not da-, because of following palatal vowel.

<sup>7</sup> Presumably compounded of bou and gans.

<sup>\*</sup> Singular imperative in form, though logically plural.

wede honó<sup>ɛ</sup> ga-iwàt'p','' nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i<sup>i</sup>-lā'p'ak!an.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx yulùm. Habe<sup>e</sup>bini la<sup>a</sup>lē, mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ligik'<sup>w</sup> ixdīl cīx mahmī. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wat!ilīk'ni mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup>wi<sup>ɛ</sup> ogoīhi. "Gá yap!a ga-iwank' cīx," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>. "Wede hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a ga-iwàt'p' līk'wi<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>, mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i<sup>i</sup>lā'p'ak!an ga nagà. Agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> hō<sup>u</sup>xà ligigwaná<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a do<sup>u</sup>mál haūxda<sup>a</sup> gwās nì, ga k!ulsát'a<sup>a</sup> deligiált' yū'k'alx wák'i<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>; mi<sup>i</sup> daho<sup>u</sup>xa la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> ts!ayàm t!īt'gwa. Ganē yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> p'elxá<sup>ɛ</sup>s mena dap!ā'la-ut'an.

"Gíigà yulum sbéxalt'a ge mī'gwa nagáit'e," nagá-ihigyawá-ida, Ganēhig "Yo"mo k'ú"nax," nagá-ihigyawá-ida, "Yo"mo k'ú"nax," nagá-ihigyawá-ida, "Wék!alk', wék!alk', nagá-ida, wigín yaxa laale," nagá-ihig. Ganēhig abaiginígk'. "ī'da hamī dahaūxt'gít' iit'e; ī'da hindē dado"mt'gít' iit'e, ī'da dak'ált'gít' iit'e," nagá-ihig, níxa gwās ogoīhi. "Dewénxa ga-iwán, be waadī yōk!a ts'!adadánda ga xumūūgwágn," nagá-ihig mologolā'p'a t!īt'gwadī'l; gwāshi gwél- yaxa-mats!ak'. Nó"s ganagnex honog máxak!an haūx deligiált'hi, níxak!ans'ig k'al deligiált'hi dõum gwās p!ān, ga deligiált'hi. Gwīgne dí wede deligiált'hi dõum gwās p!ān, ga deligiált'hi. Gwīgne dí wede deligiált'hi k!ulsat' gwās. Ganēhig "Bou" nē ga-iwán dewénxa yough' ts!adadándag. Xi² yákk!emēndag, ga uugwágn be gayaū gwās k'al haūx; xamk' wa-iwíi "Wede honog gayaū gwās k'al haūx; xamk' wa-iwíi "Wede honog ga-iwát'p'," nagáig; "k'áigwa

<sup>=</sup>liik'w-gi2, conditional of ligi-gw-: lii-gw-.

Then, 'tis said, the Eagle youth bathed. Now just then she gave food to her husband. "Now have you no teeth? Sharpen them!" said the old woman and her husband to each other. "What did you say? Take care of yourselves!" did the Grizzly Bear girl say to her mother and father. "Into the water throw away the intestines, do not again eat them," said she to the old women and old men.

Now then again Eagle went out to hunt. Noon came, and again he brought home ten big deer. Then again he distributed them, one to each he gave. "That is what people will eat, venison," said the Grizzly Bear girl. "Do not again eat people when they bring them home," said the Grizzly Bear girl, to the old women and old men that she said. But the day before, when they had brought home the testicles and vulvae of people, intestines, and nipples, that soft food had they brought home for them to eat, being without teeth. Then again they returned, and when the evening came, then did the girl hide her husband. Now did return those that had gone out to war, the Bear youths.

"I, for my part, did think it was Eagle sbéxalt'a there," said they, talking to one another. Then, 'tis said, "'Catch up with him, Kinsman!'" said they, talking to one another. "'Shining, shining,' though you said, a different one it turned out to be," they said. Then they went into the houses. pater, tibi vulvam. Ecce, mater, tibi testes, ecce tibi penem," dixerunt; matri suae intestina dederunt. "Tomorrow I shall eat it; since I munched their bones the livelong day, therefore I am satiated." said the old women and their husbands; the intestines, indeed, they just put down in the back of the house. In the neighboring houses also they thus brought vulvae to their fathers for food, but to their mothers they brought penises as food, testicles, intestines, and livers, that did they bring them as food. How long did they not bring them home for their fathers and mothers to eat? They were without teeth, for that

<sup>2</sup> Xi, "water," i. e., soup.

[13]

ī'lts!ak'w. 'Mi' xúmu'gwanàk',' nát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx; ixdīl honó<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omõm cīx, hábe<sup>e</sup>bini ligìk<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wat!ilīk<sup>c</sup>ni nó<sup>u</sup>s aldī<sup>c</sup>l wilì mī<sup>c</sup>s-ga<sup>ɛ</sup>wi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> lomt<sup>c</sup>ilā'p<sup>c</sup>ak!an mologolā'p<sup>c</sup>ak!an k<sup>c</sup>ai na<sup>ɛ</sup>-nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, cīx gayawaná<sup>ɛ</sup>, yàmx gayawaná<sup>ɛ</sup>; ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gayaū. Wili mī<sup>c</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup> ganàu dēhal, nó<sup>u</sup>s<sup>c</sup>hì gā'p!inì lomt!i<sup>c</sup> gūxdagwadī<sup>c</sup>l, wili <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdīl gā<sup>c</sup>mwi<sup>ɛ</sup> ganàu; gá yulum do<sup>u</sup>mia gelgulugwán p<sup>c</sup>eléxia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gas<sup>c</sup>i<sup>ɛ</sup> yewéida<sup>ɛ</sup> "Yulum sbéxalt<sup>c</sup>a mī<sup>c</sup>wa nagáit<sup>c</sup>e<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>, gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx yawá<sup>iɛ</sup>. "Wék!alk<sup>c</sup>, wék!alk<sup>c</sup>, nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> gas<sup>c</sup>al k<sup>c</sup>u<sup>u</sup>nax 'Yomo,' nagán; yap!a wi<sup>ɛ</sup>in yá<sup>a</sup> la<sup>a</sup>lē<sup>c</sup>." Gáhi nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> xàmk<sup>c</sup>. Gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>né la<sup>a</sup>lē; hemdí wede p<sup>c</sup>elxàk<sup>c</sup>? xā<sup>ɛ</sup>newi<sup>ɛ</sup> hāpxi ligìk<sup>c</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwāss<sup>c</sup>i<sup>ɛ</sup> be<sup>e</sup>wi<sup>ɛ</sup> ligìk<sup>c</sup>; gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>ne dí wede līk<sup>c</sup>." Ganē'hi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>né la<sup>a</sup>lē<sup>c</sup>, mi<sup>c</sup>

reason did they bring home for them soft food to eat, intestines. Then, 'tis said, "Well, soon I shall eat it tomorrow, for I have been munching bones. Just soup having made, that did I drink the livelong day," said the old women and old men. No longer did they eat intestines, penises, vulvae. The Grizzly Bear girl had said, "Do not eat them again, it is evil, bad. 'Now we are satiated,' shall you say," said the Grizzly Bear girl.

Now then again, 'tis said, did return those that had gone out to war, and now she hid her husband Eagle. part, did think that was Eagle sbéxalt'a," said the Grizzly Bear youths, talking to one another as they returned. "Thereupon 'Kinsman, catch up with him!' was he told, but a different one it turned out to be," they said, while the Grizzly Bear girl did hear her elder brothers as they talked to one another. "Well, soon now shall I eat it tomorrow," were (the old people) wont to say, down in the back of the house they always just put them. Then, when the next day came, now again did their sons go out to war. And behind their backs they threw the intestines into the water, the ten houses¹ did throw the intestines of the people into the water, but the bears did think, "They're probably eating them;" the Grizzly Bear youths (did think about) their fathers that probably they were eating them. Now then again, 'tis said, the Eagle youth bathed after they had Then she gave him food, and he finished eating.

Now then again he went out to hunt; again ten deer he killed, and brought them home at noon. Then he distributed them to all the neighboring houses, one to each house. Then the old men and the old women enjoyed themselves, eating venison, eating fat; no longer they ate people. In one house there were five, but next door there were two and the old man and his wife, in the ten houses there were two each; that Eagle was it intended to kill when they went out to war. And then, when they returned, "Eagle sbéxalt'a I thought it was," they said, thus they talked to one another. "'Shining, shining,' since you

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, the old people of the ten houses.

hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p'elxá<sup>ɛ</sup>s yá<sup>ɛ</sup> wé<sup>e</sup>gia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>, agás i<sup>ɛ</sup> daho<sup>u</sup>xa ligilá<sup>ɛ</sup>k' xamk' yap!à.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> alhūyūx yulùm, hono<sup>ɛ</sup> habe<sup>e</sup>bini yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>; ixdīl cīx pliyin ligìk'w, íxdīl tlomomaná<sup>ɛ</sup> ga <sup>ɛ</sup>aldīl lãp'. Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> aga mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i<sup>i</sup>lā'p'ak!an yap!a gwa<sup>a</sup>ci<sup>i</sup> haxiya yá<sup>a</sup> k!ūwū'<sup>ɛ</sup>auk'; ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gayaū k'àl haūx nì gwãs hāpxì plān, cīx gayaū, yámx gayaū. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dahō<sup>u</sup>xa la<sup>a</sup>lē hayèūxda<sup>a</sup>da ópxak!an he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne ts!ayaīm t!īt'gwa xamk' waiwi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> daho<sup>u</sup>xà yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>. "Gi<sup>ɛ</sup>a yulum sbéxalt'a mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa nagáit'e<sup>ɛ</sup>hìs, gas'î<sup>ɛ</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>àl k'ú<sup>u</sup>nax 'Yomò,' nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yawá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. "'Wék!alk', wék!alk',' nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>, yap!a wi<sup>ɛ</sup>in yaxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'."—"ī'da dado<sup>u</sup>mt'git' i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>, īda dak'alt'git' i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>."—"ī'da dahauxt'git' i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>, hamī; ī'da denìt'git' i<sup>i</sup>t'e<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> maxa. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwelmats!ák' yaxà. "Dewénxa ga-iwán," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i<sup>i</sup>lā'p'ak!an, no<sup>u</sup>s wi<sup>ɛ</sup> ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, aldīl wili <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdīl.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> t!ayàk'; mi<sup>i</sup> dāgulùk'. "Gwidí <sup>ɛ</sup>na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> eme<sup>ɛ</sup>? ánī gayaū; ge<sup>ɛ</sup>a gaya-u dì? Agáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ligigwanagám ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> gayaū; gé<sup>ɛ</sup>a gaya-u dì?"—"Hīt', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> gayaū," nó<sup>u</sup>s wi<sup>ɛ</sup> dak'-dahālsa<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> wíli<sup>i</sup> ganàu ge honó<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>ɛ</sup>wiliwiá<sup>uɛ</sup>, "Gé<sup>ɛ</sup>a gaya-u dì?"—"Hīt'. Agáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honōx k'ü'<sup>u</sup>nax t'ópxa<sup>²</sup>

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Observe that the usitative or frequentative form of the intransitive verb ligitione home (with game)" is ligilag-, while the corresponding form of its comitative derivative ligigw- "fetch home (game)" is liwilhagw-.

said, for that reason was Kinsman told, 'Catch up with him!' but a different person it turned out to be." Just that the Grizzly Bears said. A long time elapsed. When did they not go out to war? and sometimes they brought home children. And then intestines they brought home every day. How often did they not bring them home? Now, 'tis said, a long time elapsed, and again they who went out to war did go off when it dawned, and in the evening the Grizzly Bears were wont to bring home people.

Now then again did Eagle go out to hunt, again at noon he returned; ten deer he brought home-having killed ten, all of those he carried on his back. Now these old women and old men always threw away the intestines right into the water, not again did they eat penises, vulvae, nipples, intestines, children, livers, but venison they ate, fat they ate. Then in the evening came the time of the returning of the elder brothers, then the Grizzly Bear girl always hid her husband. Now then again, 'tis said, in the evening they returned. "I, for my part, did think it must be Eagle sbéxalt'a, so for that reason to Kinsman 'Catch up with him!' I said," said they, talking to one "'Shining, shining,' since you said, but a different another. person it turned out to be. "-" Ecce tibi testes, ecce tibi penem," [14] (matri suae dixerunt). "Ecce tibi vulvam, pater, ecce tibi mammas." Then, 'tis said, they just put them down in the back of the house. "Tomorrow I shall eat it," said the old women and old men, in every neighboring house they said that —all the ten houses.

Now then, 'tis said, they found it out, now they were about to find it out. "What's happening here? They do not eat it. Have they been eating it over there? These that we brought home they did not eat. Have they been eating it over there?" -"No, they have not eaten it," they answered one another from house to house. Then into the girl's house, there also they shouted, "Have they been eating it over there?"—"No. other day this Kinsman to his elder sister, right there he went and in her hair he bit," they said. "And Eagle is always bring-

wá³da ge yexa¹ nagá¹ɛ, ű'lük!i¹ gadàl yegwèk'w,'' nagá-ihiɛ̂. "Ganē yulúms'iɛ ligiláɛk' cĩx liwílhôk'w, gas'íɛ gayawanáɛ anīɛ̂ honóɛ yap!a gayaŭ;'' nóus's'iɛ honóɛ ga nagá¹ɛ. Wa-iwí¹s'iɛ ánīɛ̂ yiwiyáuɛ. "Yulum sbéxalt'as'íɛ ligiláɛk', cĩx gayaīk', gas'íɛ gaɛ̂al ánīɛ doumál yap!a gayaŭ,'' nagásaɛ̂nhi.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> p'eléxa<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> lemé<sup>ɛ</sup>x, mi<sup>i</sup> da<sup>ɛ</sup>ólt'i anī<sup>ɛ</sup> da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau lemé<sup>ɛ</sup>x. Mi<sup>i</sup> yok!oī hānxdagwan guxwi<sup>i</sup>, wilihi xa<sup>aɛ</sup>alt!anáhi.<sup>2</sup> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> lemék!ia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>hì he<sup>e</sup>ne t!īt'gwa baiyeweyàk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> haxiyá gini<sup>ɛ</sup>k', p!agá<sup>iɛ</sup> yulùm. Mi<sup>i</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>alt!ayàk'. "S'ni<sup>i</sup> ma<sup>ɛ</sup>a nagásbinda<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al anī<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gayaū nagásbinda<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' mi<sup>i</sup> yawá<sup>iɛ</sup>, gá ganau gehi dák't!emēx. "Yumú<sup>ɛ</sup>k' he<sup>ɛ</sup>nè,'' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yiwin wô'k'i<sup>ɛ</sup>, gáhi hogwá<sup>ɛ</sup>sda<sup>a</sup>; "wede gūxdagwa wá<sup>a</sup>da wòk' k!emnàt', xā'<sup>ɛ</sup>winhi yumú<sup>ɛ</sup>k','' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> aga<sup>ɛ</sup>a yulum p!agá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> xuma ogoīhi xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>, geyewèlx t!īt'gwadī'l; aga<sup>ɛ</sup>a xamk' wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gayaū, dīp' gaya-u ā'k'<sup>ɛ</sup>à. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-idehené<sup>ɛ</sup>n.

"Ganē alhūyūxde<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> yokloī ópxaklan houxas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> "Yulums'i<sup>ɛ</sup> cīx liwílhôk'w," ga nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Ganē s'ó<sup>ɛ</sup> ūlūkli<sup>ɛ</sup>t' t'bā'klamt'," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> t!īt'gwa xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>. "Me<sup>ɛ</sup>ye<sup>e</sup>wá<sup>ɛ</sup>k' he<sup>ɛ</sup>nè, wede gwidát' hiwilwàt'," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> t!īt'gwa. Ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup> als'oumal yulùm; agási<sup>ɛ</sup> xámk' ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, "Da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau gingá<sup>ɛ</sup>t'. ō' yewē da<sup>ɛ</sup>òl xebe<sup>e</sup>yagwanagám, gūxdagwa yewē wá<sup>a</sup>da hiwilí<sup>uɛ</sup>," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xàmk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>, ganī' "K'ü'ünax yumú<sup>ɛ</sup>k' he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> sgelewált', "Bä+ bä+." Gwendák'alyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> gūxdagwa wá<sup>a</sup>da, abais'i<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>īk!uumánk'wa, se<sup>e</sup>nsíxdagwa t'bá'agamt', niáxla dī<sup>ɛ</sup>alk'á<sup>a</sup>p'gwa. Dák'wili<sup>i</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For yaxa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "they between-eye-held it."

<sup>3</sup> So heard for geyewalx, intransitive form of gayau.

ing home game, deer he is always bringing home, so that eating that they no longer eat people;" and next door also they said that. But the girl did not speak. "So Eagle sbéxalt'a is always bringing home game, and venison they always eat, so that for that reason they eat not the testicles of people," they said to one another.

Then, when the next day came, now again they went out to war. Then they all departed; now near by, not far away, they departed. Now her brothers' hearts she knew, the house indeed they watched.2 Then, just when they had departed, then her husband she took out. Now into the water he went, Eagle bathed. Now they discovered him. "S-didn't I tell you, for that reason they have not been eating people, I told you?" Now they talked to one another; for that reason right there they were assembled together. "You shall catch up with him then," he who was without speech was told, just that one was their runner. "Do not let him come to his wife, catch up with him half way," he was told. Then, 'tis said, this Eagle, for his part, returned to the house when he had bathed. Then food the Grizzly Bear girl gave him, she and her husband ate; this Grizzly Bear girl, for her part, did not eat people, camass did she, for her part, eat. Then, 'tis said, they finished eating.

"Now I'll go out hunting," he said, but the Grizzly Bear girl knew that yesterday her elder brothers "So Eagle has been bringing home venison," that were saying. "Now tie your hair tight," said the Grizzly Bear girl to her husband. "Then back you shall come, do not run off anywhere," she said to her husband. Then to the mountains went Eagle. But the Grizzly Bears that did say, "Far off let him go. Oh, should we perchance do away with him near by, to his wife perchance he runs," said the Grizzly Bears. Then, 'tis said, when far away he had gone, then "Kinsman, catch up with him!" then they said to him. Now then, 'tis said, they shouted to him,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This is a sign of preparation for combat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Held out long in a loud whisper.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. 11.

baªginíºk'. Sgelewált', ''Yomò, yomò, k'ü'ünax,'' yiwin wô'k'iº ga hogʷáºs, ts!a-uyáºs. Ganēhiº dīhá-uda ganga dí¹da t!anáhi. Ganēhiº gūxdagwa wáªda wōk', dínt'gwa īgwidigwàt' t!īt'gwa. Ganēhiº yiwin wô'k'iºa wōk'. ''Gwendesgí¹biºn,'' nagá-iºhìs xamk' wa-iwí¹; wãxa ba-iyowòn, albe⁰ y᪠t!eyéºs.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wi<sup>ɛ</sup>in wōk', gwendesgí<sup>i</sup>p'; mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s honó<sup>ɛ</sup> wōk', gwendesgí<sup>i</sup>p'; gwendesgip!ísgap' he<sup>e</sup>delemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k' ópxak!an. Abai<sup>ɛ</sup>wayewēnhi, máxa níxa gwendesgip!ísgap'; nó<sup>u</sup>s giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k', hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gés i<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> gwendesgip!ísgap', he<sup>e</sup>delemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; wili <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdī'l mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i'lā'p'ak!an bús k!emèī. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'ida<sup>ɛ</sup>xì yá<sup>ɛ</sup> heyé<sup>ɛ</sup>x t!īt'gwadī'l. Ganēhi k!ixíxa<sup>ɛ</sup>, he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; ganē alxalī t!īt'gwadī'l.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx yulùm, wiláu yaxa k!emèī. He<sup>e</sup>dadá<sup>ɛ</sup> yulum máxa<sup>ɛ</sup>a yok!oī gwi k'abáxa<sup>ɛ</sup>a ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Hop!è'<sup>ɛ</sup>ns i<sup>ɛ</sup> 'Wéde ge gingàt',' nagá<sup>ɛ</sup>n,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mēx, k'abáxa nagà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Mi<sup>i</sup> yàmx k!oloī dülü'<sup>ū</sup>t!alhi, sbedésbat'hi. Mi<sup>i</sup> yá<sup>ɛ</sup>; ge giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' k'abáxa wá<sup>a</sup>da mēx, wili de<sup>ɛ</sup>īsé<sup>e</sup>k!ik'<sup>w²</sup> ganau alxalī yulum gūxdagwadī'l. "ō+ wihàm,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yulùm. "K'ai naga-ìt'?'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mena wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>. "'Wíham,' nagaīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> yulùm. "Gwidís i<sup>ɛ</sup> gí<sup>iɛ</sup>à wihàm? gwidí gí<sup>iɛ</sup>à wī<sup>ɛ</sup>wã? gwidí gí<sup>iɛ</sup>à wī<sup>ɛ</sup>obíhan<sup>ɛ</sup>à?'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>. "Gwidí wihín<sup>ɛ</sup>à? gwidí wihámhan<sup>ɛ</sup>à?'' Dayowó<sup>uɛ</sup>sda<sup>ɛ</sup>hi ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k', gwendesgí<sup>i</sup>p'; k!oloī yá<sup>a</sup> gwen<sup>ɛ</sup>wat'geits !īk'wa gwendesgí<sup>i</sup>binma<sup>ɛ</sup> mēx. Abai-yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>, yulum<sup>ɛ</sup>a ált'gi<sup>i</sup>yàlx. "Gwidí na<sup>ɛ</sup>naga-ìt'?'' nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> White war paint. Hence the spot of white nowadays on the foreheads of grizzly bears.

"Bä+ bä+!" Back towards his wife he returned, and the Grizzly Bear girl now was ready for them inside, tied her hair up, dust on her forehead she clapped." Up on top of the house she went, they shouted to him, "Catch up with him, catch up with him, Kinsman!" He who was without speech, that one was the runner, the fast runner. Then, 'tis said, right behind him he almost caught up with him. Then to his wife he came, behind her she pushed her husband. Then he who was without speech, for his part, did arrive. "His neck I'll cut,' thought the Grizzly Bear girl; she missed her younger brother, right up to the sun he flew.

Then, 'tis said, another one arrived, his neck she cut; one again did arrive, his neck she cut; she cut all their necks, her elder brothers she annihilated. She went back into the house to her father and mother, and cut their necks; next door she went and also there again cut their necks, annihilated them; the old women and the old men of the ten houses she did away with. Then, 'tis said, just they alone were left, she and her husband. Then, 'tis said, she finished, she had annihilated them. Now they dwelt, she and her husband.

Then a long time elapsed. Not again did Eagle go out hunting, only arrows he made. Way off yonder Eagle's father, for his part, did know where his son was dwelling. "Now long ago I said to him, 'Do not go there,'" said Crane, of his son he said it. Then a long time elapsed. Now a basket tight with fat he filled, in he stuffed it. Now off he went; there to his son did Crane go. In the house with open door was sitting Eagle and his wife. "Oh, my father!" said Eagle. "What did you say?" said the Bear girl. "My father,' I said," said Eagle. "But where is my father, for my part? Where is my younger brother, for my part? Where are my elder brothers, for my part?" said the Grizzly Bear girl. "Where is my mother, for my part? Where are my fathers, for my part?" Just when she had ceased from her talking, she went out of the house, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Passive participle of de<sup>©</sup> iséeg: -séek!-, "open the door."

t!īt'gwa. "Yelégsgwadeg," nagà, yulum dexebégn; yok!oī walag t'agá-idag.

Ganēhi<sup>ε</sup> alxalī honó<sup>ε</sup>, wilau bílt'agwa debü'ük'i yulùm. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la le', dák'wili ba giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. "Ne ba gél<sup>ɛ</sup>yu," naga gūxdagwa. Mi baagéleyowoe abai, vulums ie dák wilī s'ú<sup>8</sup> ülúk!ixdagwa t'báagamt', wasgáap'hi. Mi yaxa dàn deguxwít'gwa gwidìk'w. "Guxwí xa\*p!a-itc!iwidí\*n," nagái<sup>ɛ</sup>hìs. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> ts!ayàk' gũxdagwa, aldayáahi<sup>e</sup>t'gaalt'gàl. Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> he<sup>e</sup>bilí<sup>ue</sup>. "He<sup>n</sup>! Gwī'ha gingadá<sup>e</sup> gánga wayanagwásbin," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> xamk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>, t!īt'gwa nagà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dīdaat'béegames. Ganēhie ba-iginiek'; mi wayānk'w t!ītgwa. "Háu háu háu háu," ganagnéx yiwiyáug xamk wa-iwi. "Wilobihan helilemék!indal alewaldidal gwi'ha gingadal," nagá-ihi<sup>ε</sup>. A'nī<sup>ε</sup> dabalníxa la līt'a<sup>ε</sup> mi youmī; mi ts!ayàk', baxá<sup>ɛ</sup>m ganga wá<sup>a</sup>da. "Gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ha gingadá<sup>ɛ</sup> ganga īt!aūxbin," viwiyá-uda<sup>e</sup> xamk' wa-iwíi, yulums íe anīe yiwiyáue, ts!ayák' yaxa; ís i tslayàk', ánī tlomom guxdagwa. Mi wiláut'a hēngulùk'; mi¹ yomók'wagulùk' xamk' wa-iwí¹ yiwiyá-uda², "Gwī'ha gingadá<sup>ɛ</sup>." Mi wiláut'a búc la lē', mī'esga<sup>ɛ</sup> yá heyéex; agás ie mi ü'luk!i ba-igwá vulumeà.

Mi<sup>i</sup> īt!aũgwulùk'; dõuk'i<sup>ɛ</sup>¹ p'ùn ba³wagéxa<sup>ɛ</sup> gadàk' yulùm. Lasálhi<sup>ɛ</sup>t'bá³k. ''Te!ī′yàt'k', te!ī′yàt k', te!ī′yàt'k'!² xa³sálda guxwí<sup>ɛ</sup>à.'' Gwénhi<sup>ɛ</sup>gelk!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. ''Xa³sálda guxwí<sup>iɛ</sup>à,'' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>

 $<sup>1 =</sup> douk' - hi^{\epsilon}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> High-pitched. Note that the form tc/iiyàt'k' is not the normal one; witc'ai

cut his neck; right next to the basket lay his head, Crane's neck having been cut. She returned into the house; Eagle, for his part, had tears running down his face. "What are you doing?" she said to her husband. "I am sweating," he said to her, Eagle said so, but she knew really that he was weeping.

Then, 'tis said, again they dwelt together, and Eagle did fill his quiver with arrows. Then a long time elapsed, up on top of the house he went. "Well, lie down belly up!" he said to his wife. Now she lay down belly up in the house, but Eagle or top of the house did tie his hair up tight, tight he made it. Now a flat water-worn rock she thrust on her breast. heart I shall split by shooting down," he thought. Now then he shot at his wife, but it just bounced from her. Then away he rushed. "He"! Wherever you will go, I shall just follow you," now said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her husband she said it. Then on the sides of her head she tied her hair. Then out of the house she went, now followed her husband. "Háu, háu, háu, háu, háu, 'thus talked the Grizzly Bear girl. "Since my elder brothers I did annihilate for your sake, wherever you will go, (I shall follow you)," she said. When not a long time had elapsed, then she caught up with him. Now he shot at her, she kept coming towards him. "No matter where you will go, I will just seize you," the Grizzly Bear girl kept talking, but Eagle did not speak, he kept shooting; no matter how much he shot at her, he did not kill his wife. Now his arrows were about to give out, and the Grizzly Bear girl was about to catch up with him as she kept saying, "No matter where you will go!" Now his arrows were all used up. Just one remained; and now Eagle's hair, for his part, was coming loose.

Now she was about to seize him; up on top of a rotten log did Eagle climb, he burst it with his feet. "My nephew, my nephew, my nephew! between her toes is her heart, indeed."

would be the form of ordinary speech, the 1st per. sing. poss. -t'k' not being ordinarily employed in terms of relationship.

yulùm. Xaªsálda liwiláuɛ, ge ɛyáªhi guxʷí¹ p!i¹ degü'lk!alxgiɛ naɛnagá¹ɛ. Mi¹hiɛ ge ts!ayàk xaªsálda; xāp!a-it'báªk'hi guxwí¹. ''Wā'+u,''¹ nagá-ihiɛ xamk' wa-iwí¹; mi¹ t!omõm gūxdagwa. Agas'íɛ ts'!amãl baiyugw᪠laªlē', ga malāk'wa ''Xaªsálda guxʷí¹,'' nagaīk'wanaɛ. Gwéldi; báªbiɛt' léep'lap'.

# 15. CHICKEN-HAWK REVENGES HIMSELF UPON MEDICINE-

Wíli yowòɛ, huucúu k'eɛlè'p'igik'wa guuxgwàt'. Dabalníxa ánīɛ yok!woī goyò. Ganēhiɛ dabalníxa laalīt'aɛ k'aiɛlā'p'ak!i lohóiɛ; ganē ā'k'daɛxi laalē'. Ganēhiɛ wayáɛ, guxwí xilam laalē'. "Nék'di xebéɛn? nék'di guuxdèk' lohōn? Nék'asiɛ¹ xebéɛn. Amadí yok!oyáɛn nek xebéndaɛ," nagá-ihiɛ gelhewéhanaɛ. Wayáɛ; gwīɛne dí wede waīk? "Amadí yok!oyáɛn nek xebéndaɛ," nagá-ihiɛ; guxwí xilam laalē', gūxdagwa hasáldas gangáhi gelhewéhanaɛ. "Amadí yok!oyáɛn nek xebéndaɛ," nagá-ihiɛ. Gwī'ɛne laalē'; hemdí wede waīk'? Ganēhiɛ gwīɛné k!iyíɛk'; báat!ebèt'. "K'ái gaɛal dí guuxdék' lohóiɛ?" nagá-ihiɛ gelhewéhanaɛ.

Ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; hā'<sup>e</sup>ya sòm, liwilà<sup>uɛ</sup>, mixálha goyo<sup>ɛ</sup>à dīda<sup>a</sup>-t'bé<sup>eɛ</sup>k't'bagames. Mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> adát'ci<sup>ɛ</sup> das'o<sup>u</sup>màl līūk'.<sup>e</sup> "Ga dí xēp'k', ga dí gu<sup>u</sup>xdék gaīk'?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>; ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> nek' wa<sup>a</sup>himìt', ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>xi gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx gelhewéhau. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dan wi<sup>i</sup>lí<sup>i</sup> īgí<sup>i</sup>na aba-iyewéida<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Ga dí xēp'k' aga<sup>ɛ</sup>a gūxdek'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A hoarse cry.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  As is shown by this and the following myth Chicken-Hawk plays a rather distinctive part in Takelma mythology. In both he swings aloft his stone knife and cuts the necks of multitudes of his enemies. Against medicine-men  $(goy\delta)$  in particular is he supposed to be incensed, so that he is one of the favorite guardian spirits of the  $s\cdot omloh\delta lxa^{\epsilon}s$ . Like Nos. 21 and 22 below it is probable that this myth was recited by the  $s\cdot omloh\delta lxa^{\epsilon}s$  as a medicine-formula against the supernatural workings of the  $goy\delta$ .

Back to her he turned. "Between her toes is her heart, indeed," was Eagle told. Between her toes he looked, right there was her heart, as though a fire were glowing. Now there between her toes he shot at her, her heart he burst. "Wā'+"," said the Grizzly Bear girl; now his wife he had killed. So that the mouse had become his rescuer, that one had told him, "Between her toes is her heart," she telling him. "Tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba<sup>a</sup>p'-seeds.

## 15. CHICKEN-HAWK REVENGES HIMSELF UPON MEDICINE-Men.<sup>2</sup>

A house there was; Chicken-Hawk did have a woman, a wife he had. For a long time he did not know about medicinemen. Then, when a long time had elapsed, his wife did die, and all alone he became. Then, 'tis said, he slept, sick had his heart become. "Who did it? Who caused my wife to die? Somebody indeed did do it. Would that I knew who did it!" he said, thinking. He slept, how long did he not sleep? "Would that I knew who did it!" he said; sick had his heart become, ever thinking of his wife. "Would that I knew who did it!" he said. A long time elapsed. How long did he not sleep? Then, 'tis said, a certain time came and he arose. "For what reason did my wife die?" he said, thinking.

Out of the house he went. On either side was a mountain; he looked, medicine-men, indeed, in great numbers had their hair tied on both sides of their heads. Now again on the other side did he look, on top of the mountain. "So those it was that did it, those did eat up my wife?" he said, thinking; to no one he talked, all by himself thus he thought. Then, 'tis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>So heard for  $k'e^{ik}l\dot{e}'p'ik!ik'w$ , "woman-having, 'bewomaned,'" formed from  $k'a^{ik}l\dot{a}ap'a-k!i$ , "woman," by means of suffix -k'w with attendant ablaut of a to e.

<sup>\*</sup>Probably to be explained as  $n\ell k'^{\varepsilon}a$ , "somebody, for his part," with contrasting connective - $si^{\varepsilon}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Literally, "in her foot(steps)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Inferential in form, despite its use in simple narrative.

lohóida<sup>ɛ</sup>?'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ''Wílik!isi!''¹ gwenwayanagānhi,² gwensgut!úsgat. Ganē hono<sup>ɛ</sup> adát's'i<sup>ɛ</sup> gahí na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagà, gwenwayasgut!úsgathi.

Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> hā'<sup>e</sup>ya liwilá<sup>ue</sup>; gwī'<sup>e</sup> yap!a alt!ayaginá<sup>e</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>e</sup> gwenweyesgóuthi³ aldī yap!a gamáxdi¹ gá naga. Ganēhig yap!a hé<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k', bús k!emèĩ. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>xi yá<sup>ɛ</sup>. hā/eya liwiláue; yáp!a ealoudàn, ánīe k'ài, ánīe honoe gwī yap!a ba-ik!iyíºk'. Ganēhiº gwī/ºne la lē', dīt'ga yúºk'uma da gedát'hi alxíigin měl t'ga mī/es. "K'ái ga al dì hu cú e a gá na nagaie? k'ái ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al dī' yap!a gamáxdi bús k!emèi?" nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>, me<sup>e</sup>l t'gaª mī'es dexebéen. "Nee goums'í dáks inída nabáªhan," nagá-ihi<sup>e</sup> me<sup>e</sup>l t'ga<sup>a</sup> mī'<sup>e</sup>s; īk!u<sup>u</sup>mánk'wan. "Dák'da"da [15] nabá<sup>ɛ</sup>hàn,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> me<sup>e</sup>l t'ga<sup>a</sup> mī/<sup>ɛ</sup>s. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ge neyé<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-idé<sup>g</sup>dínixia<sup>ug</sup>. Sgaláuk' naganá<sup>ag</sup>k'hi hu<sup>u</sup>cú<sup>u</sup>, s'as'inī. "Gwent'gaabók'danda tc!óut!igi yáa helne yáa xebagwán," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>.

Hawi ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a hé<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; ā'k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>xi s'as'inī, sgaláuk, naganá<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; háwi yap!a ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k', yap!a neyé<sup>e</sup>da<sup>ɛ</sup> ge nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'dagwa liwīlha<sup>uɛ</sup> ge neyēda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup> gwent'ga<sup>a</sup>bók'danda tc!ó<sup>uɛ</sup>thi; aga yap!a ge nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> wayá<sup>a</sup>si<sup>ɛ</sup> emé<sup>ɛ</sup> p!eyè<sup>ɛ</sup> dasálda. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bá<sup>a</sup>yānk'<sup>w</sup>, hé<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup> ''Wílik!isi,'' dák'dagwahì gwenwayasgó<sup>u</sup>t'i, yap!a ne<sup>ɛ</sup>yé<sup>e</sup>da<sup>ɛ</sup> p!a-ik!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hā'<sup>ɛ</sup>ya wat!emēxia<sup>uɛ</sup>; mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> gwent'ga<sup>a</sup>bók'danda-

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Exact meaning and analysis of form not clear. Presumably connected with wiilii, "(stone) knife."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "he did to all their necks with his knife."

<sup>3</sup> weye heard for waya.

said, a stone knife he took as he returned into the house. "So those it was that did bring it about that this wife of mine, indeed, did die?" he said, thinking. Then "Wilik!isi!" (saying this), over their necks he swung his knife,2 their necks he cut. Then again on the other side that same thing he did to them, with his knife he cut their necks.

Then, 'tis said, on both sides he looked. Wherever he found people, now also their necks he cut with his knife, that to all raw people he did. Now the people he annihilated, exterminated he made them. Then, 'tis said, just all by himself he was. Then on either side he looked, for people he looked; there were none, nowhere did people come. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; off to the west, right over there were seen the Crows, covering the land.5 "For what reason did Chicken-Hawk, for his part, do that? For what reason did he annihilate raw people?" He was spoken of, the Crows covering the land said so. "Well, let us in our turn pass over him," a said the Crows covering the land, and they prepared themselves. "Over his head let us pass," said the Crows covering the land. Then there, 'tis said, they proceeded, in long rows they flew by. Moving his head slightly from side to side did Chicken-Hawk keep looking, there he stood. "Just when they touch the nape of the earth's neck, just then shall I put an end to them, 's said he, thinking.

Not yet did he annihilate the people. All by himself he stood, moving his head slightly from side to side he looked. Still the people were coming, in great number the people passed there. Then, 'tis said, he kept looking above himself as there they passed. When a long time had elapsed, they struck against the nape of the earth's neck; while these people were passing there, his knife lay here at his feet. Then, 'tis said,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;That is, such as were not medicine-men, "laymen."

<sup>5</sup> Literally, "one earth."

Literally, "let us all do (or be) over his nose."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> That is, the extreme east.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

dàt', dīt'gaayók!umaadadàt' hawi baxáɛm. Ganēhiɛ wat!e-mēxiauɛ alwaadida.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wa³himidán hu¹cú¹ mahài. ''K'ái ga²al dī' gá na²naga-ìt'? Wede gána²néx yùk' t'ga³ déhi k!iyák'i². Wede gana²néx yùk','' nagánhi², s'as'inī, dãle'làk'³; wī²īt'geyé'ek!in, haco¹ yá³ s'as'inī. ''Wa³dí¹ dū² ba-igināk'wi²¹ guyù he²né do¹maná², bo¹s'i² ánī² dūwūgàt,'' nagán. ''Yap!a gamáxdi he³īlemék!it'. Goyo géllohogwiáuk'i²³ he²ne yá³si² yap!a gamáxdi p!è'²t',''¹ nagánhi². ''Gana²néx yó²t' t'ga³ déhi k!iyák'i²,'' nagánhi²; dá³le'làk'³, me'l t'ga³ mí²s dexebé²n, ga tc!ibínk'wa. Nagán ganē', ''Bo¹s'i² aga²a gūxde² gayawaná² goyò, yap!a aldī he²īlemék!it'; mī'²sga²hì do¹maná² goyò.'' Ganēhi² gana²néx t'ga³ ¹īk!u¹minín, me'l t'ga³ mí²s xebé²n. ''Wede honó² ga na²nàt','' nagánhi²; ánī² dak'dahāl, yap!a dá³-yaxa-le'làk'³. ''Gana²néx yó²t' t'ga³ déhi k!iyák'i², yap!a gāīk'i². Wedes'i² nék' yap!a gamáxdi dō¹mk', góyohi yaxa do¹maná²,'' nagánhi².

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> lemék!ia<sup>uɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> hat'gáa't'gwa yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>, hé<sup>ɛ</sup>i'wán. Dabalníxa ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nàk' hu<sup>u</sup>cú<sup>u</sup>, gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al mēl ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k; yap!a he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlém<sup>ɛ</sup>k'na<sup>ɛ</sup>,<sup>5</sup> gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> aga dīha-u yáa me<sup>e</sup>l bá-iginàk'<sup>5</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al yáa me<sup>e</sup>l alxí<sup>iɛ</sup>k'wôk'<sup>5</sup>; yap!a he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemék!ina<sup>ɛ</sup>, gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> aga ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Mi<sup>i</sup> háa<sup>ɛ</sup>yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> aldīl t!omománma<sup>ɛ</sup>; hánt' me<sup>e</sup>l hé<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemék!in, gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> aga gá ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al ts'!ibìn. Háa<sup>ɛ</sup>dàt' mé<sup>ɛ</sup>yewe<sup>iɛ</sup>, no<sup>u</sup>dát's'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mé<sup>ɛ</sup>gini<sup>ɛ</sup>k', gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> aga he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne alt!emēxia<sup>uɛ</sup>; he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne ga

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literally, "if he should go out having him." The text form is the conditional comitative of ginig: gin(a)g-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In other words, "with one of good conduct, one that has done no ill."

he took it up; just then "Wilik!isi!" (saying this), right over himself he cut their necks with his knife, and the people fell down in great numbers. Then, 'tis said, from either side they were coming crowded together; hither they were returning from the east, still they were coming from the west. Then, 'tis said, they were assembled together all about him.

Then great Chicken-Hawk was spoken to. "For what reason did you do that? Not thus shall it be when the world goes on. Not thus will it be," he was told; he stood, listened. On all sides was he surrounded, right in the middle he stood. "Should he do away with one whose body is good, then the medicine-man shall be killed, but now you did not do well." he was told. "Raw people you have destroyed. Should they take revenge for 3 a medicine-man, then indeed shall raw people lie down," he was told. "Thus shall it be when the world goes on," he was told. He listened to them, the Crows covering the land said so, that speech they addressed to him. Then he was told, "But now since the medicine-men did eat up just this wife of yours, all the people did you destroy. Just the medicinemen alone are to be killed." Then thus the world was fixed, the Crows covering the land did so. "Do not again do that," he was told; he did not answer them, to the people he kept listening. "Thus will it be when the world goes on, when people grow up. And no one shall slay raw people, just medicine-men only shall be slain," was he told.

Then, 'tis said, they all went off, now back to their land they returned, and he was left behind. For a long time had Chicken-Hawk done that, so that for that reason the Crows did come; as he had been destroying the people, therefore did these Crows come last of all, just for that reason the Crows did see him; as the people he had been destroying, thereupon these for that reason did come. Now yonder they all returned, after they had

<sup>3</sup> Literally, "if they should breast-die having him."

<sup>4&</sup>quot; They shall lie down," euphemistic for "they shall lie slain."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Observe the explanatory inferentials.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nagán aga <sup>ɛ</sup>alt!emēxia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gas í<sup>ɛ</sup> ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a gamáxdi t!omóamdan, góyo yaxa t!omomán; gas í<sup>ɛ</sup> goyo gellohoigwánma<sup>ɛ</sup> ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al yap!a gamáxdi t!omomán. Gweldì, ba<sup>a</sup>bi<sup>ɛ</sup>t' lé<sup>e</sup>p'lap'.

### 16. THE FOUR OTTER BROTHERS AND CHICKEN-HAWK.

Búmxi gamgám t'awãxagan mī'sgas, ga t!amayán huucúu wáada; dasanáa siwô'k'di yùk', gasis wáada ginisk', t!emeyanáus. Ganēhis gwīsne laalē', yás yás yás. Géhi lap'õu gwān ganàu hansgóus, t!obagàsk'. 'Hené!' A'nīs baadēp'k'. Hansóusk'ôp'k' dayút'aa, héesdaada lap'õu. Mī'shi honos yiwiyáus, 'Hené!' A'nīs baat!ebèt'. Ganēhis wa-iwī honós hans'óusk'ôp'k'. Ganēhis honós mīss, 'Hené! ge nagáit es.' A'nīs witc!ims, sī's is is ga nagàn. Ganēhis mī's honós yiwiyáus, 'Hené! ge nagáit'es.' Lohót' nasnex p!eyés; ánīs wī'tc!ims.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup> heyé<sup>ɛ</sup>x. ''Héne! ge nagáit'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' <sup>ɛ</sup>ī's i<sup>ɛ</sup> ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> tsː!íni<sup>i</sup>tsː!anx yap!a di<sup>ɛ</sup>wā'nsgi<sup>i</sup>t'a<sup>a</sup>, ga ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, ganē tsː!ini<sup>i</sup>tsː!anx. ''Ganī k'ádi ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> wī'tc!imàt'?'' Mi<sup>i</sup> gadák' tsː!ā'k'tsː!a<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup> ''He+,''³ nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> lap'ō<sup>u</sup>, yiwiyawá<sup>ɛ</sup>s yùk'; ge nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. ''He+,³ gwent'ga<sup>a</sup>bók'danda ginigát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>, wītc!á-ihan, he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlé<sup>ɛ</sup>mxanbank','' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>, lap'ō<sup>u</sup> ga nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ga nagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwī guxwí<sup>i</sup> xilam la<sup>a</sup>lē'.

¹Probably misheard for  $hansgóu^{\epsilon}sk'$ , inferential of hansgóus = han - sgóud - x. Literally translated it means "he cut (intr.) across."

been slain; half the Crows had been destroyed, therefore these for that reason did address him. From off yonder they had returned hither, while from down river they were coming, so that these were then crowded together; at that time was he told that, when they here were crowded together. Now for that reason are raw people never slain, only medicine-men are slain; but when medicine-men are avenged, for that reason are raw people slain. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba\*p'-seeds.

#### 16. THE FOUR OTTER BROTHERS AND CHICKEN-HAWK.

There were four Otters and one younger sister of theirs; that one to get married they took to Chicken-Hawk. A chieftain, I guess, he was, so that to him they went, with her they went to get her married. Then a long time elapsed. They went, they went, they went. Right there in the trail a snake lay across, lay as though dead. "Away!" He did not stir. The oldest jumped over him, there ahead of him was the snake. And one again did say, "Away!" He did not stir. Then again the girl jumped over him. Then one again (did say), "Away! I'm going there." He did not move, no matter how many times he was told that. Then one again did say, "Away! I'm going there." Like dead he lay, he did not move.

Then one was left. "Away! I'm going there," over and over again he said that. Now the youngest person became angry, that one did say that, and angry he became. "Now why do you not move?" Now on top of him he stepped; just then "He+!" said the snake; he was capable of speech, as it seemed. There he passed. "He+! To the east when you go, my nephews, they will destroy you," he said, the snake said that. Then, when he had said that, the heart of the girl became sick.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Inferential forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pronounced in a hoarse whisper.

 $150^{\circ}$ 

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

Ganē yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, ba³dé<sup>ɛ</sup>yeweyagwán, ya³niyá<sup>uɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí¹ tʻagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, tʻagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>, ''ā+, wī'<sup>ɛ</sup>obihán ye³wá<sup>ɛ</sup>tʻ wísa<sup>ɛ</sup>m,'' tʻagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx tʻagá-ida<sup>ɛ</sup>, ''Wī<sup>ɛ</sup>obíhan ye³wá<sup>ɛ</sup>tʻ wísa<sup>ɛ</sup>m, ga nagánma<sup>ɛ</sup>, 'Wits!aīhan, he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlé<sup>ɛ</sup>mxbink','¹ nagánma<sup>ɛ</sup>.'' Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, gwis ī'wôk'di wõk'ia<sup>uɛ</sup> wíli <sup>ɛ</sup>ixdī'l. Mi¹ bómxi t!emyánwa<sup>ɛ</sup>s ba-ik!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>kʻ. Wili debínhi ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín; gé nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wíli ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín; ge nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wíli ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín; ge nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wíli ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín, dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>; mi¹ wili gamgám dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín; mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín wilì; mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi¹ wili ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īmí<sup>ɛ</sup>s dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Mī<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>màn; mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>màn; mi¹ hono<sup>ɛ</sup> dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>s hono<sup>ɛ</sup> ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>minín; mi¹ wili ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īgó dák'yānk'<sup>w</sup>.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wíli aga debìn ga<sup>ɛ</sup> yáahi ganau abaiginigiáu<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi¹ guxwí¹ dats!ā\mx wili ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īgó yap!à, ulums í<sup>ɛ</sup> "Goum mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa wadám t!emeyánwiau<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagái<sup>ɛ</sup>, gas í<sup>ɛ</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>àl wili ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!uuminín. "Goum mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa t!emeyánwiau<sup>ɛ</sup> wadám," nagá-ihìs. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alxalī t!emyánwa<sup>ɛ</sup>s; ganē be dēhal alxalī bomxì mót'agwan² wáada. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> be dēhal alxalīyaná<sup>ɛ</sup>, he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne "Ganī yaanìk', ganē nou yeweyìk'," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>.

Agas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mót'a<sup>a</sup>t'an hu<sup>u</sup>cú<sup>u</sup> alxí<sup>i</sup>k' nò<sup>u</sup>c gwī na<sup>ɛ</sup>neyé<sup>e</sup>da<sup>ɛ</sup>, yok!<sup>w</sup>oī dō<sup>u</sup>mgulugwán. "Mi<sup>i</sup> bómxi no<sup>u</sup> yèūgulùk'," neyé<sup>e</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> aga nó<sup>u</sup>s' īk!u<sup>u</sup>mánk'wan. "Do<sup>u</sup>mabā<sup>ɛ</sup>nihàn, he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemk!i-ba<sup>aɛ</sup>nihàn," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> aga nó<sup>u</sup>s' yap!à. "Dewénxa yanágulùk'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Second per. sing. obj., though the reference is to several persons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>" Their own brother-in-law" is more properly hásdagwan in Takelma, mót'a-gwan meaning ordinarily "their own son-in-law." It seems that mot'- is sometimes

Then they went, their journey was resumed, on they went. Then the girl did cry, crying, "Ah, I wonder whether my elder brothers will return!" She cried, thus crying, "I wonder whether my elder brothers will return, since that they were told, 'My nephews, they will destroy you,' since they were told." Then they went, I don't know where they arrived at the ten houses. Now the Otters did come, taking their sister to get married. The first house was prepared for them; there they passed. Again one house was prepared for them; there they passed. Now again a house was prepared for them; there they passed. Now three houses they had gone by. Again one house was prepared for them, they passed it by. Now four houses had they passed by. One again was prepared for them; now again they passed it by. Then again one house was prepared for them; now again they passed it by. Now six houses they had passed by. Now again they passed one by. Again one they had prepared; now again they passed it by. Then one again was prepared for them; now nine houses they passed by.

Then this last house, just therein did they enter. Now of the people of the nine houses the hearts were sore, for before they had said, "It is to us probably that they are bringing her to be married," so that for that reason had the houses been prepared. "It is to us probably that they are bringing her to be married," they had said. Then they who had brought her to be married remained; now for five days did the Otters remain with their brother-in-law. Then, 'tis said, when they had dwelt there five days, then "Now we are going, now down river we return," they said.

But their brother-in-law Chicken-Hawk saw what they were doing in the neighboring houses, he knew that it was intended to kill him. "Now the Otters are about to return down river," they were saying, and so in the neighboring houses they

used as general term for people related to one through marriage with his near female kin (such as daughter or sister).

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

bumxì,'' ga neyé nóucêà. Ganēhi mi ba-ileméx, als oumál leméx nóus yap!à aldīl, hā/ga s oumàl. Ganēhi ganī yá; yá da, "Megye wát ba gwalt t!os óu wõk i, "nagaīk wa móut at an; "gasi wéde yanàt p', mégye wát ba, "nagáhi. Ganēhi ya niyáu; agas i yap!a nóus "Da máxau wõki yá xe bagwabá nihàn," nagása nhi.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> aga yá<sup>ɛ</sup>. Da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau wōk'da<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>a</sup>, ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> yap!a nó<sup>u</sup>s' <sup>ɛ</sup>als'o<sup>u</sup>mál <sup>ɛ</sup>aldī'l, he<sup>ɛ</sup>néhi gwal't' ana<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ¹</sup> t!ocó<sup>u</sup> hā'p'di; agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mó<sup>u</sup>t'a<sup>a</sup>t'an '' Me<sup>ɛ</sup>ye<sup>e</sup>wô'<sup>ɛ</sup>k',''<sup>²</sup> nagaīk'wana<sup>ɛ</sup> hu<sup>u</sup>cú<sup>u</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> gelt!ayàk'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> gwalt' wōk' ana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx t!ocó<sup>u</sup> hā'p'dihì. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> lop!odiá<sup>uɛ</sup>, ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ts'!elams'i<sup>ɛ</sup> wōk', ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwalt' k'ái gwala xā<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!odók!at' xò, ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!á<sup>a</sup>shi wōk'. Gwénhísyewé<sup>iɛ</sup>, xa<sup>aɛ</sup>wínhi bomxi he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlémek!in. Agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mó<sup>u</sup>t'a<sup>a</sup>t'an yok!<sup>w</sup>oī. '' Hĕ<sup>n</sup>! ulum 'Mé<sup>ɛ</sup>ye<sup>e</sup>wát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>,' nagánda<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!a-idī'<sup>ɛ</sup>haná<sup>ɛ</sup>s gwalt' p!á<sup>a</sup>s nō<sup>u</sup>x te!e<sup>e</sup>làm, mi<sup>i</sup> p!a-idī'<sup>ɛ</sup>hana<sup>ɛ</sup>s.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>, ba-iginí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Hā'<sup>ɛ</sup>ya liwilá<sup>uɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> hā'<sup>ɛ</sup>ya s'o<sup>u</sup>màl alxalīyán. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wayát'gwa ba<sup>a</sup>yãnk'<sup>w</sup> hu<sup>u</sup>cú<sup>u</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hā'<sup>ɛ</sup>ya s'o<sup>u</sup>màl wayát'gwa ló<sup>u</sup>k'; ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemé<sup>ɛ</sup>k' yap!à ā'khi gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>neīxdagwa. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiwayewēnhi, <sup>ɛ</sup>alp!i<sup>i</sup>-tc!ulútc!alhi. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hawilít'gwa yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>, p!a-iwayá<sup>ɛ</sup>; mi<sup>i</sup> wayá<sup>ɛ</sup>, guxwí<sup>i</sup> dats!ā'mx hásda<sup>a</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemék!inma<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'k' hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>neīxdagwa he<sup>ɛ</sup>īlemék!ina<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al guxwí<sup>i</sup> dats!ā'mx. Wayá<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup>ne dí wede waīk'? Mi<sup>i</sup> gwel<sup>ɛ</sup>wāk'wi<sup>ɛ</sup> wili

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "it this-did," in other words, "it blew as it is blowing now," when the myth was being narrated.

prepared themselves. "Let us kill them, let us destroy them!" said these people in the neighboring houses. "Tomorrow the Otters intend to go," that did they say, for their part, in the neighboring houses. Now then, 'tis said, they all went out, to the mountains proceeded all the people in the neighboring houses, on both sides of the mountains. And then, 'tis said, (the Otters) went off; as they went, "Here you shall return, should a slight wind come," said their brother-in-law to them. "In that case you shall not go on, you shall return here," he said to them. Then off they went, but the people of the neighboring houses "Just when they reach afar off, let us do away with them," they said to each other.

Then these (Otters) did go. Just when they reached afar off, then the people of the neighboring houses did all proceed to the mountains; just then a wind blew like now, a little bit. But though their brother-in-law Chicken-Hawk "You shall return here" had said to them, they did not think of it. Then in a little while a wind came, just a little bit like now. Now then it also rained; then hail, in its turn, did come; then did the wind break everything, firs, to pieces; then snow, indeed, did come. They had almost returned back, just half way the Otters were destroyed. But their brother-in-law did know of it. "Hĕn! Although before 'You shall return here,' I said to them," he said. Then, 'tis said, the wind did cease, and the snow and rain and hail, now they did cease.

Then, when a long time had elapsed, he went out of the house. On either side he looked, now on both sides of the mountain they were seated. Then his knife did Chicken-Hawk take up; then to either side of the mountain his knife he thrust, and he himself did destroy the people, his own kin. Then into their houses he returned and set fire to them all. Then, 'tis said, into his own house he returned, lay down to sleep. Now he slept; his heart was sore, for his wife's brothers had been destroyed. Then, 'tis said, he himself having also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So heard for me<sup>8</sup> yeewá<sup>8</sup> k'.

154 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

de<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!alák!ilin. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup>, ''K'adi xebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n?'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gangáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> wili de<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!alák!ilin. ''Ts!ama<sup>a</sup>l mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa xebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n,'' nagá-ihìs. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>lē', gangáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>ɛ</sup>īk!alák!ilin. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> bá<sup>a</sup>t!ebèt', wili de<sup>ɛ</sup>īsé<sup>e</sup>k'. Hā'px<sup>w</sup>i yaxa la<sup>a</sup>lē', hánt' haxàt'. ''Mayá<sup>a</sup>k'<sup>w</sup>dèk'!''<sup>1</sup> Mi<sup>i</sup> hé<sup>e</sup>wat'bo<sup>u</sup>k't'báxgwa; mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> wayá<sup>ɛ</sup>.

Géhi yaxa gī'isa yok!woyásn; ánīs honós déhi p!ūwū'k!wan. Gá gasal bōu aga gwal't'. Gwalt' hésīlemesk'; gas ís hā'pxi mī'ssgas gasyank'² p!i mengí, hánt' haxat'. Gas is wilí desīk!álk!alk'nas,² ga gasal ga nāk'ik'²—ā'k'is gwīsneīxdagwa hesīlémsk'²—gas ís '' Mayāk'wdèk'!'' nagáis. Ganēhis basbíst' lésp'lap'.

## 17. THE OTTER BROTHERS RECOVER THEIR FATHER'S HEART.3

Wíli yowò<sup>ɛ</sup>; bumxì hapxit!ī'<sup>ɛ</sup>t'a gā'p!inì á-icda, k!ása-k!ans'i<sup>ɛ</sup> hūlūn níxa. P'im gwala ts!ayaīk'. Hūlūn wa-iwíi gūxda bumxì; dō"mk'am' bumxì. Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> gūxda hūlū"n wa-iwíi, t!omxíxas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> abài hūlūn wa-iwíi níxa. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hā'p'da gā'p!inì t!ī'<sup>ɛ</sup>t'a ; ganē hos'ō" la lē', k!ayá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Wiláuhi alxíi'k' abài. "Nek' wiláut'a di, k!asã?"—"Gi á-is'dèk'."—"Nek' gált'a di?"—"Gi á-is'dèk', k!átsdek'." Nek' t'gamá di?"—"Gi á-is'dek'," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'a. "Nék'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A whispered yell, intended to express intense emotion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These forms are inferentials, because they serve the purpose of explanatory recapitulation rather than of simple narrative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a fairly close parallel compare St. Clair, Traditions of the Coos Indians of Oregon, Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. xxii, pp. 32-34.

<sup>\*</sup>Inferential in form, because the fact it discloses is not part of the actual narrative but is told in order to explain the circumstances under which the story begins.

destroyed his own kin, for that reason was his heart sore. He slept. Then how long did he not sleep? Now early in the morning the door of the house was scratched against. Then "What's doing it?" he said, thinking; continuously indeed the door of the house was being scratched against. "Its probably a mouse that's doing it," he thought. Then some time elapsed, continuously the door of the house was being scratched against. Then, 'tis said, some time did pass, and he arose, opened the door of the house. Just a child it turned out to be, half burnt. "My orphan!" Now he lay down with it clasped in his arms, and again he slept.

Just that far indeed do I, for my part, know it; no further still is it told. For that reason is there a wind nowadays. The winds he had destroyed, but one child did grow up full of [16] fire, half burnt. Now as the door of his house was scratched against, for that reason did he do that—'tis true he himself had destroyed his own kin-, therefore "My orphan!" he said. Now go gather and eat your baap'-seeds.

# 17. THE OTTER BROTHERS RECOVER THEIR FATHER'S HEART.3

A house there was, two boys belonging to Otter, and their maternal grandmother, mother of the mermaid. Many salmon he had been wont to spear. The mermaid was Otter's wife, and Otter had been slain. Now his wife was the mermaid, but his mother-in-law was in the house, mother of the mermaid. Now his two children were boys, and bigger they became, up they grew. Arrows indeed they saw in the house. "Whose arrows are they, maternal grandmother?"—"They belong to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This is a myth-form, the form in ordinary use being either the vocative klasaa, "O grandchildren," or wik!ási, "my grandchildren." K!átsdek" is peculiar in two respects:—first of all, ts is an impossible Takelma consonant combination, but occurs in the Upper Takelma dialect, so that the word may really be borrowed as a mythform from that dialect; secondly, suffixed -dek' takes the place of the wi- regularly prefixed as 1st per possessive pronominal element to terms of relationship. Cf. tc!iiyàt'k', p. 140, l. 22.

156 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

láp'sda di?"—"Gí, k!átsdek'."—"Nék' ma lí di?"—"Gí, k!átsdek','' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'a. '' Nek' eyá<sup>a</sup> di?''—'' Gí<sup>i</sup>, k!átsdek'.'' Aldī k'ai gwala yamàt', gas í k!ása ga nagái, "Gíi, k!atsdèk"."

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> "Wede haxiyá wĩt'ap'."—"Nek' du'líi di, k!atsdek'?''—'' Gíi, k!atsdek','' nagá-ihiɛ mologolā'p'a; aldī <sup>ɛ</sup>āk' áicdagwa la láuhi. Ganēhi '' K!atsdèk', p'ím sananagám," nagá-ihi hapxit!ī't'aa, k!ásak!an ga nagà. "Wede p'im sanàt'p'." Ganēhi bou nēxada la lē', "Wede haxiyá wīt'ap','' nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gangáhi haxiyá wīt' hapxit!ī't'a\* gā'p!inì bumxì k'abáxaa, beewí haxiyá wīt'. Ganēhi hocou wilaut'aa, 'Gi' a-icdek',' naga-idae; ánīe aak' t'gamaa,'' naga-ihie bomxi k'abáxak!an. "K'ái gwala damaanmininá<sup>81</sup> dalõl<sup>8</sup>," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k!ásak!an.

Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> haxiyá wiyiwī't', p'im alhūyū'hi. Dabalníxa laªlē'. "K!átsdek', mãl ús am, p'im ts!ayaginàk'; dũl ús am."—" Dja'! k'ái<sup>ɛ</sup>wa haxiyà," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> k!ásak!an. Mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> aga<sup>e</sup>a alxí'k' k'ai<sup>e</sup>lā'p'a gwelxiyà, ga ga<sup>e</sup>álhi dūl yilìm; mi<sup>i</sup> k'a-ilā'p'a alxí'k' haxiyà hūlūn wa-iwí'. Dūl ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> ogoīhi k!ásak!an mologolā'p'a. "K'ái<sup>ɛ</sup>wa haxiyà, wede ge wīt'ap'," nagáhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. Bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> dūl hoyōī, haxiyà giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k' xilamanà wāxadī'l. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alxalī da<sup>a</sup>xiya, he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-ik!iyíºk' hūlūn wa-iwíi, tc!élelelele² duugíi. Mii ts!avàk'. mii t!omom. Ganehie abaiyewéie.

Literally, "that she mouth-counted."

me."—"Whose bow is it?"—"It belongs to me, my grand-children."—"Whose elk-skin armor is it?"—"It belongs to me," said the old woman. "Whose blanket is it?"—"Mine, my grandchildren."—"Whose salmon-spear shaft is it?"—"Mine, my grandchildren," said the old woman. "Whose canoe is it?"—"Mine, my grandchildren." All things they asked about, to that their maternal grandmother that did say, "Mine, my grandchildren."

Then, 'tis said, after a little while "Do not go about to the water," (she said). "Whose salmon-spear point is it, my maternal grandmother?"—"Mine, my grandchildren," said the old woman, everything did she call her own property. Then, 'tis said, "My maternal grandmother, we shall spear salmon," said the boys, to their maternal grandmother that they said. "Do not spear salmon." Then a little while elapsed, and "Do not go about to the water," she said to them. Nevertheless the two boys, Otter's sons, did go about by the water, every day they went about by the water. Now they had become bigger. "It is not her bow, our maternal grandmother's," they said. "They are not her arrows, though 'It belongs to me,' she said. It is not her elk-skin armor," said the sons of Otter. "As many things as she did count up,' she lied," did they say about their maternal grandmother.

Then, 'tis said, by the water they were accustomed to go about, salmon they used to hunt. A long time elapsed. "My maternal grandmother, give us the salmon-spear shaft, we are going to spear salmon. Give us the salmon-spear point."—"Dja'! there's a monster in the water," said their maternal grandmother. Now these, for their part, did see a woman down in the water, for that reason indeed, they asked for a salmon-spear point; now a woman had they seen in the water, the mermaid. The salmon-spear point their maternal grandmother, the old woman, did not give them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To be pronounced in a whisper. It is formed from the verb base tc/el-, "rattle," and imitates the sound of rattling dentalia.

"K!asā, k'adí t!omomanàk' haxiyà, ü'lük!i bāls du gí te!elém ?" nagá-ihi. Ge yá hi mi t'agá mologolā/p'a. "Gi dì hámi t'ban dō mk'a!? anī gi t!omomá nhamí t'ban," nagá-ihi mologolā/p'a. "Ulum f t'gam 'Gi a-iedék', nagá ," k'ái gwala p!ūwú k!ana hapxit!í t'a. Ganēhi hosō mahmī la lē. "Hamí t'ban hinaū t!omomán," nagá-ihi mologolā/p'a k!ásak!an. "Mi gelts!ayámxamk'na ," nagá hapxit!ī't'a. "Mi yanabá nì," nagása n. "Hámi t'ban hinaū k!wàl hawa k!áxak!ixin gux ji," nagá-ihi mologolā/p'a, t'agá a hapxit!í a níxak!an yùk' mologòl beyán.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hoco<sup>u</sup> la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "Ganē yanabá<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yá<sup>aɛ</sup> xilamanà, hinaus í<sup>ɛ</sup> t!egwegwáldan. "Dan yé<sup>e</sup>waldini<sup>i</sup>² hápxda<sup>a</sup> gā'p!inì, ne<sup>e</sup>yé<sup>ɛ</sup>," da<sup>aɛ</sup>aganín, hinaūs i<sup>ɛ</sup> ga neyé<sup>ɛ</sup>. "Ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s agwa, tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>,³ hínsda<sup>a</sup> dats !āmx," naganá<sup>ɛ</sup>k'i<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> gā'p!inì, k!wàl wooha mé<sup>ɛ</sup>al. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hinaū yá<sup>ɛ</sup>, máxak!an guxwí<sup>i</sup> wölt'. Ganē "Tc!ixik!ō'+ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>, gasálhi ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s agwà," nagána<sup>ɛ</sup>k'i wa-iwí<sup>i</sup> gā'p!inì; be<sup>e</sup>wí<sup>ɛ</sup> me<sup>ɛ</sup>al k!wal wolt', búmxi guxwí<sup>i</sup> hawa<sup>a</sup>k!áxk!ixiya ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al wooha k!wal mé<sup>ɛ</sup>al. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hagwa<sup>a</sup>làm malaginín, "'Ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s agwà, tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>," ga naganá<sup>ɛ</sup>k'," nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>, gwenhegwéhigwin; "ga nát'ba<sup>ɛ</sup>, 'Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>, ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s agwà, dan ye<sup>e</sup>wáldi-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "(it is) now that she has evidently been breast-hiding us."

² dan yéewaldinii is a myth name of Otter. It may be literally translated as "rocks always-returning-to-them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This is the name of Sun's servant, the canoe-paddler. The meaning of the name is not clear; tc!ixi means "dog."

"There's a monster in the water, do not go there," she said to them. After a little while they stole the salmon-spear point, to the water did they go, the two brothers. Then, 'tis said, by the water were they seated, just then after a little while did come the mermaid, and tc!élelelele (rattled) her skirt. Now they shot at her, and killed her. Then, 'tis said, they returned into the house.

"Maternal grandmother, what did we kill in the water—long was its hair and its garment rattled?" they said. Now just thereat did cry the old woman. "Was it I that killed your father? I did not kill your father," said the old woman. "But formerly (of) the elk-skin armor 'It belongs to me,' did she say," (they said), the boys naming everything. Now grown up and big they had become. "Your father has been slain up river," said the old woman, their maternal grandmother. "Now she has evidently been hiding it from us," said the boys. "Now let us go away," they said to each other. "Up river under your father's heart pitch is made to smoulder," said the old woman and wept; of just these boys was the old woman's daughter the mother.

Now grown up had they become. "Now let us go away," they said to each other. Then off they went, but up river they were being watched. "Otter² has two children, they say," were they heard about, so that up river they said that. "Paddle a canoe over here, Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am,³ we have fear of them," were wont to say two girls, on this side of the river were they wont to go for pitch. Then up river went (the boys), to get their father's heart they went. Now "Tc!ixik!ō'+ltc!am², paddle a canoe over here quickly," were wont to say the two girls; every day they came to this side of the river to get pitch, Otter's heart to set a-smouldering underneath, for that reason were they wont to go for pitch on this side of the river. Then, 'tis said, in the trail were (the boys) told, "'Paddle a canoe over

<sup>&#</sup>x27;An Upper Takelma form of hinxdaa, "fear of them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>s</sup>Literally translated these last two words mean "their-fear (i. e., fear of them) hurts;" in other words, "(we) are afraid, apprehensive."

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nīya hā'pxda hínxda dats lāmx. Gasálhi ei més agwà, ga naganák wa-iwí gā'p linì, gana néxhi gwenhegwéhigwin, t'gwayàm dexebés n.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mé<sup>ɛ</sup>alhi wa-iwi<sup>i</sup> gā'p!inì k!wal wõlt', t'gohòx k!wal sgó<sup>u</sup>t'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al giní<sup>ɛ</sup>k'; mi<sup>i</sup> t!omōm, ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īhū'-lu<sup>u</sup>hal ganī <sup>ɛ</sup>ā'yá<sup>a</sup>¹ haló<sup>u</sup>k' k'u<sup>u</sup>bí<sup>i</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Tc!ixik!ō'+l-tc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>, ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s·agwà." Wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an k!wal wōlt' yaxà; agás·i<sup>ɛ</sup> t'gohòx lomt!í<sup>i</sup> k!wál<sup>ɛ</sup>à sgó<sup>u</sup>t', gas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an wōlt' yaxà. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> abaiyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> xilamanà, k!wal lāp'. "Dan yé<sup>e</sup>waldinīya hápxda<sup>a</sup> hínxda<sup>a</sup> dats·!āmx; tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>, ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s·agwà," nagána<sup>ɛ</sup>khi wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an. Agás·i<sup>ɛ</sup> t'gohox lomt!í<sup>i</sup> t!omomán. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gáhi nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an naganá<sup>ɛ</sup>k'da<sup>ɛ</sup>, "Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>ɛ</sup>, ei mé<sup>ɛ</sup>s·agwà, dan yé<sup>e</sup>waldinīya hápxda<sup>a</sup> hínxda<sup>a</sup> datc!āmx," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> hapxit!ī'<sup>ɛ</sup>t'a<sup>a</sup>t'an, ga dexebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ei wá<sup>a</sup>t'an s'a<sup>a</sup>gwán xa<sup>a</sup>xiyáhì; gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an ei ganau bilwàlk' da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxauhì. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> mī<sup>/ɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup>hí <sup>ɛ</sup>ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> dedūlápx ganau bilàuk', gwélxda<sup>a</sup> léyas nàk'; ágas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ts'!ixi-k!ō'ltcam<sup>ɛ</sup> ''A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> ga wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> gelhewéhana<sup>ɛ</sup>; hinx niūk'i<sup>ɛ</sup>,<sup>2</sup> ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> aba-iwōk' wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'an. Mi<sup>i</sup> ''e<sup>n</sup>','' s'int!ayàk' bē' yap!a wi<sup>ɛ</sup>ìn. ''Gwidí na<sup>ɛ</sup>naga-ìt'?'' nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>, ''k'adí s'int!ayagìt','' nagán máxak!an s'iwôk'di. Gás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> xū'<sup>ɛ</sup>n la<sup>a</sup>lē'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> búmxi máxak!an gux<sup>w</sup>í hawá<sup>a</sup> p!i<sup>i</sup> k!wàl k!áxak!ixin; agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> bõ<sup>u</sup> yewéida<sup>ɛ</sup> bumxi hápxda<sup>a</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> wa-iwí ge <sup>ɛ</sup>íˈxi, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al gá na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> s'int!ayaginá<sup>ɛ</sup> yáp!a wi<sup>ɛ</sup>ìn.

 $<sup>1 =</sup> aai \nu \dot{a}a$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> = niuk'-hi<sup>2</sup>; niuk' is the inferential of niiw-: niw-, "be afraid (of)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This represents a sniff of suspicion.

here, Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am<sup>g</sup>,' that are they wont to say,'' they were told, was it related to them. "That shall you say, 'Tc!ixik!-ō'ltc!am<sup>g</sup>, paddle a canoe over here, of Otter's children have we fear. Quickly paddle a canoe over here,' that are wont to say the two girls,'' thus indeed was it related to them, Lark did say so.

Then on this side, indeed, of the river the two girls came to get pitch, and Quail did cut the pitch. Now then to them they went; then they killed them, skinned them, then themselves put on their skins. Then "Tc!ixik!ō'+ltc!am, paddle a canoe over here" (they shouted). The girls did always go to get pitch; while Quail, the old man, cut the pitch indeed, the girls just went to get it. Then they returned home, carried the pitch on their backs. "Of Otter's children we have fear. Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am, paddle a canoe over here," were wont to say the girls. And now the old man Quail was slain. Then just what the girls were wont to say, "Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am, paddle a canoe over here. Of Otter's children we have fear," did say the boys, those said so.

Then the canoe was paddled towards them right in the middle of the water; it was thus that the girls were wont to jump into the canoe from afar off, indeed. Then just one of them would not jump into it straight, she would stumble with one of her legs; so that Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!ams said, "Those are not the girls," thinking; as though he were afraid of them, that he did. Then the (pretended) girls arrived in the house. Now "en'," Sun' smelt them as different people. "What are you doing?" he was told. "What are you smelling?" was told their would-be-father. Now night came. Then, 'tis said, a pitch fire was set a-smouldering under the heart of the father of the Otters; but this time when they returned it was the children of Otter, not the girls belonging there, for that reason did he do that, having smelt them as different people.

<sup>4</sup> Frances Johnson was not certain who the slayer of Otter was, but rather thought it was Sun.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

Xū'ene laalē', mi wayānha búmxi doumáes. Ganēhie máxak!an guxwíi īgíina. Ganēhii máxa guxwíi nõu veweyàk'w; agás i tlomomán, he máxa guxwi no vewevak . Ga gafal k'uubii bumxì alt'gém lap', k!wal hawaak!axak!ixinmaf guxwíi. Ganaenéx gíeà yoklováen, gwála siewô'k'di; aldī yuk'yák'i eĩt'e, maláxbin.

#### 18. Crow and Raven Go for Water.

A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai xí yùk'<sup>1</sup> yap!a wáada. Gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> mēl wuulhamk',<sup>1</sup> xèm wuulhamk'i wa-iwi gā'p!ini. Ganēhi "Xí woop'," nãk'am.¹ Ts!āu y᪠héiɛxk',¹ ganaɛnéx daɛagánk'am.¹ Ganēhiɛ yanàk' wa-iwi gā'p!inì wu"lhàm, xi woòk'. Ganēhi xém a hawi fánīf xí gafal wõk'daf, mi aga k!elwít'gwa ganau ba-iwahé<sup>i</sup>, měls í yá. Mi xem a gwényewé<sup>i</sup>, mi xi wãk. "K'ái gagal di ánīg xi wagat'?" Yok!oyánhig ā'khig xiyát'gwa. Agás i měls i gwī' ne yá yewé , xi wãk ā'k a mēl.

"Heine maia wede xi iū'kleīt'," nagánhi xèm; "iī's i samáxa yúk'i<sup>ɛ</sup>, wede xi <sup>ɛ</sup>aldãk!eīt',''<sup>5</sup> nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup>. '' Mēls'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'k'ʿʿa xi ʿʿūgwànk','' nagánhiʿ, ''mas iʿ lep'níxa ya xi <sup>ε</sup>ūgwadá<sup>ε</sup>,'' nagánhi<sup>ε</sup> xèm. Gas í ga<sup>ε</sup>al xém<sup>ε</sup>à <sup>ε</sup>ánī xi <sup>ε</sup>ŭk' samáxa; gas i ga ga al a'k a gana nèx yiwiyá , guxwi xùm. Lep'nixa ya la lit'a xém a xí tik', ga neyét.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These forms are all inferentials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is, everything had dried up except the ocean to the west.

<sup>\*</sup>Said to sound less coarse than the ordinary word for "urinate," xalaxam.

Night came, and they put to sleep the slayer of Otter. Then, 'tis said, they took their father's heart. Then with their father's heart down river they returned; first (Sun) was slain, then with their father's heart they returned down river. For that reason does Otter wear a black skin, his heart having been set a-smouldering with pitch underneath. Thus do I, for my part, know; perchance there is much more. Did I know all, I should tell it to you.

### 18. Crow and Raven Go for Water.

There was no water among the people. Now Crow was having her first menstrual courses and Raven was having her first menstrual courses, the two girls. Then, 'tis said, "Go to get water,' they were told. Only the ocean was left,² thus it was heard. Then did go the two girls menstruating for the first time, for water they went. Then Raven, for her part, when she had not yet arrived at the water, now into this basket-bucket of hers did urinate, but Crow went on. Now Raven, for her part, turned back, now brought the water. "For what reason did you not bring water?" (they said). It was known that it was her own water. Now Crow, in her turn, just a long time thereafter did return, water did Crow, for her part, bring.

"Then you, for your part, shall not drink water," was Raven told. "Whenever it is summer, you shall not find water," she was told. "But Crow—she, for her part, shall drink water," was she told. "But you—only in winter shall you drink water," was Raven told. So for that reason it is that Raven, for her part, does not drink water in summer, and for that reason does she, indeed, talk thus,—dry is her throat. Only when the winter comes does Raven, for her part, drink water, that they say.

 $<sup>4 =</sup> uuk' \ ^{\varepsilon}eit'.$ 

<sup>8 =</sup> aldaak' 8 eit'.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

## 19. SKUNK, THE DISAPPOINTED LOVER.

Wíli yowò. A'nī yok!oyán nek wa-iwíta gā'p!ini yúk'na, bīk' wá-iwī gelgulàk' gáp!ini yúk'na; mót lãp'k' bīk'. Bou nēxadas í yulàm hono mót lãp'k'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> p!iyin alhoyōī bīk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup> s'īx ligìk'<sup>w</sup>; hó<sup>ɛ</sup>px yá<sup>a</sup> ganau gwidík'<sup>w</sup>dan bīk'<sup>w</sup> cīx ligigwaná<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> yulùm alhūyūx; cīx ligìk'<sup>w</sup>, ga<sup>ɛ</sup>a gayawánhi. Gangáhi alhūyū'hi<sup>i</sup>x bīk'<sup>w</sup>, cīx ligìk'<sup>w</sup>, agás'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ā'k<sup>ɛ</sup>a mengí<sup>i</sup> hó<sup>ɛ</sup>px yaxa ganau gwidík'<sup>w</sup>dan. Bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē yulùm honó<sup>ɛ</sup> alhūyūx; cīx ligìk'<sup>w</sup>, ga<sup>ɛ</sup>a gayawán. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup> bīk'<sup>w</sup> alhūyūx; cīx ligìk'<sup>w</sup>, hó<sup>ɛ</sup>px yá<sup>a</sup> ganau gwidík'<sup>w</sup>dan; ā'k<sup>ɛ</sup>a cīx ligigwaná<sup>ɛ</sup> hó<sup>ɛ</sup>px ganau gwidílhan.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> dabalníxa la<sup>a</sup>lē', mi<sup>i</sup> t!ayàk'. "Gí<sup>iɛ</sup>à k'ái ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al dí cīx ligigwánda<sup>ɛ</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> gayawán?" nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> bīk'<sup>w</sup>. Mi<sup>i</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> da-uyá<sup>a</sup> ts!ayákhi.<sup>2</sup> Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> yulum<sup>ɛ</sup>a xílam la<sup>a</sup>lē'. "Ganē gadák' hōīt'," nagánhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bīk'<sup>w</sup>, t!omxíxa dexebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gadak' hoyó<sup>ɛ</sup>t' bīk'<sup>w</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> ba-imats!àk' goyo hé<sup>e</sup>lt'a<sup>a</sup>. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne

"Bígi bígi bígi+, dán+ bon, dán bon."

"Mót'e, s'ous ba-idit'gást'gas," nagánhis, t!omxíxa dexebésn. "Bou yás di 'mot'e' nēxiya?" nagá-ihis bīk'w. Ganēhis honos ba-imats!àk',

"Bígi bígi bígi+, dán+ bon, dán bon."

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\tiny 1}}\mbox{Inferentials,}$  probably by way of preliminary explanation to the narrative proper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Skunk's foul discharge of wind is his "medicine" or supernatural power wherewith he "shoots" people.

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;Dance for him!" Literally, "on-top-of-(him) dance."

<sup>&#</sup>x27;That is, "dance in order to cure him."

 $<sup>^3</sup>bigi$  has no known meaning; it is very probably a play on Skunk's own name, biik'w.  $dán\ bon\ (=dan\ boun)$  can be translated as "stone acorn-mortar;" boun

## 19. SKUNK, THE DISAPPOINTED LOVER.

A house there was. I do not know whose two girls they were; Skunk did like the girls, being two, a suitor did Skunk become. But after a little while also Eagle became a suitor.

Then, 'tis said, Skunk hunted deer. Now venison he brought home; right in the lake was thrown the venison that Skunk had brought home. Then after a little while Eagle went out to hunt. Venison he brought home, that indeed was eaten. Skunk just kept on hunting, venison he brought home, but his game, indeed, was just thrown into the lake. Then after a little while Eagle again went out to hunt; venison he brought home, that indeed was eaten. Then again Skunk went out to hunt. Venison he brought home, just into the lake was it thrown; what venison he did bring home was always thrown into the lake.

Then a long time elapsed, and he found it out. "When I, for my part, bring home venison, for what reason is it not eaten?" said Skunk. Now, 'tis said, he shot with his medicineman's spirit, and Eagle, for his part, became sick. "Now dance for him," was Skunk told, his mother-in-law said so. Then, 'tis said, Skunk danced for him. Then he started in with his medicine-man's song. Now then (he sang),

"Bígi bígi bígi+, dán+ bon, dán bon."

"My son-in-law, stick your anus straight out," he was told, his mother-in-law said so. "Did you say to me" My son-in-law' just now?" said Skunk. Then again he started in to sing,

"Bígi bígi bígī+, dán+ bon, dán bon."

means "acorn-hopper of basketry." Mrs. Johnson could give no explanation of Skunk's song, but it is probable that there is a reference to the supernatural power of stone mortars, a belief widely spread in northern California. Skunk's song is delivered in an unrhythmical staccato; it is meant to be ungraceful and ridiculous.

<sup>6</sup> Literally, "to say to me."

 $<sup>^{7}\,\</sup>mathrm{He}$  is flattered to be called ''son-in-law," for that means that he has won his suit.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

"S'óus ba-idit'gást'gas."—"Dīhagāīt'es, ulùm wô'k'di k'ai nāk'am xasalgwási ulum bēns," nagá-ihis bīk'w, hoyóst'.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> honó<sup>ɛ</sup>hi ba-imats!àk', hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gáhi nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>,

"Bígi bígi bígi, dán+ bon, dán bon, dán bon."

"Ba-idit'gáɛst'gaªs, mót'ià," nagá-ihiɛ t!omxíxa. Gahíhiɛ nagáiɛ, "Bou y᪠di 'mót'ia' nēxia?" nagá-ihiɛ bīk'w. Ganēhiɛ bou nēxadaɛ ba-idit'gats!át'gas; mi yeek!iée bīk'w sáªt' baiɛixóut'. Mi¹hiɛ t!omomán, mi bīk'w lohóiɛ. Ganaɛnéx yok!oyáɛn yaxà.

### 20. The Flood.2

Hop!è's yap!a yùk', k'ái gwala yap!a yùk', cũx cẽm p!iyìn; ts'!á-is' saldī yap!a yùk', k'ái gwala, moxò ga saldī' yap!a yùk', mēl saldī' yap!a yùk. Gas'is hesne sbīns'is ánīs dahók'wal yùk', s'ēms'ís s'inhók'wal yùk', ga gasal sbīn lāp'k'.

He<sup>ɛ</sup>ne ts lāū ba-ihīlxk', aga <sup>ɛ</sup>aldī t'ga<sup>a</sup> ts lāū lāp'k'. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne xámhi lāp'iauk', k'ái gwala xámhi lāp'k'. Hé<sup>ɛ</sup>ne sbīn lāp'k' gwelxíya ā'k la yowó<sup>ɛ</sup>. He<sup>ɛ</sup>ne <sup>ɛ</sup>aldī cūx ba<sup>a</sup>daweīk', gá ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al bo<sup>u</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>aldī ba<sup>a</sup>dawá<sup>iɛ</sup>. A'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> s inhók'wal yúk'na<sup>ɛ</sup> sbīn, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> da<sup>a</sup>hók'wal yúk'na<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al sbīn<sup>ɛ</sup>a xámhi lāp'k'. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>nèx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literally, "Yellow-between-his-claws," a myth-name of Sparrow-Hawk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is difficult to make much out of this myth, if it may be dignified by that name. Why the insistence on Beaver? Is the whole account an ill-remembered version of the flood and diving (by Beaver or Muskrat) for mud? That this favorite eastern myth motive did travel as far west as Oregon is shown by the Kathlamet Myth of Nikciamtcā'c (see Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 23, 24).

"Stick your anus straight out."—"I feel ticklish in my anus. Some time ago, I guess, something was told to Sparrow-Hawk' some time ago in the day," said Skunk, and danced.

Then, after a little while, again he started in to sing, that same thing again he said,

"Bígi bígi bígi, dán+ bon, dán bon, dán bon, dán bon."

"Stick out your anus, O son-in-law," said his mother-in-law. That same thing he said, "Did you say to me 'O son-in-law!' just now?" said Skunk. Then, after a little while, he stuck out his anus. Now Sparrow-Hawk did pull out Skunk's discharge of wind. Now, 'tis said, he was killed, now Skunk did die. Just this much I know.

#### 20. THE FLOOD.2

Long ago there were people, all beings were people,—birds, ducks, deer; bluejays were all people; all sorts of beings,—buzzards, those were all people, crows were all people. Now then beavers were not ear-holed, while ducks were nose-holed,—for that reason did they become beavers.

Then a flood did come and cover all, all this world became a mass of water. And then, 'tis said, they were submerged, all beings were submerged. Then Beaver got to be at the bottom of the water, up to this day he is there. Then all the birds flew up, and for that reason they all fly today. Since Beaver was not nose-holed, since he was not ear-holed, for that reason did Beaver, for his part, get to be in the water, indeed. Thus it is.

<sup>3</sup> That is, beavers still lead a semi-aquatic life.

<sup>4</sup> Probably misheard for baadawiik'.

<sup>\*</sup>Aorist in tense, because referring to present time. All other verb forms in this text are inferentials.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. 11.

# 21. ACORN WOMAN REVENGES HERSELF UPON A MEDICINE-MAN.<sup>1</sup>

"Goyo bāʿīxóuʿsbik'," nagánhan yanà, hop!è'ʿsnimik!i yap!à; ga nagánhan yanà, yap!a wounā'k' dexebéʿsn. Gwalt' baʿsīwa-xóut'i goyo yanà, goyo bāʿīxóuʿt'gwôk' yanà. Ganēhiʿs yana daʿsanáʿak'da² gaʿa cüʿsulī wilít'gwa ganau, alxíik' bāʿsīxóudinmaʿs; ā'k' ge īmíhamk'wit' bēm gaʿsal. Gas iʿs goyo yáʿa bāʿsīxóut'gwa. Gasiʿs goyo t!omománmaʿs, aga mologolā'p'a yana daʿsanā'k'da gasiʿs xouman goyò lohóidaʿs; aga mologolā'p'a yana bāʿsīxóudinaʿs ga gaʿsal xoumàn. Cīx xúm heʿsne ganaʿsnéx xoumàn.

Dalbalníxa ga naɛnàk'.³ Gas iɛ goyo lohálhik'naɛ³ xóm-xamank',³ mologolā/p'a xebéɛn. Ganēhiɛ dabalníxa laalē'. Ganē heɛne yap!a gā/pɛini ''Mologol wáada wīp'abaɛ; cīx gwala wáada, neeyéɛ,'' nagásanhiɛ. Ganēhiɛ mologol wáada ba-ik!iyíɛk' yap!a gā/pɛini; ánīɛ alxíik' abaiginigiá-udaɛ, hap!iyá xáaɛyowóɛ.' Alxalī yap!a gā/pɛini, ánīɛ waahimìt'. Dabalníxa laalē', heɛne yáa p!è'lɛ baayānk'w. Ganēhiɛ cīx xum īgíina, p!è'lɛ ganau mats!ak'. Ganēhiɛ dasálda mats!ak', ganē heɛne hap!iyá xā'ɛyowòɛ. A'nīɛ alxíik' yap!à aga s·īx xum dasálda mats!aganáɛ. ''Agas íɛ xúma mī'œwa gayawán,'' naga-ihìs.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> bo<sup>u</sup> nēxada<sup>ɛ</sup> la<sup>\*</sup>līt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>, he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>\*</sup>hi<sup>ɛ</sup> hanp!iyá

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The translation here given differs but little, chiefly in the direction of greater literalness, from that already published in Sapir's "Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon," Journal of American Folk-lore, Vol. xx, pp. 46, 47. This applies also to some of the translations that follow, which have already been published elsewhere (Part I, No. 22; Part II, Nos. 3, 4, 5; and Part III, Nos. 1-11). The myth of the Acorn Woman, like the one that follows it and probably also No. 15,

# 21. ACORN WOMAN REVENGES HERSELF UPON A MEDICINE-MAN.<sup>1</sup>

"A medicine-man has blown thee off," the Acorn used to be told (by) men of long ago. That the Acorn was wont to be told, old men did say it. By means of a wind did the medicineman blow off the acorns, a medicine-man it was that blew off the acorns. Now, 'tis said, the Acorn Chieftainess,² that one was sitting in her house and saw how they were being blown down. She had sent herself there to the tree. Now just the medicine-man had blown her off. Thereupon the medicineman having been slain, this old woman, the Acorn Chieftainess, then dried him, the medicine-man having died; since this old Acorn Woman had he blown off, for that reason she dried him. Like dried venison, thus she dried him.

For a long time that she did. Now whenever a medicineman died, she used to dry him; the old woman did so. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now then two persons "To the old woman let us journey. Much venison there is with her, people say," said to each other. Then, 'tis said, to the old woman came the two persons. She did not look at them as they came into the house, with her back towards the fire she sat. There sat the two persons; to them she did not speak. A long time elapsed, just then she took up a basket-pan. Then dried venison she took and into the basket-pan she put it. Then, 'tis said, she placed it down at their feet, and then with her back to the fire she sat. She did not look at the persons when this dried venison she had put down at their feet. "Now the food is probably being eaten," she thought.

Then, 'tis said, when a little while had elapsed, just then

is a medicine-formula recited by the somlohólxa sagainst the goyò. For this type of myth compare Goddard, Hupa Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 1, pp. 202-368.

<sup>2&</sup>quot; Acorn Chieftainess," literally, "acorn its-chief."

<sup>3</sup> Inferentials.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "in-the-fire she-back-was."

dínt'gwa liwilá<sup>uɛ</sup>. Mi¹ yaxa lohoyàuk'¹ yap!a gā'p<sup>ɛ</sup>ini; he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá¹ hap!iyá gelk!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k', he<sup>ɛ</sup>nehi<sup>ɛ</sup> xí ba³yãnk'ʷ. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> hadát'gwa mats!ák' xì, ganē p'ʷ+ da³dap'oup'àu. Ba³t!ebèt' yap!a gā'p<sup>ɛ</sup>ini, ba³yewé<sup>iɛ</sup> mì¹. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> '' K'adí naga-ìt'p'?' 'Cīx xum wa<sup>ɛ</sup>it!anáhi,' negésdap' di? Cīx xum nagaīt'p' di? Aga<sup>ɛ</sup>à goyo ts'!í¹k'da, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> cīx xùm. Bā<sup>ɛ</sup>īxúusina<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al xoumaná<sup>ɛ</sup>n,'' nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> mologolā'p'a, yana mologolā'p'a dexebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ga haga wála<sup>ɛ</sup> yana da<sup>ɛ</sup>ánāk'wda³ yùk'. Géhi dá<sup>ɛ</sup>yowó<sup>ɛ</sup>.² Goyo ba³ɛ̄īxóuɛt'gwôk'na<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al na<sup>ɛ</sup>nāk'ik'.

## 22. Rock-Woman and a Mountain are a Medicine-Man's Bane.<sup>3</sup>

T'gaa sigīt'aē diibūk'amnaē, das iē ga nāk'am dan mologol, Maēa goyoēi'xi, goyo ī'lts!ak'w yap!a heenāk'wiē, máēa ga gaēàl heeláēk', nāk'am. Gasiē "Há-u" nàk'. Gasiē nāxdeē goyò daēók'iēk', dakt'éek!iēk', nāk'am. Gasiē gá naēnaga; dan k!elwi emeē néidaē, gas iē s ümxiis iē ganàu k!elwi, k!ámak!aas iē. Ganaēnéx ók'igam dán mologòl. Goyo guxwi gáa gaēàl k!elwi s ümt'ia; s ümxiis iē ga ēīwamolomálhi goyo guxwi, te!ümūmt'a; k!ámaa gaas iē dan baasgaak'sgák'i dan t'ūt'. Gas iē bok!obáxna dan k!elwi ganàu, goyo guxwi te!ümūmt'a. Gaēi goyo guxwi dan k!elwi. Gas iē ganē goyó gaēàl helélē, gas iē ganē goyo dōmk'amnaē; ganē dan mologól xebéēn wigamdì.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Impersonal inferential. With expressed subject yap!a it would be more correct to say  $loh \partial k$ '.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "right-there it-is-in-front, it-is-forth."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For this medicine-formula compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 45, 46.

<sup>\*</sup>These verb forms are inferentials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Frances Johnson regularly used the word "to poison" in a metaphorical sense as meaning "to exercise one's magic power in order to do some person ill."

in back of her across the fire she looked. Now the two persons just had died. Just then she turned towards the fire, then took up water. Then, 'tis said, the water she put in her mouth, and p'w+, she blew it over their cheeks. The two persons arose, had recovered now. Then, 'tis said, "What did you think? 'Dried venison she keeps,' did you say about me? Dried venison did you think it was? This, for its part, is the flesh of medicinemen, not dried venison. Since they blew me off, for that reason did I dry them," said the old woman, Old Acorn Woman did say so. Indeed that really was the Acorn Chieftainess. Just up to there it proceeds. Since the medicine-men did blow her off, for that reason did she do it to them.

# 22. Rock-Woman and a Mountain are a Medicine-Man's Bane.3

When this set world was first begun, then was that told to the Old Rock Woman, "Thou, for thy part, (shalt be) a medicine-man poisoner. If an evil-minded medicine-man devours a person, thou, for thy part, shalt sing for that," was she told. Thereupon "Yes" she said. "Then thy pipe shalt thou put in the medicine-man's mouth, thou shalt give him to smoke," was she told. Thereupon that she did to him, here being her rock bucket, and in her bucket her stirring paddle, and her tongs. Thus was it given to the Old Rock Woman. The medicine-man's heart to boil, for that purpose her bucket; and her stirring paddle, with that she stirs around the medicine-man's heart and boils it; and her tongs, with that she picks up rocks, hot rocks. Then she causes the stones to steam in her bucket, the medicine-man's heart she boils. The medicine-man's heart, for that is her rock bucket medicine. Now then

<sup>• =</sup> heen-aak'w- with conditional  $-gi^{\varepsilon}$ .

Rather unusual order. We should expect k!elwii ganàu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> That is, it is supernaturally harmful to it.

<sup>&</sup>quot;wigamdi, "my paternal grandmother," is an epithet of Old Rock Woman.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> Aldauyáak'wadìs¹ malaginín. "Ganē mi¹ dán mologol góyo t!omõm," nagán; he²néhi ²ik!uumánk'wa, di³ɛʾalgelegaláms.² Ganēhi² máxla di³ɛʿalt'gwa mats!ak'.³ Ganēhi² ge giníɛk'daɛ¸¹ mi¹ p!eyéɛ goyò. Buubiní¹ baayānk'w, ganē ba-ixóut' goyo buubiní¹. Heedadáɛ mók' ganàu wabilīk'w goyo buubiní¹. Ganēhiɛ hoyóɛt', dī't'giliu walaalīk'wa goyo buubiní¹; ganē hélelɛ¸ wahoyodak'w.

Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne la<sup>a</sup>lē', ba<sup>a</sup>gwé<sup>ɛ</sup>nbí<sup>i</sup>s;<sup>5</sup> hánliwilà<sup>uɛ</sup> wáxa wá<sup>a</sup>da; wáxa<sup>ɛ</sup>a mi<sup>i</sup> gáhi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nàk',<sup>6</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>ɛ</sup> gáhi na<sup>ɛ</sup>nàk',<sup>6</sup> wãxa. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> alse<sup>e</sup>k'sák'sank',<sup>6</sup> há<sup>aɛ</sup>yà. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx goyo dō<sup>u</sup>mk',<sup>6</sup> goyo ī'lts!ak', Goyo bu<sup>u</sup>biní dek'yū'k'auk'wôk';<sup>6</sup> wayá he<sup>ɛ</sup>nè dek'iwík'auk'wanma<sup>ɛ</sup>, ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nāk'ik',<sup>6</sup> Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>nex t'ga<sup>a</sup> sigīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>, p!a-imasgák'amna<sup>ɛ</sup>,<sup>6</sup> gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx la<sup>a</sup>lē'. S'umluhūīxia<sup>uɛ</sup>,<sup>7</sup> wigamdì<sup>ɛ</sup> was umluhūīxôk', Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx nékciwô'k'di há<sup>a</sup>p'k!emná<sup>ɛ</sup>s k!emánk';<sup>6</sup> gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx p!uwú<sup>uɛ</sup>k' há<sup>a</sup>p'k!emná<sup>ɛ</sup>s, bo<sup>u</sup> gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx p!uwú<sup>uɛ</sup>k' yap!à. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx yaxa meléxi wihìn, a<sup>a</sup>k's i<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> alxí<sup>i</sup>k' honò<sup>ɛ</sup>. P!alák'wahi <sup>ɛ</sup>aga<sup>ɛ</sup>à.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evidently contains the word da-uyáa, "medicine-spirit." Old Rock Woman was said to be the mountain's "boss."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A sign of preparation for war or for a war-dance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As white war-paint.

Perhaps misheard for giniik'daε.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;This word was said not to be in ordinary use, but to be limited to myth texts.

for the medicine-man she sang, whereat then did die the medicine-man. Now my paternal grandmother, the Old Rock Woman, has done so.

Then, 'tis said, (the mountain) Aldauyáak'wadis¹ was told of it. "Now the Old Rock Woman has killed the medicineman," was he told. Just then did he prepare himself, and his hair he tied up into a top-knot.² Then dust, 'tis said, on his forehead he put.³ Then there when he came, now dead lay the medicine-man. His arm he picked up, now wrenched loose the medicine-man's arm. Off yonder into a pit he jumped with the medicine-man's arm. Then, 'tis said, he danced, with the medicine-man's arm he danced rapidly around brandishing it. Now he sang, danced with it.

Then, 'tis said, some time elapsed. Up he looked, across to his younger brother he looked; now his younger brother, for his part, that same thing did do, now again that same thing did do his younger brother. Then, 'tis said, they on either side did nod to each other. Thus they slew the medicine-man, the evil-minded medicine-man. The medicine-man's arm he brandished before him; just as a knife is brandished before one, that he did with it. Thus when the world was set, when down it was placed, then thus it happened. (Thus) the s'omlohólxa<sup>§</sup>s' makes medicine, my paternal grandfather did make medicine with (this song and dance). Someone, I believe the Children Creator, made things thus. Thus, Children Creator, they call him, nowadays people call him thus. Thus much did my mother tell me, but she did not see it either. This, for its part, is a myth indeed.

These forms are inferentials again. It seems plausible to assume that the text, being a medicine formula rather than an ordinary myth narrative, should have inferential verb forms throughout for narrative, but that Mrs. Johnson now and then slipped into the more easy-going agrists.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For the differences between the somlohólxa<sup>8</sup>s and goyò compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 40-45.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

## 23. THE ROLLING SKULL.1

The Takelmas believed in people who consisted of nothing but a skull; they were called *Xilam da'gaxda*, "dead-person his-head," or *Xilam t!egili'xi*, "dead-person his-skull," and rolled around killing people. They made a noise like bum+, bum+, and cried out constantly *Ximi'+ximi*. Children were threatened with the skull's cry *Ximi'+ximi* if they did not mind.

Once the people heard a skull come rolling along. They were terribly afraid and ran off, crying, " $O' \div$  da da da da da! O'+ da da da da!" Hot rocks were placed in a ditch and covered up so that the rolling skull could not see them. As the people ran away he rolled after them, until he rolled into the ditch, where he was killed. Had it not been for that, he would have killed everybody.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This and the following fragments were elicited by a question as to whether the Takelmas were acquainted with the myths of the rolling skull and the musical contest in which the lamprey eel comes off victor. Frances Johnson did not remember them well enough to tell them as myth texts. For the former of these myths compare Curtin's Yana tale in his "Creation Myths of Primitive America," pp. 325-35.

E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

175

## 24. EEL THE SINGER.1

Eel was said to have sung through the holes of his own body like a flute. He was called the best singer of all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare, Curtin, op. cit., pp. 177-208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The markings on the lamprey eel are thought of as holes.

## II. CUSTOMS AND PERSONAL NARRATIVES.1

### I. How a Takelma House was Built.2

Yap!a wíli k!emèī. Bēm p!a-idīɛlóuk', eméɛsiɛ honoɛ p!a-idīɛlóuk', héɛmeɛ honoɛ p!a-idīɛlóuk', hagamgaman p!a-idīɛlóuk'. Héɛne honoɛ hangilíp' gadak' hagamgaman, gadák'siɛ mūɛxdánhi hangilíp'. Heɛne yásiɛ wíli sidibíi k!emèī; heɛne gadák'siɛ mats!ak' wili heelam, t'gal ga heelám k!emèī. Ganē dak'dát' dat!abak', hā/ɛya³ dat!abak'. Ganē dedewilíidadís k!emèī dak'dat'siɛ dahók'wal k!emèī k!iyī'x ganau ba-igináxdas. Ganēsiɛ gák!an k!emèī, xāɛīsgip!ísgap', gwelt'gāū gináx k!emèī; wili sidibísiɛ k!emèī.

Ganē dat!abàk' haʿīt'bū'xt'bixik'w. Ganē lep!ēs hahū-wúuʿk'i, ganát' gidī alxalī yap!à; p!i¹ yogáa has's·õu, gas'iʿ alxalīyanáʿ hā'ʿya p!iyà. Ganaʿnéx hop!è'ʿn yap!aʿa wíli¹; lep'níxa wilí¹ ganàt'. Samáxas'iʿ anaʿnéx' alxalī, ánīʿ wíli ganàu. Gwás' wili yaxa wit'géyeeʿk'i, gas'iʿ p!i¹ yogáa k!emèī habinì. Ganaʿnex samáxa alxalī, anīʿ lep'níxa nat' wíli ganàu.

#### 2. MARRIAGE.

Wá-iwī heeswasgán, tc!ulx héeswaswasgiwín; yáp!as'is gelgulúxasn wá-iwī máxa dap!ālá-u máxa, gas'is gasal heeswāk'

¹An attempt was made to secure a series of texts dealing with the life of the Indians. The six short texts that make up this part represent the indifferent success obtained. Indians generally find it far more difficult to dictate an account of a custom, which requires a certain amount of originality, than to tell a myth which they have already told or heard tell doubtless more than once.

#### II. CUSTOMS AND PERSONAL NARRATIVES 1

### 1. How a Takelma House was Built.2

The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.

Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now,4 not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.

#### 2. MARRIAGE.

A girl was purchased, with dentalia she was purchased. Now the people liked each other, the father of the girl and the

(177)

12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For further details see Sapir, Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. 262, 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> That is, they put on the boards reaching from the ridge-pole to the sides of the

We were sitting out in the open when this text was dictated.

178 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

wa-iwí. Ga na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n hop!è'<sup>ɛ</sup>n yap!à. Gas'í<sup>ɛ</sup> t!emeyán-wia<sup>uɛ</sup>, wa-iwí ya<sup>a</sup>ngwán dap!ālá-u wá<sup>a</sup>da.

K'ái gwala laªbán, tc!úlx, xúma, yeléx, k!él, dūk', yūp', degàs, k!el mehelíi, ga nàt' laªbán; mágnais íg samáxa hīx laªbán, luxùm t'gal dalgwap'ū't!ik'w ga laªbán, p'ím xum laªbán. Yáp!a mixal yáªdag aldī'l legbànx. Hop!è'gnà wá-iwī ánīg yok!wōī t!iilā'p'a, dalwíg ánīg gelgulùk' t!iilā'p'a; dap!ā'lau honog ganagnéx ánīg gelgulùk' k'a-ilā'p'a dálgwíg.

## 3. How a Feud was Settled.1

Xaawīt'. Yap!a t!omõxaɛn k!ouxámxa yowóudaɛ hā'ēyà yõk!wat'gwan yilìm, xilam yõuk!aa yilìm. Tc!òlx ga xilam yõuk!aa nagánhan. Gas'íɛ ganē tc!ibínxaɛn, gas'íɛ xaawīsaak!emēn, gas'iɛ xaawīt'. ''ībiilɛ ū's'i t!ümūxdaɛ,'' nagásaɛn yap!à. Aga t!omománmaɛ ga xaawīsaa k!emèī. ''Ganat' ''ū's'i, t!eimíɛs ''ū'ci,'' nagàn yap!a doumáɛs. Gas'iɛ ánīɛ gelgulùk'. ''Wede k'ai úsbigaɛ, honóɛ dõumxbin yáa,'' nagáiɛ yap!a doumáɛs. Ganē xaawīsaa hanyewéiɛ, ganē gwenhegwéhôk'w. '''A'nīɛ ībíilɛ ugúsbiɛn,' nagasbi,'' nagáiɛ. Ganē xaawīsaa, '''Wede ga nàt,'' 'Eís'is'iɛ nagáɛn.''

<sup>&</sup>quot;Wede ga nēxdam t!ümūxda<sup>ɛ</sup> haxo<sup>u</sup>nhì, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> gwī<sup>ɛ</sup> na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagásbinda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganga t!ümūxdam yaxà, wa-iwi<sup>i</sup>t'èk' gè ci<sup>ɛ</sup>ulīt'a<sup>ɛ</sup>," nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n yap!a hop!è'<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ganē hányewe<sup>iɛ</sup>. "'Ganga ībīl<sup>ɛ</sup> ū's'i," nagásbi. 'Gī'<sup>ɛ</sup>wa k!i<sup>i</sup>gá<sup>ɛ</sup>t', honó<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a do<sup>u</sup>maná<sup>ɛ</sup>,'

father of the youth, so for that reason they purchased the girl. That long ago people did to one another. Thereupon they went with her to see her married, the girl was taken to the youth.

Many things were carried (as presents)—dentalia, food, burden-baskets, basket-buckets, skirts, basket-caps, sifting basket-pans, cooking baskets, that sort of things was carried along; but at this season, summer, camass was taken along, manzanita berries mixed up with sugar-pine nuts,—those were carried along, dried salmon was carried along. As many people as did go, all carried things along. Long ago, indeed, the girl did not know the husband, sometimes she did not like the husband; thus also the youth sometimes did not like the woman.

## 3. How a Feud was Settled.1

(How) one acts as go-between. (Let us suppose) people who are related to each other by their children's marriage slay one another, on either side they call for each other's bones, dead men's bones they call for. Dentalia, those used to be termed dead men's bones. So then they make speeches to one another, and one is made a go-between, so that he may go between (both parties). "Give me blood-money, since you have slain me!" people said to each other. Now he (whose kinsman) has been slain, that one makes use of the go-between. of that kind, give me one hundred," the slayer of the person is told. But he does not wish it. "I will not give you anything, I shall even kill some more of yours," says the slayer of the person. Then the go-between returns across, then recounts what he has been told. "'I'll give you no blood-money!' he says to you," says he. Then the go-between (adds), "'Not in that fashion!' no matter how often I told him."

"Do not tell me that, since you have slain mine just for nothing, though I did nothing to you. For just no reason have [17]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 270-72.

180 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

nagaīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>. Ganē gwenhegwéhak'<sup>w</sup>nana<sup>ɛ</sup> gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néx malàk' xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>, ''Ganē aga dūmhak'<sup>w</sup>dan guxwí<sup>i</sup> xilam la<sup>a</sup>lē'.'' Ga nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n yap!a hop!e<sup>/ɛ</sup>n t!omōxanda<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gas i<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē honó<sup>ɛ</sup> hanyewé<sup>iɛ</sup> xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>. Emé<sup>ɛ</sup>dat' dūmhôk'<sup>w</sup> t'agá<sup>iɛ</sup>. ''Ganga hanyèū! k'áiwi<sup>ɛ</sup> ūgū's i,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> dūmhôk'<sup>w</sup>. Gas i<sup>ɛ</sup> hányewe<sup>iɛ</sup>. '''Ganga k'áiwi<sup>ɛ</sup> ū's i,' nagásbi,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>. ''' K'áiwi<sup>ɛ</sup> ók'i,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>, yap!a do<sup>u</sup>má<sup>ɛ</sup>s nagà. ''Yewe déhi k!iyí<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. Honó<sup>ɛ</sup> yap!a do<sup>u</sup>maná<sup>ɛ</sup>, gedē ye<sup>o</sup>gwásbina<sup>ɛ</sup>.¹ Yap!a gwala do<sup>u</sup>maná<sup>ɛ</sup>, gas í<sup>ɛ</sup> ga<sup>ɛ</sup>al k'aiwi<sup>ɛ</sup> ók'i,'' nagá<sup>iɛ</sup> xa<sup>a</sup>wīsa<sup>a</sup>.

Ganē "Há-u" nagáig. "K'áiwis ig ogoyígn. Dūwū'gk'," nagáig yap!a doumágs. "Wéde gede yegwásdam, k'áiwig ogúsbign. K!úuyabadam egbìk'," nagáig yap!a doumágs. "K'á-iwig hā'p'dii más ig honog ü's i." Mii honog yewéig xaawīsaa; mii senésant', guxwíi dū laalē'. Yok!oyán mii k'áiwig ók'igulugwán. Gwála yap!a. Mii senésant'. "'ībī'lg ogoyígn,' nagásbi; 'masíg t!ocou hā'p'dii gū's i,' nagásbi." Mii gwenhegwéhôk'w. Mī'gsgag dak'dahālk'wa, "Gáhig nagáig." Ganē ībī'lg ogúsagn. Ganē háagya wát'gwan ginígk', ganē ogúsagn. Yap!a doumágs daagwála oyōn, ā'k's ig t!os óu hā'p'diihì ogoyín. Ganagnéx hop!è'gnà yap!a t!omōxandag, k'aiglā'p'as ig honog k'ái gwala ogúsagn hā'gyà. Xaawīsaas ig honog k'ai ogoyín, tc!úlx ogoyín; adat' dūmhôk'wdaa ga xebégn, ga tc!olx ogoīk'wa. Ýap!a doumágs ánīg k'ai ogoīk'wa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Literally, "in-front-of-that you-will-be-returned-with-(it)."

you slain one of mine, though yonder my girl is dwelling," (thus) people spoke to one another in times long past. Then he returns across. "'Just you give me blood-money!' he says to you. 'Too far will it go! People will yet be slain,' say I," says the go-between. Then, recounting what he has been entrusted to say, the go-between tells him thus, "Now these whose (kinsman) has been slain, their heart has become sick." That did people of long ago say to one another when they killed each other. So then once more the go-between turns across. On this side he whose (kinsman) has been slain cries. "Keep on going across! Many things he must give me," says he whose (kinsman) has been slain. So he returns across. "'Just you give me something!' he says to you,'' says the go-between. "Give him something!" says the go-between, to the slayer of the person he says it. "Perhaps too far it goes. Yet shall people be slain; they will get even with you. Many people will be killed, so for that reason give him something!" says the go-between.

Then "Yes" he says. "I'll give him something. It is well," says the slayer of the person. "You shall not get even with me, I'll give you something. Friends to each other we are." says the slayer of the person. "Some little thing do you also give me in return!" Now the go-between returns again; now he whoops, his heart has become glad. Now it is known that it is intended to give him something. Many are the people. Now he whoops. "'I give you blood-money,' he says to you. 'Do you too give me a little bit,' he says to you." Then he relates to them what he has heard. A certain one answers him. "Just that he says." Then they give each other blood-money. Now on either side they proceed to each other and give each other (presents). The slayer of the person gives most of all, to him, in his turn, is given just a little bit. Thus in time long past, indeed, people (acted) when they slew one another. And also the women on both sides give each other many things. And the go-between also is given something, dentalia are given to him. On this side he whose (kinsman) has been slain, that

# 4. How a Bad-Hearted Medicine-Man has his Guardian Spirits Driven out of him.<sup>1</sup>

Goyo ī'lts!ak'w ganàt' bayeweyagwán² youlápxda yap!a gayawaná. Gas'i ánī dõumia gelgulugwán, gas'i galal youlápxda ba-ihimimán. S'umlohólxa xebéln, ánī yap!a gamáxdi xebéln. 'Ga nalnāk'i,' nagàn; ánī āk' haguxwít'gwa' xebéln. Gas'i gani xū'ne lale', gáni yap!a abailemélx. Hélt'a ánī yok!oyáln. Wihin hemélham, mi geleláxaldin; wihin hemélham s'omlohólxa hélt'a. Goyo bayeweyàk' youlápxda, himimán.

Ganē daaplíya matslagán goyò lap's wô'k'i. Ganē k'o'px badabát'i waadíxda, ganē youlápxdaa míiesgaen bayewéi. Gásie bayewéidae an youm hadéeda nagáie goyò. Ganē honoe gahi náenagà gani plul' badabát'i. Ganē honoe bayewéidae youlápxda ganē youm hadéeda nagáie. Ganē goyo mān mixál bayewéidae youlápxda. Mii gā'em bayewéie. Ganē waahimidán goyò, "Wede tsla-imát', aldī hè'eīlélek'," nagàn, goyo waahimidán. Ganē honoe gahíe naenagà; ganē honoe bayewéie youlápxdaa, ganē yōum honoe hadéeda nagaie. Mān mixal bayewéidae; mii xíbini bayewéie. Ganē honóe gahíe naenagà, honoe yewéie youlápxda. Mān mixal bayewéidae. Gas'ie "Wede tsla-imát'," nagan, "héeīlelek'." Ts'lís'a mūuexdàn ga naenagàn. Gas'ie mān bayewéidae youlápxda; mii dēhal bayewéie, mii íxdīl bayewéie. Ganaenéx mān; gani yaplamī'es laalīt'ae, mii yaplamī'es bayewéie, nagáie.

<sup>1</sup> Compare Sapir, Journal of American Folk-lore, Vol. xx, p. 48.

² = ba-iyeweyagwán.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Literally, "raw," i. e., such as are not medicine-men.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

one does so, that one gives him dentalia. The slayer of the person does not give him anything.

## 4. How a Bad-Hearted Medicine-Man has his Guardian Spirits Driven out of him.1

A bad-hearted medicine-man—of such a one the guardian spirits are driven out, since he eats up people. Now it is not desired to kill him, so for that reason his guardian spirits are driven out. A s'omlohólxa<sup>e</sup>s does it, raw<sup>e</sup> people do not do it. "Do that to him," he is told; he, (the medicine-man), does not do it of his own free will. So now night has come, now the people have assembled together in the house. His song I do not know. My mother used to imitate it, now I have forgotten it;5 my mother used to imitate the song of the s'omlohólxa8s. The medicine-man's guardian spirits he causes to go out, they are driven out.

Then the medicine-man is placed alongside of the fire without a blanket. Then ashes are clapped all over his body, and one of his guardian spirits goes out. Now as it goes out (the medicine-man groans) An+, and there is blood in the medicine-man's mouth. Then he does that same thing to him again. now claps ashes over him. Now when his guardian spirit goes out again, then there is blood in his mouth. Now the medicineman counts how many of his guardian spirits go out. Now two have gone out. Then the medicine-man is addressed, "Do not hide them! Let them all go!" he is told, the medicineman is addressed. Then again that same thing he says to him; now again his guardian spirit goes out, and again blood is in his mouth. He counts how many go out; now three have gone Then again he does that same thing to him, again his guardian spirit goes. He counts how many go out. Thereupon

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "in his own heart."

 <sup>5 =</sup> gel-yaláaxaldi<sup>e</sup>n, literally, "I breast-lost it."
 6 Literally, "at-night once," i. e., "in one night."

"Gani mi dí henétn?" nagán goyò. Gwála yap!a wílī debū't. Gas'i "Há-u," nagait, "mi henétn, mi ánī k'ài."— "Dedīlūmū'sgat'? Mi di bús la¹lē'?" Gas'i "Há-u," nagait. "Net honot gathi natnāk'i," nagàn s'omlohólxats. Gas'i gáhi natnagà, máxla k'alák'alhi, īwôbadabát'i; ánī k'ai bayewéi youlápxda, mi henétn. S'omlohólxats gá natnagà; goyò ī'lts!ak' yap!a gayawanát, gá gatal gá nagàn. Gas'i wihin ga nèx meléxi, aldī wihin yiwín gat meléxinat. Gas'i goyo ba'yewéidat k'ái hetne máxla talgū'gūwìk' nát la¹lē'. Gá natnagàn goyo ī'lts!ak'. Wihín ga nex meléxi, gí's'i ánī alxí'gitn.

# 5. Frances Johnson is Cured by a Medicine-Woman.<sup>2</sup>

Ganē xíli<sup>u</sup>xwinia-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>,³ géhi goyo mahài xíli<sup>u</sup>xwa<sup>ɛ</sup>. Ganē sa<sup>a</sup>nsánsinia<sup>uɛ</sup>; k'a-ilā'p'agan ba<sup>a</sup>xó<sup>u</sup>dan, ga ganàu sa<sup>a</sup>nsánsa<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Ganē he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne gi<sup>i</sup> ts<sup>·</sup>!awit' eīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> nek gwel<sup>ɛ</sup>īūs'i. Mi<sup>i</sup> bo<sup>u</sup>s'í<sup>ɛ</sup> bēm īk!wenéhi<sup>ɛ</sup>n, hop!è'<sup>e</sup>ns'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> nék gwel<sup>ɛ</sup>īūs'i wa-iwi eīt'e<sup>e</sup>da<sup>ɛ</sup>.

Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> xíl<sup>ɛ</sup>k'wi lo<sup>u</sup>lagwánma<sup>ɛ</sup> hé<sup>ɛ</sup>ne xilam la<sup>a</sup>līt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē goyo lagagámdan, wiham goyo lagagámt'; goyo gamgám dak'dē hoyó<sup>ɛ</sup>t'.' Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup>his lohoīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup>. Gas'i<sup>ɛ</sup> goyo yimís'al-

Literally, "that speaking."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 43, 44.

"Do not hide them!" he is told, "let them go!" In one night that is done to him. Now he counts them as his guardian spirits go out; now five have gone out. Now ten have gone out. In that way he counts them. Then when it has come to twenty, now twenty have gone out, he says so.

"Are they all gone now?" is asked the medicine-man. Many are the people, the house is full. Thereupon "Yes" he says. "Now they are all gone, there are none now."—"Do you tell the truth? Have they all disappeared now?" Thereupon "Yes" he says. "Well, do that same thing to him again," is told the s'omlohólxa's. So that same thing he does to him, dust he rubs over him, claps it upon him. No more do his guardian spirits go out, they are all gone now. That has the s'omlohólxa's done to him. Since the bad-hearted medicineman ate up people, for that reason was that done to him. Now my mother did tell me that account; they are all my mother's words, that which she did tell me. Now when the medicineman has recovered, just like one that has had ashes thrown in his face has he become. That is done to evil-minded medicinemen. My mother did tell me that account, but I did not see it.

## 5. Frances Johnson is Cured by a Medicine-Woman.<sup>2</sup>

Now while they were playing woman's shinny-ball, right there a great medicine-woman was playing shinny-ball. Then they were fighting with one another; the women (of one side) were beaten, for that reason they fought with one another. Now at that time I was a fast runner, no one beat me in running. But today I hold a staff in my hand, while long ago, when I was a girl, no one beat me in running,

Now when the shinny-billet was played with, at that time I became sick. Now then a medicine-man was paid, my father did pay a medicine-man. Four medicine-men danced for me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Sapir, American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. 261, 62.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "over-me he-danced."

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

da<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Mi¹ agas i<sup>ɛ</sup> yōuk!wat'k' yáa; xumas i<sup>ɛ</sup> t!āk' xābinwinì, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> wana t!ā'k' debū<sup>ɛ</sup>, ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> ganá de<sup>ɛ</sup>ūgü's i, xís i<sup>ɛ</sup> ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'ai uugwá<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Dayougámxa gás i<sup>ɛ</sup> ganē yimis alda<sup>ɛ</sup>n goyo ga hawi ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> dak'dē hoyót'a<sup>ɛ</sup>. Aga goyo gamgám yaxa dak'dē hoyó<sup>ɛ</sup>t', gás i<sup>ɛ</sup> háa<sup>ɛ</sup>ga goyo yimis aldanda<sup>ɛ</sup> ga hawi ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> dak'dē hoyó<sup>ɛ</sup>t'. Yimis aldanda<sup>ɛ</sup> wihin goyo wolt', he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yáa ganē ba-ik!iyī'<sup>ɛ</sup>k'.

Ganē yap!a <sup>g</sup>alt!emēx; ánī<sup>g</sup> gi<sup>i</sup> alxí<sup>i</sup>gi<sup>g</sup>n yap!a <sup>g</sup>alt!emēxda<sup>g</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> lohoīt'e<sup>g</sup>. Ganē hoyó<sup>g</sup>t' habēbini dīhá-uda la<sup>a</sup>līt'a<sup>g</sup> yá<sup>g</sup>. Ganē "īt!áni, gwélxda ī'ūxda īt!ánip'," nagá<sup>ig</sup> goyo<sup>g</sup>a. Gas'i<sup>g</sup> "Aga yó<sup>ug</sup>sda<sup>g</sup> mī'<sup>g</sup>wa," negés'i. Mi<sup>i</sup> lohoīt'e<sup>g</sup>; nék'di yowó<sup>g</sup>s? Gas'i<sup>g</sup> biliwáldana<sup>g</sup> tc!idáxgwa, k'ái he<sup>g</sup>ne bēm ba-ixó<sup>u</sup>dinma<sup>g</sup>, na<sup>g</sup>nex na<sup>g</sup>nagá<sup>ig</sup>. Bo<sup>u</sup> aga bēm la-udánxbigi<sup>g</sup>, andi<sup>g</sup> wa<sup>g</sup>aganìt'? Gana<sup>g</sup>nèx ba-ixó<sup>u</sup>t', wa<sup>g</sup>aganí<sup>g</sup>n ba-ixó<sup>u</sup>dina<sup>g</sup>. Gas'i<sup>g</sup> he<sup>g</sup>nehi ba<sup>g</sup>t!ebét'e<sup>g</sup>. Xuma <sup>g</sup>ū's'i, hindē," nagaīt'e<sup>g</sup>. Gas'i<sup>g</sup> goyo üyü'<sup>g</sup>s'. Gas'i<sup>g</sup> ganē ga nagá<sup>ig</sup>, "Hawi nāk'i, bo<sup>u</sup>nē hawi wa<sup>g</sup>díxda<sup>g</sup> īk!u<sup>u</sup>miní<sup>g</sup>n." Ganē hono<sup>g</sup> he<sup>g</sup>lél<sup>g</sup>, ganē aldī <sup>g</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>mán wa<sup>g</sup>díxdèk'; ganē yõ<sup>u</sup>m k!él ganau mats!àk'. Ganē aldí<sup>g</sup>īk!u<sup>u</sup>mán; legwélsi dēxdagwa wà, yūm ba-iginīk'<sup>w</sup>, k!él ganau mats!àk'. A'nī<sup>g</sup> hono<sup>g</sup> xilam la<sup>g</sup>līt'e<sup>g</sup>.

Ganē ga nagá<sup>ig</sup>, "Wede honó<sup>g</sup> xilam lãp'k!eĩt', gi cū'<sup>g</sup>alp'gi<sup>g</sup> eĩt'e<sup>g</sup>, wede lohók'i<sup>g</sup> eĩt'e<sup>g</sup>. Lohók'i<sup>g</sup> eĩt'e<sup>g</sup> yá he<sup>g</sup>ne yá hono<sup>g</sup> xilam lãp'da<sup>g</sup>," negés'i. "Wa-iwi dũ, ánī ī'lts!ak' wa himìt' yap!a, guxwi yaxa dũ, ũ'yū<sup>g</sup>s yaxà," nagá<sup>ig</sup> ganē goyò. "Ganē p!a gán, xi t'ũ k!emán, p!a gán; he<sup>g</sup>ne yá xuma da<sup>g</sup>ók'i<sup>g</sup>k'." Ganē xi t'ũ k!emèī wihìn; ganē p!egēnxi,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Either ándi (=áni<sup>2</sup> di) or wede di may here be used as negative interrogative particle, according to whether wa<sup>2</sup> aganìt' is taken as aorist (''you feel it;'' aorist

Now then I almost died. Thereupon I dreamt of a medicine-woman. And now I was nothing but bones; and my food was half a spoonful, not even a full spoonful, not that much did my mother give me to eat, nor did I drink any water. And now in the fall I dreamt of that medicine-woman who had not yet danced for me. These four medicine-men had been dancing for me, but yonder medicine-woman I had dreamt of—that one had not yet danced for me. My mother went to fetch the medicine-woman I dreamt of, and just then she came.

Then the people assembled together. I did not see the people as they came together, I was dead now. Then she danced just when it had come to be after the middle of the day. Then "Hold her! Do you people hold her legs and hands," said the medicine-woman, for her part. Now "She here might start up," she said concerning me. Now I was dead; who starts up (when he is dead)? Then jumping upon the disease spirit, something like a splinter of wood being pulled out, thus she did. If nowadays a splinter of wood should hurt you, would you not feel it? In that way she pulled it out; I felt it when she pulled it out. And just then I arose. "Give me food, mother," I said. Thereupon the medicine-woman laughed (from joy). Now thereupon that she said, "Tell her to wait until now I set right her body." Then again she sang, then set my body completely right. Then the blood she put into a basketbucket. Now everything she set right; with her lips she sucked it from me, took out the blood, and put it into the basketbucket. Not again did I become sick.

Then that she said, "Not again will you become sick as long as I remain alive, as long as I do not die. Just when I should die, just then will you again become sick," she said to me. "She is a good girl, not badly she talks to people, ever good her heart, ever she laughs," then said the medicinewoman. "Now let her bathe. Prepare hot water, let her

stem agan- with organic second a) or potential ("you would feel it;" non-aorist stem ag[a]n- with inorganic second a).

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

he<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup> xuma <sup>ɛ</sup>ügü's i. Agas i<sup>ɛ</sup> aldi mi há<sup>aɛ</sup>yeweya<sup>uɛ</sup>, goyos i<sup>ɛ</sup> mi nó<sup>uɛ</sup>s yewé<sup>iɛ</sup>.

Baayewēnxi; ánī hono xilam laalīt'e hé he gas i. Ganē baayeweīt'e da ü'lūk!it'k' he lemé x, ánat' laalē dagáxdek', ánī k'ai ü'lūk!it'k'. Gwen wie xap' ga yá dágaxdek' alt'géyet'giya n. Gana néx baayewēnxi, ga ga al gí a da hó xgwa ngoyò. Gas i aldi bō yap!a ga nagá , "A'nī k'ai goyò, ánī k'ai yok!ōī," nagá bo aga ga yá k'w. Gi s í gwala alxí gi n. Wie wákdi gā p!ini goyò mí s i hono wihin 't'áda' nagà; éme ba-ik!iyī k' wít'awā xilamná, gadák hoyó t'. Gí gana naga ga  k'w.

#### 6. A RAID OF THE UPPER TAKELMA.3

Sáma mū'ɛxdàn wígamdis·íɛ Yūk'yák'wa¹ lóuk', gehíhiɛ wayáɛ. Dahõuxa laalē', t'gemét!iauhiɛ, ganē hínau ɛályuwuyáuɛ; p!ī yaxa degülü'k!alx dáks oumàl. Mii wul'x³ meɛ laalē', miihiɛ k!ūwūwiáuɛ nõu. Ganē heɛnéhi wigámdi wa-iwiit'a heɛnéhi gelt!ayàk', ''ō+ hamī'ɛt' yuk'yák'wa gede wayáadaɛ. Geldiyálxalt'k!eīt'?'' nagáiɛ wigamdì wa-iwiit'a. Heɛne yáahi ga nagáiɛ, ''ō+,'' wihàm. Gwényewéiɛ, máxa yewewált'. Wígamdi xāp!inóuk'wa, mii waīk'his wigamdì. ''Baadēp'! mii ɛalī wùlx.'' Bā'hiɛbilíuɛ, ganēhiɛ nou k!ūwū'ɛ máxadī'l; daɛmáxau yáahi wayaaniáuɛ.

 $<sup>1 =</sup> mii^{\varepsilon}s - s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> yap<sup>1</sup>a \*alt'gúi\*s\*, "people white," refers to white men; yap!a alone, ordinarily simply "person, people," by contrast here means "Indian."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In speaking of the Upper Takelma the word wull is here used, a term ordinarily

bathe, just then you shall give her food to eat." Then my mother prepared warm water. Then she made me bathe, just then she gave me food. Thereupon they all now returned home yonder, and now the medicine-woman returned next door.

She cured me; not again did I become sick as at that time. Then, when I recovered, my hair all came out; in this way did my head become—no hair of mine at all. A neckerchief, just that I tied about my head. Thus she cured me; for that reason, I, for my part, believe in medicine-men. But nowadays all people say that, "Nothing the medicine-men, nothing they know," say nowadays these (people) growing up. But I have seen many. Two of my cousins are medicine-men, and also another one (who) calls my mother aunt. Here he came when my elder sister was sick, and danced for her. I, for my part, have thus seen medicine-men. White people's doctors are different, they give people medicine; but we Indian medicine-men are not thus.

## 6. A RAID OF THE UPPER TAKELMA.3

One summer my paternal grandfather was trapping at Yūk'yák'wa, right there he slept. The evening came, it was getting dark; then up river they looked, a fire was just blazing on top of the mountains. Now the Shastas were coming hither, and people ran off down river. And just then my paternal grandmother bethought herself, "Oh, it is right there at Yūk'-yák'wa that your father is sleeping. Did you forget him?" said my paternal grandmother. Just then that said my father, "Oh!" He turned back, went back for his father. My paternal grandfather was warming his back, now my paternal grandfather had nearly gone to sleep. "Get up! Now right here

referring to the Shastas. Indeed Frances Johnson used the English name Saste to translate the Indian  $wul^{\lambda}x$ , though, when asked, she definitely declared that she had reference to the  $Lat ga^{a}wd^{\epsilon}$  or Upper Takelma.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Yūk'yák'wa was a well-known salt-marsh where many deer were caught.

Ganēhi<sup>ε</sup> gwel<sup>ε</sup>wā'+k'wi<sup>ε</sup> la<sup>\*</sup>līt'a<sup>ε</sup> ba<sup>\*</sup>dé<sup>ε</sup>yeweyagwán, agási<sup>ε</sup> wihám<sup>e</sup>à k!u<sup>u</sup>yápxādī'l dap!ā'la-u gā'p<sup>e</sup>ini gelweyānxa<sup>e</sup>n.¹ Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> mi hono k!ūwūwiá ba déeyeweyagwán, agási wihám wayá<sup>ɛ</sup> k!u<sup>u</sup>yápxadī'l. Gwī'<sup>ɛ</sup>ne si<sup>ɛ</sup>wô'k'di waĩk', ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> k'wā'exk'; agásie mi yap!a ánīe k'ài, gáepeinihi yaxa wáyae hawì wihàm k!uuyápxadī'l. Agási<sup>ɛ</sup> úlum<sup>ɛ</sup>à da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau p!i<sup>i</sup> <sup>e</sup>alxí gin, gási xū'+ <sup>e</sup>nehì vanàk wúlx a. Ganēhi hu + wúlx mi yawái. Mi youmī yapla; agási yapla klūwúuda mi da<sup>ɛ</sup>máxau, hé<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup>hi īguyú<sup>uɛ</sup>xa<sup>ɛ</sup>n. "Wúlx mì<sup>i</sup> me<sup>ɛ</sup>wõk'," nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>nhi<sup>ɛ</sup> k!u<sup>u</sup>yápxadī'l. "Gwidí na<sup>ɛ</sup>nagayìk'?" nagása<sup>ɛ</sup>n k!u"yápxadī'l. Wiham hogáis yùk', k!u"yápxas'i honoi hogwágs yùk'.

"Baabilwabá." Mi wúlxía déet'an, mi honoí déet'an wīºīt'géyek!in. Haºs'ōu yáahi waĩk'; ganēhi baabilíue, mi k!ūwū'. "Ge wiliue, nõu ge wiliue!" Mi "p'ä+" sgeléue wùlx, "Ge wilíus nõu," nagá-ihis wùlx. Dõuk gā'plinì ánasnàk gā'p!ini dō"k falmī's, ga yáhi gweldà hiwilí" wiham, agásif klu vápxa fánī yok wõi gwidat hiwiliuda. Ganē mihif ts !inits !anx wùlx falwa dit gwan. "Hawi ba be mahait a waî! bous îs p'elék's as, babe hawi wayás, '' nagásasnhis. Aga douk' gweldanát wiham, gadak' yáthi nagát, gáhi dexebétn dõuk' gadak' nagá-ida8. Ganēhi8 wiham gált'agwa īk!uumàn, agási<sup>ɛ</sup> yiwiyá<sup>uɛ</sup> wulx, ts<sup>·</sup>!iní<sup>i</sup>ts<sup>·</sup>!anx; eme<sup>ɛ</sup>ne yá<sup>a</sup>hi mi<sup>i</sup> ts!ayàk<sup>·</sup>. "Ho<sup>ɛ</sup>!" mi nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, wíham<sup>ɛ</sup>a ba<sup>s</sup>bilí<sup>uɛ</sup>, mi hó<sup>ɛ</sup>k'. "Ge wilí<sup>uɛ</sup>, ge wiliue nou, ge wiliue," nagásaenhie. Gémeedi honoe aldaegink'?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>So heard for gelwayaanxa<sup>8</sup>n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pronounced in a violent whisper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A loud and prolonged whisper.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "still up-sun-big sleep!"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Upper Takelma form of p'eléxa<sup>8</sup>.

are the Shastas." Up he jumped, then down river his father and he ran off. Far off indeed were they all sleeping.

Then, when the early morning came, their journey was started again, but my father indeed and his friend, two youths, were sleeping together. Now then again they all ran off, their journey was started, but my father and his friend were sleeping. I do not know how long they slept, they did not wake up; but now there were no more people, just the two indeed did still sleep-my father and his friend. But only a little while before a fire had been seen afar off, and all night long the Shastas, indeed, were going on. Then hun+ the Shastas now were talking. Now they caught up with the people; but when now the people had run off far away, just then (my father and his friend) nudged each other. "The Shastas have now arrived here," said he and his friend to each other. "What are we going to do?" said the friends to each other. My father was a runner, and also his friend was a runner.

"Let us jump up!" Now the Shastas, for their part, were in front of them, and they also were surrounded on all sides. Just in the middle they seemed to be sleeping; then they jumped up, and scampered off. "There they run, down river there they run!''2 Now p'ä+3 shouted the Shastas. "There they run down river," said the Shastas. Two logs were like this, two logs were together; right under those ran my father, but he did not know which way his friend had run. Now then the Shastas were angry with one another. "Still sleep when the sun is way up!" And just now they were going out to war, (yet) still they sleep when the sun's way up," they said to one another. While my father was under these logs, one passed right over them; that same thing he said, as he passed over the logs. Then my father got ready his bow, while the Shasta was talking, was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bitter sarcasm. The Shastas are finding fault with one another for allowing the men to escape.

A hoarse whisper.

<sup>\*</sup>Literally, "when will they find him again?" i. e., "they never found him again."

Gíigwa nou yághi, gé honog pla-idígwiliwiáug. dewénxa laªlē'; gwel<sup>ɛ</sup>wā'k'wi<sup>ɛ</sup>hi wigámdi wa-iwí<sup>i</sup>t'a p!agá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup> hawi t'gemét!ia-uda<sup>g</sup>, mi yaxa hánt'ada mi p!ülü'<sup>a</sup>p!alhi, di háut gwan nagá E. Ganēhi wigámdi a du gít gwa wa teloxóxi. Ganēhi<sup>ɛ</sup> "Mi<sup>i</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>alē hánt'ada wúlx," nagá<sup>iɛ</sup>, mi<sup>i</sup> <sup>ɛ</sup>als o<sup>u</sup>mál k!ūwūwiáug. Ganēhig mī/gsgag wili heyégx īdá t!íit'a háp'sdii ganát'hi k'abáxa vaplà, agási mi hánt salso mál sebiyáu, agási<sup>ɛ</sup> wili mī'<sup>ɛ</sup>sga<sup>ɛ</sup> hawi <sup>ɛ</sup>ánī<sup>ɛ</sup> also<sup>u</sup>mal yap!à. "<sup>ɛ</sup>Alī labà, alī labà," nagá-ihi<sup>ɛ</sup>, nak!à t'bó<sup>u</sup>xi<sup>ɛ</sup>² nagà, wúlxsi<sup>ɛ</sup> mi<sup>i</sup> emé<sup>ɛ</sup> la<sup>a</sup>lē\. Ganēhi<sup>e</sup> mi dak'youmīkwa, mi tslayagán. "Hâ' hâ hâ," mi wiyík ts!ayagánma. "Gi eeīt ee, wede dümxdap, Dīclo mī s vugamáis eīt'ei," nagá-ihii. Ga wili mī'isgai heilemék!in, k'abáxa, gūxda, tlomxíxa, bús klemen wili mī/esgae yaplà. A'nī hono gwi ginik' yaxa, ganēhi hinau yewei. Lat'ga wá xebé<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>néxhi yap!a hop!è<sup>r</sup>en henenagwása<sup>ɛ</sup>n. Gana<sup>ɛ</sup>nex meléxi wihìn, hawi fanīs wiham võuk'w.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> With gesture towards some Indian lads that happened to be about.

 $<sup>=</sup> t'bou x-hi^{\varepsilon}$ .

angry; when he was right close to him now, he shot at him. "Ho<sup>§</sup>!" now he said; my father, for his part, jumped up and ran. "There he runs, there he runs down river, there he runs!" they said to one another. They never found him again.

Just way off down river, there again they were camping. Then the next day came. Right early in the morning my paternal grandmother was bathing when yet it was dark; now just on the other side of the river (the Shastas) now were marching, one after another they passed on. Then my paternal grandmother, for her part, snatched together her clothes. Then she said, "Now right here on the other side of the river are the Shastas," and to the mountains they ran off. Then one house was left (with) a person's sons just like those little boys; while now they were all half up the mountain the people of the one house were not yet in the mountains. "Take this along, take this along," they said, all kinds of noise they made, but the Shastas had already got to be here. Now then they caught up with them, and they were shot. "Hâ' hâ hâ," now they groaned as they were shot. "It is I. Do not kill me. I am one who married at Dī<sup>8</sup>lo<sup>u</sup>mī, "<sup>3</sup> he said. That one house was cleaned out—his sons, his wife, his mother-in-law—exterminated were the people of the one house. No further did they still go, then returned up river. The people of Lat'gāū did so. Just in that way did the people of long ago destroy each other. Thus did my mother tell me; not yet had she married my father.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> He thinks to be shown mercy by representing himself as related to some people that live further up the river.

#### III. MEDICINE FORMULAS.1

## I. WHEN SCREECH-OWL TALKS.

Wáada dap'oup'aŭ óup' bobòp'. "Xemelát'ĕdi? Dewénxa hadēhal naanán² hagixdīl naanán, gasig yámx ga-iwadág, yõm Xemelàt','' nagàn. ga-iwadá<sup>8</sup>. Gasi<sup>ɛ</sup> dewénxa ha<sup>ɛ</sup>ixdī'l nagaªnán.³ Ga nagánhan hat ga de hop! è n, bo sí emé ánī e "Yap!à lohógwulùk'," neeyés bousá bobop' yiwiga nagàn. yá-uda<sup>ɛ</sup>.

## 2. When Hummingbird is Seen.

"Walohogwadá ü'lük!it'k' dā bü t'básda Wíli t' ganàu wahawaxxiwigwadá<sup>ɛ</sup>."

## 3. When Hooting-Owl Talks.

yawá\*da falfyò. Nék'di t!omomán? He dadáf yap!a gwalà. Gé di alxíigit', ge dí lohoyáu?' Ga dí gafal libín we gásdam?" nagán t'gwaláa yiwiyá-uda8.

# 4. When Yellowhammer Talks.

Yap!a baxámda<sup>ɛ</sup> alt!ayàk, "Yap!as i<sup>ɛ</sup> baxá<sup>ɛ</sup>m!"—" Baxãxmia-uda<sup>e</sup> yap!a ma dí <sup>e</sup>alt!ayagìt?" ga nagàn yiwiyá-uda<sup>e</sup> t!è'k'w.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Sapir, Journal of American Folk-lore, pp. 35-40, for interlinear translations and explanations of the significance of the charms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "I shall cause to be or do." naan- is causative of non-aorist intr. na-.

### III. MEDICINE FORMULAS.1

#### I. WHEN SCREECH-OWL TALKS.

One blows tobacco (smoke) towards the screech-owl. "Dost thou wish to eat? Tomorrow I shall obtain² five or ten (deer), so that thou shalt eat fat, blood shalt thou eat. Thou wishest to eat," he is told. And then, on the morrow, about ten (deer) are obtained.³ That used to be done in my land long ago, but nowadays here that is not said to them. "People are about to die," they say nowadays, indeed, when a screech-owl talks.

#### 2. When Hummingbird is Seen.

"Thou shalt die with my hair which thou pullest out of the side of my head! In thy house thou shalt rot with it!"

# 3. When Hooting-Owl Talks.

To a hooting-owl that is said, "Dost thou bring me news? Off yonder towards the north look thou! Who has been killed? There far away are many people. Didst thou see them there, did people die there? Didst thou for that reason bring me news?" is told a hooting-owl when he talks.

## 4. When Yellowhammer Talks.

When people come he discovers them, "People are coming!"—"Didst thou discover people as they kept coming?" that is said to a yellowhammer when he talks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Literally, "they were caused to be or do." nagaan- is causative of a orist intr. nagai-.

 $<sup>=</sup> l hoiyáu^{\epsilon}$ .

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

## 5. When the New Moon Appears.

Bixal baatlebét'a sgelewáldan, "Dap'óit'e, déhi kliyák'de. sís i yapla Amadi lohói!" nēxigi, ma yá na na nát'e, hawi baadēp'de. sī's i k'ai gwala hé ne he nagwásbik'na, lap'ām gaīsbik'na, k'ai gwala lasgúm sūxgwàt' sis i ga gaīsbik'na, gas i hawi baatlebét'am. Ma yá na ná nát'e déxa. Bō+."

## 6. When there is a Heavy Fall of Snow.

"T'gam' mél degingán gwens o'màl s'iulīt'al, gwent'gém' hagwelt'gé'mt'gam," nagánhan pla's. Gas il anīl loplót', honol ha-uhanáls. Gelheyélx plá's, ánīl t'gam ha-uhi mià gelgulùk'.

## 7. When it Storms in Winter.

Gwal't' mahai wõk'da<sup>ɛ</sup>, gas i<sup>ɛ</sup>

"He'dadá' hi nà. T'gap'xī'ūt'e' He'dadá' hi nãk'",
He's'o'mál hi nãk'" degesí't',
He'swilámxa hi nãk'" t'gap'xī'ūt'e',
Wede mé' ginagwàt',
Wede mé' gingàt'.
Hāp'de' xilam yõ''k!a'
Yewē sallats!àk',"

nagán ga<sup>ç</sup>à. Wihin k!u<sup>u</sup>yápxa<sup>a</sup> malák'wôk', ''Gwal't' mahai wók'i<sup>ç</sup>, ga na<sup>a</sup>gí<sup>ç</sup>k'.''

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literally, "when it arises."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally, "ahead I shall go."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This word is intended to represent a prolonged yelling.

<sup>4</sup> Probably intended to frighten away the frogs and lizards that eat up the moon.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

## 5. When the New Moon Appears.

When the new moon appears, it is shouted to, "I shall prosper, I shall yet remain alive. Even if people 'Would that he died!' do say of me, just like thee shall I do, again shall I arise. Even if all sorts of evil beings devour thee, when frogs eat thee up, many evil beings—lizards, even when those eat thee up, still dost thou rise again. Just like thee shall I do in time to come. Bō +!"

## 6. When there is a Heavy Fall of Snow.

"Hither<sup>5</sup> drive on the elks that dwell in back of the mountain, the black necked ones down in dark places," Snow used to be told. Thereupon it did not snow, he became quiet again. Snow is stingy; he does not desire to drive down elks.

### 7. When it Storms in Winter.

When a great wind arrives, thereupon

"Pass thou away from here. With thy digging-stick Pass thou away from here.

Beyond the mountain pass thou with thy sifting basket-pan,

Beyond Wilámxa' pass thou with thy digging-stick.

Come thou not hither with it.

Come thou not hither!

Thy children dead people's bones

Perchance with their feet do touch,"

just that was said to her. A friend of my mother's told her, "Should a great wind arrive, that shall you say to it."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Each syllable of this formula is recited pompously by itself.

<sup>\*-</sup>t'gem and -t'géemt'gam are probably intentionally used to alliterate with t'gam, "elk." There may be a folk-etymology involved.

Or Alwilámxadis, a mountain.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

## 8. When a Whirlwind Comes.

Gas'i p'o"yàmx wili bāsit'gwálak', dedewilfida t'gas salp'ü'lüsp'ilin. ''sén, sén, k!ūyabást' eīt'es, gwīsneīxdes eīt'es,'' nagàn.

## 9. A PRAYER TO THE WIND.

"Hě! Gwel<sup>®</sup>wa<sup>®</sup>didē ba-ideye<sup>®</sup>giwidá<sup>®</sup> k'ai<sup>®</sup>wa <sup>®</sup>ī'lts!ak'<sup>w</sup>, dák'hawalák'idē ba-ideye<sup>®</sup>giwidá<sup>®</sup>, dak'īūdē ba-ideye<sup>®</sup>giwidá<sup>®</sup>, hats!ek'ts!igidē ba-ideye<sup>®</sup>giwidá<sup>®</sup> k'ái<sup>®</sup>wa <sup>®</sup>ī'lts!ak'<sup>w</sup>, daksaldē ba-ideye<sup>®</sup>giwidá<sup>®</sup> k'ái<sup>®</sup>wa <sup>®</sup>ī'lts!ak'<sup>w</sup>.'' He<sup>®</sup>ne dap'õp'au, ''h<sup>w</sup>+,'' nagàn.

#### 10. WHEN THERE IS A HEAVY RAIN.

"Gwīné<sup>ɛ</sup>di ha-uhán<sup>ɛ</sup>sda<sup>ɛ</sup>? ge<sup>ɛ</sup>nè lop!odàt". Dīt'gāyúk!u-ma<sup>a</sup>da duyùm <sup>ɛ</sup>alp!i<sup>i</sup>te!ólte!alhip"."

### II. WHEN ONE SNEEZES.

"Nék'di k!ūyūmísi? 'Dap'óit'a<sup>ɛ</sup>,' nēxdaba<sup>ɛ</sup>, 'hawì bē mu<sup>uɛ</sup>xdàn² déhi k!iyigadá<sup>ɛ</sup>.''³ Desbū'sba-usdaba<sup>ɛ</sup>.''

<sup>&#</sup>x27; 1. e., the wind.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Literally, "yet day once."

Doubtless misheard for k!iigadá<sup>ɛ</sup>.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

### 8. When a Whirlwind Comes.

Now a whirlwind whirls up past the house, the earth is kicked by the door. " $^{\epsilon}E^{n}$ ,  $^{\epsilon}E^{n}$ , thy friend I am, thy kinsman I am," is said to it.

## 9. A Prayer to the Wind.

"Hĕ! From down my body shalt thou drive out evil things, from the crown of my head shalt thou drive them out, from over my hands shalt thou drive them out, from within my backbone shalt thou drive out evil things." Then they blow, hw+ is said to it.

#### 10. WHEN THERE IS A HEAVY RAIN.


"How long before thou wilt cease? So long hast thou been raining!" (To those in the house:) "Do ye burn cat-tail rushes towards the west."

#### II. WHEN ONE SNEEZES.

"Who calls my name? 'Thou shalt prosper,' shall ye say of me, 'yet another day' shalt thou still go ahead." Ye shall blow to me.''

<sup>&#</sup>x27;That is, "mayest thou continue to live."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> That is, "blow a whiff of tobacco smoke for my prosperity"



### VOCABULARY.

This does not pretend to be more than a list of the Takelma verb, noun, and adjective stems obtained either in texts or otherwise. Only such derivatives, in the main, are given as either offer some difficulty in regard to formation or whose significance is not immediately obvious from the etymology. An almost unlimited number of other derivatives, particularly from verbs, may be formed by means of the various prefixes and suffixes discussed in The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon¹ (referred to as T. L.). Derivative forms are printed indented under the stems. The independent pronominal, demonstrative, and adverbial stems, particles, and interjections are listed in the grammar and need not be repeated here. In constructing forms from the materials presented in this vocabulary it should be remembered that the various phonetic processes described in the grammar operate; in particular, i- umlaut is to be made allowance for. The alphabetic order followed is as in English. k!, p!, and t! follow k', p', and t' respectively; ts:! follows t!: c is to be sought under s:: u. when variant of o, is found with o, when variant of  $\bar{u}$ , with  $\bar{u}$ . which follows ts:! References for forms are to page and line of this volume.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS.

```
abl. = ablaut vocalism (T. L., §31)
acc. = accent
act. = active
adj. = adjective
adv. = adverb
caus. = causative (T. L., §45)
comit. = comitative (T. L., §46)
cont. = continuative (T. L., §43)
contr. = contract verb (T. I., §65)
frequ. = frequentative (T. L., §43)
```

(i-) = instrumental-i- is dropped in 3d per. subj. 3d per. obj. aorist and in 3d per. obj. imperative  $(T. L.. \S 64)$ 

indir. = indirect object, i. e., transitive verbs so designated use suffix -s- when object is rst or 2d per. unless, in non-aorist stems, marked indir. -x- (T. L., §47)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bulletin 40, Bureau of American Ethnology.

```
202
```

inf. = infinitive (T. I..., §74)intr. = intransitiveirr. = irregulariter. = iterative  $(T. L., \S 43)$  $n. ag. = \text{noun of agency } (T. L., \S\S79)$ -82) obi = objectpass. ptc. = passive participle (T.L.,per. = personpl. = pluralrecipr. = reciprocal (T. L., §55)sing. = singular subj. = subjectsubor. = subordinate form (T. L., §70) T.L. = "The Takelma Language of

T. L. = "The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon" (Bulletin 40, Bureau of American Ethnology)

tr. = transitive

uncontr. = uncontracted

usit. = usitative

voc. = vocative

? = doubtful

- [] = inorganic element, generally h, "inorganic a," or "constant a"  $(T. L., \S 10, 24, 42)$
- () in verbs, enclose stem forms not actually found in material obtained but constructed with practical certainty from evident analogies; in nouns, enclose pronominal elements
- separates stems, prefixes, and suffixes; forms preceded by hyphen were not obtained exceptas compounded with prefix or prefixes given above or below

: separates aorist stem or stems from verb stem or stems, aorist stems always preceding, verb stems following colon. Prefixes and suffixes given with aorist stems will be understood to apply also to verb stems, unless replaced by other elements. Verb prefixes (followed by hyphen) or stem forms that are listed as derivatives will be understood to be compounded with stems and suffixes given in first (unindented) line, unless other elements replace these

Roman numbers (I, II, III, IVr, IV2, and IV3) refer to classes of conjugation; I and II indicate intransitive verbs. III transitive verbs, and IV verbs of mixed conjugation (T. L.. §§60-63, 67)

Arabic numbers (1-16) refer to types of stem-formation (T.L., §40). 3\* indicates those verbs of type 3 that, like mats!ag-, change intervocalic consonant of aorist to fortis. rivative verb forms without colon belong to same class and type as forms given in first (unindented) line. When either class or type number is lacking with forms separated by colon, it is to be inferred that satisfactory data for their determination are lacking

## VERBS.

-agan-(i-) : -ag[a]n- -agãn[h]-i- : daª- wa <sup>ɛ</sup> -	3 III III	usit. hear feel
badabad-i-: (bat'bad-) ha- <sup>e</sup> ī-	13 a III	scatter (dust) clap hands and scatter (dust)
baxam-:baxm-,baxm[a]-baxãxm[a]-:	3 I I	come usit.
biliw- : bilw-, bil[a]u-	3 I	jump (with expressed goal of motion)
(bilīl-) : bilwal-	I	usit.
biliw-áld-	3 III	(jump at), fight with
biliw-agw-, bilī-gw-	3 III	comit.
bai-	3	run out of house
dal-xa-		jump among
$-bi^is-:-bi^i(^{\epsilon})s-$	6 II	3 1 3
bíis-n[a]-	6 III	caus.
ba*-gwen-	•	look up, lift up one's head
8		(used only in myths)
-bok!obak'-(na-) or bok!op'-(na-):-bō <sup>ug</sup> k bak'-	13 a or 11 IV	
bok!oba-x-	13 а II	boil (intr.)
bok!cba-x-n[a]-	13 a III	boil (tr.)
da-	-	bubble, make bubbles under
		water
-bot'bad-i- : bo <sup>u</sup> d-	12 III	
dā- <sup>¢</sup> ī-		pull out (somebody's) hair from side of head
dā-ɛ̃ī-bodoba-s-an- : (-bot'ba-s-an-)	13 a III	pull out each other's hair
-bü'üg-i- : -bü'ük!-	6 III	
de-		fill
de-bü'üɛ or -bü'üɛ-x		full (adj.)
de-bü'ügbà-x		full (pl.)
-būmáªg-:		
dī-		swarm up
-dagadak'-na-:-dak'daªg	- 12 a III	•
da-	-5 4 111	sharpen (one's teeth)

204	ANTHROPOLOGICAL	PUB.	UNIV.	$\mathbf{or}$	PA.	MUSEUM,	VOL.	II.
-----	-----------------	------	-------	---------------	-----	---------	------	-----

-dala-g-ámd- : -dal-g-	2 III	oiomoo maga ooma
s'in-, daª-		pierce nose, ears
-damak!-(i-) : -damk!-	3 III	chalas (tu)
de- <sup>ε</sup> ī- da-dama <sup>ε</sup> -x-	3 II	choke (tr.)
	-	be out of wind
-daway- : -dauy-, -dawi-	3 I	0 . ( -)
ba <b>ª-</b> he <sup>eç</sup> -		fly (up)
	***	fly away
-daxag-:-daxg-	3 III	(2) 1
bai-		(?) be responsible for some-
		thing to (110, 23)
-dele-b-i- : (-del-b-)	2 III	
ha-		stick into
s in-de lé-p'-gwa-		stick into one's own nose
-di <sup>i</sup> k'dag- : di <sup>i</sup> g-	12 III	
ba*-		erect, cause to stand up
-dini-k!- : -din-k!-	2 III	
ba <b>ª-</b>		stretch up $(tr.)$
bai-de-		stretch out (tr.)
baª-dini²-x-	2 II	extend up (intr.)
bai-de-dini <sup>e</sup> -x-	2 II	come marching in order
dink!-ī- : dink!-as-	15 b II	lie stretched out
-diní¹-t!-¹:-din-t-!	2 III	
ba*-		string (on line)
ha-dini-t!-an-(i-)		string out(dentalia) in (house)
-dolog-: -dolg-, -dol[a]g-	3 I	
gel-		be lazy
-domo <sup>ɛ</sup> s- : -dom <sup>ɛ</sup> s-	3 II	
ba*-		(birds) fly up and light
-dó⁵s :		
hawax-ba <sup>8</sup> -		it is rotten, stinks
-duyuk!-i-: (-duik!-)	3 III	
he <sup>g</sup> -ī-	3	push
dülü'üt!al-i : dült!al-	13 b III	stuff (basket) with
	2 I	be good, do right
dūwu <sup>u</sup> -g-²: du <sup>u</sup> -g-, dūw[a]-g-	2 J	be good, do right
2 3 0	Loute	ho
ei-, e <sup>e</sup> -b-: (replaced by yo-)	I contr.	be

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Radically identical with preceding verb.  $^2$  Cf. adj.  $du^u$ .

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

III	use
III	hurt
3 I	sneeze
2 III	twist (thread) by rolling
13 a III	
	scratch
	scratch oneself, one's own
3 III	eat
3 II	eat (without obj.)
II	be in habit of eating
	usit.
2 III	drill (for fire)
13 a III	tie (hair) up into top-knot
13 a II	tie one's own (hair) up into top-knot
3 III	
	lie curled up dog-fashion
3	
3 111	lie curled up dog-fashion
	dit.
122 I	dit.
13 4 1	wash (intr.)
13 b III	,
	tie (salmon) bow-fashion
3 IV 3	
	turn one's face away
3 III	
	put (beams) across (main posts of house)
13 a III	
	bedaub
	daub over oneself
	III 3 I 2 III 13 a III 3 III 13 III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III 13 a III

<sup>1</sup> Related to preceding stem.

206 ANTHROPOLOGICAL	PUB.	UNIV.	$\mathbf{0F}$	PA.	MUSEUM,	VOL.	II.	
---------------------	------	-------	---------------	-----	---------	------	-----	--

-gí¹na-∶-gī⁵na-	6 III	
ī-		take
gini-g- : gin-g-, gin[a]-g-	2 I	go (with expressed goal of motion)
giniy-agw-, gini <sup>i</sup> -gw- : gin[a]-gw-	<sub>2</sub> III	take along to
(de-ginig-an-) : de- ging-an-	2 III	drive ahead to
gining-:	I	iter.
-gis'igas'-(i-): -gis'gas'-	13 a III	
ī-		tickle
-gulug[w]- : -gul[a]g- gel-	3 III	like, desire
-goyok!-(i-) : -goik!-	3 III	
ī-		touch (unwillingly), nudge
ī-goyogiy-a-, <i>indir.</i> -goyogí <sup>i</sup> -s- : goigiy-	13 a III	frequ.
-gülük!-al-x- : -gülk!-	3 II	
de-		blaze, glow
	6 III	
bai-%- bai-gwá <sup>a</sup> -s- : -gwá <sup>a(£)</sup> -s-		make (hair) come loose (hair) comes loose
:gwenai-á•s (n. ag.)	I	good singer
gwidik'*d-, gwidigw-: gwid[a]k'*d-, gwi- d[a]t'-	13 c IV1	throw
heee-		throw away, lose
xam-gwidis-gwi-:	II	throw oneself into water
gwidi-lha- : gwid[á]- lha-		keep throwing
ī-gwidigwad-(i-): -gwit'gwad-	13 a III	push
ī-gwidigwad-i- : -gwit'gwad-	13 a III	throw into one's hand
sal-gwidigwad-(i-) : -gwit'gwad-	13 a III	kick
wa <sup>ɛ</sup> -gwidigwad-i- : -gwit'gwad-	13 a III	(kill and) throw several away
gwidigwa-s- : -gwit'gwa-s-	13 a II	give out (from weariness)

```
gwilis-: (gwils-)
                               3 II
   ba-fal-
                                                 turn one's (ear) over
-hagāi- : -hagai-
                                1 I
   diε-
                                                feel as if about to be touched
                                                   in anus
   dak'-
                                                feel thrill in head
   s'in-
                                                have funny feeling in nose
-ha<sup>a</sup>l-(i-), indir. -s-:
                                5 III
      -hala[h]-, indir. -x-
   dak'-da-
                                                answer
   -halahal-(i-):
                               13 a III
                                                frequ.
      (-halhal-)
   -helehal-xa- : (helhal-) 13 a I
                                                frequ. (without obj.)
-hanats!-(i-): -hants!-
                               3 III
   ha⁵w-ī-
                                                stop (tr.)
   hau-hana<sup>ɛ</sup>-s- : -han<sup>ɛ</sup>-s- 3 II
                                                stop (intr.)
   p!ai-di<sup>ɛ</sup>-hana<sup>ɛ</sup>-s-:
                               3 II
                                                stop (raining, burning)
     han<sup>e</sup>-s-
-hawak!- : (-hauk!-)
                               3 III
   baª-
                                                dip up (water)
ha*x-: haxa-
                                              burn (intr.)
                               5 I irr.
   ha^ax-an-, ha^ax-n[a]-: 5 III
                                                burn (tr.)
     haxa-n-
-hegehag-, -hegehak '-na-: 13 a III or IV 1
      (-hek'hag-,
                      -hek'-
     hak'-na-)
  xaª-
                                                breathe
-hegwehagw-(i-), indir. 13 a III
     -s-:-heegwagw-
   gwen-
                                                tell, relate
  gwen-hegweehagw-
                                                tell to
     an-i-:
  gwen-hegwáagw-an-i-: 12 III
                                                relate
  gwen-hék'waªgw-:
                               12 III
                                                relate
-hegwehak'*-na- :
                               13 а IV 1
     -he<sup>e</sup>gwák'*-,
     -hék'wa*-k'*-
  ī-
                                                work
helel- : heel-
                               8 I
                                             sing
  helehal-: (helhal-)
                               13 a I
                                               frequ.
```

```
-hemeg-: (-heemg-)
                             3 III
   al-
                                              meet (person)
  ha-t'gaa-hēm-s-gig
                                              in middle of field
-hemeg- : -hemg-,
                             3 III
     -hem[a]g-
                               III
  -hemeemg-:
                                              usit.
  bai-
                                              take out, off
hemeham-, indir. -s-: 13 a III contr. imitate
     hemham-
  hemei-k'wa-:
                               III
                                              act like
-hemem-(i-): -heem-
                             8 III
                                              wrestle with
  ī-
  de-
                                              taste
-henee-d-: -heen-d-
                             2 III
  dak'-
                                              wait for
  -heneen-d-:
                               III
                                              cont.
  -henehan-d: (-hen-
                             13 a III
                                              usit.
     han-d-)
  -hene-xa:-hen-
                             2 III
                                              wait
henen-: heen-
                             8 I
                                           be used up, consumed; have no
                                                living relative
  bai-de-
                                             be through eating
  henen-agw-
                             8 III
                                             eat all up, annihilate
  ī-henen-an-(i-)
                             8 III
                                             use all up
-hewehaw-: -heuhaw- 13a III contr.
                                              think (intr.)
  -hewehaw-(i-), indir.
                                             think of
     -S-
hewehō-x-gwa- : (heu- 13 a I
                                           yawn
     hau-)
-heyek!-i-:-heik!-
                             3 III
                                             leave over
  de-
  heye<sup>e</sup>-x-: hei<sup>e</sup>-x-
                             3 II
                                              be left over
  gel-heye<sup>e</sup>-x-:-hei<sup>e</sup>-x-
                             3 II
                                              be stingy
-hiliigw-:-hilfalgw-
                             3 III
  dii-
                                              be glad
hiliw-: hilw-
                                           climb
                             3 I
                                              climb for
  hiliw-áld-
: -hi<sup>i</sup>l-x-
                               Π
  bai-
                                              (flood) covers (world)
```

-himi-d- : -hi <sup>i</sup> m-d-	2 III	
waª-		talk to
-himi-xa-	2 I	talk (intr.)
-himīm-d- :	III	iter.
-himim-:-hi <sup>i</sup> m-	8 III	
bai-		drive out
hau-		drive down hill
hiwiliw-¹: hiwilw-, hiwil[a]u- p!ai-	3 I	run (with expressed goal of motion) run down (hill)
da <sup>ɛ</sup> ol dí <sup>ɛ</sup> -		come near from behind
dal-hiwili <sup>i</sup> -gw- : (-hiwil[a]u-)	3 III	run off into brush with
hiwilīl- :	I	usit.
ho <sup>u</sup> gw-: hogw-	ı I	run (without expressed goal of motion)
hogohagw- : (hok'*- hagw-)	13 a I	frequ.
-huk!uhak'-na- : (-hu <sup>ε</sup> k'- hak'-)	13 a IV 1	
xa-		breathe
-holohal-(i-) : (-holhal-) ha- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-	13 a III	dig into (fireplace, putting ashes aside)
-ho <sup>u</sup> x-gwa- : (-hox-)	ı III	
daª-		believe
hoyod-: hoid-	3 I	dance
hoyod-agw-	3 III	dance (particular dance)
hoyoy-: hōi-	8 III 8	steal
hu"l-in- : hūl-in-	ı II	be tired
hülü <sup>u</sup> hal-in- : (hülhal-)		usit.
hu'l-i-n[h]a-	1 III	caus.
-hülü-p!-i- : hül-p!-	2 III	
-hülu"hal- : (-hu"lhal-)		frequ.
he <sup>e</sup> €-ī-	· ·	beat off (bark)
ha-ºī-, al-ºī-		skin
-hunu <sup>ug</sup> -s- : (-hu <sup>u</sup> n <sup>g</sup> -s-)	3 II	
p!ai-		shrink, get short

<sup>1</sup> Possibly to be analyzed as -hi wiliw-.

```
-hūwu<sup>u</sup>k!- : -hu<sup>u</sup>k!-
                               3 III
  p!ai-
                                                 spread down
  ha-
                                                 spread out (mat) in (house)
                               8 III
-hoyoiy-:-hōiy-
                                                 hunt (tr.)
   -hūyūi-x-, -hūyū-x-: 8 II
                                                 hunt, go to hunt (intr.)
     -hūi-x-
  -hūyūhi- : (-hūihi-)
                            13 a III
                                                 usit. (tr.)
   hūyūhi<sup>i</sup>-x-:-hüihi<sup>i</sup>-x, 13 a II
                                                 usit. (intr.)
     -hūyũ-x-
imiam-d-i-: im<sup>8</sup>am-d-
                               13 b III
                                               pile up
imi[h]am-: im[h]am-
                               13 a III contr. send
  īmi[h]am-(i-), indir.-s- 13 a III
                                                 send
-i<sup>i</sup>w-, indir. -s-:-īwi-, in- 5 III
     dir. -x-
  heeε-
                                                 leave
   heee-wa-iw-i-
                                                 leave behind with
   gwel-<sup>ε</sup>i<sup>i</sup>w-i-
                                                 beat in running
k'alak'al-i-, indir. -s-: 13 a III
                                               roll (dust, ashes) over
      (k'alk'al-)
-k'áap'-gwa-: (-k'áaep'-) 6 III
   dī-fal-
                                                 put dust on one's own fore-
                                                    head
k'ap!ak'ap'-na- : k'a<sup>g</sup>p'- 13 a IV 1
                                               throw (objects into)
     k'ap'-
   bā-<sup>8</sup>al-
                                                 turn (things) over
                                                 chip off (pieces of wood)
   he<sup>e</sup>-ī-k'ap!ak'ab-i-
                                13 a III
k'ebal-i<sup>i</sup>-: k'ep'al-:
                                               remain absent
                                15 a II
k'awak'au-, indir. -s-: 13 a III
                                               bark at
      (kʻaukʻau-)
   k'ewek'aw-al-: (k'eu- 13 a I
                                                 bark
      k'aw-)
   k'eweek'aw-al-:
                                                 usit. (intr.)
-k'iwik'au-k'wa- : -k'iu- 13 a III
      k'au-
                                                 brandish before one's face
   de-
   dak'-
                                                 brandish over one's head
-k'ulú<sup>u</sup>-k'wa-:
   bai-
                                                 come floating down stream
                                                 drop down dead one after
   p!ai-k'ulú<sup>u</sup>k'al-:
                                13а
                                                    another
      (-k'u"lk'al-)
```

```
211
```

```
-k'wáagw-i- : -k'wáak!w- 6 III
                                              wake up (tr.)
   k'wáa-x-: k'wáae-x-
                             6 II
                                              wake up (intr.)
k!adāi-, indir. -s-: k!aad- 7 b III contr. pick, pluck
   k!adāi[h]-an-i-, k!aday-
                                              pick for
      an-i-
   k!adak!at'-na-:
                              13 a IV 1
                                              usit.
      (k!at'k!at'-)
   k!edèī-xa- : (k!ĕ-sa-)
                             7 b I
                                              be out picking
   k!edèī-k'wa- : k!ēt'-
                             7 b III: II
                                              pick for oneself
     gwi-
-k!alak!al-(i-) : k!alk!al- 13 a III
   sal-ī-
                                              scratch (leg, foot) with claws
   de-Ei-
                                              scratch against door
-k!alas-(i-): -k!a*ls-
                             3 III
   bai-
                                             take out
-k!alas-na-, -k!alas-:
                             16 IV 2 or II
     -k!alsi-
   di-
                                             be lean in rump
   ī-
                                             be lean in hand
   di-k!àls
                                             lean in rump (adj.)
-k!anak!an-(i-) : (-k!an- 13 a III
     k!an-)
  ī-
                                             twist (hazel switch)
k!a*w-an-d-: k!aw-an-d- 1 III
                                           put acorn meal in sifting pan
-k!axak!ax-i-:-k!axk!ax- 13 a III
  k!wal-hawaa-
                                             besmoulder by burning pitch
                                                under
                                           grow
k!ayay- : ga*y -
                             8 I
: di<sup>i</sup>-k!e<sup>e</sup>l-i-x
                                           putting on style
k!elew-: (k!elw-)
                                           sup up (acorn mush)
                             3 III
k!emèi-, k!emeen-:
                             3 III contr.
                                           make; treat as, use as
     k!emn-, k!em[a]n-
  k!emen-xa- : k!em-xá- 3 I
                                             work (intr.)
  bā-ឡ-k!emen-amd- :
                             3 III
                                             equip with
     -k!emn-
  ba*-k!emen-am-s-:
                             3 II
                                             prepare to go
     -k!emn-
  k!eme<sup>g</sup>amg-: k!em- 13 a irr. III
                                             frequ.
     <sup>E</sup>amg
```

```
-k!eew-al-i-: -(k!ew-)
                               III r
                                                whirl around (tr.)
   ī-
   wa-k !eew-al-x-gwa-
                                                whirl around (intr.)
k!ixix-: giix-
                                              finish (tr.)
                               8 III
k!iyig-: k!iig-, k!iy[a]g-
                               3 I
                                              fall
   k!iyi'g-:
                                                 usit.
  bai-
                                                come
  p!ai-
                                                fall down
   de-
                                                live on, continue to exist
  ba*-gel-
                                                lie down belly up
k!odod-: goud-
                               8 III
                                              bury
k!olol-: go<sup>u</sup>l-
                               8 III
                                              dig
  ba*-
                                                gather up (bones)
  k!ülü-xa- : (-gü<sup>0</sup>l-)
                               8 I
                                                dig (without obj.)
-klomoklam-(i-): (-klom-13 a III
     k!am-)
  s al-
                                                kick to pieces
  ĩ-
                                                break to pieces
                                              fish (intr.)
k!omom- : (go<sup>u</sup>nı-)
                               8 I
-k!os'ou-g-(i-):-k!os'-g[a]-2 III
  da-
                                                bite slightly
  ī-
                                                pinch
   -klos oklas - : (-klos - 13 a III
                                                frequ.
     k!as'-)
  -k!os \cdot \tilde{o}s \cdot -g[a]-:
                                  III
                                                usit.
-k!ot'k!ad- : -k!o"d-
                               12 III
  xa-ī-
                                                break in two
  xa-ī-k!odō-lh-i-:
                                  III
                                                cont.
  xa-i-k!odok!at'-na-:
                               ıза IV г
                                                break to pieces
     (-k!ot'k!at'-)
  xa*-k!ot'k!a-s-:-k!o-s- 12 II
                                                break (intr.), become broken
k!oyo"-: k!o"y-
                               2 III
                                              go with
  k!oyōu-x-an-
                                                go with one another
-k!u<sup>u</sup>m-an-(i-):k!ūm-an- 1 III
                                                fix, prepare
  ī-k!u<sup>u</sup>m-an-k'wa-
                                                prepare oneself, get ready
  ha-Ei-
                                                prepare (house) by sweeping
                                                   it clean
  ī-k!u<sup>u</sup>m-an-anan-i-
                                                prepare for, get ready for
```

k!ūwūw-, k!owo"- : gu"w- hee- bai- al-k!ūwu"w-i- k!ūwūeaug- : güügaw-	- 8 III 13 a <i>irr</i> . III	throw mass of small objects (e.g., intestines, gophers); sow, plant (tobacco); put (dentalia) on (neck) throw away throw out throw (dust) on one's face frequ.
k!ūwūw-¹: gu <sup>u</sup> w-	8 I	(people, animals) run away in
he <sup>eç</sup> - ba <sup>a</sup>		one mass, (birds) fly off (animals) run away (birds) fly up all together
k!ūwūw-an-	8 III	scare away (group of animals)
k!ūyūm-id- : k!ōim-id-	3 III	call one's name, speak of one who is out of ear-shot
-k!walagw-(i-) : -k!walgw[i]-	3 III	
xa- <sup>ɛ</sup> al-		let alone
(k!walag-) : k!waªlg-	3 III	throw (on fire)
-k!wene-[h]i- : -k!wen- [h]i-	2 III	
ī-		hold (staff) in one's hands
la <sup>a</sup> b-:laba- la <sup>a</sup> b-an[h]a-, la <sup>a</sup> b- anan-i-	5 III	carry on one's back carry for
leeb-an-x-: (lebe-n-x-)	5 II	be always carrying
-láªd- : láªt!-	6 III	, , ,
xaª-		put (belt) about one's (own) waist
xaª-láªd-i-		<pre>put (belt) about (another's)     waist</pre>
xaª-lé <sup>eɛ</sup> -sap'		belt
lagag-i- : laªg- lagag-ámd-	8 111	give to eat pay
0 0	10 a and 15 a	
	II	
lawalh-i-:	II	iter.
laªl-aw-i- : (laª-w-i-)	10 a III	cause to become

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evidently same as preceding stem, but used intransitively.

laªlw-: laªw-	10 a III	twine (basket)
wa-la <sup>a</sup> law-i- :	12 III	keep twining while (doing something else)
la°mal-:	III	get angry with, quarrel with
-lats!ag-(i-) : lasg[i]- ī- sal- da-	3* III	touch touch with one's foot taste
la <sup>a</sup> law-i-, <i>indir</i> s-:la <sup>a</sup> w-, <i>indir</i> x-	12 III	name, call
la°walaw-, le°wilau- ( <i>abl</i> .) :	13 a (?) III	iter. (?)
lawad-an- : lāud-an-	3 III	hurt (tr.)
p!ai-lawáat'		(birds) light
-layáªk'-na- : (-lāik'-) ī-	3 IV I	coil (basket)
le°b- : lebe	5 III	gather and eat (seeds, grass-hoppers)
(lebelab-) : le <sup>e</sup> p'lab-	13 a III	frequ.
lebed- : (lep'd-) lebe-sa-	3 III 3 I	sew (tr.) sew (without obj.)
legwel-, <i>indir</i> s- : legwel-ámd-	III	suck suck out of
-lehei- : -lehe- bai-	4 b I	drift dead to shore
-ıe <sup>e</sup> l-agw- : -lel-	ı III	
daª-		listen to, hear about
lelek!-: lelk!- he <sup>eg</sup> -ī-lelek!-(i-) he <sup>eg</sup> -de-lelek!-(i-) he <sup>eg</sup> -sal-lelek!-(i-)	10 a III	put let go finish talking stop dancing
lem-i <sup>i</sup> [ <sup>ɛ</sup> īhe <sup>e</sup> gwô'k'ʷsī]	15 a (?)	he is good [worker]
lemek!-: lemk!- he <sup>e</sup> -ī-lemek!-(i-) leme <sup>e</sup> amg-:(lem <sup>e</sup> amg-) lemek!-iau- leme <sup>e</sup> -x-: lem <sup>e</sup> -x-	3 III 13 a irr. III 3 I 3 II	take along (pl. obj.) do away with, annihilate always take along (people) move, go (people) go, come together; (wind) comes
heee-lemee-x-	3 II	(hair) comes out

lep'ni-yau- lep'ní-xa	I	be winter winter (adv.)
-lewe $^{\epsilon}$ law-(i-) : (-leu $^{\epsilon}$ lau-) da $^{\epsilon}$ -	13 b III	swing (shells) in one's ear
-leye°s- : -leis- gwel- léyas nagai-	3 II	be lame stumble
ligi <sup>i</sup> - : li <sup>i</sup> g-	2 I	return home with game, food that has been obtained
ligi-gw- : li <sup>i</sup> -gw ligilag- : (likʻlag-)	2 III 13 a I	fetch home (game) always return home with game
liwilha-gw- : de-ligi-áld- : -li <sup>i</sup> g-	III 2 III	always fetch home (game) fetch home for eating
ligi <sup>i</sup> -n-: li <sup>i</sup> g-[a]n- ligi <sup>i</sup> -n-: li <sup>i</sup> g-an- ligilag-an-: (likʻlag- an-)	2 II 2 III 13 a II	rest (intr.) rest (tr.) always rest (intr.)
limim- : li <sup>i</sup> m- limilam- : (limlam-) limim-an- dak'-limīm-x-gwa- : -līm-	8 I 13 a I 8 III 8 I	(tree) falls down frequ. fell, chop (tree) have (tree) fall on oneself
(liwid-) : liud-	3 I	burn (intr.)
liw[i]lau- : li <sup>i</sup> w- gwen- liwīlhau- : liw᪠nagai-	12 I 13 a I	look look behind keep looking give a look
lobob- : lo <sup>u</sup> b- lübü-xa- : lu <sup>u</sup> p'- lobolap'-na-, lobolp'- na- : (lop'lap'-)	8 III 8 I 13 a or 13 o	pound (acorns, seeds) pound (without obj.) c frequ.
ló'g[w]-: ló'k![w]- lok!ölha-: lük!ü-xa-: lū' <sup>e</sup> -x[w]a- lük!ú'-xa-: lük!ü-xa-gwa-d-an-i-	6 III III 2 I I 2 III	<pre>set trap for (animal)   usit.   trap (without obj.)   usit. (without obj.)   trap (without obj.) for (person)</pre>

16"g[w]-1: 16"k![w]- al-16"g[w]-(i-) han-16"g[w]-(i-) gwen-16"g[w]-(i-) ha-16"g[w]-(i-) al-s:in-16"g[w]-(i-) p!ai-di <sup>e</sup> -16"g[w]-(i-)	6 III	thrust, stick out thrust out to stretch out across stick into one's throat stick into meet (person) make (stick) stand up, erect (house-post) put on (one's garment)
sal-		put on (one's moccasins)
gwel-		put on (one's leggings)
lohoi-: loho-	4 b I	die
p!ai- gel-lohoi-gw-	4 b III	fall by stumbling avenge
(loholhi-) : loh[á]lhi-	13 a irr. I	frequ.
lohou-n-: loho-	ı III	cause to die, kill
lohō-nha :	ı III	caus. iter.
loholah-an- : (lohlah-)	13 a III	caus. usit.
ha-loho <sup>u</sup> -n- : -loho-n-	ı III	trap (small animals)
lohoy-áld-² : loho-ld-	4 b III	hire
s'om-	_	doctor (tr.) as s omloholxa <sup>8</sup> s
lohoyi-xa- : lohoī-	ı I	hire (without obj.)
s om-lühüī-xa- :	I	practice medicine-rites of s <sup>*</sup> omloholxa <sup>E</sup> s
s·om-lohol`-xa- <sup>ɛ</sup> s		medicine-man (opposed to goyo)
lo <sup>u</sup> l- : lo <sup>u</sup> -	10 а I	play
lo <sup>u</sup> l-agw	10 a III	play with
lo <sup>u</sup> -s'ì		plaything
lomol- : lom[a]l-	II I	choke (intr.)
lop!od-:lop'd-	3* I irr.	storm, (rain, snow 3)
-lümüsg[a]- : -lümsg[a]-	3 I	
de-		tell the truth
de-lümüsg-an-	3 III	tell the truth to
malag-i-:malg-,mal[a]g- malag-anan-i-	3 III	tell, speak to tell to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps identical with preceding stem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Perhaps related to preceding stem.

When preceded in 3d pers. form by noux or p!aas.
With 1st or 2d per. obj. mala-x-: mal[a]-x-.

nıalag-21 : (malg-, nıal[a]g-)	3 I	be jealous
malag-ámd-	3 III	be jealous of
ma <sup>a</sup> nman-, ma <sup>a</sup> n- (3d per. subj.) : (ma <sup>a</sup> n	12 III contr.	count
da-maªnman-i-		count up, recite list
-ma <sup>a</sup> s- : di <sup>i</sup> -	II	be light, lit up
mats!ag- : masg[a]- mats!āsg[a] : p!ai- bai- gwel-	3* III III	<pre>put   usit. put down; originate, set    (world) firm; give birth to start in with (singing) put away in back (of house)</pre>
mahwī-:	I	be pregnant
melel- : (me <sup>e</sup> l-)	8 I	blaze
$m\overline{\imath}li^{i}$ -d-: $mil[a]$ -d-	2 III	love
-minī-k'-d[a]- : miñ-t'[a]- daª-	2 [[[	teach
-molo <sup>g</sup> mal- : -mol <sup>g</sup> mal-	or uncontr.	
baª- <sup>ɛ</sup> al-		turn (things) over
ī-wa-molo <sup>ɛ</sup> mal-i-	13 b III	stir (food in basket-bucket) with
nioyūgw-an-: moigw-an-	3 I	be spoiled
moyūgw-an-an-		spoil (tr.)
mülü <sup>ü</sup> k!- : mülk!-	3 III	swallow
naga-, <i>indir</i> s- : na <sup>a</sup> g-i-, <i>indir</i> x-	2 III	say to, do to
nagai-²: na-	4 a irr. I contr.	say, do
-nawaªk!- : (-nauk!-) baª-	3 III	climb up (tree) when pursued
-nawak!-an-		chase up

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably identical with preceding stem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Intransitive form of preceding stem. For paradigms of both naga- and nagai-, together with their most important derivatives, see T. L., Appendix A.

ni <sup>i</sup> w-, <i>indir</i> [a]s- : niw- hin <sup>e</sup> x-ni <sup>i</sup> w-	ı III	fear, be afraid of be afraid
-nó <sup>u</sup> g[w]-i- : (-nó <sup>u</sup> k![w]-) xa <sup>a</sup> -p!i <sup>i</sup> - xa <sup>a</sup> -p!i <sup>i</sup> -nó <sup>u</sup> -k'wa- xa <sup>a</sup> -be <sup>e</sup> -nó <sup>u</sup> -k'wa-	6 III	warm (somebody's) back warm one's own back warm one's own back in sun
nó <sup>u</sup> g[w]-i- : (-nó <sup>u</sup> k![w]-) al- al-nú <sup>u</sup> -k'wa- nó <sup>u</sup> -k'wi-	6 III 6 II	paint (part of body) paint face paint one's own face paint oneself
		paint onesen
-nú <sup>u</sup> d-i- : -nú <sup>u</sup> t!- de- <sup>ε</sup> ī-	6 III	drown (tr.)
oub-:ob-	ı III	dig up
o <sup>u</sup> d-: odo- al-o <sup>u</sup> d-an-(i-) ī- <sup>ɛ</sup> o <sup>u</sup> d-an-(i-) s:al-o <sup>u</sup> d-an-(i)		hunt for, look for look around for feel around for go to look for
odo <sup>e</sup> ad-:	13 b III	†requ.
ogoy-i-, indir. ogo-s'-: ok'i-, indir. o-s'- ogo <sup>©</sup> ag-i-:ok![w]ag- da-	2 III 13 b III	give to  usit. give to eat
oyon-: oin-	3 III contr. or uncontr.	give (something)
p'eleg- : p'elg- p'ele-xa- : p'el-xa-	3 III 3 I	go to war against go to war
pʻild-i <sup>i</sup> - : pʻildi- p!ai-gel-	15 a and 16 H	flat object lies lie belly down
pʻilipʻal-i- : (-pʻilpʻal-) di <sup>i</sup> -	13 a III	squash (insects), whip (child-ren)
gel-bēm-		whip (children) on breast with stick
p'iwits!-an- : (p'iuts!-) p'iwas nagai-	3 III	cause to bounce bound off (intr.)
-p'ó <sup>u</sup> d-i-:-p'ó <sup>u</sup> t!-	6 III	
dal-		mix with

-p'ōup'aw-(i-), indirs- (-p'o <sup>u</sup> w-)	: 12 III	
al-, al-da-		blow upon
da-		blow out
daª-da-		blow (water) on cheeks
(da-p'owop'aw-): p'ou-	- 13 a III	frequ.
p'aw-		
-p'owok!-(i-) : (p'o <sup>u</sup> k!-, -p'ow[a]k!-)	3 III	
de- <sup>ε</sup> ī-		bend (tr.)
$p'owo^{\epsilon}-x-$	3 II	bend (intr).
(-p'oyo-?) : -p'oi-	2 (?) II	be blessed, prosper
pʻoy-amd-: pʻoyo-md-	5 III	smoke out (wasps)
pʻuyupʻi-emd-	13 a III	usit.
: p'u <sup>u</sup> d-ìk' <sup>w1</sup> (pass. ptc.)		fathom of string of dentalia
-p'ülü <sup>ü</sup> p'al-i-: (-p'ülp'al-)	ı3 a III	
sal-		kick (earth)
-p'u"t'p'ad-i- : (-p'u"d-)	12 III	
waya-		stab with knife
han-waya-		stab through with knife
p!abab-:baªb-	8 III 8	chop (tree) with horn wedge
p!ebe-xa-: (beep'-xa-)	8 I	be a-beating off (bark from
		tree)
p!agai- : p!a*g-	4 a I	bathe (intr.)
p!aga*-n- : p!a*g-an-	2 III	bathe (tr.)
plagaplag-: (plak'-	13 а I	frequ.
p!ag-)	. T	1
p!ahan-: p!ah[a]n-	3 I	be ripe, done (in cooking) make done
p!ahan-an- p!ahay-an-an-i-	3 III 3 III	make done for (person)
p!ala-g-i- : p!al-g- . p!ala*l-g- :	2 III III	tell a myth to
. p!ala*l-g- : p!ala*-p'- : p!al[a]-p'-	2 II	tell a myth
p!eyeen-, 3d per. p!eyée:	14 I	(long object) lies; (person) lies dead
-p!iyin-k'wa- : -p!ī-	14 III	4
gwen-		lie with head on pillow
gwen-p!ī-xapʻ		pillow

Perhaps belonging to p'uut'p'ad-.

```
p!owow-: bo<sup>u</sup>w-
                               8 III
                                              sting
-p!ü¹güg[w]- : -bü¹g[w]-
                               8 III
  dii-
                                                start, begin (tr.)
p!ülü<sup>ü</sup>p!al-(i-): p!ülp!al- 13 a III
                                              march
p!ūwuu-k![w]-, indir. -s-: 2 III
                                              name, call by name
     (p!u^{u}-k![w]-)
  p!ūwu<sup>u</sup>-8-k'wi-
                               2 II
                                                name onself
  p!ūwup!aw- : p!u<sup>u</sup>-
                              13 a III
                                                iter.
     p!aw-
  p!ūwu<sup>e</sup>au-g-:
                               13 a irr. III
                                                usit.
saagw-: sagwa-
                              5 III
                                             shoot (arrow)
saagw-1: sagwa-
                               5 III
                                              paddle (canoe)
  baa-
                                                paddle (canoe) up river
  hau-
                                                paddle (canoe) down river
  bai-
                                                paddle (canoe) to land
  han-
                                                paddle (canoe) across
  saagw-an-, indir. -s-
                                                paddle (person in canoe)
  seegw-an-k'wi-
                               5 II
                                                paddle oneself
-sa<sup>a</sup>msam-(i-) : (-sa<sup>a</sup>m-)
                              12 III
  al-dak'-
                                                bump one's head against
(samag-iau-): samg-
                              3 I
                                              be summer
  samá-xa
                                                summer (adv.)
saansan-: sana-p'-
                                              fight (intr.)
                               12 and 5 II
  saansan-, saans-, indir. 12 and 5 III
                                                 fight with, kill, spear (sal-
     -s-: sana-, indir. x
                                  contr. or
                                                    mon)
                                  10 b III
s'as'-an-i<sup>i</sup>- : s'as'-an-
                               15 a II
                                              stand (sing.)
                                                stand around
  s'as'-an-hap'-:
                                    II
  s'as'ans'as'an-ii-:
                               12 and 15 a II
                                                iter.
  s'as'-an-ī-nh[a]-, s'as'-
                              15 a or 1 III
                                                caus.
     anh[a] : s'as'anh[a]-
-s'a*s'as'-2: -sa*s-
                              12 II
  ba*-
                                                come to a stand, stand up
  s'as' nagai-
                                                come to a standstill
-s'aaxs'ix-:
                               12 irr. II
  sal-
                                                slide, slip
-saya*n-gw-:
                              III
                                                break wind
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps identical with preceding stem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Identical base with preceding.

```
221
```

```
sbedesbad-i-: sbet'sbad- 13 a III
                                           stuff (basket) up tight
(-sbowosbaw-?), indir.
     -s- : (-stosbaw-?)
      ıst per. obj. -sbū-
     sbau-
   de-
                                               blow to (for prosperity)
: waya-wa-&ī-sdémk!-ik'* 3 III
                                            knife-blade
      (pass. ptc.)
(s'doyos'da-gwa-): s'doi- 13 a III
                                          put on style
     s'da-
seeb-: sebe-
                              5 III
                                            roast
-sé^eg-(i-):-sé^ek!
                              6 III
   al-
                                              bow to
   de-Ei-
                                              open the door to
   -segesag-i-, indir. -s-: 13 a III
                                              iter.
     -seek'sag-
s'ein-i'-: s'eini-
                             15 a and 16 II box-like object lies with opening
                                               up
senesan-: sensan-
                              13 a II
                                                                             [18]
                                            whoop
-sgá*b-i-:-sgá*p!-
                             6 III
  wa-
                                              make (hair) tight
-sgadasgad- : (-sgat'-
                             13 a III
     sgad-)
  bai-di<sup>e</sup>-
                                              have strength
-sgaak'sgag-(i-): -sgaag- 12 III
   bā-ºī-
                                              pick up, lift up
   p!ai-<sup>\varepsilon</sup>ī-
                                              pick up and set down
   k!wāī baa-sgék'sgig- 13 a III
                                              pitchfork
     ik'w (pass. ptc.)
-sgalaw-i-: -sgaalw-
                             3 III
  al-
                                              look at by moving head
                                                slightly to side
  -sgala*law-, 1st per. obj. irr. III
                                              frequ.
     -sglelēl-:-sgalwalw-
-sgal-i<sup>i</sup>-:-sgali-
                             15 a and 16 II
  da-
                                              (grain) lies scattered about
sgá^at'-ap'-: sgá^{a(g)}t'-ap'-6 II
                                           jump in
-sgayan-:
                             3 IV 3 (?)
  da-
                                              lie down, be lying down
```

	. 11	
-sgayap-x-1:-sgāip-	3 II	
plai-		go to lie down
he <sup>e</sup> r-		lie down (act.)
p!ai-gel-		lay oneself belly down
-sgek!i <sup>i</sup> -:-sgek!i-	1 IV 3	
da*-	Ü	listen
-sgek!eĩ-ha- :	III	listen around
sgelew-: sgelw-, sgel[a]u-	3 I	shout
sgelěl- : sgelwal-	I	keep shouting
sgelew-áld-	3 III	shout to
O		5.10 4.0
-sgé <sup>e</sup> d-(i-) : -sgé <sup>e</sup> t!-	6 III	116.
bā- <sup>8</sup> ī-	***	lift up (rock) and turn over
-sget!esgad-(i-):	13 a III	iter.
(-sge <sup>ɛ</sup> t'sgad-)		
-sgeet'sgad-(i-): (-sgeed-)	12 III	
ī-		twist, turn (arm, leg) to one
•		side
modify a fourtier!	6 III	
sgíb-: (sgíp!-		cut, lop off
sgip!isgab-(i-):	13 a III	iter.
sgí <sup>ig</sup> p'sgab-	***	
sgip!i-l`h-i-:	2 III	cont.
sgili <sup>i</sup> -p-x sgi <sup>i</sup> l-p-x-	2 II	warm one's back
-sgimisgam-:-sgimsgam-	13 a III contr	•
p!ai-di <sup>ε</sup> -	•	set (posts) in ground
sgó <sup>u</sup> d- : sgó <sup>u</sup> t!-	6 III	cut
sgot!osgad-:	13 a III	frequ.
sgo <sup>ue</sup> t'sgad-	13 4 111	,q
	12 2 ]]]	whip, beat
xa-ɛī-al-sgot!osgad-(i-)	13 a 111	winp, beat
: sgo <sup>©</sup> t'sgad-	TV -	aut off moster
gwen-sgot!osgat'-na-:	13 a 1 v 1	cut off necks
-sgo <sup>©</sup> t'sgat'-	***	
sgot!õ-lh[a]- :	2 III	usit.
sgó <sup>u</sup> -s- : sgó <sup>uɛ</sup> -s-	6 II	part (intr.), fall apart
xaª-sgóu-s-	6 II	break in two (intr.)
han-sgóu-s-	6 II	lie across (trail)
sgot!osgad-:	13 a I	break apart (intr.) in several
sgóu€t'sgad-		places
sgüt!ü¤-xa-: (sgü¤-sa-)	2 I	cut (without obj.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evidently related to preceding stem.

```
-sgóu-s-1 (with subordinate 6 II
                                               be tired (...-ing)
      clause) : (-sgó^{u\varepsilon}-s-)
                                6 III
   sgó<sup>u</sup>-s-gwa-
                                                 be tired of
-sgüyük!-(i-) : -sgüik!-
                                3 III
   di⁵-ī-
                                                  uproot (tree)
   di<sup>e</sup>-sgüyü<sup>e</sup>-x-:-sgü<sup>ie</sup>-x-3 II
                                                  (erect object) falls down
[t'gaa] s'igī-t'a<sup>g</sup> (subor.)
                               15 a (?) II
                                               where [this earth] is set, as far
                                                    as [this earth] goes
                                2 III
-sili-x-gwa-:
   bai-
                                                 come to land with (canoe)
   sil nagai-
                                                 come paddling in canoe
-s'ilis'al-i-: -s'ils'al-
                                13 a III
                                                 distribute (food) to
-smayam-, -smayam[ha]-: 3 and 15 b IV 3
      -smaimas-
   da-
                                                 smile
                                   3
-smilismal-(i-):
                                13 a III
     -smilsmal-
   ī-
                                                 swing (tr.)
   smilismal-x-
                               13 a II
                                                 swing (intr.)
s'omo-d-2: s'om-d-
                               2 III
                                               cook (acorn mush)
   s'ümü-xa-
                                2 I
                                                 cook (without obj.)
s'owóu-k'-[w]ap'-: s'óu- 2 II
                                               jump (without expressed goal
     k'-[w]ap'-
                                                    of motion)
   s'owo-k!-an-: s'ou-k!- 2 III
                                                 caus.
   s'owo''s'aw- : (s'o''-
                               13 a I
                                                 hop along
     s'aw-)
-s'ügüs'ü-x-gwa-:
                               13 a irr. III
      (-s<sup>·</sup>ük's<sup>·</sup>ü-)
   wai-
                                                 feel sleepy
s'ug[w]-id-i^{1}: s'uk'-d-i-
                               15 a and 16 II (string) lies curled up
s'u[w]al-i'-, s'i'ul-: s'u'al- 15 a II
                                               sit, dwell (sing.)
   s ū<sup>ε</sup>al-ha-:
                                                 cont.
swadāi-, indir. -s-:
                               7 b III contr. beat (in gambling, shinny
     (swaad-)
   swadāi-s-an-
                               7 b I
                                                 gamble (at guessing-game,
```

shinny) (recipr.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably identical with preceding stem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also ts:/ümüüm-t'a-.

swadag- : swat'g[a]- swadāt'g[a]- :	3 III III	pursue
	II	keep following up
: swēn-x-gwa (inf.)		evening star
-s'wi'ls'wal-(i-) : -s'wi'l-	12 III	
Ī-		tear (tr.)
han-waya-s wils wal-i-		tear through with knife
heee-siwils wal-x-	12 II	tear (intr.)
ī-s wilis wal-(i-) : -s wils wal-	13 a III	tear to pieces
t'agai-: t'aªg-	4 a I	cry
t'agat'ag-: (t'ak't'ag-)	13 a I	iter.
-t'amak!-(i-): (-t'amk!-)		
de- <sup>ε</sup> ī-	3	put out (fire)
da-t'ama $^{\epsilon}$ -x- : (t'am $^{\epsilon}$ -	2 II	(fire) goes out
x-)	3 11	(ine) goes out
-t'báªg-(i-) : -t'báªk!-	6 III	
-t'bagat'bag-:	13 a III	frequ.
-t'ba*k't'bag-	-	
al-ºī-		hit, strike
al-sal-		kick
al-দ-t'bege-xa-:	2 I	hit (without obj.)
(-t'be <sup>e</sup> -xa-)		•
la- <sup>ĝ</sup> ī-		burst open (tr.), rip open
la-waya-		rip open with knife
la-t'bá*-x-:-t'bá*-x-	6 II	burst (intr.)
t'báªg-amd-¹: t'báªk!-	6 III	tie up (hair, sinew)
dak'-		tie (somebody's hair) up into
		top-knot
dak'-t'bé <sup>e</sup> g-anı-s-	6 II	have one's own (hair) tied up
dan voog am s	V	into top-knot
dī-daª-		tie (somebody's hair) up on
di du		side of head
dī-daª-t'béeg-am-s-	6 II	have one's own (hair) tied
dida t beg am s	0 11	up on side of head
dī-daª-t'béek't'bag-	12 II	have one's own (hair) tied
am-s-: (-t'béek!-)	12 11	up into two bunches on
ann-5 (-t bc x:-)		sides of head
gwen-hau-t'béeg-am-s-	6 II	have one's own (hair) tied
gwell-mau-t be g-alli-s-	0 11	up in back of head
xaª-t'béek't'bag-am-s-	12 ]]	(sinew) be all tied together
	12 11	(Sinew) be an electrogether

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps connected with preceding stem.

-t'bouk't'bag-:-t'boug- heef-mef heef-wa-t'bouk't'ba-x- gwa-		roll up and put away lay oneself away with (one) clasped in arms
-t'bouk!-al-x-: (-t'bok!-?) al- di <sup>i</sup> -	1 (!) 11	have pimples on face have warts on back
t'bó <sup>u</sup> -x- : t'bó <sup>u(g)</sup> -x- t'bó <sup>u</sup> x naga-	6 II	make a noise  make a noise so as to be heard by
-t'boxot'bax-i- : -t'box- t'bax-	13 a III	
ha- <sup>ε</sup> ī-	***	clean out inside (of house)
-t'e <sup>ɛ</sup> al- ī-	III	hold out one's hand palm up
-t'é <sup>e</sup> g-:-t'é <sup>e</sup> k!-	6 III	The second process of the second process of
baª-t'éº-x-:-t'éº-x	6 II	emerge (from water)
baª-t'ek!et'a-x- : (-t'eºk't'a-x-)	13 a II	bob up and down
baª-t'ek!eº-lh[i]-x-:	2 II	keep floating up
-t'éeg-i-¹: -t'éek!-	6 III	
dak'		give (one) to smoke
dak'-t'ek!e-xa-:-t'e <sup>e</sup> - xa-	2 I	smoke (intr.)
dak'-t'ek!é°-xa- :	2 I	usit. (intr.)
-t'gaalt'gal-, <i>indir</i> s-: (-t'gaal-)	12 III	
al-da-		bounce away from
-t'gats!at'gas-(i-) : -t'ga&st'gas-	13 a III	
bai-di <sup>ɛ</sup>		stick out one's anus
baª-di <sup>ɛ</sup>		stick one's anus up
t'gei-ts'!-i <sup>-2</sup> : t'gei-ts'!-i-	15 a and 16 II r	ound object lies
gwen- <sup>ɛ</sup> wa-t'gei-ts:!-ī- k'wa-:-t'gei-ts:!-i- gwa-	15 a and 16 III	have one's head lie next to
t'geme-t!-iau-: (t'gem-)	2 I	get dark
: t'geemt'gám-x-gwa		darkness

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably identical with preceding stem.
<sup>2</sup> See t'geye- below.

```
-t'genets!- : -t'gents!-
                                 3 III
    ha-yau-
                                                  put about one's middle
 t'geye-b- : t'gei-b-
                                 2 III
                                                roll (tr.)
                                 2 II
    t'geye-p-x-
                                                  roll (intr.)
    ī-t'geey-al-i-: t'gee-l-
                                 ı III
                                                  roll (tr.)
   t'geey-al-x-: t'gee-l-x- III
                                                  roll (intr.), run around
                                2 III
    wi-t'geye-k!-(i-):
                                                  put around
      -t'gei-k!
   wī-<sup>ɛ</sup>ī-t'geye-k!-(i-)
                                2 III
                                                  surround
    al-t'geyet'gay-:-t'gei- 13 a III
                                                  tie (kerchief) around (head,
      t'gay-
                                                     neck)
   al-~i-t'geyet'gay-(i-)
                                13 a III
                                                  roll up
-t'gili<sup>e</sup>-s-gwa- : (-t'gil<sup>e</sup>-s- 3 III
      gwa-)
   s in-Ei-
                                                  scratch, rub one's nose
-t'gi'lt'gal-(i-) : (-t'gi'l-)
                                12 III
                                                  break (leg) by throwing (rock)
   ха-<sup>ε</sup>ī-
                                                     at
t'gis'im-: t'gis'm-,
                                3 I
                                               get green
      t'gis [a]m-
   al-t'gis'am-t'
                                                  green (adj.)
-t'gi^iy-al-x-:(-t'giy-)
                                1 II
                                                  tears roll down one's face
   al-
-t'gumu-ts'!-i-: (-t'gum-) 2 III
                                                  squeeze and crack (insect)
   di'-t'gumut'gam-i-:
                                13 a III
                                                  iter.
      t'gumt'gam-
-t'gú"b- : -t'gú"p!-
                                6 III
                                                  put on hat, box-like object
   dak'-
                                                    bottom up
   dak'-t'gú"b-amd-
                                                  cover (basket) over, put lid on
                                                  upset (canoe)
   p!ai-hau-
                                6 II
   p!ai-hau-t'gú<sup>u</sup>p-x-:
                                                  (canoe) upsets
      -t'gú<sup>uε</sup>p-x-
   p!ai-hau-t'gup!-id-ii:
                                15 a and 16 II
                                                 box-like object lies upside
      (-t'gu<sup>e</sup>p'-d-i-)
                                                    down, with bottom up
-t'guunt'gan-(i-):-t'guun-
   heee-sal-
                                                 kick off
                                2 II
t'gunu<sup>u</sup>-g-: t'gu<sup>u</sup>n-p'-
                                               be cold
```

227
e) blisters
t in short,
up past
epping on

-t'güyū <sup>iɛ</sup> s- : (-t'gū <sup>iɛ</sup> s-) he <sup>eɛ</sup> - al-da-	8 II	(body) is blistered face is blistered, (fire) blisters
xaª-da		face back is blistered
-t'gwa <sup>a</sup> l-al-x- : (-t'gwal-)	, TT	back is shotered
bā-¶-	1 11	(children) run about in short, quick runs
bā- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-t'gwal-agw-	ı III	(whirlwind) whirls up past (house)
t'gwaxāi- : t'gwaax-an-	7 b III contr.	tattoo
tʻgwaxāī-kʻwi- : tʻgwa*x-an-tʻ-gwi-	7 b II	tattoo oneself
-t'gwelt'gwal-i- : (-t'gwe <sup>e</sup> l-)	12 III	
xaª-sal-		break in two by stepping on
-t'gwili-k!w-an- : t'gwil- k!w-	2 III	
p!ai-		drop (liquid) (tr.)
t'gwili <sup>i</sup> - <sup>ɛ</sup> -x- : t'gwil- <sup>ɛ</sup> -x-		(liquid) drops
p!ai-t'gwili-ध-x-n[a]- : -t'gwil-ध-x-n[a]-	2 III	drop (liquid) involuntarily
p!ai-t'gwili <sup>i</sup> t'gwal- : (-t'gwi <sup>i</sup> lt'gwal-)	13 a I	(liquid) keeps dripping
tʻiyi <sup>i</sup> - : tʻi <sup>i</sup> -	8 (2?) I	float
tʻūwu <sup>u</sup> -g-¹ : tʻu <sup>u</sup> -g-, tʻūw[a]-g-	2 I	be hot
t'ūwū-g-iau-		weather is warm
-t'wap!at'wap'-na- : t'wa <sup>a(g)</sup> p't'wap'-	13 a IV 1	
al-		blink with one's eyes
-t'wi <sup>i</sup> y-al-(i-): (-t'wiy-)	ı III	
Ī-		make whirl up
t'wi¹y-al-x-	ı II	whirl (intr.)
-t!abaa-gw-: (-t!aab-agw-)	ı III	
xi-		be thirsty
-t!abag- : -t!ap'g-	3 III	
da-		finish

¹ Cf. adj. t'uu.

228	${\bf ANTHROPOLOGICAL}$	PUB.	UNIV.	of	PA.	MUSEUM,	VOL.	II.

-t!aªd-(i-) : (-t!ad-) bai-dak'-wili <sup>i</sup> -	ı III	rush out of the house
-t!agāi- : -daªg- da-	7 b III contr.	build a fire
t!alal- : daªl- (t!alat!al-) : daldal-	8 III 13 a and 8 III	crack iter.
t!amai-: t!amī-	3 I	go to get married (said only of woman)
t!amay-an-:t!amy-an-	3 III	take woman (somewheres) to get her married
t!amay-an-w-,t!amay- an-[a]u-	3 I	go with woman to see her married
-t!ana[h]-i-, <i>indir</i> s-: -t!an-, -t!an[h]-	2 III	
ī-		hold
wa <sup>ɛ</sup> -ī-		keep house
gel- xaª- <sup>g</sup> al-		push against while facing watch
		Waten
-t!aut!aw-(i-) : -t!a*w-	12 III	catch hold of, fiddle with
ī- -t!awat!aw- : (-t!au- t!aw-)	13 a III	frequ.
-t!ayai- : -dāi-	9 I	
da-		go to get something to eat (intr.)
-t!ayaª-ld-(i-):-daª-ld-	9 III	go to get (food) to eat (tr.)
t!ayag- : daªg-	9 III	find
al-t!ayag-(i-)		find, discover, get sight of
s in-t!ayag-(i-)		smell (tr.)
daª-t!ayag-(i-)		discover by hearing, hear all
gel-t!ayag-(i-)		of a sudden think about, recall to mind
-t!ayaig- :	III	usit
-t!ebe-: deeb-	7 a II	
ba <sup>a</sup> -	, a 11	get up; (new moon) appears
t!egwegw-áld- : de <sup>e</sup> gw-	8 III 8	watch
t!egwegw-ált'-gwi-	8 II	take care, look out for oneself
-t!elet!al-i-: (-t!elt!al-)	13 a III	·
al-da-		lick

	-t!emem-(i-) : -de <sup>e</sup> m-	8 III 8	
	wa <sup>¢</sup> -ī- wa-t!eme <sup>¢</sup> -x-:-de <sup>¢</sup> m-	8 II	gather (people) together (tr.) (people) come together, as-
	dak'-t!emee-x-	8 II	semble assemble (intr.)
	t!èut!aw- : t!èu- t!èut!aw-agw-	12 I 12 III	play shinny play shinny with
	-t!eyes-na- : -t!eisi- gwel-sal-	16 IV 2	have no flesh on legs and feet
	t!eye <sup>ε</sup> -s- : t!e <sup>iε</sup> -s-	3 II	go up, fly up (to sky)
	t!i <sup>i</sup> l-ámd- : t!i <sup>i</sup> l- t!i <sup>i</sup> l-am-xa-	ı III ı I	fish for go fishing
	-t!ilī-k'-n-i- : -dīl-n[h]- wa-	7 a III	distribute to, give one to each
	-t!ixix-i- : -di <sup>i</sup> x- bai-	8 III	force something out that sticks inside (like entrails)
	-t!iyi <sup>i</sup> -s- : -t!i <sup>i</sup> -s-	2 III	,
	di <sup>i</sup> -t!iyi <sup>i</sup> -s-(i-) di <sup>i</sup> -t!iyīt!ay- : (-t!i <sup>i</sup> - t!ay-)	13 a III	mash iter.
	t!obag-i <sup>i</sup> - : t!obag-as- t!obag-i <sup>i</sup> -n[ha]- : t!obag-as-n[a]-	15 b II 15 b III	lie like dead caus.
1	t!omom- : do <sup>u</sup> m-	8 III	kill
	t!omoamd- : douum- dam-	13 a irr. and 8 III	usit.
	t!ümü-xa- : (-düm-xa-)	2 and 8 I	kill (without obj.)
•	-t!os·ot!as·-(i-) : (-t!os·- t!as·-)	13 a III	
	s'al-		walk about at random
•	t!oxox-i-:-do <sup>u</sup> x- wa <sup>ɛ</sup> -ī-	8 III 8	gather (pieces) together
	-t!oxō-lh- :	2 III	iter.
	-t!oxot!ax-:-douxdax-	13 a and 8 III	usit.
	t!ūgūi-:-dü g[w]-	7 b III contr.	
	dī- -t!ūgū <sup>ε</sup> t'-na- :	11 IV 1	wear (garment) usit.
	viugu v ma-,		*****

```
-t!ü<sup>u</sup>lüg[w]- : -t!ü<sup>u</sup>lg-
                               3 III
                                                follow along in (trail)
  ha-
  -t!ülu<sup>a</sup>lg-:
                                 III
                                                usit.
t!ülüt!al-, indir. -s:
                               13 a III
                                              play hand guessing-game (re-
     t!ült!al-
                                                   cipr.)
  t!ülüt!al-p'-iau-
                                                hand guessing-game is going
                               13 a II
                                                   on
t!wep'et!wap-x-:
                               13 a and 8 II (birds) fly around without light-
     dweep'dwap-
                                                ing
ts !adad -: sa d-
                               8 III
                                              mash
  ts!adats!at'-na-:
                               13 a (and 8)
                                                iter.
     (saat'sat'-)
                                  IV 1
-ts!agag- : (-sa*g-)
                               8 I
  p!ai-
                                                (water) drops
  wílii-da-
                                                (water) drips in house
ts!a*k'ts!ag-: ts!a*g-
                               12 I
                                             step
-ts!alats!al-i-:-ts!alts!al-13 a III
  da-
                                                chew
-ts'!aam-x-: (-ts'!am-)
                                                be sick
  da-
  ha<sup>e</sup>wi-gel-
                                                be alive yet, "stagger around"
-ts<sup>-</sup>!amag-: (-ts<sup>-</sup>!amg-)
                               3 III
   dā-٤ī-
                                                squeeze (somebody's) ears
ts!away-: ts!awi-, ts!auy- 3 I
                                              run fast
                                              shoot at, spear (salmon)
ts!ayag-: sa*g-
                               o III
                                 III
                                                usit.
  ts!ayaig-:
-ts!aya-g-: -ts!āi-g-
                               2 III
                                                wash (tr.)
  al-
   al-ts!ayaa-p'-: -ts!āi- 2 II
                                                wash oneself
     p'-
  ī-ts!ayaª-p'-
                                                wash one's hands
ts !aya-m-: ts !ai-m-,
                               2 III
                                             hide (tr.)
     ts !ay[a]-m-
   ts !ayai-m : ts !aimī - irr. III
                                                usit.
   gel-ts<sup>1</sup>!aya-m-an-i
                                                hide (fact) from
                                                hide (without obj.)
   ts!eye-m-xa-
                               2 I
   ts!ayaa-p'-: ts!āi-p'-
                                                hide (intr.)
                               2 II
```

ts <sup>·</sup> !ele-m- : ts <sup>·</sup> !el[a]-m- ī-ts <sup>·</sup> !elets <sup>·</sup> !al-(i-) : (-ts <sup>·</sup> !elts <sup>·</sup> !al-)	2 I 3 a III	rattle (intr.) rattle (tr.)
ts !el nagai-		make a rattling sound (intr.)
ts!elel-ámd- : se <sup>e</sup> l-	8 III 8	paint, write
-ts <sup>·</sup> !e <sup>e</sup> mx-: -ts <sup>·</sup> !emx[a]-	τI	
daª- daª-ts !eºmx-n[a]- : -ts !emx-n[a]	ı III	hear big noise, din make noise near by
ts'!e°max k!emen-		make a noise
-tsː!ibib-:-sːi <sup>i</sup> b-	8 III	
de- de-ts <sup>*</sup> !ibi-x-:-s <sup>*</sup> i <sup>i</sup> p-x-	8 II	shut (doorway, hole in tree) shut (intr.)
de-ts'!ibits'!ap-x-: (-s'i <sup>i</sup> p's'ap-)	13 a and 8 II	keep shutting (intr.)
ts'!ibin-: ts'!ip'n-	3 III	make a speech to, address formally
ts'!inik!-: ts'!ink!-	3 III	pinch (tr.)
-ts'!ini <sup>iε</sup> -x- <sup>1</sup> : -ts'!in <sup>ε</sup> -x- de-	3 II	die, succumb
de-ts <sup>·</sup> !inīan-x-:	13 a irr. II	usit.
ts'!ini'ts'!an-x- : ts'!in- ts!an-	13 a II	get angry
-ts'!iwi-d-(i-) : ts'!iu-d-	2 III	
xa- <sup>ε</sup> ī-		split (tr.)
xaª-p!ai- ī-ts:!iwi <sup>i</sup> ts:!aw-:	13 a III	split by throwing down on iter.
(-ts'!iuts'!aw-)	13 a 111	
ts'!óud-i- : ts'!óut!-	6 III	touch, reach (point) as limit to course
al- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-		touch against
ha-dak'-		(tree) strikes against (sky)
-ts!olol-: (-so <sup>u</sup> l-)	8 III	(and that is last)
ha-	117	miss (one that is lost)
-ts'!omo''k!-i-:-ts'!omk!- wa <sup>g</sup> -ī-	3 111	squeeze together
-ts <sup>·</sup> !omo <sup>uɛ</sup> -k'wa-		squeeze one's (legs) together

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps identical with preceding stem.

ts !us um- : ts !us m-, ts !us [a]m-	3 I	make a chirping sound (78, note 2)
ts !us um-áld-	3 III	chirp to
-ts·!ügü- :	2 I	
de-		be sharp
: al-ts <sup>.</sup> !ülm-ìk' <sup>w</sup> ( <i>pass</i> . <i>ptc</i> .)	3 III	having warts on his face
-ts'!ülu"-k!-i- : -ts'!ül-k!-	2 III	
al-pli'-	111	set fire to
al-p!i <sup>i</sup> -ts <sup>*</sup> !uluts <sup>*</sup> !al-i- : -ts <sup>*</sup> !olts <sup>*</sup> !al-	13 a 111	iter.
al-da-		catch fire (intr.; logical subj.
		is grammatical obj.)
-ts'!ülük![w]-i- : (-ts'!ülk![w]-)	3 III	
al-de-		suck
de-de-		kiss
ts'!ümü <sup>ū</sup> m-t'a-¹ : s'ü <sup>ū</sup> m- t'a-	8 III	boil (tr.)
tsʻ!ümütsʻ!am-tʻa : (sʻü <sup>ü</sup> ms am-tʻa-)	13 a and 8 III	usit.
u <sup>u</sup> g[w]- : ūg[w]-	ı III	drink
ūgū <sup>ɛ</sup> ak'-na- : (ūk![w]ak'-)	13 b IV 1	usit.
u <sup>u</sup> g[w]-an-x-	ı II	drink (without obj.,
wa <sup>ę</sup> -u <sup>u</sup> g[w]-an-i-		drink (water) with
ūyū <sup>ig</sup> s:-: ū <sup>ig</sup> s:-	8 II	laugh
ūyū <sup>ię</sup> s -gwa-	8 III	laugh at
$\bar{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{y}\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{\epsilon}}\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s}$ - : $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\epsilon}}\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s}$ -)	13 a II	keep on laughing
dī- <sup>ɛ</sup> üyüts <sup>·</sup> !-amd- : -ūits <sup>·</sup> !-	3 III	fool (tr.)
wa <sup>a</sup> g-: waga-	5 III	carry, bring, fetch
wa <sup>a</sup> g-aw-i-, <i>indir</i> . wa <sup>a</sup> g- as-: waga-w-i-		bring to, fetch for
waga-ok'-na- :	IV 1	bring (usit.)
dak'-		finish
he <sup>e</sup> -		buy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also s'omo-d-.

#### E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

	hees-was-waag-aw-i- mes-		buy with come with
	-wage-xa- : wa-xa- ba <sup>a</sup> -	2 I	climb up
	-wahei- : -wahei- bai-	гI	urinate
	wala $^{\epsilon}$ si, wala $^{\epsilon}$ si-na $^{\epsilon}$ ( $T.L$ § 70 end)		indeed, really
	waya <sup>a</sup> n-, 3 <sup>d</sup> per. wayá <sup>ɛ</sup> : wai-	14 I	sleep
	wayaŭhi : wayaª-n-,wayaª-n[ha]- wai-n-, wai-n[ha]-	13 a I : 2 III	usit. put to sleep
	p!ai-ºī-wayaª-n-i-	2 III	cause to lie down
	gel-wayaª-n-	2 III	sleep with
	gel-waya*-n-x-an-	2 I	sleep next to each other (recipr.)
,	wé <sup>e</sup> g-iau- : (wé <sup>e</sup> k!-)	6 I	it dawns
	wek !ē-lh-iau-:	2 I	frequ.
-	wek!al- : -wek!al- al-	ı IV 3	
	wek!al-k' nagai-		shine (intr.) be of shiny appearance
•	we <sup>e</sup> t'-g-i-, <i>indir</i> . we <sup>e</sup> -s-: wede-k'-i-, <i>indir</i> . wede-s-	5 III	take away from, deprive of
-	wesgah-agw-:	III	
	ha-ī-		spread apart one's legs
Ţ	vi <sup>i</sup> - : wī-	ı II	go about, travel
	wiyiwi <sup>i</sup> - : (wi <sup>i</sup> wi <sup>i</sup> -)	13 a II	iter.
	xaª-	Ů	go between, act as go-between in feud
	daª-p!iya wī-saª		medicine-man, "alongside-of- fire going about"
-	wí <sup>i</sup> g-(i-) : -wí <sup>i</sup> k!- de- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-	6 III	spread out (mat)
	wīk!-ad-i <sup>i</sup> - : wī <sup>ɛ</sup> k'-d-i-	15 a and 16 II	
	easte stan	3 II	•
_	sin-	3 11	blow one's nose

wiliw-: wilw-, wil[a]u- wiliw-áld- p!ai- p!ai-di <sup>ε</sup> - bai- de- de-wiliw-áld- gel-	3 I 3 III 3 III	go, proceed, run go and show to walk down (mountain) camp (star) comes up shout(in order to find out) fight with, "go for" walk about with strutting
3		breast
p!ai-wa <sup>ɛ</sup> -wili <sup>i</sup> -gw :	3 III	come down with, in
ba*-wa*-wili'-gw-:	3 III	travel up along (river)
he <sup>e</sup> -wili <sup>i</sup> -gw-:	3 III	wish one to die
wits !im- : wism[a]-	3* I	move (intr.)
wits !īsm[a] :	ı I	keep moving
wits !esm[a]-		
wiyig- : wi <sup>i</sup> g-, wiy[a]g-	3 I	groan
-wiyik!-:-wi <sup>i</sup> k!-	3 III	
gwen-		put around neck
dak'-		put around head
gwen-wí¹ɛ-xapʻ		neckerchief
wiyim-ad- : wi <sup>i</sup> m-	3 III	exercise supernatural power upon
wiyin- : (wi <sup>i</sup> n-)	3 III	help
wou-ld-: woo- (without -ld-)	5 III	go for, go to get
wo <sup>ɛ</sup> õ <sup>u</sup> ha- :	III	usit.
wo <sup>u</sup> g-: wog-	ı II irr.	arrive
wogowag-: (wok'wag-)	13 а I	frequ.
baª-		(smoke) comes up (out of house)
wūlü[h]-am- : wü <sup>ū</sup> l[h]- am-	2 I	have first menstrual courses
-wülu <sup>u</sup> k!-(i-) : (-wü <sup>©</sup> lk!-)	3 III	
al- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-		run away from
wunu <sup>u</sup> n- : wu <sup>u</sup> n-	8 I	be, grow old
-xadaxat'-na- : -xaªt'- xat'-	13 а IV 1	
ba <sup>a</sup> -		hang up in row
xalaxam- : xalxam-	13 а I	urinate

-xal-i <sup>i</sup> - : (-xal-i-)	r III	
al-		sit (pl.) (forms are tr. with constant 3d per obj.)
-xanan- : (xanw-)	3 III	constant 3d per obj.)
bai- <sup>ɛ</sup> al-	, , ,	look out (pl.) (3d per. obj.)
$-xá^{8}x-:(-xá^{8}x-)$	6 II	d ) (3 - 1 - 1 - 1),
sin-		be tickled in one's nose
xda*xda-gw- : (xda*-)	12 III	throw soft, nasty object
(-xdili <sup>e</sup> xdal-i-) : -xdil <sup>e</sup> - xdal-	13 b III	
xā- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-		notch in several places
xeben-: xeeb-	14 I	do (intr.), do so
de-	14 III	say (intr.), say so
xebe <sup>e</sup> y-agw-: xe <sup>e</sup> b-	3 I	slay, destroy, hurt
xemel-: (xeml-)		desire to eat
-xí¹g-(i-) : -xí¹k!-	6 III	
al-		see
-xik!ī-lh-i-:-xik![a]-	2 III	usit.
·xik!i-xa-: (-xi <sup>ε</sup> -xa-)	2 I	look around
-xiligw-(i-):-xilgw-	3 III	. •
ba-i-		snatch up
-xilik!w-(i-) : (-xilk!w-) bai-s'in-	3 III	blow one's nose
xili <sup>ug</sup> -xwa- : (xil <sup>e</sup> -xwa-)	3 II	play woman's shinny-game
xili <sup>ug</sup> -x[w]-an-	3 I	recipr.
-xini <sup>i</sup> xan-p'- : (-xi <sup>i</sup> nxan- p'-)	13 a II	
s'in-		sniffle, hawk
-xiu-:-xiwi-	5 I	
hawax-	•	rot
-xi <sup>i</sup> w-an-	5 III	make rot
-xi <sup>i</sup> -gw- : -xiwi-	5 III	rot with
-xleden[h]-agw- : -xled[a]n[h]-	3 III	
<b>1</b> -		carry in flat basket-tray
-xlep!exlab-(i ) : (-xle <sup>p</sup> p'xlab )	13 a III	
al- <sup>è</sup> ī-		knead (dough-like mass) into roundish cake

236	ANTHROPOLOGICAL	PUB.	UNIV.	of	PA.	MUSEUM,	VOL.	II.
A-0-0								

: ba-xné <sup>e</sup> t'-ôk' <sup>w</sup> (pass. ptc.)	6 III	roasted by fire
-xó <sup>u</sup> d- : xó <sup>u</sup> t!- ba <sup>*</sup> - <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-xó <sup>u</sup> d-i-	6 111	blow off (acorns from tree supernaturally)
ba*- bai- <sup>ɛ</sup> ī-xó <sup>u</sup> d-(i-) bai- di <sup>ɛ</sup> -xó <sup>u</sup> -s-:-xó <sup>uɛ</sup> -s-	6 II	beat in game pull out forcibly (from inside) wrench away have hole at posterior extrem-
		ity allowing things to spill (food from anus, acorns from hopper)
$di^{\epsilon}$ -xó <sup>u</sup> -s- n[aj-	6 III	spill (acorns) (tr.)
-xodoxad- : -xot'xad- bai-	13 a III	take off (skirt)
$\begin{array}{l} -\mathbf{x} og[w] \text{-} i^{i} \text{-} : (-\mathbf{x} og[w] \text{-} i \text{-}) \\ \text{s'al-} \end{array}$	ı III	stand (pl.) (forms are tr. with constant 3d per. obj.)
ba <sup>a</sup> -s·al-xoxag-1-·	12 III	stand up, come to a stand (pl.) (3d per. obj.)
-xó <sup>u</sup> g i- : -xó <sup>u</sup> k!- di <sup>i</sup> -hin( <sup>e</sup> x)-	6 III	scare
xo <sup>u</sup> m-an- : xom- (xomoxam-an-) : xom- xam-	ı III	dry (food) frequ.
-xoxog[w]-:     gwen-     wa-, da-xoxog[w]-i-	12 irr. III	string (salmon) string (salmon) with (stick)
-xoyoxay-(i-) : -xoixay- da-	13 a III	scare around by pursuing with open mouth
sal- ī-		scare away by jumping around throw around in all directions
xudum- : xut'm-, xud[a]m-	3 I	whistle
xudum-áld-	3 III	whistle to
-xulūp!-an- : (-xulp!-) han-	3 III	shoot (object) through

•	2	ry
Ç	υ	6

xumü-g-¹ : xum[a]-g-	2 I	be satiated, satisfied after eating
xumü <sup>ü</sup> -gw-	2 III	have enough of, be sated with
yadad- : yaªd- han- yadad-áld-	8 I	swim swim across (stream) swim for
yala-: (yal-) gel-yala-n-:-yal-n- yala-l-an-: yal-n-an- yala <sup>a</sup> -x-ald-: ya <sup>a</sup> l- gel-yala <sup>a</sup> -x-ald-i-:-yal- gel-yala <sup>a</sup> -x-alt'-gwi-: -ya <sup>a</sup> l-	2 III 1 I 2 III 2 III 2 III 2 III	lose be lost, forget oneself lose, cause to be lost lose forget (person) forget oneself
yalag- : yalg-, yal[a]g- yalag-ámd-	3 I 3 III	dive dive for
yamad-: yamd-, yam[a]d- yamad-amd-	3 III	ask (tr.) go and ask of
yama <sup>ɛ</sup> -s- : (yam <sup>ɛ</sup> -s-) yam1-i <sup>i</sup> - :	3 II 15 a II	taste good look pretty
yaªn-, 3d per. yẠ: yana-	5 I	go (without expressed goal of motion)
yaªn-an-, yã-n[ha]- : yanaª-n-	5 III	cause to go
ya*n-gw-	5 III	take along
ba*-ya*n-gw-	5 III	pick up
dak'-yaan-gw-	5 III	pass (house)
wa-ya*n-gw-	5 III	follow
wa-yanain-agw- : hawi-ya*n-	13 c III	follow (usit.) dance in front
yaway- : yawi-	тт І	talk (with each other)
yaway-agw- : yawiy- agw-	II III	talk about
yiwiyaw- : yiw[i]yaw-	13 a I	talk (by oneself), make a sound (of animal)
-yebeb-(i-), <i>indir</i> s-: -ye <sup>e</sup> b-	8 111	
al-		show to
daª-heªl-		sing for

¹Cf. noun xumà, "food."

: -ye <sup>e</sup> g-aw-(i-) bai- <sup>e</sup> ī- bai-de-	III	drive (sickness) out of drive (sickness) away from
yegwegw- : ye <sup>e</sup> gw- yegweyagw- : ye <sup>e</sup> k' <sup>w</sup> - · yagw-	8 III	bite frequ.
-yehèi- : -yehi <sup>i</sup> - da <sup>a</sup> -	11 III contr.	go where one hears there is sound (of singing, playing)
yele <sup>ɛ</sup> s-gwa- : yel <sup>ɛ</sup> s- yele <sup>ɛ</sup> s-gwa-n- yel <sup>ɛ</sup> s-gwi-x ( <i>inf</i> .)	3 I 3 III	sweat (intr.) make to sweat sweat (noun)
yewei- : yèu-	4 a I	go back (without expressed goal of motion), return
yewèog- : me <sup>ɛ</sup> - dal- gwen- me <sup>ɛ</sup> -yewey-agw- : ye <sup>•</sup> -	I irr.	frequ.  come back  run away  go back (for something)  come back with, fetch back
gw- bai-yewey-agw-	4 a III	take out (what has been put in)
ba*-de- <sup>8</sup> yewey-agw- gedē yewey-agw- p!ai- <sup>8</sup> wa-yewe*-n-(i-): -ye*w-an-	4 a III 4 a III 2 III 8 III	continue traveling get even with, revenge upon descend other side of moun- tain after reaching top, return to earth after touch- ing sky go back for, return to
yewew-áld-: ye <sup>e</sup> w- yi <sup>i</sup> l-, <i>indir</i> s- : yil-	1 III	copulate with
me <sup>e</sup> -mīn-		come and copulate with
yilim- : yilm- yili <sup>i</sup> nm- :	3 III III	call for, upon iter.
yi <sup>i</sup> miy-, <i>indir</i> s- : yimi- [h]i-, <i>indir</i> x-	ı III	lend to
yimis'-ald- : yims'- yimis'-a- : yims'-a- yimi <sup>i</sup> s'-a- :	3 III 3 I I	dream about dream (intr.) be always dreaming

yi'w- : yiw- yi <sup>i</sup> w-an- de <b>°</b> -	ı III	play (musical instrument) sound (intr.), give forth a sound
de°-yi¹w-an-	ı III	cause to sound
yiwiyaw-1 (see yaway-)		
yok![w]oy- : yok'y-, yok'y[a]-	3* III	know (tr.)
youmii-, indirs-: yomo-		catch up with
di <sup>s</sup> -s'al-yo <sup>u</sup> mi <sup>i</sup> - : -yomo-[h]i	11 and 5 III	catch up with
đak'-		catch up with
-yuluyal-(i-) : <b>-</b> yulyal-	13 a III	
al- <sup>e</sup> ī-		rub
yunob-áld- : (yunb-)	3 III	hold out net to catch (fish)
-yono <sup>u</sup> k!-(i-) : yonk!-	3 III	
ī- bai-yunuk!-		pull away from pull out forcibly
yonon- : yo <sup>u</sup> n- hé <sup>e</sup> l-yunun-(i-)	8 III	sing (a song) (tr.) sing a song
yonoin-	13 c III	usit.
-yunu <sup>ɛ</sup> yan-(i-) : -yun <sup>ɛ</sup> - yan-	13 b III	
hau-gwen-		swallow down greedily
-yut!i-[h]i- :	10 b (?) III	
hau-gwen-		swallow down greedily (sing. obj.)
hau-gwen-yut!uyad- (i-)²: -yu <sup>ɛ</sup> t'yad-	13 a III	swallow down greedily
yowo- : yo <sup>u</sup> -, yo-	2 I	be
al-		look
p!ai-		sit down (from standing position); be born
abai-di <sup>e</sup> -		go into house to fight
ba*-gel-		lie belly up
p!ai-di <sup>ɛ</sup> -		(sky) is set on (earth)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps better explained as derivative of yiw- than of yaway-, <sup>2</sup>Cf. preceding stem.

daª- hau-		listen, pay attention sweat (in sweat-bath)
ha <sup>ɛ</sup> w-ī-yuwu-n[ha]- : yu-	2 III	make to sweat (in sweat-bath)
bai-yowo-n- : yo-	2 III	miss (shot)
$yowog[w]^{1}$ - : $yo^{u}g[w]$ -	3 III	marry (tr.)
yūwūg[w]-am- : yu- g[a]-m-	3 I	be married
yūwūg[w]-am-an-	3 III	give in marriage
yowo <sup>ε</sup> s- : yo <sup>uε</sup> s- da-	3 II	start (when startled) suddenly stop talking, singing
s'in-		suddenly move nose (because tickled)
sal-		suddenly lift foot (when startled)
yowo <sup>u</sup> ts!-an-, yowo <sup>u</sup> s- n[a]- : yo <sup>u</sup> ts!-, yo <sup>u</sup> s-	3 III	startle, cause to start
: yu <sup>u</sup> g-, yo <sup>u</sup> g-	3 (?) I	be strong

# Nouns and Adjectives.

ais'-(dèk')	(my) property
alák-s·i-(t·k·)	(my) tail
alák-s i-x-(da-gwa)	(his own) tail
álk'	silver-side salmon
bák'baª	big woodpecker
balàu	young
baláu-t'an	pl.
bāls	long
baªlás-it'	pl.
bam-ìs	sky
bãnx	hunger
bãp'	seeds (sp. ?)
в̂аªb-ì-(t'k')	(my) seeds
bãxdis	wolf
bē	sun, day
al-be•	to sun

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps best analyzed as yowo-gw-, "be with," comitative of yowc-.

bebè-n rushes bel'p' whistling swan belp' string of camass roots used as plaything by children bēls moccasin bēls-i-(t'k') (my) moccasin bēm wood, stick, tree bēm-(t'ek') (my) stick be<sup>e</sup>wī chinook (?) salmon beyan-(t'k') (my) daughter hīk'w skunk bíl-am having nothing, unprovided ha-bilàm ha-gwel-bilàm empty underneath (like table) ելile quiver bíil-(t'ek') (my) quiver bilg-an-x-(dèk') (my) breast bils moss de-bin first, last -bin-(wili) há-bin-ì in middle of (house) ha-be-bin-i noon xā-bin-winì half full al-binì-x bereft of child, widow, widower bīũ grasshopper bixàl moon de-bixím-sa (adv.) spring bõu goal in shinny-game popob, screech-owl bóik' "big chipmunk with yellow breast" bók'd-an neck bók'd-an-x-(dèk') (my) neck gwen-t'gaa-bók'dan-da "at-nape-of-earth-its-neck," east bom-xì otter bõun basket acorn-hopper bop' alder bush xa\*-bob-in among alder bushes

bót'baª orphan bout'bad-i (t'k') (my) orphan child bõut'baa-lā'p'a-k!-an orphan children -bo<sup>u</sup>wdi<sup>e</sup>-bo<sup>u</sup>w-i-(de) alongside of (me), (my) wife bóxd-an salt mud bóxuma<sup>a</sup> mud de-bü'ūε, -bü'uε-x full de-bü'ügbà-x, -bü'ügk'bà-x pl. bu<sup>u</sup>b-àn arm, string of dentalia from shoulder to wrist buub-an-ì-(t'k') (my) arm bu<sup>u</sup>b-an-í-x-(da-gwa) (his own) arm bùs' all gone, annihilated, used up būs' (upper Tak.) fly dasee dedá-k!oloi cheek (?=mouth-basket) da-k!olói-da-x-(dèk') (my) cheek da\*ear da\*-n-x-(dèk') (my) ear da-fā'nau, -fanáa, -fánaak'w chief da-fána\*k'w-(dek') (my) chief dag-àn turtle dáfiwadagalài "water-dog," water-salamander(?) dak'head dág-ax-(dek') (my) head dak'-(dē) over (me) Dī-dal-am' (village name) daldàl1 dragon fly dal'-t' low brush dal-dì wild da<sup>8</sup>mada-gw-an-x-(dèk') (my) shoulder dàn rock dan-à-t'k' (my) rock Dal-dan-i-k' "Away-from-which-are-rocks" (village name) Al-dan-k!olói-da "To-its-rock-basket" (mountain name)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cf. verb t!alal-.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

dauyáª dauyáª-k'ʷ-(dèk')	medicine-man's guardian spirit my guardian spirit
Al-dauyá*-k'*a-dìs	(mountain name)
dayú-t'a <sup>a</sup> i	eldest
dee-, da-	lips, mouth
de -x-(dèk')	(my) mouth
ha-dá-(t'-gwa)	in (his own) mouth
degàs	basket pan for sifting acorn meal
deges-ì-(t'k')	(my) basket pan
děhal	five
dēl	yellow-jacket
delg-àn	buttocks, basket bottom
delg-àn-(t'k'), delg-an-x-(dèk')	) (my) buttocks
$\mathrm{di}^{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}$ -	anus
$ha-d\bar{\imath}'-(t'-gwa)$	in back of (himself)
$di^{i}$ - $\epsilon$ al- $(t'k')$ , $d\bar{\imath}'$ - $\epsilon$ al-da- $x$ - $(dek')$	(my) forehead
al-dīl, -dī	all
dīºmò	hips
dīºmo-x-(dèkʻ)	(my) hips
din-(dē)	behind (me)
dīp'	camass
dīū	falls
dug[w]àl	rope
dugul-ì-(t'k')	(my) rope
dugùm	baby
dõ <sup>u</sup> k'	log, tree trunk
dolà	hollow tree
dolàx	things, utensils
dólk'-am-a-(t'k'), dólk'-im-i-	(my) anus
(t'k'), dólk'-in-i-(t'k')	
dõ <sup>u</sup> m	spider
dõ <sup>u</sup> m	testicles
doum-àl-(t'k')	(my) testicles
domxàu	"big crooked-nosed salmon"
duyùm	cat-tail rushes
dũ	good, beautiful

¹ Perhaps = da-yú-t'aa, "being in front."

```
big fire, blaze
p!i¹-dügùm
dűk'wı
                                      woman's shirt
   du<sup>u</sup>g[w]-ì-(t'k')
                                        (my) shirt
dũl
                                      salmon-spear point
   du<sup>u</sup>l-ì-(t'k')
                                        (my) spear point
                                      straight
de-dūl-àpx
                                        right (hand, foot)
   de-dūl-ápx-daª
dak'-dü'ülEs
                                      big-headed
                                      canoe
  ei-x-(dèk'), ey-à-(t'k')
                                        (mv) canoe
  eī-han
                                        pl.
el-à-(t'k')
                                      (my) tongue
gák!an
                                      house ladder
                                      bow; gun
gále
  gál-(t'ek')
                                        (my) bow
gā'm, gā'p!-inì
                                      two
gamáx-di
                                      raw; having no supernatural power
gamd-í-(xa)
                                      (his) paternal grandparent, (his) son's
                                          child
gamgám²
                                      four
gel-
                                      breast
  gel-(dē)
                                        in front of (me)
gel-àm
                                      river
  Da*gelàm
                                        "Along the river," Rogue river
gelgàl3
                                      fabulous serpent who squeezes people
                                          to death
gelg-an-4
  di'-gelgan-(dē)
                                        at (my) anus
géet'
                                     white overlay in basketry (xerophyl-
                                          lum tenax)
                                      crooked
-gew[a]٤-x 5
  -géweek'-it'
                                        pl.
  ī-géwa<sup>e</sup>-x
                                        crooked-handed
                                        crooked-backed
  xaª-géwa<sup>ɛ</sup>-x
```

<sup>1</sup> Cf. verb -t!ugui-.

² See gá<sup>ε</sup>m.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. verb -geleg-.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Perhaps misheard for delg-an-.

SCf. verb -gewek!aw .

#### E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

gíxgap'	poison, medicine
gó <sup>u</sup> k'-(dek')	(my) knee
gūĩ	thick brush
gũms	blind
golò-m xaª-gulm-àn	oak with white acorns among oaks
gomhàk'*	rabbit
gungun	otter (myth name)
gòs.	"big rainbow-colored shell" (clam shell?)
goyò	medicine-man
gũx-(dek')	(my) wife
Ha-gwãl	Cow creek
gwalà	many
gwal't'	wind
gwãn	trail
gwaªl-àm-(t'k')	(my) trail
gwás' wili	brush house
gwãs	entrails
gwa <sup>a</sup> s·-i-x-(dèk'), gwa <sup>a</sup> s·-ì- (t'k')	(my) entrails
-gwási¹	
al-gwási, -gwási-t'	yellow
xaª-sal-gwási	"yellow between his claws" (myth name of sparrow-hawk)
gwel-	leg
gwēl-x-(dèk')	(my) leg
gwél-(da)	underit
gwen-	neck, nape of neck
gwen-hau-(dẽ) gwen-hau-x-(dèk')	in back of (my) neck (my) nape
gwi <sup>ɛ</sup> neĩ-x-(dèk')	(my) relative
gwi <sup>ɛ</sup> néi	(her) thing (?) (108, 3)
gwisgwas	chipmunk
Gwísgwas-hãn	(woman's name)
gwit <sup>e</sup> -īū-x-(dèk'), gwit!ì-n-(t'k')	) (my) wrist

¹ Cf. yan-gwàs.

ZIO ANTIMOTOBOGICAB TOB. UI	or in Moshow, vol. ii.
hāī	cloud
há <sup>ɛ</sup> k'a*	goose
haik !-ā	husband! wife! (voc.)
-ham	see ma-
ha*n-x-(dèk')	(my) brothers
hàn-t'	half
ha <sup>a</sup> p'- hāp-xì ha <sup>a</sup> p'-(dèk') ha <sup>a</sup> p-x-(dèk') hā'p'-ɗi, hap-s-dì ha <sup>a</sup> p'-k!emná <sup>©</sup> s	small, child child (my) child (my) children small "children maker" (name of creator)
hás-(a)	(his) mother's brother
(wi-)has-ì	(my) mother's brother
hásd-(a)	(his) sister's husband, wife's brother
hau- haw-an-(dē)	under (me)
-hau-¹ di <sup>g</sup> -hau-(dĕ) haū-x	behind (me), after (I) left woman's private parts
haŭ-x-(dek')	(my) private parts
dak-hawalák'-i-(t'k')	(my) crown of head
hawàx²	rottenness, pus, foul odor
hā' <sup>©</sup> ya-(dē) hé <sup>e</sup> l³ hé <sup>e</sup> l-(t'ek')	around (me) song (my) song
he <sup>e</sup> l-àm he <sup>e</sup> lam-à-(t'k')	board, lumber, (my) lumber
-hin	see ni-
hin <sup>e</sup> x <sup>4</sup>	fear
hī'p'-al	flat
hīt'	out of wind, nearly dead
hīx	roasted camass

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  See also gwen-hau-. Perhaps identical with preceding.  $^2$  See verbs -xiu- and -do  $^{\epsilon}$  s-.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. verb helel.

See verbs niiw- and da-ts:!aam-x.

## E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

-hók'w-al, -hogw-àl da-hók'wal han-hók'wal gwel-hók'wal daª-hók'wal s`in-hók'wal xo-hók'wal	holed holed (as for smoke) holed through holed underground, caved ear-holed nose-holed holed (fir)
ho <sup>c</sup> px	lake
hōū	jack-rabbit
hós au	somewhat bigger, growing up
hos au hos ou	pl.
hūlk'	panther
hülű-n	ocean, sea
s'in-hü's'g-al	long-nosed
hu <sup>u</sup> s ·ú <sup>u</sup>	chicken-hawk
ī-	hand
ī-ū-x-(dèkʻ)	(my) hand
īhíil <sup>8</sup>	blood money for settlement of feud
í¹lts!-ak'*	bad
īl <sup>g</sup> áls-ak' <b>w</b>	pl.
kʻabá-(xa)	(his) son
kʻai <sup>ɛ</sup> -lā'pʻa kʻai <sup>ɛ</sup> lā'pʻa-k!-i-(tʻkʻ) kʻe <sup>iɛ</sup> lè'pʻa-k!-i-kʻ <sup>w</sup>	woman (my) woman woman-having
kʻai <sup>ɛ</sup> -s·ókʻ-da	young woman (who has already had
	courses)
k'àl	penis
kʻalw-ì-(tʻkʻ)	(my) penis
k'ő <sup>ε</sup> px	dust, ashes
k'ϭ <sup>ε</sup> x	tar-weed seeds
kʻu <sup>u</sup> b-ì-(tʻkʻ)	(my) body-hair, skin
k'ülű-m	"fish having turned-up hog-mouth," sucker (?)
k'wedeī-(t'k')	(my) name
k'winax-(dē)	(my) kinsman, relative
k'ü' <sup>ū</sup> nax·	kinsman (myth form)
s in-k'wôk!wáª	mudcat
k!abàs	porcupine quills used in embroidery

248	ANTHROPOLOGICAL	PUB.	UNIV.	$\mathbf{or}$	PA.	MUSEUM,	VOL.	11.
-----	-----------------	------	-------	---------------	-----	---------	------	-----

di <sup>ε</sup> -k!àls¹	lean in rump
k!ál <sup>ɛ</sup> s	sinew
k!alts!-ì-(t'k')	(my) sinew
k!áma	tongs, split stick for putting hot rocks into basket-bucket
k!amà-(t'k'), k!ámak!a-(t'k')	) (my) tongs
k!ának!as	small basket-cup for drinking
k!ás-(a)	(his) maternal grandparent, daughter's child
(wi-)k!as-ì	(my) maternal grandparent
k!ā <sup>ɛ</sup> t'	"thick, low, blue-looking bushes"
k!é <sup>e</sup> p-(xa)	(her) husband's parent
k!éda`	grass from which string was made
yãl k!egeláu-s·i-x-daª	pine-fungus (?)
k!el\2	basket-bucket
k!elw-i-(t'k')	(my) basket-bucket
k!eleī	bark
k!eleī-(t'k')	(my) bark
k!elé <sup>e</sup> s	bird (sp. ?)
k!iyí¹x	smoke
al-k!iyí¹x-nàt	"smoke-looking," blue
-k!ok!òk'	ugly
al-k!ok!òk'	ugly-faced
ī-k!ok!òk'	ugly-handed
k!oloĩ³	small basket
k!ol <sup>e</sup> xì	salmon-head
k!ùls	worm
k !uls[à]-t'	soft (to eat)
k!umoi	swamp
k!o"xa-	relatives by marriage of their children
(wi-)k!o <sup>u</sup> xà	(my) relative
k!o <sup>u</sup> xá-m-(xa)	(his) relative
k!ū'yam ¹	friend (voc.)
(wi-)k!u"yàp', -k!u"yàm	(my) friend
k!ūyab-á-( <sup>e</sup> t')	(your) friend
k!u"yáp-(xa)	(his) friend

¹Cf. verb di<sup>8</sup>-k!alas-na-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cf. verb *k!eleu-*.

See also da-k!oloi.
Cf. verb k!uyum-id-.

#### E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

k!wāī	grass
k!wal`	pitch
k!wál-t'a*	youngest (of two or more)
là'	excrement
lã-(t'k')	(my) excrement
Lámhi-k'	Klamath river
lamts!-í-(xa)	(her) brother's wife
làmx	sunflower seeds
lãn	fishing-net
láªp'	leaves
-lā'p'a	person (found only as second member
-lā'p'a-k!-an	of compounds)
-la p a-k :-all -lā'p'a-k !-i-(t'k')	pl. (my) person
lap'ā-m	frog
lap'õ <sup>u</sup>	"red-striped snake"
làp-s	blanket
laps-(dèk')	(my) blanket
lasgùm	little snake
lasgúm īūxgwàt'	"handed snake," lizard
legè-m-(t'k')	(my) kidneys
lé-k'w-an-(t'k')	(my) anus
lep'ní-xa (adv.)	winter
le <sup>e</sup> p-sì	feather
lep!ēs	cat-tail rushes, mat
libì-n	news
libīs	crawfish
līu-gw-ax-(dèk')¹	(my) face
lõm	cedar
$\mathrm{Di}^{\epsilon}$ -lo $^{\mathrm{u}}$ m- $ ilde{\mathrm{i}}$	"West of which are cedars" (vil-
	lage name)
lom-t!í¹	old man
loxò-m	manzanita
$lu^{u}l-i-x-(d\grave{e}k')$ , $lu^{u}l-i-(t'k')$	(my) throat
má-(xa)	(his) father
(wi-)hàm	(my) father
mé-xa-k' <sup>w</sup>	having father

¹Cf. verb liwilau-.

māl ma*l-ì-(t'k') mānx máp!a-gw-a-(t'k') má*t'al máxla mayá*-k'*-(dèk') k!el mehel-î' mēl melèl-x' ména mengî' mengî-(t'k')	white paint (my) shoulder-blade pigeon dust, ashes orphan child related to (me) basket for cooking crow burnt-down field bear, brown bear full of, covered with (my) game, what (I) come home
měx mī <sup>/e</sup> ax	provided with crane red paint
min-²	vagina (?)
mí <sup>ig</sup> s mí <sup>ig</sup> s-ga <sup>g</sup> al-mī <sup>ig</sup> s ha- <sup>g</sup> ī-mí <sup>g</sup> s ha- <sup>g</sup> ī-gā' <sup>g</sup> m ha- <sup>g</sup> ī-xìn ha- <sup>g</sup> ī-gò mix-al mixál-ha mòk' mologòl mologo-lā'p'a k'ai mologo-lā'p'a-x-(da) da <sup>g</sup> -molh-ìt'	one one together six seven eight nine how many, as many a in great numbers pit, ditch old woman old woman what kind of old woman red-eared

¹Cf. verb melel-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See verb yiil-.

mómhi	mourning dove
mot'	son-in-law, suitor
mó¤-(t'ek')	(my) son-in-law
mot!op'	stick for beating seeds into receptacle
mòx	grouse
moxò	buzzard
mü <sup>üg</sup> làp-x	sweat-house
mu <sup>u</sup> l-ì-(t'k')	(my) lungs
mü <sup>ūg</sup> x-dàn¹	once
nanb-í-(xa)	(his) brother's wife, wife's sister
nãx	pipe
nãx-(dek')	(my) pipe
ní-(xa)	(his) mother
(wi-)hìn	(my) mother
ní-xa-k'*	having mother
nì	teats, nipples
nī-(t'k')	(my) nipples
nihwìk'*	black bear
nό <sup>uε</sup> s.	next door
nō'ts!-a-(dē)	neighboring to (me)
nõx	rain
õp-(xa)	(his) elder brother
(wi-) <sup>ɛ</sup> ob-ì	(my) elder brother
t'-óp-(xa)	(his) elder sister
ohòp'	"bean-like half-black shells"
-ol-	
da- <sup>8</sup> òl	near by
da- <sup>r</sup> ol-(dē)	near (me)
da- <sup>ɛ</sup> ol-di-(dē)	near, close to (me)
os·ou-lā'p'a	poor people
pʻabá³pʻ	manzanita flour
pʻā' <sup>ɛ</sup> tʻpʻad-i-(tʻkʻ)	(my) salmon-liver
p'ìm	salmon
pʻim-à-(tʻkʻ)	(my) salmon
s in-p'ín <sup>ɛ</sup> s, -p'íl <sup>ɛ</sup> s	flat-nosed

Perhaps related to  $mii^{\epsilon}s$ . For  $u^{\hat{\mu}}$  and ii in related words cf. k'winax- and  $k'\bar{u}^{\hat{\mu}}nax$ .

252	ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB.	UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.
-p'óa <sup>ɛ</sup> -	X 1	bent
-p'ó	oεk'-it'	pl.
	o'óa <sup>ɛ</sup> x	crooked
ī-p'd	óa <sup>ε</sup> x	crooked-handed
gwit	t-p'óa <sup>ɛ</sup> x	crooked-armed
p'ùn		rotten
	ı-yìlt'	Oregon pheasant
	en-p'uñ-k'	"East of rotten (trees)" (village name)
p'o <sup>u</sup> yà:		whirlwind
da-p!ā	′lau²	youth
p!ān		liver
_	ı-(t'k')	(my) liver
p!á⁴s		snow
p!é⁰l²		basket-plate
p!eldà		slug
p!èns		squirrel's bushy tail for eating man-
		zanita
p!éºs		rock serving as support for acorn- hopper
p!ĩ		fire, firewood
p!iy-	-à-(t'k')	(my) fire
p!í¹wal٩	<sup>£</sup> S	bat
p!iyì-n		deer
p!íy-	ax	fawn
p!ol'		dust, soil
Dī-p	!ol-ts!íl-da	"On its red soil," Jump-off-Joe creek
p!u"lhì		eyrie
p!oxòm	1	flint
sã-(t'k'	()	(my) discharge of wind
s ag-àlx	ζ	cascades, rapids
s al-s	s agálx-a	shallow (below cascades ?)
sàk'		big rush basket
s·al-		foot
s'al-x	k-(dèkʻ)	(my) foot

¹Cf. verb p'owok!-.
²Cf. balàu.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

saªl-ì-(t'k')	(my) belt
t'gam saªl-í¹	belt of elk skin
Dal-salsañ	(village name)
sáma	summer
samá-xa ( <i>adv</i> .)	in summer
Al-sawēn-t'a-dìs	(mountain name)
sbéxal-t'a	(epithet of young Eagle)
sbīn	beaver
Sbīn-k'	Applegate creek
sé <sup>e</sup> l¹	black paint, writing
s·elēk'*	long acorn-pestle of stone
s'ēnı	duck
sé•ndi	panther (myth name)
sēn-(t'k')	(my) hair
sēns	bug (sp. ?) <sup>2</sup>
$se^{e}ns-i-x-(d\grave{e}k')$ , $se^{e}ns-i-(t'k')^{3}$	(my) head-hair
se <sup>e</sup> yán	inner bark of cedar used as tinder
da-sgáxi, -sgáxi-t'	sharp-mouthed, long-snouted
al-sgenh-ìtʻ	black (as epithet of crow)
de <sup>e</sup> -sgè-t'	left-handed
de-sgé-t'aª	left (hand)
sgé <sup>eç</sup> -xapʻ	hat
sgé <sup>eç</sup> -xab-a-(t'k')	(my) hat
sgísi	coyote
da-sgulì	short
de-sgwegwèk'	see de-sgwôgw-ènt'
sgwinì	raccoon
de-sgwôgw-èn-t', -sgwôgw-ô'k' -sgwegwè·t'	, worn out, half gone
sgwôgwô'k'*	robin
wili s'idib-í <sup>i</sup>	house wall (planks reaching from cross beams to ground and form- ing inner wall of house)
s·īm	animal (sp. ?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. verb ts'!elel-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Used for headache by putting next to nostrils to let out blood by scratching.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. seen-.

254

s'imì-l dew s'innose s in-ii-x-(dèk') (my) nose p'im s'inīxda "salmon its-nose," swallow s'ĩn wood-coals si<sup>i</sup>nsàn very old decrepit woman siw-í-(xa) (his) sister's child, (his) brother's child venison s īx s'iyáªEp-(xa) (her) sister's husband, husband's brother smãk' twins sméla<sup>uɛ</sup>x arrow shaft ha-s'õu in middle (of house) -s'ogwxa\*-s ogw-i-(dám) between (us) s'ugw-àn basket made of roots s'ugw-àn-(t'k'), s'ugu-n-ì-(my) basket (t'k') s'oni mountain s'oum-al-(t'k') (my) mountain S'omõl-k' (village name) s'om-lohólxa8s see verb lohoy-alds'uñs' thick, deep s'uhú<sup>u</sup> quail s'ülűk' cricket s'üm-xì¹ paddle, mush stirrer s üm-xì-(t'k') (my) paddle s'ũx bird swayàu hermaphrodite tʻád-(a) (his) father's sister (wi-)t'ad-ì (my) father's sister t'ãn squirrel Da-t'ān-eláat'gwat' "Squirrel-tongued" (girl's name) t'a-wã-(xa) see wã-(xa) t'bàl brush used for medical purposes (sp.?)

¹ Cf. verb ts:/umuum-t'a-.

### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

t'bãlt'	snail
t'béek'w	shinny ball
t'belé§s	pine-nut
t'élma	acorn-pestle
t'gã	earth, land
t'gā-ũ-(t'k')	(my) land
La-t'gāŭ	(village name)
t'gàl	sugar-pine, sugar-pine nuts
t'gált'gal-i-(t'k')	(my) stomach
t'gált'gal-i-x-(da-gwa)	(his own) stomach
t'gàm	elk, armor of elk hide
Dak'-t'gam-ī-k'	"Above which are elks" (village name)
t'gánt'gan	fly
t'gá³p'	horn
t'gá*p'-(dek')	(my) horn
t'gebe-si <sup>i</sup>	gall
t'gel <sup>g</sup> nagai-	drop down, fall
-t'gem¹	black
t'géme-t'-it'	pl.
al-t'gèm	black
gwen-t'gèm	black-necked
ha-gwel-t'gé <sup>e</sup> mt'gam	down in dark places
dák loloi-t'gémet'it'	black-cheeked
al-t'gey-àp-x al-t'geyé-p'-it'	round
	pl.
dī'-t'giliu la <sup>a</sup> li <sup>i</sup> -	jump around in war-dance
t'gohòx +'~ <is< td=""><td>quail (?)</td></is<>	quail (?)
tʻgʻosi-i-(tʻkʻ)	leggings (my) leggings
al-t'gú <sup>ig</sup> s'	white
al-t'gúyu <sup>iɛ</sup> s'-it'	pl.
tʻgū'm	rattlesnake
al-t'gun-àp-x	rolled-up
ménà <sup>g</sup> al-t'gunàpx	"bear rolled-up," doormouse (?)
t'gwà	thunder
t'gwaláª	hooting owl

¹ Cf. verb t'geme-t!-.

t'gwàn	slave
Ha-t'gwáªɛxi	(Umpqua village)
t'gwayàm	lark
t'gwe <sup>e</sup> l-àm-x	scouring-rush
t'gwèlk'*	"rat" (sp. ?)
t'gwīl	hazel brush, hazel nut
t'gwiint'gw-i-(t'k'), t'gwiint'gwan-i-(t'k')	(my) upper arm
Ha-tʻīl	(village name)
t'í¹s	gopher
t'ī't'-al	thin
al-t'mil-àp-x	smooth
al-t'míli-p'-it'	pl.
t'mu <sup>u</sup> gàl	twisted shells (sp. ?)
t'-ōp-(xa)	see õp-(xa)
de-t'ulú <sup>ɛ</sup> p'	dull, not sharp
de-t'ulú <sup>ɛ</sup> p'-it'	pl.
t!agam\	lake
-t!ai	narrow
-t!áya-t'-it'	pl.
s al-t!ái	slim, narrow slim-wristed
gwit <sup>e</sup> īū-t!ái	fresh-water mussel
t!āk'	
t!ā'k'¹	spoon
be°-t!awàkʻ	spring month when there is much wind (? April)
xilam t!egal-íx-i	skull
t!eimí <sup>ɛ</sup> s²	one hundred
t!éºk'ʷ	yellowhammer
t!e°k'wì	big trout
t!elà	shinny stick
t!elà	louse
t!elà-(t'k')	(my) louse
t!eláª-t'an	pl.
al-t!e°s'-ìt'	little-eyed (epithet of squirrel)

<sup>Perhaps same word as preceding.
Perhaps t/ii-mi<sup>e</sup>s, "one male."</sup> 

### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

t!ewex	flea
t!ii-	male, husband
t!ī-(t'k')	(my) husband
t li <sup>i</sup> - <sup>ɛ</sup> lā'p'a	husband, man
tliba-, tlibà-k'*	pancreas
t!iba-gw-àn-(t'k')	(my) pancreas
t!ibis'í	ants
t!oìt'	one-horned deer
t!onix-í-(xa)	(her) parent-in-law
(wi-)t lomx-àu	(my) parent-in-law
Ha-t!õ <sup>u</sup> n-k'	(village name)
t!onóus·	humming-bird
t!os·óu	small, a little
dák loloi-t lus ū's -gwat '	small-cheeked
al-t!uigs:-it'	little-eyed (epithet of squirrel)
t!ü'l¹	gambling bones
t!uxū'i²	driftwood
ts!á-(xa)	(her) brother's child, (his) sister's child
(wi-)ts!a-ĩ	(my) nephew
ts <sup>·</sup> !ī'y-à-(t'k')	(my) nephew (myth form)
ts·!á <sup>iɛ</sup> s·	bluejay
ts!ákix	hill
ts: làm-x	strong
da-ts: !àmx ³	sick
Dak'-ts!a <del>°</del> m-al-á <sup>ɛ</sup>	Klamath Indian
ts!amãl	mouse
ts!ãn	porcupine (?)
da-ts'!anā'-t'	about to die
ts·!ā'sap'	berry-bush (sp. ?)
Dak'-ts!asiñ	(village name)
ts!āū	large body of water, ocean flood
ts!aªw-àn-(t'k')	(my) ocean
Dak'-ts!a°w-an-á <sup>ɛ</sup>	Klamath Indian
ts <sup>·</sup> !axá <sup>a</sup> n	lizard (sp. ?)

¹Cf. verb t!ülut!al-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cf. verb -t!oxox-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. verb da-ts:!aam-x-.

ts!ayàlt'	pinon jay
ts!ayàl-x	wet
ts:!ék'ts:!ag-i-(t'k')	(my) backbone
ts' !elàm¹	hail
ts: !elei	eve
ts !eleī-(t'k')	(my) eye
ts !én <sup>ɛ</sup> s	wild-rose berry
ts·!é <sup>ɛ</sup> ts·!e <sup>ɛ</sup>	small bird (sp. ?)
de-ts!id-àk' <sup>w2</sup>	reddish
ts lidáx-gwa	disease-spirit, "pain"
ts: !í¹k'-(dek')	(my) flesh
ts <sup>.</sup> !i <sup>.</sup> -(t'gwa)	(his own) flesh
al-ts: !il	red
al-ts <sup>.</sup> !íli-t'-it'	pl.
dák !oloi-ts: !ìl	red-cheeked
ts <sup>·</sup> !ilí <sup>i</sup> k!-i-(t'k')	(my) elbow
ts'!íxi	dog
ts <sup>.</sup> !íxi mahài	"dog big," horse
ts: !ixi-k !ō'lts: !am <sup>ɛ</sup>	(name of Sun's servant)
ts: !òlx	dentalia
s'al-ts' !un-àp-x	straight
s·al-ts·!únu-p'-it'	pl.
ts'!ún <sup>ɛ</sup> s'	deer-skin cap with woodpecker tails
ī-ts:!6-p'-al	sharp-clawed
de-ts' lügú <sup>u</sup> ³	sharp-pointed
de-ts:!ugù-t'	sharp-pointed
de-ts <sup>.</sup> !ugū'[h]-it'	pl.
ts !ũk'	Indian rope
gál <sup>ɛ</sup> ts ug[w]-áª	bowstring
di-ts <sup>·</sup> !ùk'	Indian rope
ts'!ül'm'	wart
ts'!ülm-ì-(t'k')	(my) wart
ü'lük!-i-(t'k')	(my) head-hair
ü'lük!-i-x-(da-gwa)	(his own) hair
ū′⁵xi	deer-skin pouch for receiving seeds when beaten from stalk

¹ Cf. verb ts'!ele-m-.

<sup>2</sup> Cf. -ts:/il?

<sup>3</sup> Cf. verb de-ts:/ugu-.

Cf. verb al-ts:/ulm-.

## E. SAPIR—TAKELMA TEXTS.

wa-(dē)	to, at (me)
wá²-(da)	to, at (him)
wã-(xa)	(his) younger brother
t'a-wã-(xa)	(his) younger sister
wa*d-i-x-(dèk)	(my) body
al- <sup>ɛ</sup> waªd-i-(dē) be <sup>e ɛ</sup> waªd-í <sup>i</sup>	towards (me) ''sun its-body,'' all day long
wagá-t'aª	which one?
waiwii	girl, female
waiwi <sup>i</sup> -(t'èk')	(my) girl
wak'd-í-(xa)	(his) mother's brother's son
wá <sup>a</sup> s	bush with edible root (sp. ?)
wàx	creek
han-wax-g-àn	across the creek
wayà	knife
wayaũ-(xa)	(his) daughter-in-law
wigī-n	small red lizard
wi <sup>ɛ</sup> ì-n	different
Al-wilám-xa-dìs	(mountain name)
he <sup>eg</sup> -wilámxa	beyond Atwilámxa-dis
wilàu	arrow
wiláu-(t'ek')	(my) arrow
wíli, wilī	house
wilì-(t'k')	(my) house
de-de-wilí <sup>i</sup> -da	door
wili-háu-(t'ek')	(my) friend (used as term of greet-
dan wi <sup>i</sup> lí <sup>i</sup>	ing
	big stone knife
-Win-i-	
ha- <sup>e</sup> win-i-(dē) xa <sup>a</sup> - <sup>e</sup> wín-hi	inside of (me)
xā-bin-win-ì	half-way half full
wini-t'	
ge winit'	tired out, exhausted proceeding that far
de- <sup>ɛ</sup> winit'	proceeding that lar proceeding, going ahead, reaching
	to
hāε-wìt'	getting even (in reply)
wits !am-àk'*, wits !am-à	flint flaker, fire-driller
,	

260 ANTHROPOLOGICAL PUB. UNIV. OF PA. MUSEUM, VOL. II.

wogit' frog wul'x enemy, Shasta Indian wounāk'wı wounā'k'w-dan ъl. woup!ù-n-(t'k') (my) eyebrows wü<sup>u</sup>l[h]-àm² menstrual round-dance xaªback, waist  $xa^{n}[h]-am-(t'k')$ (my) back xa<sup>a</sup>[h]-am-(dē) on (my) back xagá-(xa) (his) mother's sister (wi-)xaga-1 (my) mother's sister xam'k' grizzly bear xãn³ urine  $xa^al-am-(t'k')$ (my) urine xdã-(xa) (his) father's brother (wi-)xda-ĩ (my) father's brother xdã-n4 eel xdeīt' flute of wild parsnip -xdíl<sup>e</sup>s xaª-xdíl<sup>ɛ</sup>s slim-waisted gwen-xdíl<sup>e</sup>s slim-necked xèm raven χì water xiy-à-(t'k') (my) water ha-xíya-<sup>8</sup>xi hā'p'di "being-in-the-water small," mink xí-binì three xìn-t' three times xi-gwàl-t' fresh (of meat) xil-àm sick, dead person, ghost han-xilm-ī "Across where ghosts are," land of ghosts xílEk'wì5 billet in woman's shinny-game ximn-í-(xa) (his) relative by marriage intermediate relative having died xīn 6 mucus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cf. verb wunuun-.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. verb wülüh-am-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. verb xalaxam-.

<sup>\*</sup>Cf. verb xdaaxda-gwa-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cf. verb xiliu<sup>8</sup>-xa-.

<sup>6</sup> Cf. verb xiniixan-p'-.

```
bush from hard wood of which ca-
xīũ
                                          mass-stick is made
   t'gap'-xī'ū-t'
                                        camass-stick
   t'gap'-xī'ū-(t'ek')
                                        (my) camass-stick
xlé<sup>e</sup>p-x<sup>1</sup>
                                      roundish dough-like cake of deer-
                                          fat or camass
                                      feathers worn in war-dance
xlíwi
xnìk'
                                      acorn dough
xλ
   xaª-xò
                                        among firs
xùm²
                                      dry
   cĩx-xùm
                                        dried venison
   xum-à
                                        food
   xúma-x-(dek')
                                        (my) food
xum'-t'
ha-xoun-hì
                                      just for nothing, with no reason
xu<sup>u</sup>l-ì-(t'k')
                                      (my) brains
xū'\sigma'-nè, xū\sigma'-n (adv.)
                                      night
Yaagal-ás
                                      Umpqua Indian
                                      black acorn, chief acorn
yana yáhal⁵s
vãk'w
                                      wildcat
vãl
                                     pine
   Ha-ya<sup>a</sup>l-bā'ls-da
                                        "In its tall pines" (village name)
   Gel-yãl-k'
                                        "Abreast of pines" (village name)
yàmx
                                     fat, grease
   yamx-(dèkʻ)
                                        (my) fat
yan(?) laalii-
                                     become stuck (?) (86, 15)
yanà
                                     acorn, oak
                                     "white-barked oak"
yan-gwàs3
                                     "tall tree with rough reddish bark"
yànx
yap!à
                                     person, people
yau-
                                     ribs
  yaw-à-(t'k')
                                        (mv) ribs
  dal-, daª-yaw-a-(dē)
                                       at (my) side
                                        "beside-earth-its-rib," north
  da*-t'ga*-yawá*-da
```

Cf. verb -xlep!exlab-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cf. verb xoum-an-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Perhaps compounded of yanà and -gwási, "yellow."

1	and an aid and the other side holf
da-yawánt!i-xi	on one side, on the other side, half
yãx	graveyard
yãxa dàn	water-worn flat rock
yék'-dal	in the brush
yé <sup>eg</sup> k'	cinders
ye <sup>e</sup> k!iyé <sup>e</sup>	sparrow-hawk
yěl	whip
yelèx	burden-basket
yeléx-(dek')	(my) burden basket
yèt'	tears
yee-xì¹	needle, awl
dan yé°w-ald-an-i¹²	"always returning to rocks," otter (myth name)
yibáxam	small skunk
yid-í-(xa)	(her) husband's sister
yīk'àt'	long-tailed red deer
yílwa <sup>ɛ</sup> s	hazel switch
yiwì-n³	speech
yiwin-(dèkʻ)	(my) speech
p!ii yog[w]-áa	fireplace
da-yougám-xa (adv.)	fall, antumn
bai-yugw-à-(t'k')	(my) rescuer
yōºk'*	bone
yõk![w]-a-(t'k'), yõ <sup>ɛ</sup> k'[w]-a- (t'k')	(my) bone
yõ <sup>e</sup> k'au	marrow
y <b>ú</b> <sup>ɛ</sup> k'ama	salmon-tail
yú <sup>ɛ</sup> k'umaª-da, yúk!umaª-da	(his) salmon-tail
di <sup>ɛ</sup> -t'ga²-yúk!uma²-da	"at-rear-end-of-earth-its-tail," west
yolà	fox
yo <sup>u</sup> láp-x-(dek')	(my) guardian spirit
yõls	steel-head salmon
yulù-m, yulà-m	eagle
yōm	blood
youm-à-(t'k')	(my) blood

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. verb yegwegw-.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Cf. verb yewew-ald-.

<sup>3</sup> Cf. verbs yaway- and yiwiyau-.

#### E. SAPIR-TAKELMA TEXTS.

263

Yūk'yák'wa

yū'k !al-x, yū'<sup>ε</sup>k'al-x yū'k !alx-(dèk')

yũp'

yu<sup>u</sup>b-ì-(t'k')

yót'i¹

yutʻíhi

yūt!ù-n²

yū'xg-an

(name of salt lick where deer were caught)

teeth

(my) teeth

woman's basket-cap (my) basket cap

alive

рl.

white duck

trout

Pernaps = y6-t' hi. Cf. verb youo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Cf. verb -yut!uyad-.

# **Editorial Notes**

Originally published as University of Pennsylvania Anthropological Publications 2(1) (1909). Reprinted by permission of the University Museum, University of Pennsylvania.

The following notes correspond to numbers placed in the margins of the *Texts*.

- 1. In addition, an asterisk (\*) denotes theoretical forms. (p. 325)
- 2. "Come and copulate! Come and copulate!" she said (they say).(p. 351)
- 3. "I'll copulate." (p. 351)
- 4. She stretched her legs apart. Then, they say, he slept with her. Then she squeezed her legs together. "I don't want her to do that to me!" (said Daldal). Now he was nearly breathless. "O elder brother!" There he went (Daldal the elder); he used a 'flint-flaker' and split her legs apart. (p. 351)
  - 5. More correctly, the aorist stem tc!ucum-. (p. 392)
- 6. Sapir inadvertently omitted the translation of two Takelma sentences here: "Sharp-mouthed, sharp-clawed copulator with your mother," he was called. "What are you saying?" (p. 401)
  - 7. S-copulator with your mother.(p. 401)
  - 8. Then he discovered she had nothing for a vagina. (p. 423)
- 9. For "the warriors assembled together" read "he assembled the warriors together" (wasīt!emém, from the stem t!emem "to gather (people) together.")(p. 4.
- 10. "Here is a vagina for you," they said to their father, and gave him a vagina. And they said to their mother, "Here is a penis for you, here are testicles for you." "Here are intestines for you," they said to their father; they gave the children to their father to eat. (p. 441)
  - 11. See The Takelma Language, p. 261, note 1.(p. 441)
- 12. "Here are testicles for you," they said to their mother. "Here is a vagina for you, and breasts. Here are children for you," (they said to their father).(p. 443)
- 13. "Here, father, is a vagina for you. Here, mother, are testicles for you, here is a penis for you," they said; they gave the intestines to their mother. (p. 445)
- 14. "Here are testicles for you, here is a penis for you," (they said to their mother). "Here is a vagina for you, father, here are breasts for you." (p. 449)
- 15. The suffix -kwan in īk!uumánkwan "they prepared themselves" is probably the plural form of the indirect relative -kwa. See *The Takelma Language*, pp. 148-149 and 247-249.(p. 458)
  - 16. For "one child did grow up" read "he caused one child to grow up." (p. 469)
  - 17. For "Not in that fashion!" read "Do not say that!" (p. 493)
  - 18. Add an entry: sel "kingfisher." (p. 535)

# Errata to Takelma Texts

Edward Sapir marked a number of corrigenda in his own copy of *Takelma Texts*, now in the library of the Institute of Foreign Studies in Tokyo. Many of these were published in *Notes on Chasta Costa Phonology and Morphology* (Sapir 1914c, 265-267). In the present edition, the erroneous forms have been corrected using a photographic process, following Sapir's manuscript corrigenda. The list below is a record of all changes that were made for this edition.

Original		Present	Original	Corrected
Page	Line	Page	Form	Form
24	8	338	hã′xda€	hãxda€
24	11	338	m <sup>i€</sup> s	mi <sup>i€</sup> s
25	3	339	da-it!amák	da-idamak
25	8	339	Abaigini€k'.	Abaiginí•k'.
26	12	340	giní•k.	giní•k'.
30	11	344	give it me to it to eat	give it me to eat
31	14	345	mu <sup>u</sup> xdánhi	mü <sup>u</sup> €xdánhi
32	1	346	holdidg	holding
41	fn.	355	note 1, p. 3.	note 1, p. 31.
50	1	364	ts!āĩp'k²	ts!āĩpk³
50	2	364	ókʻigam²	ók'igam³
50	fn.	364	[not given]	<sup>3</sup> Inferentials.
57	4	371	desgwogwènt'	desgwôgwènt'
61	12	375	yalá€k	yałá•k'
71	4	385	hawa <sup>a</sup> pi <sup>i</sup> -	ha <sup>a</sup> wap!i <sup>i</sup> -
71	15	385	īkwé <sup>e</sup> xi	īk'wé <sup>e</sup> xi
71	16	385	bayewé <sup>i</sup>	bayewé <sup>i€</sup>
75	3	389	xambilí <sup>u</sup>	xambilí <sup>u€</sup>
79	fn.1	393	Sapir	Sapir,
85	10	399	finished	finished.
88	9	402	wí¹is	Wĺ¹S
92	4	406	k!eméī;	k!emèi;
94	2	408	nāk'wōk'.	nãk'wôk'
95	24	409	whats	what's
100	1	414	yéũk'i€?'	yèūk'i€?'
101	15	415	trapped <sup>2</sup>	trapped <sup>1</sup>
108	9	422	disgot'õlha	disgot!õlha
109	11	423	baap'-seeds.	baap'-seeds.
110	3	424	p'elēkwa;	p'elēk'wa;
117	fn.2	431	didhthong	diphthong

Origina	ı l	Present	Original	Corrected
Page	Line	Page	Form	Form
119	fn.	433	Atbabascan	Athabascan
120	16	434	t'ga <sup>a</sup>	t'ga <sup>a</sup>
120	17	435	Gwĭ′•ne	Gwī′•ne
124	7	438	gingàt'	gingàt'
133	10	447	sbéxalt'a,	sbéxalt'a,"
134	8	448	ópxakan,	ópxak!an,
146	3	460	ág	gá
147	15	461	Thus	"Thus
154	11	468	Ganē	Ganēhi€
156	21	470	"Kái€wa	"K'ái€wa
171	fn.9	485	grandfather	grandmother
172	fn.2	486	war-dance,	war-dance.
173	22	487	s∙omlóholxa€s	s∙omlohólxa€s
178	19	492	ganàt',	ga nàt',
182	17	496	ts!a-imàt,	ts!a-imát',
184	16	498	gweliũs∙i	gwel⁵īūs∙i
189	fn.4	503	Yūk'yák'wa	Yūk'yák'wa
191	fn.8	505	when did	when will
194	14	508	t'omomán?	t!omomán?
195	5	509	shall	shalt
195	13	509	shall	shalt
196	21	510	sallatsàk',"	sallats!àk',"
206	22	520	:gwenai-á€s	:gwenai-iá€s
209	33	523	beat off back	beat off bark
218	25	532	p[]-xa-	pʻel-xa-
222	6	536	da <sup>a</sup>	da <sup>a</sup> -
230	31	544	ts·ai-m-,	ts·!ai-m-,
231	30	545	ha-dak'	ha-dak'-
233	17	547	drawns	dawns
234	27	548	mensrtaul	menstrual
236	4	550	supernaturally	supernaturally)
239	30	553	tion)	tion); be born
243	13	557	delg-án-x-(dèk')	delg-an-x-(dèk')
260	8	574	$xa^{n}[h]-àm-(t'k')$	$xa^{a}[h]-àm-(t'k')$

		23	



Mrs. Frances Johnson (Gwisgwashan), consultant to Edward Sapir, Siletz, Oregon, 1906. (Photograph by Edward Sapir. Courtesy of the Library of the American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia.)

# The Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text: "Coyote and His Rock Grandson"

#### DELL HYMES

#### Introduction

The texts of many American Indian languages have been found in recent years to be patterned in terms of lines and groups of lines. Takelma is one of these. The first text of Sapir's collection, "Coyote and His Rock Grandson," is presented below in a line and line-group format to show that this is the case, and to show the importance of working with the entire collection in this fashion to discover as much as possible about the use to which such patterning was put by the narrator, Mrs. Frances Johnson.

We do not know what intonation contours Mrs. Johnson used in telling these stories, or where she paused. To know such things might make a difference to the patterning one finds in the stories, and it would certainly help to bring the stories alive. Yet the words and phrases themselves show so much parallelism and selective repetition that we can still discover an organization which makes the stories more readable and more meaningful.

Takelma is one of the many American Indian languages in which four is a significant number for patterning. Along with four goes pairing. We see this in the first lines of the text presented here. On one side are two (Wolf, Panther); on the other side, to be sure, three (Coyote, his wife Crane, and his daughter), but they are presented in wording that gives four phrases for persons (Coyote, Crane, Coyote's wife, his daughter), then adds two lines about the daughter to make four introductory lines altogether, including a closing repetition of 'daughter' in lines 2 and 4. Throughout the story actions are expressed in doubled form, recalling perhaps the doubling of expression in the Hebrew Psalms: she took off her skirt, she bathed; Otter came paddling with his canoe, he landed with his canoe; he stole the girl, he took her with him; he put a stone in her, he took her to his house; the girl was pregnant, she gave birth to a child. Repetitions sometimes make successive pairs partly parallel: daughter, as mentioned for lines 2 and 4; bathe (6, 8); took her (12, 14).

Such patterning is never mechanical. A story teller has more than one option in mapping the sequence of incident into the patterning of groups of lines, and sometimes may make use of an alternative principle for the sake of intensity. Mrs. Johnson may do this in colloquies in this story, where one finds not two or four, but three pairs of exchanges (34-45, 51-58).

Turns of talk are always relevant units in such patterning. So are occurrences of two initial particles,  $gane(hi^2)$  and  $mi \cdot (hi^2)$ . Indeed, their recurrence is so much a part of the structure that it must be shown in the English translation, by always translating each the same: 'then' and 'now' respectively. Notice that in this text  $mi \cdot (hi^2)$  'now' sometimes marks the first of two groups of lines linked in a verse (13-16; 116-119), and sometimes the second (9-12, 21-24). Study of other texts may show a stylistic significance.

The two particles are sometimes followed by  $-hi^2$ . This is shown in the translation by a comma following the 'then' or 'now'. The form  $-hi^2$  has no lexical meaning of its own. Because of this, and because it is found only in myths, Sapir conjectured that it is a quotative element (*The Takelma Language*, p. 274) and gives it the translation 'it is said'. But  $-hi^2$  is unlike any verb of saying in Takelma, and very like the emphatic particle hi (*The Takelma Language*, p. 272), which like  $-hi^2$  is commonly postposed, following pronouns and demonstratives.  $-hi^2$  and hi seem to be variants, both having an emphatic force. Since Sapir in his field notebooks sometimes abbreviates  $gane \cdot (hi^2)$  so that one cannot always be sure whether or not it was followed by  $-hi^2$ , it seems all the better to avoid a lexical translation, except where strongly indicated, as in the contrast between 'he said' with and without  $-hi^2$  in lines 50 and 57.

There is much still to be learned about such patterning, both in general and in Takelma. Enough is known to show here what it is like, but almost all of Mrs. Johnson's stories remain to be analyzed in this way. (In addition to this text, I have worked out no. 10, "Coyote Goes Courting"; no. 17, "The Otter Brothers Recover their Father's Heart"; and passages of no. 5, "Coyote and Fox," and no. 14, "Eagle and Grizzly Bears".) When all of the stories have been analyzed, we will be able to have more confidence that the form we find in a particular story is as true as possible to the original telling. We will be able to appreciate more fully what is specific to these texts, and gain a better understanding of the universal principles from which their patterning emerges.

# Notes on format

The lines are given numbers (1-201) for ease of reference. The line numbers make it possible to say precisely where something occurs.

Roman numerals indicate the major acts, or scenes, of the story. Headings are supplied to indicate their focus and unity. There are four major acts, or scenes, in this story: Otter takes Coyote's daughter; Otter's child seeks its grandparents; he rights their wrongs; he and his family bring them salmon.

Lower case letters in parentheses ((a), (b), etc.) indicate groups of lines that constitute a verse. Capital letters in parentheses ((A), (B), etc.) indicate groups of verses that constitute a stanza.

The original Takelma text, rearranged in lines to match the analytic translation, faces the latter. The orthography is a phonemic revision of that used by Sapir, as explained in note 1 following the text.

# Coyote and His Rock Grandson<sup>1</sup>

I

```
Bá·xdis hu·lk wili ìxdi·l;
    Sgìsi mé·x sgìsi gú·xda beyàn,
    mì · ?sga? di · hèlé · ya? wà · iwi · ,
4
    Sgìsi beyàn.
5
    Ganè·hi² ha·í· altgèm ba·dinì²x,
    dahó·xa wa-iwì· pagà-ida?.
6
7
    Du·gwitgwa ba-ixodòxat,
8
    pagài<sup>2</sup>.
    Ey sìlnagài? mì·sga? bùmxi dap?a·là-u,
9
       ey ba-isilìxgwa.
10
11
    Mi· hoyo·í· wa-iwì·,
12
       yá·nk<sup>w</sup>.
13
    Mi·hi? dàn ba?ilelè?k,
       hawilitgwa ginikw.
14
15
    Wa-iwi· mahwì·?,
       hà·pxwi· pa-imacak.
16
    Ganì·hi? Sgìsi wà-iwi· hacòlol,
17
18
       ò·t,
         du·gì· ya t'ayàk haxiyà.
19
20
    Mi·hi? albinìx la·lé·.
21
    Ulum pìyin mahài t'omò·mt Sgìsi,
22
    mi· Sgìsi pìyin wé·tgin,
23
       piyax ya ogòigin,
         tgwan kemé·n Sgìsi.
24
25
     Ani.? yokwo·í·,
26
       gwi giniyagwànma?,
27
         Sgìsi
28
            bèyan.
```

H

- 29 Mi· paiyuwò? hapxí,
- 30 kayài?.
- 31 Mi· mahài la·lé· hapxit'ì·ta pa-imacak.
- 32 Malàke hi.

# Coyote and His Rock Grandson

1.	[Coyole's daughter is taken by Otter.]	
(A)(a)	Wolf, Panther, with ten houses;	1
	Coyote, Coyote's wife Crane, his daughter,	2
	one girl sleeping on a platform,	2 3
	Coyote's daughter.	4
(b)	Then black clouds spread out in long strips,	5
	at evening as the girl was bathing.	6
	She took off her skirt,	7
	she bathed.	8
(B)(c)	One young Otter came paddling in his canoe,	9
	he landed with his canoe.	10
	Now he stole the girl,	11
	he took her with him.	12
(d)	Now he put a stone up in her,	13
	he took her into his house.	14
	The girl was pregnant,	15
	she gave birth to a child.	16
(C)(e)	Then, Coyote missed the girl,	17
	he looked for her,	18
	he found just her skirt in the water.	19
(f)	Now, he went into mourning.	
(D)(g)	Before Coyote used to kill large deer,	21
	Now Coyote was deprived of deer,	22
	he was given just fawns,	23
	Coyote was made into a slave.	24
(h)	Where she had been taken,	25
	his daughter,	26
	he did not know,	27
	Coyote.	28
II.	[The child grows up and seeks its grandparents.]	
(i)	[The child insists on going.]	
(E)(i)	Now the child was born,	29
	it grew up.	30
(j)	Now the boy she had given birth to became big.	31
(3)	She told him	32

```
33
         "kasi∙?t hinau•."
     Ganè·hi? ei wi·kwa.
34
35
       "Hinde· wìkasi wa·da ginàkde·."
36
         "Da?màxau."
37
       "Ge ginàkde ·. "
         "Yelnadà?."
38
39
       "Yanàte.
40
       Gwinàtedi?"
41
         "Da·molhè·t,
42
         i · č'òpal,
43
         hadanxmolè·t,"
44
            nagàhi?.
45
         "Rasi?t wa-iwi·ta bòkdan bá·ls."
46
     Mahài lá·le· ha·pxit'è·ta.
47
     Mi·hi? dalyewèi?,
48
       ei ba·sá·kw.
49
    "Gun-gun hàp-da yá·n-te?,"
50
       nagà-ihi?.
51
    Wìli gadak nagài?:
52
        tuł tuł tuł.
53
         "Nèkdi yá·x wili gadàk?"
54
            nagài?.
55
     "Ge vá·x wili nagàitədi?"<sup>2</sup>
56
       "Gwinàtədi dexebenàt?"
57
     "Ma·p<sup>2</sup>a gwinàtədi eí·tp,
58
      ganàtsi? eí·te?."
59
         "Ne abailìu."
60
     Abailiwiliu?,
61
       ali·tbà·gin;
62
         sin<sup>2</sup>i·tgilè<sup>2</sup>sgwa<sup>3</sup>,
            yó·m menge· yà·hi la·lé·.
63
    Abaiginì?k,
64
65
       ali·tbàgatbak,
         yàp?a he?i·lemè?k,
66
67
            yàp?a t'omó·m aldíl.
     "č'olx o-òs · ip!"
68
69
     č'olx o-ogoyìn,
70
       dàkdagwa kowú.
71
    Gane∙ xi igi·na
72
       alpoú·pauhi.
73
    Gane bà²iyewe n aldìl,
74
       č'olx ogoyìn.
```

	Hymes, Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text	589
	"Your mother's parents are upriver."	33
(F)(k)	Then, he traveled about in his canoe.	34
( )( )	"Mother! I shall go to my grandparents."	35
	— "Far away."	36
(1)	"There I shall go."	37
` '	— "You will get lost."	38
(m)	"I shall go.	39
, ,	"What do they look like?"	40
	— "Red-eared,	41
	sharp-handed,	42
	red in the ear,"	43
	she told him,	44
	"your mother's mother has a long neck."	45
(n)	The boy had become big.	46
(ii)	[First house.]	
(G)(o)	Now, he went off,	47
	he paddled his canoe upstream.	48
(p)		49
(T.T.) ( )	he said, indeed.	50
(H)(q)	On top of a house he made the noise,	51
	"Tul tul tul."	52
	— "Who is on top of the graveyard house?"	53 54
(-)	someone said.	55
(r)	"There is a graveyard house, you say?"  "What do you look like, you who spoke?"	56
(s)	"What do you people look like?	57
(5)	I look just the same."	58
	"Well, look inside!"	59
(I)(t)	He looked inside,	60
(1)(1)	he was hit;	61
	he scratched his nose,	62
	it was full of blood.	63
(u)	He went inside,	64
( )	he hit them all,	65
	he did away with the people,	66
	he killed all the people.	67
(J)(v)	"Give me dentalia!"	68
(w)	He was given dentalia,	69
	he put it about himself.	70
(x)	Then he took water,	71
	he blew on it.	72
(y)	Then he made them all recover;	73
	he was given dentalia.	74

```
Gane yà?.
75
     "Gun-gun hàp-da yá·n-te?,"
76
77
       nagài?.
78
     Gane.,
79
          "Nèkda yá·x wili gadàk?"
80
            nagài?.
    "Ge yá·x wili nagàitədi?"
81
       "Gwinatədi dexebenat?"
82
83
          "Ne abailìu."
84
     Abailiwilàkw.
       ali·tba·gin;
85
         sin<sup>2</sup>i·tgilè<sup>2</sup>sgwa,
86
            vó·m mengì· ya.
87
     Abaiginì?k,
88
89
       ali·tbagàtbak,
         he?i·lemè?k.
90
     "č'olx o-òs · ip,
91
     t'umù·xdaba?."
92
       č'olx o-ogoyìn.
93
    Xi ba·yá·nkw,
94
95
       xi igi·na;
96
         ba·yewèi?.
    Gane yà?.
97
98
       Xù·²n la·lé·.
     Ei ganau ba-isá·kw.
99
        Malàki kabàxa,
100
          "Ge kasi-?t bòkdan bá-ls,
101
102
           da·molhé·t,
103
            i · č'òpal."
      Aba-iginì?k.
104
105
        Alxì∙k
106
          dasgàxi,
107
          hadà·nxmolhè·t,
108
        alxi·k
109
          i · č'òpal.
110
     Wa-iwì·ta ga?al yewèy?,
        alxì∙k
111
112
          bòkdan bá·ls.
          gwé·lxda bá·ls.
113
114
     "Gadi ná·kik wihìn?á,
115
      wikàsi?"
```

	Hymes, Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text	591
(iii)	[Second house.]	
(K)(z)	Then he went.	75
(aa)	"As Otter's child I go,"	76
	he said.	77
(L)(bb)	Then,	78
	— "Who is on top of the graveyard house?"	79
	someone said.	80
(cc)	"There is a graveyard house, you say?"	81
(dd)	— "What do you look like, you who spoke?	82
	"Well, look inside."	83
(M)(ee)	He looked inside,	84
	he was hit;	85
	he scratched his nose,	86
	just full of blood.	87
(ff)	He went inside;	88
	he hit them all,	89
(	he did away with them.	90
(N)(gg)	"Give me dentalia,	91
	because you hit me."	92
(1.1.)	He was given dentalia.	93
(hh)	He took up water,	94
	he took water;	95
	they recovered.	96
	[Third house.]	
(O)(ii)	Then he went.	97
	It became night.	98
(jj)	In his canoe he paddled to land.	99
	She had told her son:	100
	"There your mother's parents have a long neck,	101
	red ears,	102
<i>(</i> , , )	sharp hands."	103
(kk)	He went inside,	104
	he saw him,	105
	long-mouthed,	106
	red in the ear.	107
	He saw him,	108
(11)	sharp-handed.	109
(11)	He turned to the woman,	110
	he saw her,	111
	long-necked,	112 113
	long-legged. "These are the ones my mother spake of	113
	"These are the ones my mother spoke of,	114

#### Ш

116 Bá·nx ťomó·kwa. 117 Mi∙ xuma ò•t. 118 vana ťavák, 119 Releú. 120 Alxì·k kàsa. 121 "Wikasi wihin 122 melèxina?, 123 'i · č'òpal,' 124 nagà-ida?, 125 'kasa bòkdan bá·ls,' 126 nagà-ida?." 127 Mì·hi? t'ayák. 128 kwà·x. 129 "Gi· eí·te? kasá·." "Bá·xdis hàpxda mì·²wa," 130 131 nagài?. "Ba·2i-yuwuni2n, 132 133 i·kwà·gwi?n." 134 Sgìsi mi· kwà·x. 135 "kasá, gi eíte?. 136 Ba·dé·p, kasá·! 137 Bá·nx t'umù·xi. 138 Yana ló·p! 139 Alhu·i?x kasá·, 140 s·í·x yàmxda gelgulugwà?n." 141 Sgisi pìyin mahài t'omó·m wé·tgin. 142 143 pìyax ga ya ogó·ygin, 144 piyìn mahay wé tgin. 145 Lobòxa?. 146 yana lobóp, 147 kà·want. 148 "Ba-ihèmk gasàlhi, 149 bo wedesina?, 150 Bá·xdis gú·xda wedèsink." 151 "Gi· eme? eí·te?, 152 wede wedèsbigam."

It will not be taken from you."

152

153 Xni(k) Reméí. 154 abaihiwilìu?. dan gadàk macak. 155 156 Mi·hi? bá·xdis gú·xda 157 mi∙ wé·tgi, yana mi· wé·tgi. 158 159 Gèhi yewèi?, 160 ali·tbagàtbak. 161 "Gi· eme? eí·te?, 162 wikàsi i·tgwanyè·git." 163 Aldi· t'omó·m. 164 aldi ka-ila·pa t'omó·m. 165 Dahó·xa yewèi? aldíl, 166 Sgìsi yewèi?. 167 pìyax ya·hi labák Sgìsi. piyin mahai t'omomana? 168 169 wé·tgin, 170 piyax ga ya ogoyin. "R'asá gwidì piyìn mahài?á?" 171 172 "Wé·sin." 173 "A. Sgisi wà da hapxit'i ta he i lème xam, 174 t'omó·xam." 175 Mi·hi? t'e·là·pagan no·s lemè?x. 176 ť omó·m hapxiťì·ta, 177 alì·hitbagàtbak, 178 gada yeweyák<sup>w</sup>. 179 Aldi· ťomó·m yàp?a, hapxit'i·ta xebè?n, 180 181 hapxit'i·ta t'omùxa?. Dan hapxit'i·ta 182 183 gasi? ga?ál ni·wàn yap?a mahài t'omó·m dan hapxit'ì·ta. 184 IV

185	Herne no yewer,
186	nixa wà∙da yewèi?.
187	"Alxì∙gi?n wikàsi,
188	bá·xdis i·tgwanyè·kak.
189	Xùma àldi wedèkigam,
190	pi∙ wedèkigam,"
191	nagà-ihi <sup>2</sup> ,
192	nixa gwenhegwà·gwanhi.

	Hymes, Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text	595
(U)(ww)	She made acorn dough,	153
	she ran into the house,	154
	she put a rock on top of it.	155
(xx)	Now, Wolf's wife,	156
	now she took it from her,	157
	acorns now she took from her.	158
(V)(yy)	There he returned,	159
	he hit them all.	160
	"I am here,	161
	You have enslaved my grandmother."	162
(zz)	He killed them all,	163
	he killed all the women.	164
	[He frees his grandfather.]	
(W)(aaa)	In the evening they all came back,	165
	Coyote came back.	166
(bbb)	He had packed just a fawn, Coyote.	167
	He had killed a large deer,	168
	but it was taken away from him,	169
	he was given just a fawn.	170
(X)(ccc)	"Grandfather! Where is the large deer?"	171
(ddd)	"I was deprived of it."	172
(Y)(eee)	"Ohhh! With Coyote is a boy who did away with us,	173
( )	he killed us."	174
(fff)	Now, their husbands met at the next house,	175
	they beat the boy,	176
	but he struck them all,	177
(=) (	he got even with them for that.	178
(Z)(ggg)	He killed all the people,	179
	the boy did that,	180
	the boy killed.	181
(hhh)	The boy was rock,	182
	because of that he was feared.	183
	The rock boy killed big people.	184
IV	[He and his family bring salmon.]	
(AA)(iii)	And then he returned downriver,	185
	he returned to his mother.	186
(jjj)	"I have seen my grandparents;	187
	Wolf seems to have enslaved them.	188
	They seem to have been deprived of all food,	189
	They seem to have been deprived of all firewood,"	190
	he said,	191
	he related it to his mother.	192

- 193 Sgisi beyàn gani· yà? maxa wà·da,
- 194 pim è·debu? t'i·twi yà?.
- 195 Motwók bòmxi,
- 196 pim è·debu? yá·nkw.
- 197 Bùmxi gú xdagwadì l pim è debu? yá nkw,
- 198 maxa wà·da aba-iwó·k.
- 199 Sgìsi gú·xdagwadí·l di·hilí·kw
- bean yewè-ida?.
- 201 Gani nó yewèi?.

#### Notes on Text

- 1. The orthography used in this rearranged text follows a phonemicization proposed by Sapir in lectures to his students at Yale in 1936. William Shipley in his article "Proto-Takelman" (1969: 227) presents a table of equivalencies between the phonetic orthography in which the original texts appeared and the phonemic orthography as recorded by Mary Haas from Sapir. In general, consonants now appear in the usual Amerindianist representations; vowel allophones have been regularized to long and short a, e, i, o, u (with length represented by ·); and pitch accent marking now follows the usual pattern of acute accent for high and grave for low.
- 2. In the published text the character ĕ appears only in this interrogative construction and is not described in Sapir's phonetic table. Based on forms in Sapir's grammar, Hymes has interpreted this phoneme as schwa, perhaps epenthetic, and we use ə in this retranscription.
- 3. In the published text the first occurrence of 'he scratched his nose' is sini·tgilè²sgwa and the second (line 86 here) is sin²i·tgilè²sgwa. Following Sapir's grammar (p. 78) we here restore the glottal stop omitted in the first occurrence. Variant vowels and pitch accents in the two nearly identical passages have not been edited, however.

	Hymes, Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text	597
(BB)(kkk)	Coyote's daughter then went to her father.	193
	Her husband went with a canoe full of salmon.	194
	Otter visited his father-in-law,	195
	he took a canoe full of salmon.	196
(CC)(III)	Otter and his wife took a canoe full of salmon,	197
	they arrived at her father's house.	198
	Coyote and his wife were glad	199
	that their daughter returned.	200
(DD)(mmm)	Then they went back down river.	201

#### Notes on Translation

#### Line(s)

- 1: Ten houses implies five each; 'five' suggests a force alien to grouping in terms of two and four, as the plot shows.
- 5: Such scene painting is rare.
- 17-19: The trio of lines may express intensity.
- 25-28: The Takelma has literally: 'not he knew, where she had been taken, Coyote, his daughter.' The order seems stylistically striking, and I attempt to emulate it in English.
- 31, 46: The second element of each group is parallel: 'become big'.
- 33-45: Although divided by the emphatic initial, 'Then,' (34) the mother has four turns at talk in all. Her account of her parents again has four elements, three for the father, one for the mother, separated by a verb of speaking.
- 51-52: The noise initiates the interaction (like the summons of a telephone ring).
- 60-3, 64-7: Notice the two successive accounts of action, each in four lines.
- 78-83: The interaction is modeled in terms of a subset of its sounds and speech, framed in three turns instead of six.
- 84-87, 88-90: The first four lines parallel those of 60-63, but the second set appears to lose a fourth line, probably accidentally.
- 104-115: Twelve lines apportioned among four sets of action: he went inside, he saw; he saw; he turned, he saw; (speech), of which the first and third (104-5, 110-1) are doubled. Note the recurrence of mother's parents' at the end of each half (101, 115). The stanza may have two main parts, not four.
- 128-140: The two parts are parallel: she woke up, Coyote now woke up (128, 134); each is greeted, "It is I." The grandmother provides a second group that is a transition to the third, and the renewal of the waking. The child extends the renewal of "It is I" into a four-part directive. "Pound acorns" (138) must be addressed to the grandmother, as the following action shows.

141-4: I take these lines not to be generic, but to be an anticipatory report of Coyote, as grandfather, having carried out the boy's command. The Takelma permits either interpretation ('deer' in general, 'a deer').

141-164, 165-184: The freeing of the grandparents expands into eight parts in

each case (four parts of paired verses).

190: Dutiful kin would provide grandparents with food.

193-197: Otters are great getters of salmon, and salmon, for the Takelma on the Rogue River, was a major food. Perhaps the grandparents live where deer and acorns are available, but not salmon. If so, the alliance with Otter not only has led to their liberation, but established a desirable relationship of exchange.

# References

Works appearing in *The Collected Works of Edward Sapir* are designated by a roman numeral, referring to the appropriate volume, at the end of the entry.

#### Beckham, Stephen Dow

1971 Requiem for a People: The Rogue Indians and the Frontiersmen.
Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.

# Boas, Franz (ed.)

- 1901 Kathlamet Texts. Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 26. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.
- 1922 Handbook of American Indian Languages, Part 2. Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 40. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.

#### Curtin, Jeremiah

1898 Creation Myths of Primitive America. Boston: Little, Brown. (Reprinted: New York: Benjamin Blom, 1969.)

#### Dixon, Roland B.

- Maidu Myths. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 17, 33-118.
- 1908 Achomawi and Atsugewi Tales. *Journal of American Folk-Lore* 21, 159-177.

# Dixon, Roland B., and Alfred L. Kroeber

New Linguistic Families in California. *American Anthropologist* 15, 645-655.

# Dorsey, J. Owen

The Gentile System of the Siletz Tribes. *Journal of American Folk-Lore* 3, 227-237.

# Gatschet, Albert

1890 The Klamath Indians of Southwestern Oregon. Contributions to North American Ethnology, Department of the Interior, United States Geographical and Geological Survey of the Rocky Mountain Region, 2 (2 volumes).

# Goddard, Pliny Earle

1904 *Hupa Texts*. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 1 (2), 89-368.

Golla, Victor (ed.)

The Sapir-Kroeber Correspondence: Letters Between Edward Sapir and A. L. Kroeber, 1905-25. Survey of California and Other Indian Languages, Report 6. Berkeley: Department of Linguistics, University of California.

#### Gray, Dennis J.

1987 The Takelma and Their Athapascan Neighbors: A New Ethnographic Synthesis for the Upper Rogue River Area of Southwestern Oregon. University of Oregon Anthropological Papers, 37. Eugene: Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon.

#### Hymes, Dell H.

How to Talk Like a Bear in Takelma. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 45, 101-106.

"In Vain I Tried to Tell You": Essays in Native American Ethnopoetics. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

#### Kendall, Daythal L.

1977 A Syntactic Analysis of Takelma Texts. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.

#### Mills, Elaine L.

1981 The Papers of John Peabody Harrington in the Smithsonian Institution, 1907-1957. A Guide to the Field Notes: I, Native American History, Language, and Culture of Alaska/Northwest Coast. Millwood, N.Y.: Kraus International Publications.

#### Powell, John Wesley

1877 Introduction to the Study of Indian Languages, with Words, Phrases, and Sentences to be Collected. Bureau of American Ethnology. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.

Introduction to the Study of Indian Languages, with Words, Phrases, and Sentences to be Collected. Second edition, with charts. Bureau of American Ethnology. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.

1891 Indian Linguistic Families of America North of Mexico. In Seventh Annual Report, Bureau of American Ethnology, 1-142. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.

# St. Clair, H. H., and Leo J. Frachtenberg

1909 Traditions of the Coos Indians of Oregon. *Journal of American Folk-Lore* 22, 25-41.

# Sapir, Edward

1907b Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon. *American Anthropologist* 9, 251-275. IV.

References 601

- 1907d Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon. Journal of American Folk-Lore 20, 33-49. IV.
- 1909c *Takelma Texts*. University of Pennsylvania, Anthropological Publications 2(1), 1-263. VIII.
- Wishram Texts, together with Wasco Tales and Myths collected by Jeremiah Curtin and edited by Edward Sapir. American Ethnological Society Publications, 2. Leiden: E. J. Brill, VII.
- 1910e Takelma. In Hodge, F. W. (ed.), *Handbook of American Indians North of Mexico* (Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 30), 673-674. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution. IV.
- 1910h Yana Texts. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 9, 1-235. IX.
- 1912h The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon. Extract from Boas, Franz (ed.), Handbook of American Indian Languages, Part 2 (Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 40), 1-296. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution. [Also in Boas 1922.] VIII.
- 1921b A Characteristic Penutian Form of Stem. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 2, 58-67. VI.
- 1929a Central and North American Indian Languages. *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, Fourteenth Edition 5, 138-141. New York and London. V.

# Sapir, Edward, and Morris Swadesh

1953 Coos-Takelma-Penutian Comparisons. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 19, 132-137. VI.

# Shipley, William

1969 Proto-Takelman. International Journal of American Linguistics 35, 226-230.

# Silverstein, Michael


1979 Penutian: An Assessment. In Campbell, Lyle, and Marianne Mithun (eds.), *The Languages of Native America: Historical and Comparative Assessment*, 650-691. Austin: University of Texas Press.

# Stocking, George W., Jr.

The Boas Plan for American Indian Linguistics. In Hymes, Dell H. (ed.), Studies in the History of Linguistics: Traditions and Paradigms, 454-486. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

# Swadesh, Morris

1965 Kalapuya and Takelma. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 31, 237-240.



# Index

Ablaut, vowel, 75 – 78; consonant,	Chinook (language), 15, 24, 43, 203 –
78 – 79.	205.
Accent, see Pitch accent.	Clauses, subordinate, 205 – 212.
Acorn Woman, 482.	Compounds, nominal, 241 – 242.
Address, particles of, 294.	Conditionals, 212–215.
Adjectival derivative suffixes, 278 – 278.	Conjunctive adverbs, 287 – 288.
Adjectival prefixes, 272 – 274.	Consonants, $47-67$ .
Adjectives, 271 – 280; particles as, 220.	Consonant clusters, $52-57$ ; simplifica-
Adverbs, 283 – 288; demonstrative,	tion of, 58-61.
269 – 270; numeral, 282 – 283.	Consonant processes (morphophone-
Affricates, 50, 53 n. 1.	mics), $57 - 67$ .
Agentives, 224 – 226.	Coos (language), 15.
Alliteration, 411.	Copula, use of, 220.
Alsea (language), 15.	Cow Creek, 24.
Aorist (tense), 173 – 199.	Coyote, 307, 320, 327, 363, 368, 384,
Aorist stem, 108 – 110.	400, 404, 411, 412, 414, 423; distinc-
Athabaskan (languages), 24 n. 2, 25,	tive speech of, 24 n. 2, 216 n. 1 295,
297 – 298, 432 n. 2.	309 n. 41, 370, 375, 401.
Auxiliaries, $200 - 205$ ; table, $302 - 306$ .	Crane, 437.
	Crow, 476.
Bear, Black, 431; see also Grizzly Bear.	Culture hero, 332, 334 n. 1.
Bear speech, 24 n. 2, 324, 432 n. 2, 434	
n. 3, 441.	Daldal, 334 n. 1, 335.
Beaver, 398, 427, 480.	Dead, land of, 411, 413.
Bluejay, 236, 336.	Death, 307, 412.
Boas, Franz, 13, 15, 24, 319.	Deer, 356, 426.
Body-part prefixes, 83 – 102.	Deictic suffix, 266.
Brothers, in myth, 348 n. 1.	Demonstrative pronouns, 268 – 270.
	Derivational suffixes, in nouns, 227-
Calls and cries, 295 – 296.	230, 237–241; in verbs, 133–173.
Cardinal numbers, 280 – 282.	Diphthongs, $27-28$ , $33-35$ , $40$ ,
Cases, lack of, 298; personal pronomi-	45 – 47, 193 – 196.
nal affixes as substitution for, 257.	Direct object, suffixes, 183 – 185; incor-
Causative, 151 – 153.	porated nouns as, 85–87.
Cayuse-Molale (languages), 15.	Dorsey, J. Owen, 13.
Chasta Costa (language), 25, 48 n. 1.	Drucker, Philip, 14.
Chicken-Hawk, 456, 462.	Dual suffix, 265.
Children-Creator, 487.	Dubitative, 287.

Eagle, 436. Eel, 489. Ejective stops, 49-50, 52. Enclitics, 288 – 294. Evidential, see Inferential.

Exclamatory verbs, 402.

Exclusive suffix, 262 – 263.

Falling pitch, 32-38; in stems with glottal catch, 233; characteristic of substantives of passive force, 233 – 234.

Feuds, 492.

Final consonants, 51-52; dropping of, 57 - 58.

Flood motif, 480.

Formula, myth-ending, 356.

Fortes, see Ejective stops.

Fox, 374.

Frequentatives, 143–151; in adjectives, 278 - 280.

Future (tense), 173 – 199; periphrastic, 200 – 202; imperative, 343.

Glottalized consonants, 49-50. Grammatical processes, 71 - 79. Grizzly Bear, 431, 437; distinctive speech of, 24 n. 1, 324, 432 n. 2, 434 n. 3.

Guardian spirits, 496.

Harrington, John P., 14. Hiatus (intervocalic glottal stop). 38 - 40.

Hooting owl, 408.

Houses, building of, 310, 490.

Huave (language), 15.

Hummingbird, 508.

Hupa (Indians), 348 n. 1.

Hymes, Dell, 15.

i-umlaut, 40-43.

Imperative (mode), 172 - 199.

Impersonal suffix, 172–173.

Incorporated nouns, 82-88.

Indirect object, 157 – 164.

Indirective, 157 - 164.

Inferential (mode), 173-199; use of, 215-217; in narrative, 174, 216-217, 331, 358, 363, 425, 437, 457, 461

n. 5, 462 – 463, 468, 478, 484, 487.

Infinitives, 217 - 220.

Infixation, 72-73.

Inflexional languages, 68-70.

Inorganic h, 67.

Inorganic vowel, 44–45.

Instrumental prefix, 107 – 108.

Instrumentals, incorporated, 107-111.

Interjections, 294 – 297.

Interrogative pronouns, 270 – 271; enclitic, 270, 293.

Intransitive suffixes, 165-172.

Intransitive verbs, 165–172, 176–183, 197 - 199.

Intransitivizing suffix, 166 – 167.

Jackrabbit, 423.

Johnson, Frances, 14, 24, 498, 581 –

Jump-off-Joe Creek, 14, 24.

Kalapuya (language), 15.

Kin terms, 248-250.

Klamath (language), 15, 298.

Kuku (myth character), 336, 349.

Local adverbs, 286.

Local elements (locative stems), 253 –

Local phrases, 257–258; with postpositions, 260-261; as incorporated nouns, 87 - 88.

Local prefixes, 102 – 106.

Locatives, see Local elements; Pre-positives.

Marriage, 490.

Medicine formulas, 482, 484, 487, 508 - 513.

Medicine men, 456, 482, 484, 496.

Index 605

Medicine women, 498.

Menstrual dance, 415.

Mixe-Zoque, 15.

Modal adverbs, 287.

Mode, see Tense-Mode.

Moon, new, 510.

Morphology, 68 - 296.

Morphophonemics, see Consonant processes; Vocalic processes.

Musical contest motif, 489.

Myths, special terms used in, 469; use of tense-mode in, 174; formula for ending, 356.

Negative adverbial particles, 215–217, 287.

Nominal derivatives of verbs, 217 – 226.

Non-agentive forms, 169 – 171.

Nouns, 226–266; incorporated, 82–88; verbal, 217–220; of agency,

82-88; verbal, 217-220; of agency 224-226.

Noun-characteristics, 228, 243 – 245.

Noun phrases, 242.

Noun stems, 231 – 237. Numeral adverbs, 282 – 283.

Numerals, 280 – 283.

Object, see Direct object; Indirect object.

Object suffixes, 183 – 185.

Optative, 287.

Otter, 462, 468.

Panther, 327, 356, 368.

Participles, 220 – 224.

Particles, 288 – 294.

Passives, 176 – 177.

Penutian, 15.

Periphrastic constructions, 200 – 205.

Personal pronouns, independent, 267 – 268.

Petrified suffixes, in verbs, 134-143.

Pit River (language), 234 n. 2.

Pitch accent, 31-38; in noun stems, 233-234; symbols used for, 38 n. 1.

Plural formations, 263 – 265; in adjectives, 278 – 290.

Polysynthesis, 68 - 70.

Positional verbs, 171 - 172.

Possessive suffixes, 229 – 231, 247 – 256.

Post-nominal elements, 262 – 266.

Postpositions, 259 – 261.

Potential (mode), 172–199; use of,

215 - 217.

Prefixation, 71 - 72.

Prefixes, verb, 80-172; adjective,

272 - 274.

Pre-positives, 253 - 261.

Pre-pronominal suffix, 228 – 229, 246.

Pronominal suffixes, verb, 175–199; table of, 300; noun, 229–230, 247–256.

Pronouns, independent, 267 – 268; demonstrative, 268 – 270; interrogative and indefinite, 270 – 271.

Puns, 423.

Rain, 512.

Raised pitch, 32 - 38.

Raven, 476.

Reciprocal suffix, 168–169.

Reduplication, 73–75; expressive, 339; in stem formation, 126–127, 236–237; in plural adjectives, 278–280.

Reflexive, 168; indirect, 164-165.

Repetitive stem, 143 - 150.

Rising pitch, 32-38.

Roasting-dead-people (myth character), 309, 412.

Rock-Boy, 331 - 332.

Rock-Woman, 484.

Rogue River, 24, 327, 426.

Rolling Skull motif, 488.

Sahaptian (languages), 15.

Salish (languages), 24, 73.

Screech-Owl, 408.

Sentence connector, 290 – 291.

Shasta (Indians), 338, 502; (language), 591.

Shinny, 499.

Siletz, 13.

Siuslaw (language), 15.

Skunk, 478.

Sneezing, 512.

Snow, 510.

Sonant, see Voiced stops.

Song burdens, 296 – 297.

Sparrow-Hawk, 480.

St. Clair, H. H., 2nd, 13-14, 23.

Stems. 108 – 111, 226 – 227, 230 – 231; verb. 111 – 122; noun, 231 – 237; aorist, 108 – 110; repetitive, 143 – 150.

Storms, 510.

Stress, 31 - 32.

Subject, suffixes, 175 – 199; incorporated nouns as, 87.

Subordinate clauses, 205 – 212, 287 – 288.

Subordinating suffixes, 205-212.

Suffixation, 72.

Suffixes, derivational, 133–173; tensemode, 173–199; pronominal, 175–199; subordinating, 205–212; noun, 227–230, 237–241, 247–256; adjectival, 274–278.

Surd, see Voiceless unaspirated stops. Syntax, 200 – 217, 272, 287 – 294.

Tar-Baby motif, 400.
Temporal adverbs, 286.
Tense-mode, 173 – 199; in mythic narrative, 174; table of forms, 301.

Terms of relationship, 248 – 250. Tobacco (in myth), 409. Transformer motif, 335, 348. Transitive suffixes, 151 – 165. Transitive verbs, 151 – 165, 183 – 199. Tsimshian (language), 15.

Upper Takelma, 14, 426, 502. Usitatives, 143 – 151.

Verbs, 79 – 226; prefixes, 80 – 108; stems, 108 – 133; suffixes, 133 – 199. Villages, 426. Vocalic processes (morphophonemics), 38 – 47. Vocative forms, 249 – 250.

Voiced stops, 48. Voiceless unaspirated stops, 48. Vowels, 26–47.

Warfare, 402.

Wasco (language), 203 – 205; see also Chinook.

Whirlwind, 512.

Wildcat, 356, 368.

Wind, 512.

Wolf, 327.


Woodpecker, 405.


Wrestling-with-tree motif, 350.

Yana (Indians), 412 n. 1; (language), 48 n. 1.

Yellowhammer, 508.








# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY Los Angeles

This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.





Of we

